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August 29, 2019

Dear Governor Dunleavy:

I am pleased to inform you that the Alaska State Plan on Aging under the Older Americans Act for October 1, 2019 through September 30, 2023, has been approved.

The State Plan developed by the Alaska Commission on Aging in conjunction with the Division of Senior and Disability Services is the culmination of years of planning, surveying, and in depth consideration of specific goals, including to:

- Promote healthy aging and provide access to comprehensive and integrated health care.
- Ensure seniors are financially secure.
- Protect vulnerable seniors from abuse, neglect, self-neglect, and exploitation.
- Ensure seniors have access to quality, affordable, accessible, safe, and appropriate housing, including senior housing, across the continuum of care.
- Provide seniors with the highest quality of life.

The Plan’s detailed expected outcomes are both measurable and clearly represent the values and priorities of Older Alaskans, particularly the most vulnerable. It is a pleasure to read and will be a pleasure to support as it moves forward to fruition.

The Seattle Regional Office staff of the U.S. Administration for Community Living looks forward to working with you and the Alaska Commission and State Unit on Aging, in the implementation of the State Plan. If you have questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact Shelly Zylstra, Region X Administrator at 206-615-2299. I appreciate your dedication and commitment to improving the lives of older Alaskans.

Sincerely,

Lance Robertson
Administrator and Assistant Secretary for Aging
May 29, 2019

Mr. David Ishida  
Regional Administrator  
Administration for Community Living  
701 Fifth Avenue, M/S RX-33, Suite 1600  
Seattle, WA 98104

Dear Mr. Ishida,

As Governor of the State of Alaska, I hereby designate the Alaska Department of Health and Social Services as the sole State agency on aging as required under Section 305 of the Older Americans Act.

If you have any questions regarding this designation, please contact Commissioner Crum directly at 907-269-7800 or adam.crum@alaska.gov.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Dunleavy  
Governor

cc: The Honorable Adam Crum, Commissioner, Alaska Department of Health and Social Services  
Deb Etheridge, Acting Director, Division of Senior and Disabilities Services, Department of Health and Social Services  
Gordon Glaser, Chair, Alaska Commission on Aging, Alaska Department of Health and Social Services  
Denise Daniello, Executive Director, Alaska Commission on Aging, Alaska Department of Health and Social Services
The Alaska Department of Health and Social Services (DHSS) hereby submits the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2023 (State fiscal years 2020-2023).

Governor Mike Dunleavy has designated the Department of Health and Social Services as Alaska's sole state agency on aging. The Alaska Commission on Aging within DHSS is authorized by Alaska Statute 457.45.240(a)(l) to develop the state plan for senior services in accordance with the provisions of the Older Americans Act and its amendments. The plan, as submitted, documents the needs of older Alaskans and establishes direction for the coordination of all State activities related to seniors, with an emphasis on those efforts related to the Older Americans Act, including the development of a comprehensive and coordinated system for the delivery of supportive services.

The Plan, as submitted, has been developed in accordance with all federal statutory and regulatory requirements.

The Alaska State Plan for Senior Services is hereby approved by the Commissioner of the Department of Health and Social Services, as the Governor's designee, and constitutes authorization to proceed with activities under the Plan upon approval by the Assistant Secretary for Aging.

I hereby approve this state plan and am pleased to present it to Alaskans.

05/29/2019

Date

Adam Crum, Commissioner
The Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, FY2020--2023 is hereby approved by the Alaska Commission on Aging, as the agency authorized by the Commissioner of the Department of Health and Social Services and by the Alaska Statute (AS 47.45.240(a)(1)) to develop the state plan on aging in accordance with the provisions of the Older Americans Act and its amendments.
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1. Executive Summary

The Alaska State Plan for Senior Services

Since the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services was last updated four years ago, the population of seniors age 60 and older in Alaska has increased by approximately 20,000 people.¹ This trend will continue through 2025, when Alaska’s senior population age 60 and older population will again increase by 22,000 to the projected peak of 140,000 people age 60 and older.² At the same time, the State of Alaska resources for funding senior services and housing are flat or declining. Alaska must plan for how to continue to provide services for active seniors, those needing assistance to live independently, and those with more complex medical and social needs. People are living longer and the numbers of seniors with dementia, chronic health conditions, and behavioral health needs are also increasing proportionately. The population age 80 and older, who often require the most support to live independently, will almost triple over the next 30 years. Some people will be able to age well at home with support from family, friends or paid caregivers. Others will require and benefit from community resources such as senior centers, meals, respite, transportation that are often funded by a combination of grants, Medicaid, and other community contributions. Investments that support a high quality of life for seniors and elders also help to avoid costs associated with preventable circumstances such as falls, poor nutrition, inactivity, or medication errors.

The Alaska State Plan for Senior Services is the roadmap that guides the provision of senior services in Alaska over the next four years. It provides a comprehensive plan for senior services including meals, transportation, homemaker and family caregiver, safety and protection, adult day services, senior housing, vocational training, legal assistance, the Senior Voice newsletter and more. This plan also satisfies a federal requirement for all states receiving federal funds under the Older Americans Act for their senior programs and services. It includes a vision statement, a core set of goals, objectives, strategies, performance measures, and an intrastate funding formula for federal funds and the State of Alaska General Fund. Through this effort, the Alaska Commission on Aging aims to keep pace with senior needs through planning, advocacy, and public awareness efforts, in collaboration with all organizations focused on the well-being of older Alaskans.

Vision

The Alaska State Plan for Senior Services for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2020-2023 builds on strong partnerships to provide high-quality, culturally-sensitive, accessible services for Alaskans 60 and above to live healthy, independent, meaningful lives in the place and manner of their choosing.

Planning Process

The State of Alaska constitutes a single planning and service area under the terms of the Older Americans Act. The Alaska Department of Health & Social Services (DHSS) is the State Unit on Aging. The Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA) conducts planning, community outreach, and advocacy for the DHSS. The Alaska State Plan for Senior Services is the product of a planning process starting in February 2017 that included seven facilitated conversations with seniors in Wrangell, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, Anchorage, the Fairbanks North Star Borough, Nome, Shishmaref, and a targeted session for people aging with intellectual and developmental disabilities. These sessions included assessment

¹ Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates, Published in 2019
² Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Projections, Published in 2018
questions to identify opportunities and gaps in services for seniors in Alaska communities. The planning process also included a senior and a provider survey to identify the top issues of concern to seniors. This plan builds from the vision and work conducted as part of the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FY2016-19. The ACoA convened an Advisory Committee (listed in Appendix F) in April 2019 to guide the process and discuss changes and updates to the plan. At the direction of the advisory committee, the plan utilizes the same vision and principles as the previous plan, with an updated set of goals and objectives. A subcommittee was formed to review and revise the intrastate funding formula, which was last updated mid-planning cycle for FY2017-2019.

The Advisory Committee reviewed and modified the internal draft in May of 2019 in preparation for the public review draft. The public review draft was posted for public comment for 10 days, with an advisory committee meeting to address how public comments would be incorporated in the final plan. The ACoA submitted the final plan document to the Governor, Commissioner of DHSS, and U.S. Administration on Community Living/Administration on Aging in June 2019. The plan takes effect July 1, 2019.

**Plan Format**

Per the Administration on Aging (AoA) Guidance on the Development and Submission of State Plans, Amendments and Intrastate Funding Formulas (hereafter AoA State Plan Guidance document), the main body of the plan is limited to 30 pages. Supporting background information is provided through Appendices. The main body of the plan is as follows:

- **Chapter 2: Context** includes a summary of the demographics and needs assessment activities and important findings as well as critical issues considered in the plan. Appendix A provides additional results from the senior survey, the provider survey, and seven senior/elder listening sessions.

- **Chapter 2: Focus Areas** include a description of the programs and services available to older Alaskans, those paid for by Older Americans Act and the State of Alaska funding. The focus areas include: The Older Americans Act (OAA) Core Programs, Administration for Community Living (ACL) Discretionary Grants, Participant-Directed/Person-centered Planning, and Elder Justice Focus. This chapter includes a crosswalk that details which goals, objectives and measures relate to each focus area.

- **Chapter 3: Vision, Guiding Principles and Goals** respond to the significant issues identified by needs assessment activities and focus areas, providing Alaska’s senior agencies, advocates, and service providers a shared focus for the next four years. The six goals include:
  1. Promote healthy aging and provide access to comprehensive and integrated health care.
  2. Ensure seniors are financially secure.
  3. Protect vulnerable seniors from abuse, neglect, self-neglect, and exploitation.
  4. Ensure seniors have access to quality, affordable, accessible, safe, and appropriate housing, including senior housing, across the continuum of care.
  5. Promote opportunities for meaningful aging, intergenerational connectivity, and civic engagement.
  6. Provide quality and affordable home and community-based long-term support services to provide seniors with the highest quality of life.

- **Chapter 4: Objectives, Strategies, and Performance Measures** offer specific details for how to accomplish the long-term goals of the plan.

- **Chapter 5: Outcomes and Performance Measure Related to Focus Areas** describes how the outcomes and measures identified in the previous chapter and State of Alaska activities relate to the four focus areas identified by the AoA State Plan Guidance document.
• **Chapter 6: Quality Management + Implementation** includes a quality management strategy for the FFY2020-2023 period, including data collection to assess ongoing program implementation, remediation of problem areas, and continuous improvement of programs and services. This chapter also includes details on the implementation of the plan.

There are a variety of appendices included with this state plan to provide detailed information to support the plan document. **Appendix A** summarizes the needs assessment activities and analysis, which form the foundation for the goals and objectives of this plan. **Appendix B** includes a description of the intrastate funding formula selected for this state plan. The formula is used for the distribution of state and federal funds to ensure funding priority is given to areas having seniors with the most economic and social need; factors for the formula include total senior (60+) population, advanced age or “frail” (80+), minority status, low income, and rural residency. **Appendix C and D** include Assurances and Information Requirements under the Older Americans Act. **Appendix E** summarizes demographic data about Alaska seniors. **Appendix F** includes a list of the Advisory Committee members and their affiliations. **Appendix G** describes the programs provided for seniors by the State of Alaska. **Appendix H** summarizes the continuum of care for long-term services and supports. **Appendix I** summarizes the Older Americans Act. **Appendix K** includes public comments received during the planning process and responses.

**Next Steps**

Public and private partners will continue to work together on state plan implementation and ongoing needs assessment. As the new plan takes effect on July 1, 2019, implementation through key leads for each performance measure will be the new focus. The Advisory Committee will also revisit the funding formula methodology, specifically the definition of rural in 2021 with the data from the 2020 Census.
2. Context

This section provides a description of the Alaska State Unit on Aging and partner agencies, coordination of existing plans, demographic trends, and needs assessment activities and findings. The Advisory Committee used the needs assessment findings and relevant planning efforts to identify the key issues that inform the plan.

Description of the Alaska State Unit on Aging

State of Alaska
The State of Alaska constitutes a single planning and service area under the terms of the Older Americans Act. In Alaska, the State Unit on Aging is the Department of Health & Social Services (DHSS). Older Americans Act Title III and some Title VII services are provided to seniors through the DHSS Division of Senior & Disabilities Services (SDS). The Division of Senior & Disabilities Services (SDS) is responsible for the administration of home and community-based programs for seniors and individuals with developmental and physical disabilities for the State of Alaska. Senior home and community grant-funded services provide expanded services for older adults who need assistance to remain independent, but who do not qualify for other publicly funded programs and are intended for individuals who are at risk for institutionalization and wish to remain in their own homes. Programs administered by SDS include Adult Protective Services, General Relief Assisted Living program, Community-based Grant programs for seniors and caregivers, Community Developmental Disabilities Grant program, Medicaid Home and Community-based Waiver programs, Medicaid Personal Care Assistant program, Medicaid Community First Choice program, Medicare Information Office and Senior Medicare Patrol, Aging and Disability Resource Center program, and the Nursing Facility Transition program.

The Alaska Commission on Aging, also an agency within DHSS, coordinates the planning function of the State Unit on Aging, in addition to advocating for senior needs to the state legislature and leading public awareness campaigns on civic health, behavioral health, and civic engagement issues.

Older Americans Act Title V services are provided through the Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training (MASST) Program within the Department of Labor & Workforce Development. The Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman (OLTCO), which carries out the Title VII long-term care ombudsman services, is located within the Department of Revenue.

Alaska Commission on Aging
Since 1982, the Alaska Commission on Aging, an agency within the Department of Health & Social Services, has served to ensure the dignity and independence of all older Alaskans by addressing their needs through planning, advocacy, education, and interagency cooperation.

As part of its commitment to the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, FY 2016–FY 2019, the Commission held one annual implementation meeting with agency partners, to identify accomplishments related to the plan’s goals and objectives and to plan further activities. In 2018, the Commission began coordinating planning activities for the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, FFY 2020-FFY 2023 with consumers and agency representatives. The plan fulfills a requirement of the Older Americans Act for all states which receive these funds.
Coordination with Existing Planning Efforts

This plan is developed alongside several existing and collaborating planning efforts:

- Alaska’s Roadmap to Address Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias
- Alaska Core Competencies for Direct Care Workers in Health and Human Services
- State Plan for Independent Living
- Alaska Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) 2018
- Comprehensive Integrated Mental Health Program Plan
- Alaska Health Workforce Coalition 2017-2021 Action Agenda

Long-term Services and Supports Continuum of Care for Seniors

To help seniors maintain their independence for as long as possible, housing, services and supports for seniors are typically provided along a continuum of care from services provided in homes and communities to more intensive services provided in assisted living and, at the highest level of care, in skilled nursing facilities and acute medical hospitals. Assistance at lower levels of care (shown in the circle wrapping around the senior living independently in Figure 1) can prevent or delay seniors from requiring more costly, institutional levels of care.

Figure 1: Long-term Services and Supports Continuum of Care for Seniors

Aging Disability Resource Centers (ADRC) and care coordinators help individuals and caregivers access necessary services. Matching seniors to the right level of care is good for seniors, their caregivers, families, communities and the state. When pieces of the continuum are missing, elders often end up in inappropriate care settings. For example, elders can be placed in nursing facilities or even hospital long-
term care "swing beds" in rural hubs because they are not able to receive a lower level of care in their home communities. Appendix H provides more detail on the continuum of care.

Older Americans Act and other state funding sources allow the state to provide grants and Medicaid-billable services to support a full continuum of care. This includes home-delivered and congregate meals, transportation, information and outreach, adult day services, fitness and activities, elder-law services, senior center programing and operations, caregiver support, personal care assistance, chore services, respite, care coordination, home modifications, home health and assisted living. Medicaid is the primary funding source for skilled nursing care. A detailed list of State programs is in Appendix G.

**State Plan for Senior Services FY2016-2019 Implementation**
The Advisory Committee met in 2016 to report on the implementation of State Plan for Senior Services FY2016-2019.

**Key Successes from Previous Plan:**
- Advocated successfully to maintain or increase funding for senior grant-funded services despite a challenging fiscal environment to keep pace with a growing senior population.
- Developed Alaska’s Roadmap to Address Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias to promote awareness about the public health needs of a growing senior population at risk of developing dementia and working to provide appropriate services to improve quality of life for persons with dementia and their caregivers. Implementation efforts are ongoing.
- Protected funding levels for Alaska Housing Finance Corporation’s Senior Citizen Development Fund which was used to develop 271 senior housing units since FY16.
- Successfully piloted and implemented the “ADRC First” program that utilizes a screening tool to identify needs of vulnerable Alaskans and provides assistance by connecting them to appropriate services.
- Advocated for programs serving vulnerable older Alaskans including the “Silver Alert,” reauthorization of the Senior Benefits program, Medicaid Adult Dental, Designated Caregiver education, modernization of the Power of Attorney statute, and other successful legislative efforts.
- Conducted supplemental Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey modules to gather Alaska-specific data describing the needs of people living with self-reported cognitive impairment, defined as increasing memory decline and confusion, as well as information pertaining to Alaska’s family caregivers in order to educate stakeholders, inform public health policy, and develop programming.
- Launched efforts to promote public awareness about the role of unpaid natural support caregivers and their needs, especially for dementia caregivers.
- Enhanced volunteer support for the Office of Long-Term Care Ombudsman which has increased the number of annual visits to residential assisted living and nursing home facilities.
- Provided vocational training to adults age 55+ with two or more employment barriers through the Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training Program which assists older workers to develop skills and find rewarding employment.
**Demographic Trends**
This section of the plan shares the demographic trends that inform the funding formula shared in Appendix B. Additional relevant demographic information is shared in Appendix E.

**Population Growth**
Alaska’s senior population 60 and older reached an estimated 134,983 in 2018, representing 18.2 percent of the state’s total population. Of Alaska’s senior population, 14,514 individuals are 80 years of age or older. From 2010 to 2018 the state’s 60 and older population grew, on average, six percent each year meaning that there were just over 44,000 more seniors in the state in 2018 than just eight years before. The Administration on Aging’s “A Profile of Older Americans: 2017” identified a 65.5 percent increase in Alaska’s population 65 and older from 2006 to 2016. This was the top growth rate in the United States and twice the national growth rate of 32.5 percent.

Continued growth in Alaska’s senior population 60 and older is expected until 2030, while the population 80 and older continues to grow over the next thirty years. Planning for greater capacity and infrastructure across the spectrum of senior services, including home and community-based services as well as long-term care, is especially significant for older seniors who typically require more support services. Figure 2 indicates senior population projections from 2017 to 2045.

*Figure 2: Population Projections for Alaska’s Senior Population, 2017-2045*

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Projections, 2017-2045

**Geography**
Alaska’s seniors age 60 and older live primarily in the state’s most populated regions – Anchorage, the Interior (which includes Fairbanks and surround areas) and the Matanuska-Susitna Borough. As a

---

5 Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Projections, 2017-2045.
percentage of the total population, seniors represent a larger share of individuals living in Southeast Alaska and the Kenai Peninsula, Valdez and Cordova region.6 Figure 3 below depicts where seniors 60 and older live as a percentage of all seniors in the state.

*Figure 3: Percentage of All Seniors 60+ in Alaska by Region, 2017*

![Chart: Percentage of All Seniors 60+ in Alaska by Region, 2017](chart)

*Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates, 2017.*

**Income and Poverty**

In Alaska as a whole, six percent of the 60 and older population lives in poverty (roughly $12,000-$16,000 per year depending on household size). The percentage of seniors living in poverty ranges from just three percent on the North Slope to 18 percent in the Yukon-Kuskokwim (Y-K) Delta. Seniors in poverty in the most populous regions of the state (Anchorage, the Interior and the Matanuska-Susitna Borough) ranges from five to seven percent of the population.7

*Figure 4: Percent of Seniors 60+ Living in Poverty, 2017*

![Bar chart: Percent of Seniors 60+ Living in Poverty, 2017](chart)

*Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates, 2017; U.S. Census Bureau.*
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Race and ethnicity
White seniors are over-represented compared to the total population, while other races are slightly under-represented. For example, 77 percent of seniors 60 and older are white, while only 66 percent of the total population is white. Meanwhile, only 12 percent of seniors are Alaska Native although 15 percent of the state’s total population is Alaska Native. African-Americans are also under-represented in the senior population. Seven percent of the total population identify as two or more races, while just three percent of the senior population are two or more races. Three percent of seniors of any race are of Hispanic origin, compared to seven percent of the total population.8

Dementia
Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRD) are estimated to affect 12,500 Alaskans over the age of 65. It is estimated that by 2030 the number of seniors with ADRD in the state will almost double, affecting 23,900 seniors.9 Rates of Alzheimer’s disease increase with age. Just three percent of the 65 to 74 year old population experience Alzheimer’s disease, while 32 percent of seniors 85 and older do.10 Impacts of cognitive decline, as reported by individuals 60 and older, include giving up day to day household activities, needing assistance with day to day activities, being less able to work or engage in activities outside the home and having household, work or social functional limitations.11 While rates of ADRD rise, the availability of potential caregivers declines. In 2018 there were 15.9 potential caregivers age 40 to 64 for every senior over 80. By 2030, this will decrease to just 7 potential caregivers for each senior over age 80.

Figure 5: Prevalence of Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias in Alaskans 65+

Source: Alzheimer’s Association, 2018 Alaska Alzheimer’s Statistics, AK Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Aging.com, Agnew::Beck analysis

9 Alaska Alzheimer’s Statistics, 2018; Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, 2018; aging.net; Agnew::Beck – Analysis.
10 Alzheimer’s Association, 2019 Alzheimer’s Statistics Facts and Figures Report. Projections. Note: Estimates do not include the related dementias that are not considered Alzheimer’s.
Figure 6: Ratio of Potential Caregivers 40-64 to Older Seniors 80+ in Alaska

Source: 2018 Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates; U.S. Census Bureau

Program Utilization and Expenditures
Over the past three years utilization of services for seniors has increased across most areas of service. Waiver, Personal Care Services and Senior Grant Services have seen slight decreases in utilization. Medicare saw the largest growth in utilization with 12,669 more users in fiscal year 2018 than in fiscal year 2016.12

Figure 7: Alaska Senior Services Utilization, FY16-FY18


Needs Assessment Activities and Findings

“I think there should be housing for seniors of my age group that is affordable. All I have found in Anchorage & Mat-Su were $3,000 a month, which I could not afford. I either make too much income or do not have enough.” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

The Needs Assessment began in February 2018 and included seven facilitated conversations with seniors and other public members including a targeted session for people aging with intellectual and developmental disabilities. These listening sessions included questions to identify opportunities and gaps in services for seniors in Alaska communities. The planning process also included a senior and a provider survey to identify the top issues of concern for seniors. Appendix A provides additional detail on the needs assessment methodology and findings.

Elder-Senior Listening Sessions

The Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA) held seven elder-senior listening sessions between February 2017 and November 2018, with sessions taking place in Wrangell, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, Anchorage, the Fairbanks North Star Borough, Nome, Shishmaref, and a targeted session for people aging with intellectual and developmental disabilities. The purpose of these listening sessions was to gather first-hand public input on elder-senior issues and to identify “what is working” and “what is not working” in Alaska’s communities. The listening sessions ranged in attendance from 6 to 34 seniors, resulting in a total of 152 participants. The listening sessions were formatted as facilitated discussions around a set of targeted questions. Elders across Alaska are concerned about access to healthcare, housing and assisted living/coordinated care, however they have a strong desire to stay in their communities and more broadly Alaska.

2018 Survey of Alaska Seniors

The ACoA’s survey of Alaskans age 55 years and older received 3,130 responses, providing insight on topics ranging from health care to housing, from finances to senior services. Many responders also included open-ended comments on issues of concern to them, sharing their insights and ideas for solutions. Access to healthcare and financial security are the greatest concerns for respondents of the 2018 Senior Survey.

2018 Provider Survey

The ACoA also surveyed service providers about their perceptions of senior needs now and within the next five years and heard from 126 providers in Alaska. Senior service providers are more concerned about ensuring access to assisted living and long-term care facilities than respondents of the Senior Survey and identified transportation and dementia care services as broader concerns facing Alaska’s senior population. Providers identified financial need as the most pressing problem confronting seniors and their families today.

Needs Assessment Key Findings

“Adult protective services are located far away, and there is not enough training for local people to know when abuse is happening and how to report it.” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

This needs assessment process produced a substantial amount of useful qualitative and quantitative data. In January 2019, this information was compiled and analyzed, with findings presented to the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services Advisory Committee during the kick-off meeting in April 2019. The Needs Assessment highlighted the following issues that directly inform the six goals that frame the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY2020-2023.
Health Care. Seniors in Alaska are concerned about access to health care in their communities. This concern was heard in many of the listening sessions and was ranked as the most important concern of seniors in the senior survey. Seniors cited access to healthcare services (primary, dementia care and behavioral health) as important barriers that need to be addressed as the number of seniors who need care continues to grow.

Financial Concerns. Throughout the needs assessment process, a number of concerns about financial security were raised. Elder-listening session participants discussed everything from value of living a subsistence lifestyle to worries about the cost of living. The senior survey showed financial security as the second most important concern of seniors in Alaska. The provider survey identified financial need (not enough food, money to cover energy costs, etc.) as the most important issue facing older adults today.

Elder Justice. The issue of elder abuse and neglect is an important priority for Alaska. Twenty percent of respondents to the senior survey indicated they knew someone or have personally experienced some form of elder abuse or exploitation, however 60 percent of these respondents indicated that they did not report the abuse. Elder abuse and exploitation can occur in many ways, with the most common instances being financial exploitation, emotional abuse, and neglect (according to the Senior Survey). Providers indicated that protecting vulnerable seniors from abuse was the goal area from the past plan that they were least likely to change, indicating a broad support for initiatives and supports to elders experiencing or are likely to experience abuse in any form.

Senior Housing. During the elder-senior listening sessions, nearly all communities listed availability of affordable senior housing as an issue in need of improvement. The provider survey ranked independent senior housing as the second most important service area that is insufficient throughout Alaska. The provider survey also identified assisted living for mentally ill seniors that can be compounded by dementia and independent living senior housing as the second and fourth most important issues facing seniors and their families today, respectively.

Sense of Community. During the elder-senior listening sessions, the importance of a strong sense of community was raised in several sessions. Senior Centers are utilized significantly, with 42 percent of the senior survey respondents stating they visited their centers at least once a month. Senior Centers are also used as a source of information about programs and services and serve as hubs for social engagement. Fifty percent of senior survey respondents indicated that senior center programs and activities were very important to seniors in their community.

Home and Community Based Long Term Support Services. “Services for seniors that promote aging in place” was a recurring theme heard through the elder-senior listening sessions. According to the senior survey, the top five agency supportive services used by respondents included senior meals served at a senior center, senior exercise, senior center recreation activities, transportation and homemaker/chore services. These services all help individuals to age in place longer. The top three concerns for senior survey respondents who wish to age in place were declining health, access to healthy foods and limited income to afford necessities.

“There are very few to no services for coordination, caregiver support and other geriatric support needs except thru Medicaid/Medicaid waiver.” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent
Key Issues for the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services 2020-2023
As Alaska’s senior population continues to increase, the demand for services also increases. The goals and objectives in this plan were developed to address the following key issues identified by the advisory committee based on current trends in population demographics, program utilization, and the results of needs assessment activities.

Abuse, Neglect and Exploitation
For seniors living in the community and those living in assisted living facilities, exploitation of seniors through internet marketing, scams, and fraud is a growing concern. Particularly for those seniors or caregivers seeking in-home assistance using un-vetted internet sources or providers who are not affiliated with a certified agency, consumers and caregivers report receiving sub-standard care. Public education and other resources are needed to bring awareness to seniors and to help them to be wise consumers of in-home supports and other services.

Food Security and Senior Hunger
Having consistent and reliable access to affordable and nutritious foods is an important factor for both the overall health and quality of life for seniors. Many seniors in Alaska are concerned about food security and are unsure where their next meal will be coming from, and often must choose between purchasing food, medications, and/or paying other bills. Barriers to healthy food access are often magnified for seniors who, in many cases, live on fixed income, have less reliable access to transportation, and are more affected by chronic illness. Seniors in Alaska who may have at one point supplemented their food budget with subsistence living practices, may find themselves less physically able to engage in these activities, further straining food affordability. While there are resources available to help prevent and alleviate senior hunger in Alaska such as food banks, home-delivered meals and supplemented assistance programs, these can leave coverage gaps and or are not accessible to all Alaskans living throughout the State.

Transportation
Seniors, especially those who can no longer drive, need reliable transportation to activities, medical appointments and visits to family and friends. Transportation provides access to resources and community life that is essential to keep seniors both mentally and physically healthy. However, transportation services are often patchy and uncoordinated, as many providers are limited by funding source or mission. For example, a van transport may only be available for rides to medical appointments, but not the grocery store. Furthermore, the majority of places seniors live in the state are not walkable, so car or bus can be the only way to leave the house. Winter conditions such as snow berms and ice impede seniors from accessing bus routes that do exist.

Financial Security
Financial concerns for seniors range from paying monthly bills for food, utilities and rent to planning and paying for long-term care services. Most seniors live on a fixed income, so when utility prices increase, the amount of money that seniors have to spend on things like food and activities decreases. Long-term care services are difficult to plan because senior needs for support can be variable and unpredictable. Furthermore, the cost of assisted living is prohibitive for many Alaskan families.

Family Caregiver Supports + Quality of Care
Family caregivers need resources to help them identify the questions to ask when selecting service providers, the difference between certified and private providers and increased awareness of the Aging and Disability Resource Centers and other credible information and referral sources. While agencies
providing grant-funded or Medicaid-billable services are regulated by the State of Alaska, many private providers are not. Given the very limited workforce available in Alaska to provide for in-home care needs, often there are few choices and limited information on quality to guide seniors and their caregivers in selecting private-pay service providers. In 2019, Alaska received a federal grant to develop and pilot standardized screening, assessment and care plan for the caregivers of individuals experiencing dementia. The goal of this pilot project is to reduce caregiver burden and improve care for the recipient to extend the amount of time a caregiver is able to provide care at home.

**Increased Resources to Meet the Needs of a Growing Senior Population**

From 2010 to 2018 the state’s 60 and older population grew, on average, six percent each year resulting in just over 44,000 more seniors in the state in 2018 than eight years before. Continued growth in Alaska’s 60 and older population is expected until 2030, while the population 80 and older will continue to grow over the next thirty years. Planning for increased capacity and infrastructure across the continuum of care for seniors, including home and community-based services as well as long-term care, is especially significant for older seniors who typically require more support services. While the senior population is growing and will continue to grow, funding for services is flat.

**Positive and Meaningful Aging**

In the last century, average life expectancy increased by nearly 30 years in the United States. Many people can expect to live eight or nine decades. This is a great achievement and should be celebrated, however, this newly created stage of life also creates new challenges. As we move forward, the importance of attitudes towards aging should not be underestimated. A positive outlook can drastically affect health, resilience, and even the length of lives. There are many positive aspects about aging that have traditionally been celebrated including wisdom, self-confidence, and watching a family expand while providing guidance from past experiences. As lifespans gradually lengthens, and the population continues to age, seniors should enter this new era of life with excitement about the freedom it provides. Because social isolation and loneliness have such a negative impact on physical health, overall well-being and lifespan, finding something meaningful to do is important, and great effort and thought should be put behind creating meaningful opportunities for this new generation.

**Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRD)**

The population of Alaskans 65 and older with Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRD) is expected to almost double in the next decade to 23,900 in 2030 from 12,500 in 2018. However, the lack of facilities and funding for seniors with ADRD often results in seniors living in care settings that are not appropriate to meet their needs. The Alaska Roadmap to Address ADRD Roadmap identified goals related to improved access to appropriate housing, services and supports for individuals with ADRD and development of a workforce trained in dementia care. The ADRD Roadmap lays out an implementation plan to achieve these goals. There has been considerable progress since 2014. Some examples include (1) development/implementation of the new Community First Choice which provides cueing and supervision, important services for persons with dementia; (2) passage of SB 72 which provides lay caregiver education on post-discharge needs of hospitalized patients; (3) new pilot project focused on providing personalized training and supports for dementia caregivers to increase their ability to care for loved ones with dementia at home; (4) implementation of HomeMap to improve accessibility in the home for persons with physical disabilities and mental impairments.

---

Senior Behavioral Health
The ACoA advocates for behavioral health programs and services targeted to older Alaskans as part of its role as a beneficiary board of the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority, and directly to the Alaska Legislature. During the period covered by the previous state plan, ACoA helped to formulate and obtain funding for the SOAR (Senior, Outreach, Assessment, and Referral) program within the Division of Behavioral Health (DBH). This program is now defunded.

Other projects for which ACoA advocated along with other partners which were begun during this period included two evidence-based systems designed to screen for depression and substance abuse in the primary care setting, where many seniors are comfortable and engaged with trusted care providers. Both Improving Mood, Promoting Access to Collaborative Treatment (IMPACT), depression screening, and Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral, Treatment (SBIRT), substance abuse screening, are undergoing limited-scale trials in Alaska and there are plans to expand these programs into additional venues pending their demonstration to be effective.

Senior Fall Prevention
Accidental and usually preventable falls are the leading cause of non-fatal injuries for those age 65 and older and are the leading cause of fatal injury for those older than 75. In 2015, 36 older adults died from injuries caused by a fall and 1,600 were hospitalized.14 Falls are expensive, costing fall-related patients an average of $27,000 per hospitalization. The Alaska Senior Fall Prevention Coalition has taken a multi-faceted approach to fall prevention, including close collaboration with the Division of Public Health Chronic Disease and Prevention Program, Senior and Disabilities Services, the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium (ANTHC) and ACoA.

Through public awareness, seniors are encouraged to begin a program of regular exercise, discuss their medications with their health care provider, have their sight and hearing checked, and review their homes for hazards. Public awareness campaigns have been successful in the past, and future campaigns are in the planning stages. Events in the past have included Tai Chi: Moving for Better Balance and Stay Active and Independent for Life and other programs designed to help seniors stay healthy and balanced. Successful fall risk screening prevention clinics and train-the-trainers events for falls prevention exercise programs have also been offered. Exercise information and programs such as “A Matter of Balance” and “Alaska Workout to Go” are also available in pamphlet and video forms that help seniors increase their balance.

Senior Housing Continuum
A full housing continuum with appropriate supports is needed to help seniors age in place, remain securely housed and avoid homelessness. Services along the senior housing continuum include home modifications, senior housing, specialized supportive housing for people with dementia and other cognitive impairments, and coordination to assist with transitions between levels of service.

Maintaining the existing ratio of senior housing beds to senior citizens requires an estimated 4,450 new beds be built or converted by 2030, or approximately 318 per year; however, current services do not address current deficits in senior housing faced by regions throughout the state.15

---

15 AHFC Housing Needs Assessment 2018
Workforce for Providing Health Care and Supports
Alaska has a very limited pool of available workers to provide supportive services for seniors. In the larger communities, it is also very difficult to find primary care providers who will accept Medicare. There is also a very limited number of primary care providers who specialize in geriatrics. The Alaska Health Workforce Coalition 2017-2021 Action Agenda identified Direct Support Professionals/Direct Care Workers as the highest priority healthcare occupation; primary care providers are also one of the top priority occupations for Alaska.16 The direct caregiver workforce for home health services is an important issue across all aspects of the workforce including recruitment, retention, training, oversight and quality of care. Growth in the direct care workforce has not kept pace with the growth in the senior population. Oversight and quality of care are especially an issue for those paying privately as the quality controls from grant and Medicaid waiver funded services are not in place for private pay services. Agency direct care staff are sometimes not trained or certified for providing in-home care. The direct care workforce often does not have the appropriate training to work with people with ADRD.

One possible strategy to address the limited workforce is to use technology to support seniors in their homes and communities as much as possible and appropriate in order to optimize the available workforce for those tasks that must be provided in-person.

End of Life Care
Older people of advanced age require an array of end-of-life services including palliative and hospice care. End-of-life care can increase quality of life at the end of life for the person and their caregivers and is an unmet need across the state. Elders living in both rural and urban areas often want to spend their remaining days at home and in their own communities surrounded by family and friends where the people, food, and language are familiar. Currently, there is no provision for hospice care in the State-funded portion of the long-term care system. Hospice care is funded by Medicare, provided on a voluntary private pay basis, or partially covered by private insurance. Hospice provides the older person with the means to die a “good death” whereas palliative care is provided to relieve pain and discomfort at all stages of living. Patients, family members, and facilities are better served when they are helped to identify and articulate their personal spiritual and philosophical concerns and desires in the dying process.
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3. Vision, Guiding Principles, and Goals

The Advisory Committee for the FFY2020 – 2023 Alaska State Plan for Senior Services reviewed these plan components from the FY2016-2019 State Plan and adjusted them as needed to address the needs and opportunities over the coming four years.

Vision
The Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FY 2020-2023 builds on strong partnerships to provide high-quality, culturally-sensitive, accessible services for Alaskans 60 and above to live healthy, independent, meaningful lives in the place and manner of their choosing.

Guiding Principles
1. **Keep Seniors Strong, Healthy and Secure.** Seniors can access information, education, and resources to help them make healthy choices about nutrition, physical activity, community involvement, relationships and peer support to reduce their risk of chronic disease, mental illness and substance misuse, leading to healthy and productive lives. Seniors have access to financial planning tools and a safety net to ensure they can age with dignity and choice.

2. **Promote Independence, Empowerment, and Choice.** Older Alaskans are recognized as a valuable resource and a powerful economic and political force. Wherever possible, strengthen the voice and participation of seniors on issues affecting them.

3. **Target Services to the Most Vulnerable Seniors.** Service providers focus on outreach to seniors who are frail, low-income, members of minority communities, non-English-speakers, and those living in rural areas, to ensure that they are aware of and able to access services and supports.

4. **Highlight Community Contributions.** Above all, programs and services seek to acknowledge and support the abundant vital contributions of older Alaskans. Supporting seniors increases their capacity to contribute to the well-being of their families, communities, and all Alaskans.

5. **Offer a Full Continuum of Care and Housing.** Services are provided in each community or region to meet the needs of seniors at each stage of the continuum of care.

Goals
1. Promote healthy aging and provide access to comprehensive and integrated health care.
2. Ensure seniors are financially secure.
3. Protect vulnerable seniors from abuse, neglect, self-neglect, and exploitation.
4. Ensure seniors have access to quality, affordable, accessible, safe, and appropriate housing, including senior housing, across the continuum of care.
5. Promote opportunities for meaningful aging, intergenerational connectivity, and civic engagement.
6. Provide quality and affordable home and community-based long-term support services to provide seniors with the highest quality of life.
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4. Objectives, Strategies and Performance Measures

This chapter shares the objectives and strategies for the six goals of the State Plan for Senior Services FFY2020 – 2023. One to three performance measures are included within each goal.

Goal 1: Promote healthy aging and provide access to comprehensive and integrated health care.

Objectives + Strategies

A. Seniors have access to healthy food.
   • Strategy: Maintain the number of seniors receiving support through the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) as well as congregate, home delivered, and Title VI meals.
   • Strategy: Maintain or increase the number of seniors receiving home-delivered meals.
     o Lead: Division of Seniors and Disabilities Services (SDS), Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA), and AgeNet
   • Strategy: Standardize reporting for grantee recipients across a few key categories to better measure grant outcomes.
     o Lead: SDS
   • Strategy: Increase access to traditional Alaska Native foods, subsistence harvests and other culturally important foods in senior centers, assisted living homes, and skilled nursing facilities.
   • Measure: Quality of life improvement as a result of meals.
     o Lead: SDS
     o Baseline: Westat Third Party Contractor or Providers

B. Health promotion and disease prevention activities are available statewide.
   • Strategy: Increase the number of seniors participating in programs statewide.
     o Lead: SDS
   • Strategy: Percentage of patients age 18 to 85 in Alaska Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs) who had a diagnosis of hypertension and whose blood pressure was adequately controlled.
     o Lead: Division of Public Health/Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
   • Measure: Reduce the fall-related inpatient hospitalization rate for seniors age 55 and over.
     o Lead: Division of Public Health (DPH)/Health Analytics and Vital Records (HAVR)
     o Baseline: 898.7 per 100,000 population (2017, Health Facilities Data Reporting)

C. Increase access for seniors to integrated behavioral health and primary care.
   • Strategy: Provide opportunities for Senior Mental Health First Aid training for primary care providers, senior services providers, community members, and caregivers.
     o Lead: UAA Alaska Training Cooperative
   • Strategy: Add capacity among primary care physicians and behavioral health providers to serve seniors.
   • Strategy: Increase coordination between providers of Title III and Title VI funding.
   • Strategy: Promote use of Medicare code, 99483, for dementia care planning. Focus on primary care providers to include MD/DO, Nurse Practitioners, Clinical Nurse Specialists, and Physician Assistants. Target five percent of providers per year and increase number of providers knowledgeable about these codes.
     o Lead: Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska
   • Strategy: Increase number of physicians with geriatric specialty.
D. **Increase the number of seniors with behavioral health needs who report improvement in key life domains (Department of Health and Social Services, DHSS, Objective 1.2.4).**
   - **Strategy:** Increase mean number of days Alaska adults 65 and older report being mentally healthy over the past 30 days.
     - **Lead:** Division of Public Health/Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion
   - **Measure:** Suicide rate among Alaska adults age 65 and older.
     - **Lead:** Division of Public Health/Health Analytics and Vital Records
     - **Baseline:** 17.9 per 100,000 population (2013-2017)

E. **Seniors have access to affordable health care.**
   - **Strategy:** Enhance the infrastructure in the Medicare Information Office to help seniors understand and use their Medicare benefits.
     - **Lead:** Medicare Information Office
   - **Strategy:** Decrease cost of prescription drugs.
     - **Lead:** AARP, Medicare Information Office, Association of Mature American Citizens

F. **Ensure access to appropriate non-opioid pain relief, guidance to avoid opioid misuse and diversion of opioid medications.**
   - **Lead:** Division of Behavioral Health
Goal 2: Ensure seniors are financially secure.

Objectives + Strategies

A. Support and promote awareness of safety net programs that benefit seniors such as core senior grant-funded services, Senior Benefits, and Heating Assistance programs.
   - Strategy: Maintain funding level for safety net programs for seniors.
     o Lead: ACoA, AgeNET, AARP, SDS, ADRC programs
   - Strategy: Increase the number of seniors accessing the ADRC.
     o Lead: ADRC

B. Provide education so that seniors and caregivers understand financial and long-term care planning.
   - Strategy: Increase attendance at AARP financial security seminars.
     o Lead: AARP Alaska and Medicare Information Office
   - Strategy: Increase the percentage of Alaska age 65 and older registering for the waitlist for the Pioneer Homes at age 65.
     o Lead: Alaska Pioneer Homes

C. Provide training and opportunities for senior employment.
   - Measure: Number of Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training (MASST) program participants.
     o Lead: MASST, Department of Labor
     o Baseline: 200

Cross-Cutting Measure
   - Percent of adults over 65 who have incomes over 150% of federal poverty level
     o Baseline: 86.7% (2017 American Community Survey Five-Year Estimate, table S0102)
Goal 3: Protect vulnerable seniors from abuse, neglect, self-neglect, and exploitation.

Objectives + Strategies
A. Promote primary prevention of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation and reduce the rate of recidivism through education and awareness.
   • Strategy: Decrease Adult Protective Services (APS) recidivism rates as measured by the percentage of seniors who are the subject of two or more reports of harm.
     ▪ Lead: Adult Protective Services
   • Strategy: By 2020, the Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman (OLTCO) will train and maintain a volunteer ombudsman base of 30 volunteers who will be able to make an additional 300 annual visits to facilities over the next 5 years.
     ▪ Lead: OLTCO
   • Measure: Increase the number of OLTCO visits to long-term care facilities.
     ▪ Lead: OLTCO
     ▪ Baseline: 1,000 visits
   • Strategy: Maintain or expand the number of hours state public health nurses spend working with communities on elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation
     ▪ Lead: Division of Public Health/Section of Public Health Nursing
B. Promote awareness of elder justice issues.
   • Strategy: Standardize intake at APS.
     ▪ Lead: APS
   • Strategy: Maintain number of seniors who receive legal assistance.
     ▪ Lead: Alaska Legal Services
C. Coordinate with the Working Interdisciplinary Network of Guardianship Stakeholders (WINGS) to review Alaska’s guardianship and conservatorship systems to ensure they meet the needs of seniors.
   • Strategy: Revise Alaska’s probate statute.
     ▪ Lead: WINGS
   • Strategy: Continue the WINGS group.
     ▪ Lead: WINGS
   • Strategy: Translate the Alaska guardianship training video into at least three languages.
Goal 4: Ensure seniors have access to quality, affordable, accessible, safe, and appropriate housing, including senior housing, across the continuum of care.

Objectives + Strategies
A. Promote successful models for aging in place.
   • Strategy: Conduct a forum during the next state plan period to share successful models for aging in place. Present successful models for aging in place, naturally occurring retirement communities, house shares and trades, and specialized care settings. Invite seniors and caregivers to learn about how to age successfully and plan for long-term care needs.
     o Lead: ACoA, AHFC Senior Housing Office, AMHTA
B. Educate Alaskans about renovation loans, Home Modifications for Aging in Place (HomeMAP), SDS Environmental Modification (E-Mods) Home Accessibility Program, and universal design options to make accessibility modifications to their homes.
   • Strategy: Offer four events annually to diverse groups.
     o Lead: AHFC Senior Housing Office
   • Strategy: Increase number of seniors who receive HomeMAP services to assess their home for aging in place.
     o Lead: Statewide Independent Living Council
C. Advocate for continuing development of affordable and accessible housing statewide.
   • Strategy: Advocate to preserve funding for the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation Senior Citizen Housing Development Fund (AHFC SCHDF) at FY2019 levels.
     o Lead: Alaska Association of Housing Authorities, ACoA, AgeNET
   • Strategy: Increase the number of seniors accessing HUD 202, SCHDF, General Relief and other safety net programs.
     o Lead: AHFC Senior Housing Office, Division of Behavioral Health, Division of Senior and Disabilities Services
   • Strategy: Increase number of assisted living home operators accessing business planning and financing assistance to expand services.
     o Lead: AHFC Senior Housing Office
   • Measure: Percent of seniors 60+ living in rental housing with costs that are less than 30% of median household income, which is considered affordable.
     o Baseline: 56.5% (2017 American Community Survey Five-Year Estimate, table S0102)
D. Educate the real estate industry and public members about the value of universal design for both new construction and renovation housing stock.
   • Strategy: Increase number of public awareness events.
     o Lead: AHFC Senior Housing Office, Statewide Independent Living Council of Alaska, ACoA
E. Address and reduce homelessness among seniors.
   • Strategy: Work with local and statewide Alaska Coalition on Housing and Homeless Coalitions to address senior homelessness and increase affordable housing stock.
     o Lead: Alaska Coalition on Housing and Homeless Coalition
     o Measure: 2,062 adults age 55+ received homeless assistance in 2018
Goal 5: Promote opportunities for meaningful aging, intergenerational connectivity, and civic engagement.

Objectives + Strategies

A. Promote opportunities for lifelong education.
   • Strategy: Track and maintain the number of continuing education program for seniors and participation.
     o Lead: ACoA

B. Increase intergenerational interaction to promote understanding and support.
   • Strategy: Increase participation in senior center and senior volunteer activities through Retired and Senior Volunteer Program (RSVP), Foster Grandparent, Senior Companions, and Rural Alaska Community Action Program (RurAL CAP) Elder Mentor Program
     o Lead: Rural Elder Mentor Program and Serve Alaska (Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development)

C. Seniors have opportunities for meaningful civic engagement.
   • Strategy: Promote awareness about the value of the older worker to businesses and civic groups through media activities and community presentations.
     o Lead: Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training program (MASST), ACoA
   • Strategy: Encourage seniors to actively engage in both local and statewide policy discussions and decision-making.
     o Lead: AARP, ACoA, Association of Mature American Citizens (AMAC)

D. Promote voter registration.
   • Measure: Increase percentage of seniors age 65 and older registered to vote in Alaska.
     o Lead: OLTCO, AARP
     o Baseline: 73% of people age 60+ voted in the 2016 Alaska General Election (Division of Elections)

E. Promote participation in the Decennial Census + American Community Surveys.
   o Lead: AARP, ACoA
Goal 6: Provide person-centered, quality, and affordable home and community-based long-term support services that provide seniors with the highest quality of life.

Objectives + Strategies
A. Services are targeted to those seniors who are vulnerable and at risk for long-term care placement.
   • Strategy: Increase or maintain the percent of individuals receiving services for seniors with two or more Activities of Daily Living, and/or Alzheimer’s disease and related dementia, or frail using age 80+ as a proxy.
     o Lead: Senior and Disabilities Services
B. Develop a direct service workforce to meet the in-home services needs of the increasing senior population, especially in rural Alaska.
   • Strategy: Increase the number of Senior and Long-Term Care Service agencies accessing Alaskan Core Competencies training for their staff by 10% annually.
     o Lead: Alaska Training Cooperative
C. Senior services are accessible and culturally appropriate.
   • Strategy: Increase capacity for sustainable senior service providers.
     o Lead: Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium (ANTHC), SDS, AgeNET
   • Strategy: Increase utilization of telehealth and other forms of technology for purposes of increasing access to quality services in rural areas.
     o Lead: ANTHC, SDS
   • Strategy: Increase coordination between Title III and Title VI programs to maximize resources and services available to target under-served populations and enhance partnerships.
     o Lead: UAA National Resource Center for American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian Elders (NRC ANE)
D. Family and other informal caregivers have training and resources to provide quality care.
   • Strategy: Maintain or increase, if possible, the number of individuals participating in National Family Caregiver Support Program.
     o Lead: ACoA, Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska, AARP, SDS
   • Strategy: Track performance of the pilot Dementia Caregiver Grant
     o Lead: SDS
   • Strategy: Maintain in-home respite and adult day services to meet family and other informal caregiver needs for appropriate breaks in providing care (Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementia (ADRD) Roadmap Strategy 5.1.2).
     o Lead: ACoA, AgeNET
   • Strategy: Strengthen supports for family caregiver programs, measured by advocacy efforts, including a campaign that focuses on the needs of family caregivers and explore possible legislation.
     o Lead: AARP, ACoA, SDS
   • Measure: Increase training to caregivers about ADRD, resources available and approaches and strategies for providing care and reducing stress and fatigue (ADRD Roadmap Strategy 5.1.1).
     o Lead: Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska
     o Baseline: Number of caregivers participating in ARA trainings in 2018 (ARA)
E. Streamline access to senior services by strengthening the Aging and Disability Resource Centers (ADRCs), case management, and the senior center network.
   • Strategy: Increase number of seniors served with Medicare counseling.
     o Lead: SDS
• Strategy: Identify those villages lacking access to services by developing a list of senior centers as well as case managers by community who serve older Alaskans.
  o Lead: SDS and AgeNET
• Strategy: Increase number of seniors using senior centers for information.
  o Lead: SDS
• Measure: Number of seniors using ADRCs.
  o Lead: SDS
  o Baseline: 10,764 (FY2018)

F. Improve services for seniors with complex care needs such as ADRD and behavioral health.
• Strategy: Educate Alaskans about prevention, diagnosis, treatment, costs and appropriate care for people with ADRD through all possible media, in-person presentations, and policy advocacy (ADRD Roadmap Strategy 1.1.1)
  o Lead: Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska, AMHTA, ACoA, Alaska Training Cooperative
• Strategy: Identify opportunities to improve education for Alaskans affected by ADRD.
  o Lead: Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska, AMHTA, ACoA, Alaska Training Cooperative
• Strategy: Educate Alaskans about diagnosis, treatment, costs and care for individuals living with dementia through public outreach, policy advocacy and media campaigns.
  o Lead: Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska, AMHTA, ACoA, Alaska Training Cooperative
• Strategy: Increase opportunities to educate and train providers regarding best care practices to support Alaskan seniors experiencing ADRD, particularly those seniors also living with developmental disabilities or behavioral health needs.
  o Lead: Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska, AMHTA, ACoA, Alaska Training Cooperative
• Strategy: Increase the availability of less restrictive alternatives to acute care settings for seniors with complex behaviors and corresponding care needs.
  o Lead: Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska, AMHTA, ACoA, Alaska Training Cooperative

G. Work with the legislature to develop and implement regulations for quality standards for long term services and supports, including residential and in-home settings, so that caregiver skills are appropriate to the population they serve.
• Strategy: Complete review of draft Assisted Living Home regulations to ensure they align with SDS levels of care and the Roadmap’s recommendations.
  o Lead: Division of Health Care Services Residential Licensing, OLTCO, AMHTA
• Strategy: Complete the regulation approval process for the draft Assisted living Home regulations.
  o Lead: DHSS Residential Licensing, OLTCO, AMHTA
• Strategy: Complete a policy or position paper related to the regulation of in-home services outside of Medicaid waiver certified providers.

H. Advocate for increased access to affordable transportation options.
• Strategy: Increase or at least maintain the number of seniors accessing assisted transportation.
  o Lead: AgeNET and ACoA
5. Outcomes and Performance Measures Related to Focus Areas

This section describes how the outcomes and measures identified in the previous chapter relate to the four focus areas identified by the Administration on Aging (AoA) State Plan Guidance document, which include:

A. **Older Americans Act (OAA) Core Programs.** OAA programs are encompassed in Titles III (Supportive Services, Nutrition, Disease Prevention/Health Promotion and Caregiver Programs), V (senior vocational training), VI (Native American Programs and Elder Services), and VII (Elder Rights Programs), and serve as the foundation of the national aging services network.

B. **Administration on Community Living (ACL) Discretionary Grants.** Currently, Alaska does not receive Administration on Community Living (ACL) Discretionary funding.

C. **Participant-Directed/Person-Centered Planning.** Participant-Directed/Person-Centered Planning includes policies that support consumer control and choice in senior programs and services.

D. **Elder Justice.** Planned Elder Justice activities include preventing, detecting, assessing, intervening, and/or investigating elder abuse, neglect, and financial exploitation.

Appendix G includes additional descriptions of programs relevant to each focus area.

A. **Older Americans Act (OAA) Core Programs**

This section shares the goals and objectives associated with the OAA Core Programs in Alaska, specifically many of those under Title III and managed by SDS that serve as a foundation for the national aging services network.

**Coordinate Titles III and VI Native American Programs**

Title III grantees are directed to develop partnerships with Title VI grantees in their communities, and to submit a memorandum of agreement to ensure coordination of services to Alaska Native elders. Coordination of Title III and Title VI services is required to ensure equitable access to services for all seniors and elders, reduce duplication of services, develop services to address unmet needs, expand resources, and share information with Alaska Native elders about the available services, benefits, and resources.

Title VI grantees (there are 44 of them in Alaska) are also encouraged to collaborate with Title III grantees to maximize services available for their elders. In twelve cases (see below), the same organization is the Title VI and the Title III grantee in an area. In a number of other communities, coordination, collaboration, and cooperation between the agencies responsible for these separately-funded services is well underway.

For example, Senior Citizens of Kodiak, Inc. (SCOK) and Kodiak Area Native Association (KANA) have been collaborating for more than 15 years to assure elders in the six Kodiak Island villages have at least three meals a week provided while they continue to live in their communities. Senior Citizens of Kodiak gives Title III funds to KANA to supplement that agency’s Title VI funds. With these supplemental Title III funds, congregate and home delivered meals are available to elders in the villages all year long. Previous to this collaboration, KANA’s Title VI funds were not sufficient for meals to be provided all year long. Senior Citizens of Kodiak Family Caregiver Support funds are also used to supplement KANA’s Title
VI Family Caregiver program in providing training in Kodiak twice a year for caregivers in the villages. By combining Title III and Title VI funds, more efficient and consistent programs are being delivered to the six villages on Kodiak Island.

In Southeast Alaska, Southeast Senior Services (SESS), a Title III grantee, approached the area’s tribal organizations years ago to help protect the current level of services in various communities, in anticipation of a funding shift of Title III monies to other areas of the state. Southeast Senior Services now partners with ten local tribes. Southeast Senior Services conducts a needs assessment for each tribe, assists with the Title VI grant application, provides the services, and handles the necessary reporting. As it does each tribe’s needs assessment, SESS revisits with each tribe asking how it would like its Title VI Part A (nutrition and supportive services) and Part C (family caregiver support) monies used.

During the period of coverage of this state plan (FFY 2020 through FFY 2023), the State of Alaska agrees to continue to increase coordination, collaboration, cooperation, and partnerships between Title III and Title VI programs for older Alaskans. Title III grantees are encouraged to develop partnerships with Title VI grantees in their communities, and to submit a memorandum of agreement to ensure coordination of services to Native elders. Coordination of Title III and Title VI services is required in order to reduce duplication of services, develop services to address unmet needs, expand resources, and share information with Native elders about additional services, benefits, and resources available to them.

The State of Alaska facilitates planning and partnerships between Title III and Title VI grantees through the Rural Long-Term Services and Support Coordinator. Regional elder needs assessments are required to examine all resources including Title VI and Title III, and to include recommendations for increased collaboration where needed. The State of Alaska acknowledges that coordination is also a requirement for Title VI grantees and will initiate increased partnerships and collaboration between Title III and Title VI grantees.

**Strengthen + Expand Title III and Title VII Programs**

The State of Alaska uses the State’s general fund to match home and community-based services funding by the OAA Title III and Title VII programs. The Nutrition, Transportation, and Support Services (NTS) program provides funding for meals, rides, information and assistance, and other OAA core supports. NTS grants are matched with local funds and provide essential base funding for senior services throughout the state. Nutrition, Transportation, and Support core services help older Alaskans sustain their physical, cognitive, social health, and independence.

The National Family Caregiver Support grant program (NFCSP) provides relief from the emotional, physical, and financial stress experienced by family caregivers. This program provides information about available resources, assistance in gaining access to support services, counseling, training and support groups, respite care, and supplemental services.

The Health Promotion and Disease Prevention (HPDP) for Older Alaskans grant program provides limited funding for health screening and health risk assessments, health education, physical fitness, medication education, senior fall prevention and other activities. The HPDP Grant Program provides grant funds to local provider agencies for evidence-based interventions to improve the health and wellness of seniors. HPDP grants are funded through a combination of Title III-D and state funds.

The State of Alaska also funds the Adult Protective Services and General Relief programs, which provide a safety net for Alaska’s most vulnerable individuals age 18 and over.
In addition to OAA funded services for seniors, the State of Alaska funds an array of home and community-based services to create a full continuum of care including:

- **Senior In-Home Services Grant Program.** Senior In-Home Services provides funding for the following services: Case Management, Chore, Respite, and Extended Respite.
- **Adult Day Service (ADS).** ADS is an organized program of services offered during the day in a center-based group setting providing supervision and a secure environment for individuals who experience Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRD), as well as those with physical, emotional, and/or cognitive impairments who are not safe staying alone while their caregivers are away.
- **Alzheimer’s Disease & Related Dementia (ADRD) Education and Support.** ADRD Education and Support provides funding to Alzheimer’s Resource of Alaska to provide statewide information and education to providers, caregivers, and individuals about the signs, symptoms, causes, diagnosis, and effects of ADRD on an individual and their family.
- **ADRD Mini-Grants.** The Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority provides mini-grants up to $2,500 to individuals who experience ADRD.
- **Senior Residential Services grant program.** SDS oversees three grants to rural/remote providers for supported residential living services to frail elders who do not have access to the Pioneer Homes or other assisted living facilities in their community or region.
- **General Relief Assistance (GRA).** General Relief Assistance provides for the most basic needs of many Alaskans without the personal resources to meet an emergent need and are ineligible for assistance from other programs.

Alaska’s regular Medicaid and 1915c waiver programs provide home and community-based services for income eligible individuals. The **Alaskans Living Independently** (ALI) waiver serves eligible disabled adults age 21 and older - who meet income eligibility and nursing facility level of care and funds assisted living, adult day services, meals, transportation and care coordination. Medicaid funded **Personal Care Assistance** provides support for seniors and persons with disabilities related to an individual’s activities of daily living (bathing, eating, dressing, and other activities) as well as instrumental activities of daily living (such as shopping, laundry, and light housework).

The **Medicare Information Office** provides a toll-free number that anyone may call 24/7 for information on any aspect of Medicare. This office houses the **Alaska SMP (Senior Medicare Patrol)**, a program that emphasizes identification and prevention of Medicare fraud, waste, and abuse, and the **Alaska State Health Insurance Program (SHIP)**, a national program that offers one-on-one counseling and assistance to people with Medicare and their families. Alaska also offers a **Nursing Facility Transition Program** which helps families by offering support and funds to enable seniors and disabled citizens to return to independent or family living after a stay in a nursing facility.

The Division of Public Health’s Section of **Emergency Programs** works with urban, rural, and tribal communities on emergency planning for vulnerable populations. Planning for the elderly population of Alaska is also being managed by the regional emergency preparedness nurses from the Section of Public Health Nursing.

**The Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP) Title V** is administered by Department of Labor and Workforce Development (DOLWD) and serves unemployed, low-income persons who are at least 55 years of age, have two or more barriers to employment, and have a family income of no more
than 125 percent of the federal poverty level. The program assists seniors in developing skills and experience to facilitate their transition to unsubsidized employment.

The SCSEP is known in Alaska as Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training (MASST) and is a component of the Division of Employment and Training Services. SCSEP is a required One-Stop partner under the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA) and, as such, is part of the Alaska Job Center (AJC) Network. The state intends that AJCs will provide services both to older individuals who are not eligible for the SCSEP and to those who are eligible but need the career services that the SCSEP is unable to provide.

The SCSEP staff work directly with mandated partners to co-enroll participants in state training and employment programs and coordinates with other social service programs to provide supplemental assistance. This ensures that SCSEP is an integrated, effective, job-driven workforce program. DOLWD continues to solidify its commitments to promote public/private partnerships to refine strategies and increase the responsiveness of SCSEP by providing oversight and technical assistance activities to improve program performance.

The SCSEP service delivery is integrated into both the state’s workforce investment system as well as the senior service system. Skilled AJC and project operator staff provide quality services to older workers, and employers have reported high satisfaction levels with SCSEP participants who have entered unsubsidized employment with them. Alaska’s strategy is to continue to reach out to workforce development partners, the business community, and the senior service partners to ensure successful outcomes for older workers and Alaskan businesses.

The SCSEP has strong working relationship between the Older Americans Act and Workforce Innovation and Opportunities Act programs regarding the long-term projections for employment opportunities for older workers, as Alaska’s senior population continues to grow along with the needs of employers for older workers in health care and social services. Both the Alaska Workforce Investment Board (AWIB) and the Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA) have developed strategies to keep pace with workforce needs through planning, advocacy, public awareness efforts, and collaboration with other organizations focused on the well-being of older Alaskans.

Increase the Business Acumen of Aging Network Partners
The Alaska Housing Finance Corporation offers the Assistance Provider Loan Program to provide an incentive to increase the availability of housing that is occupied by a live-in care caregiver who provides assistance in activities of daily living for individuals with either a physical or mental disability. The home can provide services for seniors, persons with mental health needs, or for foster children with special needs. The property should be modest in nature, appropriately sized for the number of residents and provide the necessary accessibility, equipment and therapy features. This program is available to individuals, partnerships, joint ventures, nonprofits, trusts or regional housing authorities and can be used to secure and/or renovate single-family residences, duplexes, triplexes or four-plexes. The housing must be licensed by the appropriate authority based on its intended use and at least two residents must reside in the home with a maximum of five residents. AHFC provides some assistance with business planning to assist applicants through the lending process.

SDS provides a detailed manual and regular training to care coordinators around Alaska to ensure quality services to seniors and their families. Care coordinators are part of the Alaska Care Coordination
Network and to provide and receive mentorship from others. In addition, AARP, AgeNet, the Trust Training Cooperative, Department of Labor and the Foraker Group also provide business training.

**Integration of Health Care and Social Services Systems**
The integration of health care and social services systems is evidenced in the strategic objectives and measures in the following goals of this plan: Goal 1 - Promote healthy aging and provide access to comprehensive and integrated health care; and Goal 6 that includes two relevant objectives.

**B. ACL Discretionary Grants**
Alaska does not receive ACL Discretionary Grants, however, there is an Aging and Disability Resource Center Program (ADRC) administered by SDS. Goal 6, Objective F is also relevant. See Appendix G for additional detail about the ADRC system.

**C. Participant-Directed/Person-Centered Planning**
A focus of this state plan is to make fundamental changes in the state policies and programs to support consumer control and choice. The Older Americans Act programs and services funded by Titles III, VI, and VII emphasize choice to the greatest degree possible. The Person-Centered Planning philosophy is integrated into ALL programs administered by the Division of Senior and Disabilities Services (SDS). SDS Training Unit offers Person Centered Planning training to all home and community-based service providers that has been an expectation for care coordinators since 2008. Components of Person Centered Planning include: Addressing people using person-first language, helping people understand their care plans (using plain language), listening to the person define their goal for services, listening to how the person wants services to be delivered, thinking of the person as having a full life and resources of their own (including family, interests, personal history, culture, and other personal assets) looking for strengths as well as needs and designing plans around them, and informing participants about their rights.

Additionally, the objectives related to ensuring seniors are financially secure (Goal 2) support seniors to understand and plan for the financial realities of aging.

**D. Elder Justice**
Alaska has many programs and services that prevent, detect, assess, intervene, and/or investigate elder abuse, neglect, and financial exploitation. This plan includes efforts to support and enhance multi-disciplinary responses to elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation that involve the Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman (OLTCO), Adult Protective Services (APS), Legal Assistance Developer, as well as law enforcement, health care professionals, financial institutions, and other essential partners across the state. The measurable objectives relevant to this focus areas are considered under Goal 3: Protect vulnerable seniors from abuse, neglect, self-neglect, and exploitation. The following descriptions share relevant planning and activities. Additional detail is provided in Appendix G.

**Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman (OLTCO)**
The OLTCO is authorized by federal and state law to resolve complaints made by, or on behalf of, Alaskans age 60 and over that live in assisted living homes or skilled nursing facilities. Alaska Statute 47.62 also authorizes the Long-Term Care Ombudsman to aid seniors having difficulty with issues impacting their residential circumstances, such as unfair billing practices by utilities, unlawful evictions, neglectful guardians, or poor public housing management. The OLTCO also provides visits to facilities statewide so that seniors have regular and timely access to ombudsman services.
The OLTCO works closely with Adult Protective Services (APS) and the licensing and certification agencies for nursing facilities and assisted living homes to prevent abuse and neglect of seniors living in long term care facilities. The OLTCO collaborates with the aging community to resolve systems issues at the state level, including the ACoA, the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority, SDS, Elder Fraud Unit, and AARP. Issues are addressed through projects such as Alaska’s Roadmap to Address Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementia, legislation to increase protection for vulnerable adults, disaster preparation for seniors, and the creation of a “silver alert” system to locate missing vulnerable adults.

**Adult Protective Services (APS)**

Within the SDS, APS responds to reports of harm to vulnerable adults. Vulnerable adults are those age 18 or older with a physical or mental impairment or condition that prevents them from protecting themselves or seeking help from someone else. Allegations may involve abuse, neglect, self-neglect, or exploitation. Alaska law requires that protective services not interfere with elderly or disabled individuals who are capable of caring for themselves.

APS conducts intake and screening for all Critical Incident Reports to SDS. Approximately 40 percent of Critical Incident Reports become APS reports of harm. APS is developing a database that will provide the ability to track and trend incidents of abuse across the state. Data tracking will inform abuse prevention and public education to prevent adult abuse and neglect.

Senior and Disabilities Services will apply for the ACL Adult Protective Services Enhancement Grant in June 2019. The proposed project will strengthen APS by implementing research based tools used by the National Council on Crime and Delinquency to provide consistent and accurate data that will inform decision-making made by program managers. Further, this project will assist APS to improve the assessment of vulnerable adults in Alaska, identify their needs, promote safety, and enhance the delivery of appropriate services to victims made possible by using research-based assessment instruments.

**Legal Assistance Developer (LAD)**

The Legal Assistance Developer (LAD) provides leadership in developing legal assistance programs for persons 60 years of age and older. The LAD plays a key role in assisting the state to develop and implement a strong elder rights system. The LAD provides oversight of the Older Americans Act (OAA) Title III B legal assistance programs and assures that at-risk older adults have access to the civil justice system. The LAD provides legal assistance support to vulnerable older adults so that they may live independently and safely in the community. The LAD also promotes education about the signs of abuse and what to do if abuse is suspected to prevent future abuse against older adults.

The Legal Assistance Developer for the State of Alaska is currently housed at SDS and provides oversight of the OAA Chapter 4 Section 731 legal assistance program through close collaboration with Alaska Legal Services and the Alaska Commission on Aging. The Legal Assistance Developer collaborates with the Administration on Aging’s (AoA) “Model Approach to Statewide Legal Delivery Systems” grantee, Alaska Legal Services, in the development of recommendations to ensure the provision of a strong elder rights system.

Legal assistance for seniors is provided statewide by Alaska Legal Services and assures that seniors, especially those at greatest social and economic risk, have access to the civil justice system. Access to legal information, advice and assistance helps older Alaskans preserve financial and personal
independence, maintain control of their financial and health care decisions, maintain appropriate family relationships, and protect personal assets, clan property, and well-being.
6. Quality Management + Implementation

Quality Assurance
The Division of Senior and Disabilities Services (SDS) Quality Assurance Unit works to ensure the health and welfare of recipients through the monitoring and oversight of services to participants and their families. The Quality Assurance Unit strives to provide technical assistance as needed, deliver excellent customer service, and to collaborate with stakeholders and other DHSS agencies to meet the mission of promoting health, well-being and safety for individuals by facilitating access to quality services.

The Quality Assurance Unit is responsible for the following activities:

- Case Record Review of Medicaid Waiver Participants
- Critical Incident Report Review, Investigations, Remediation and Reporting
- Mortality Review, Investigations, and Reporting
- Investigation of participant related complaints and noncompliance
- Critical Incident Report (CIR) Investigation as related to system compliance
- Quality Monitoring Reporting for system improvement activities

All providers receiving Title III funding are required to conduct customer satisfaction surveys annually as part of their quality monitoring. A performance target of 80 percent of consumers being satisfied with services must be maintained. In addition to quarterly financial oversight and reporting requirements, all providers receive on-site reviews at least once per three-year grant cycle by SDS program managers. The performance measures in this plan track outcomes that indicate progress towards the six goals. Data related to the utilization of funding described in this plan is updated annually as part of the Alaska Commission on Aging’s Annual Report.

Implementation
The State Plan for Senior Services Advisory Committee 2020-2023 (listed in Appendix F) aims to convene two implementation meetings during the 2020-2023 planning period. The purpose of this meeting will be to update the performance measures, review progress towards the goals and adjust any objectives or strategies. The Advisory Committee will also revisit the funding formula during one of these implementation meetings. In 2021, the Commission on Aging will develop a funding formula sub-committee including representatives from each of the nine DHSS grant regions.
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Appendix A. Needs Assessment Activities and Findings
Executive Summary

The needs assessment appendix includes findings from three efforts: the Elder-Senior Listening Sessions, the 2018 Survey of Alaska Seniors, and the 2018 Senior-Provider Survey. In addition to these targeted needs assessment efforts, the ACoA as an organization is constantly refining its own understanding of the issues affecting older Alaskans. As an advocate for seniors to policymakers, the Commission is aware of the most critical areas of need among Alaskan seniors. The ACoA itself meets four times per year, with three of those meetings held by teleconference/videoconference, including a “rural outreach visit” to a remote area typically held every other year. Commission members are in agreement that the rural outreach visits provide an invaluable glimpse into rural lifestyles and needs. The Commission coordinates all of its efforts with those of other senior-focused agencies both within and outside of state government. Many of these agencies were represented on the state plan advisory committee, which developed the main planning document. See Appendix F for the list of advisory committee participants.

Needs Assessment Timeline
The following graphic is a timeline of the needs assessment activities that serve as background and supporting information to the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY 2020-2023.

**Needs Assessment (Feb 2018 – December 2018)**
7 (152 participants) Elder-Senior Listening Sessions; 3,130 responses to senior survey; 126 responses to provider survey

**Data Collection + Analysis (April 2019)**
Demographic and health data, program utilization, past plan performance

**Plan Development (April – May 2019)**
Review prior year planning, Advisory Council Meetings + Input, Draft + Revise Plan

**Elder-Senior Listening Sessions**
The Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA) held seven elder-senior listening sessions between February 2017 and November 2018, with sessions taking place in Wrangell, the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, Anchorage, the Fairbanks North Star Borough, Nome, Shishmaref, and a targeted session for people aging with intellectual and developmental disabilities. The purpose of these listening sessions was to gather first-hand public input on elder-senior issues and to identify “what is working” and “what is not working” in Alaska’s communities. The listening sessions ranged in attendance from 6 to 34 seniors and
other public members resulting in a total of 152 participants. The listening sessions were formatted as facilitated discussions around a set of targeted questions.

*Key Finding* – Elders across Alaska are concerned about access to healthcare, housing and assisted living/coordinated care, however they have a strong desire to stay in their community of choice and more broadly Alaska.

**2018 Survey of Alaska Seniors**
The ACoA’s survey of Alaskans age 55 years and older received 3,130 responses, providing insight on topics ranging from health care to housing, from finances to senior services. Many responders also included open-ended comments on issues of concern to them, sharing their insights and ideas for solutions.

*Key Finding* – Access to healthcare and financial security are the greatest concerns for respondents of the 2018 Senior Survey. For others in the community, respondents identified access to health care and affordable/accessible housing.

**2018 Provider Survey**
The ACoA also surveyed service providers about their perceptions of senior needs now and within the next five years, hearing from 126 providers in Alaska.

*Key Finding* – Senior service providers identified financial need as the top issue facing seniors and their families followed by ensuring access to assisted living and long-term care facilities than respondents of the Senior Survey. Providers recognized transportation, independent senior housing, and dementia care services as broader concerns facing Alaska’s senior population.

**Highlights**
This needs assessment process produced a substantial amount of useful qualitative and quantitative data. In March 2019, this information was compiled and analyzed, with findings presented to the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services Advisory Committee during the kick-off meeting in April 2019. This document provides a detailed report of results and findings of the needs assessment process. In summary, the elder-senior listening sessions, the senior survey and the provider survey highlight the following issues and inform the six goals that frame the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY2020-2023.

**Health Care**
Seniors in Alaska are concerned about access to health care in their communities. This concern was heard in many of the listening sessions and was ranked as the most important concern of seniors in the senior survey. Seniors cited access to healthcare services (primary, dementia care and behavioral health) as important barriers that need to be addressed as the number of seniors who need care continues to grow.

**Financial Concerns**
Throughout the needs assessment process, a number of concerns about financial security were raised. Elder-listening session participants discussed everything from value of living a subsistence lifestyle to worries about the cost of living. The senior survey showed financial security as the second most important concern of seniors in Alaska. The provider survey identified financial need (not enough food, money to cover energy costs, etc.) as the most important issue facing older adults today.
Elder Justice
The issue of elder abuse and neglect is an important priority for Alaska. Twenty percent of respondents to the senior survey indicated they knew someone or have personally experienced some form of elder abuse or exploitation. Sixty percent of these respondents indicated that they did not report the abuse. Elder abuse and exploitation can occur in many ways, with the most common instances being financial exploitation, emotional abuse, and neglect (according to the Senior Survey). The elder-senior listening session targeted to persons with intellectual/developmental disabilities highlighted that senior/elder abuse was more common for individuals with intellectual or developmental disabilities. Providers indicated that protecting vulnerable seniors from abuse was the goal area from the past plan that they were least likely to change, indicating a broad support for initiatives and supports to elders experiencing or are likely to experience abuse in any form.

Senior Housing
During the elder-senior listening sessions, nearly all communities listed availability of affordable senior housing as an issue in need of improvement. The provider survey ranked independent senior housing as the second most important service area that is insufficient throughout Alaska. The provider survey also identified assisted living for mentally ill seniors that can be compounded by dementia and independent living senior housing as the second and fourth most important issues facing seniors and their families today.

Sense of Community
During the elder-senior listening sessions, the importance of a strong sense of community was raised in several sessions. Senior Centers are utilized significantly, with 42 percent of the senior survey responses stating they visited their centers at least once a month. Senior Centers are also used as a source of information about programs and services and serve as hubs for social engagement and 50 percent of senior survey respondents indicated that senior center programs and activities were very important to seniors in their community.

Home and Community Based Long Term Support Services
“Services for seniors that promote aging in place” was a recurring theme heard through the elder-senior listening sessions. According to the senior survey, the top five agency supportive services used by respondents included senior meals served at a senior center, senior exercise, senior center recreation activities, transportation and homemaker/chore services. These services all help individuals age in place for longer periods of time. The top three concerns for senior survey respondents who wish to age in place were declining health, access to healthy foods and limited income to afford necessities.
Elder-Senior Listening Sessions

Elder-Senior Listening Sessions
The ACoA held seven elder-senior listening sessions statewide, with meetings in Wrangell (February 2017), Anchorage (April 2018), the Matanuska-Susitna Borough (May 2018), the Fairbanks North Star Borough (June 2018), Nome (September 2018), Shishmaref (September 2018), and a Statewide session for people aging with intellectual and developmental disabilities (November 2018, held in Anchorage). One hundred and fifty-two seniors provided their input across the seven listening sessions. The listening sessions focused on aging in place and covered such topics as access to health care, community-based long-term support services, safety and protection, housing, financial security, and healthy aging. The information gathered from the listening sessions is qualitative and complements the quantitative data collected from the senior and provider surveys.

The meeting agendas included questions regarding demographics, an array of services provided for seniors, housing, elder safety, and health background information followed by facilitated discussions on local priorities and challenges. During all listening sessions, participants were led through a prioritization process to identify what is working well and what needs improvement.

Recurring Themes: What’s Working Well
There were several reoccurring themes across all listening sessions. Seniors value community and recreation activities, which appear to be occurring across the state. Senior centers are named in most communities as working well. Certain senior services such as community-based services and others along the continuum were mentioned in most sessions. Transportation services in several communities appear to be working well, as well as access to health care in communities outside of the Railbelt. (Please see the ACoA FY2018 Annual Report for additional detail on the results of the Senior-Elder Listening Sessions by community.)

Recurring Themes: What Needs Improvement?
Participants in each of the elder-listening sessions were asked to share what areas of improvement existed in their communities. Seniors in the listening sessions identified the following items as core areas for improvement: Limited and accessible medical care/mental health care; affordable and accessible housing opportunities to age-in-place, including independent senior housing and assisted living facilities; transportation services including para-transit; and in a few communities the need to address isolation and a lack of age-appropriate activities. (Please see the ACoA FY2018 Annual Report for additional detail about the results from the Senior-Elder Listening Sessions by community.)

Summary
The elder-senior listening sessions provide both insight on local issues while validating themes that are important to seniors statewide. Through these facilitated discussions the values held by Alaska’s seniors are represented by common threads. Access to health care is important. Alaska’s seniors value a strong sense of community, and senior centers provide a community focal point that promotes comradery. Senior centers connect older adults with services that help them stay healthy and independent. Seniors are concerned about financial matters, availability of housing and the continuum of services available in their communities. These topics represent the overall importance of a continuum of senior services in Alaska.
Senior Survey

The Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA) administered the 2018 Senior Survey as part of an effort to gather information on senior needs and update the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY2020-2023.

Methods

The 52-question senior survey was conducted from May 1 through July 13, 2018 by paper and on-line formats. The paper survey was distributed through the Senior Voice, a statewide monthly newsletter, as well as through bulk mailings sent to senior provider organizations, tribal providers, and the Pioneer Homes for distribution to seniors. Self-addressed stamped envelopes were included to increase response rates. The online survey was posted on the ACoA’s website. In total, there were 3,130 responses from Alaskans age 55 and older from almost all areas of the state. Half (1,533) were taken online via Survey Monkey, and 1,597 were completed via paper copies, which were later scanned and recorded via the Remark survey scan tool. Survey respondents provided information about their demographic/socio-economic status, access to primary health care, financial security, housing, use of and satisfaction with local community-based services, family caregiving, and other data. Some of the questions are ranked based on their rating average. Rating scale questions calculate a weighted average based on the weight assigned to each answer choice, so the most preferred overall answers can be determined. The answer choice with the smallest rating average is the most preferred choice.

This was a voluntary survey and responders were not randomly chosen, therefore their response percentages cannot be said to reflect the situations of all Alaska seniors as precisely as a random sample would. However, the large volume of responses lends credibility to the survey’s portrait of Alaska seniors. Additionally, distributing the survey via providers, the Senior Voice and the internet probably allowed for a more comprehensive picture of Alaska’s seniors than would otherwise be collected by a simple randomized telephone survey.

Respondents Demographics

Of the 3,130 survey respondents, the distribution of age tracked close to the state’s overall senior population. The respondents fell into each of the following age ranges: 55-59 (9.1 percent), 60-65, (19.1 percent) 66-74 (35.4 percent), 75-84 (25.3 percent), and over 85 (10.6 percent)

Figure 8: Senior Survey 2018 - Age Distribution
Almost two-thirds of those who responded were female (64.7 percent), although women comprise only 49.9 percent of Alaskans age 60 and over.

*Figure 9: Senior Survey 2018 - Sex Distribution*

The race of survey responders was more representative of the general population of seniors, with 77 percent Caucasian/White, 13.5 percent Alaska Native/American Indian, 2.2 percent Asian/Pacific Islander, 2.1 percent African American/Black, and 1.8 percent Hispanic. (A total of 1.8 percent checked “Other”). Among the whole Alaska senior population, 77 percent are White, 12 percent Native, 6 percent Asian, 5 percent Hispanic, 2 percent Black, 3 percent two or more races, and less than 1 percent Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander.

*Figure 10: Senior Survey 2018 - Race Distribution*
Respondents were asked to indicate what geographic region they lived in or lived closest to. Response distribution was representative of larger population centers and included 45.1 percent from Anchorage, 18.1 percent from the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, 12.4 percent from Southeast Alaska, 10 percent from Fairbanks North Star Borough, Southeast Fairbanks and Denali, 8.1 percent from Kenai Peninsula, Valdez and Cordova, and 6.2 percent from all other regions including the Bristol Bay Bough, Dillingham, Nome, Northwest Arctic, Aleutian Islands East and West, Bethel, Kusilvak, and the North Slope Borough.

Figure 11: Senior Survey 2018 - Geographic Distribution

The typical senior survey responder has lived in Alaska a long time. Almost sixty two percent of the respondents stated having lived in the state for more than 30 years, while an additional 15.1 percent were born here. Another 13.9 percent have lived here for 16 to 30 years. With 6.1 percent residing in Alaska for 6 to 15 years. Nearly 3 out of every 4 respondents had lived in Alaska for greater than 15 years. Only 1.8 percent of the respondents have lived in the state for 3 to 5 years and, 1.6 percent for two or fewer years.

The respondents indicated Alaskan seniors perceive themselves as very healthy. Four-fifths of respondents rated their overall health as Good, Very Good, or Excellent (32.3 percent, 33.8 percent, and 13.1 percent, respectively). Another 17.5 percent of respondents rated their health as Fair, and only 3.4 percent rated their health as Poor. A similar pattern of responses to self-perception of health has been reflected in other larger surveys of the Alaska population.

Other characteristics of respondents include:

- 52 percent have lived in their current home for more than 30 years
- 39 percent live alone
- 29 percent provide care for a family member or friend in some capacity
- 40 percent are retired and 17% are employed full-time
- 23 percent are veterans
45 percent do volunteer work at least once a month in their community

20 percent participate in subsistence activities, and 14% have someone else who provides meat, fish and berries to them

**Top Concerns for Alaskan Seniors**

“I am mostly concerned about senior benefits. I love Alaska but can’t afford to live here. I take care of my spouse and there is not enough money to go around.” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

The overarching concern for Alaskan seniors is access to health care, with financial security, maintaining physical health, affordable and accessible housing, and ability of in-home long-term support rounding out the top five concerns. Additionally, seniors were concerned about having enough food to eat, access to information about long term supportive services, transportation, memory loss and caregiver supports.

Survey respondents were asked to rank their concerns regarding how much they affect other seniors in the community, to which the highest responses were access to healthcare, affordable and accessible housing, services for seniors with dementia, transportation and elder protection/preventing elder abuse. The table below shows the full response to concerns affecting other senior (note that these use weighted scores, and the lower the score the more of a concern the issue is to seniors in Alaska).

*Figure 12: Senior Survey 2018 - Concerns for Other Seniors*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area of Concern</th>
<th>Weighted Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Services</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable and accessible housing</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services for seniors with dementia and support for their families</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder protection</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted living facilities</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior center programs and activities</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information about housing and other private/public long-term care services</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver Supports</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs that give families a break in caregiving (Adult Day &amp; Respite)</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health promotion and fall prevention activities</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral health services for seniors</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support for grandparents raising grandchildren</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-appropriate recreational activities</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifelong learning and educational opportunities</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior job training/employment</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The most important services for seniors’ quality of life reflect similar responses to their top concerns, and also their desire to remain in their own home as long as possible. The top most important services are health care services, affordable and accessible housing, services for seniors with dementia, transportation services, elder protection and assisted living facilities. The table below shows the full response to which agency services have the greatest effect on senior quality of life.

**Figure 13: Senior Survey 2018 - Impact of Senior Services on Quality of Life**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Provided Service</th>
<th>Weighted Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior meals served at a senior center</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior exercise</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Delivered Meals</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center recreation activities</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemaker/chore services</td>
<td>1.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care coordination</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care services</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing finances, paying bills</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family caregiver respite</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult day</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health**

“I worry about physical and mental health and who will advocate for my spouse’s adult child with mental health issues. How will I care for my spouse if his health fails?” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

Senior survey responders list health care as the number one concern affecting Alaskan seniors and was rated as “very important” by 91.3 percent of respondents. Seniors know that effective health care is essential to successful aging. To be unable to get the care they need, or to watch a friend or loved one struggle to get care, can create great personal anxiety as well as a sense of dismay that our society seems incapable of ensuring that the medical needs of older individuals are met.

Respondents were asked about what type of health insurance they utilized, to which a majority 68.7 percent indicated at least some of their health insurance needs were covered by Medicare. Just over 30 percent utilize a retiree health plan, and 33.1 percent rely on some form of employer paid health insurance/VA health/Tricare program.
Despite the concerns about accessible health care, respondents indicated overall good health with 79.2 percent of respondents indicating their health was either good, very good or excellent. Only 3.4 percent of respondents indicated poor health, while 17.5 percent are experiencing only fair health.

More than one in five seniors (23.7 percent) provide home care for a family member or friend. Nine percent care for a spouse or partner who is a senior. Six percent provide long-distance caregiving of some kind and 5 percent provide care to one or more children under the age of 18. Three percent of respondents provide care to someone with Alzheimer’s or dementia and another 3 percent provide care to a person with a disability over the age of 60. (Individuals could check more than one group identifying those for whom they provide care.)
Figure 16: Senior Survey 2018 - Do you Provide Care for a Family Member or Friend?

Finance

“There needs to be a way to get payment for me caring for my parents since they do not have the money and cannot do things for themselves and do not want to move from their home. I can’t work when caring for them but still need to make money to meet my own basic needs...I cannot afford to move and don’t have anyone else to care for my parents in their late 80’s. I am tired a lot from caring for my parents and having no time for myself, no “down” time to relax. I have no personal life. I worry I will run out of energy or get sick and then my parents and I would both need help.” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

Financial security is rated second by respondents among concerns affecting Alaskan seniors. Although many seniors are retired (40.7 percent of respondents), over 20 percent of respondent still work full-time or part-time. Perhaps more concerning is that 36 percent of respondents are not retired, but are not currently working, and are actively seeking employment.
Of those seniors still in the workforce, 15 percent indicated that they would be retiring within the next year or two, 28 percent within the next two to five years and 21 percent in more than five years. Nearly a third of respondents (27 percent) indicated that they do not plan on retiring despite being able to afford it, indicating that many seniors find value in participating in the workforce.

Seniors receive income from a variety of sources. The main sources of income for older Alaskans are Social Security (74.4 percent) and the Permanent Fund Dividend (79.6 percent). Nearly half (47.6 percent) respondents receive a pension from their employer or union, and another 30.3 percent draw from personal savings or investments. Financial assistance provided by the Senior Benefits Program, disability payments, Adult Public Assistance, Food Stamps, and rent subsidy account for close to 43 percent of the income sources based on responses. The table below shows the full representation of income sources for respondents.
As suggested earlier, many older Alaskans struggle with financial security. Only 40 percent of Alaskan seniors have enough income to meet all their monthly expenses and can afford extras. Another 41.7 percent of respondents have enough to cover monthly living expenses but have very little left over for anything extra. More than one in ten (12.4 percent) reported not having enough to cover living expenses some months and another 5.9 percent reported not having enough income to pay for their monthly living expenses on a regular basis.

Related to financial security and in line with Alaskan culture, just over one-third of Alaskan seniors (34 percent) participate in subsistence activities directly or by proxy.
Elder Abuse

“Adult protective services are located far away, and there is not enough training for local people to know when abuse is happening and how to report it.” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

A major focus area of this state plan is elder justice. The seniors who responded to this survey indicated 19.7 percent had either personally experienced elder abuse or knew someone who had.

Elder abuse can take many forms. The two most common forms of elder abuse noted by the respondents are financial exploitation (74.4 percent) and emotional abuse (53.4 percent). Other forms of elder abuse noticed by respondents included neglect (34.4 percent), physical abuse (28.5 percent), self-neglect (19.8 percent), abandonment (16.5 percent), and sexual abuse (6.4 percent). Other explanations were provided by 12.2 percent of the responses, and individuals could check more than one answer.
Figure 23: Senior Survey 2018 - Type of Elder Abuse for Those Who Have Experienced or Known Someone Who Was Abused?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Abuse</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial exploitation</td>
<td>74.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional abuse</td>
<td>53.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other forms of exploitation</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-neglect</td>
<td>19.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abandonment</td>
<td>16.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (please specify)</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Housing

“I think there should be housing for seniors of my age group that is affordable. All I have found in Anchorage & Mat-Su were $3,000.00 a month, which I could not afford. I either make too much income or do not have enough.” — Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

“I want to remain in my own home. But need repairs to home and need water supplied to my home – by tank or, water from well then fed into trailer home. Also need ramp and rails for my safety from falls.” — Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

Many seniors shared concerns related to housing, specifically affordability and accessibility. Housing concerns range from housing availability, affordability, accessibility, and quantity of assisted living opportunities. Seventy-one percent of those surveyed say they expect to be living in the same home five years from now and 75 percent plan on remaining in Alaska. This suggests that seniors are relatively content with their living situations and hope to remain in Alaska long-term.

Figure 24: Senior Survey 2018 - Future Housing Expectations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future Housing Expectation</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same home as I live in now</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same community, but smaller living space</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living independently in a different state</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move to an assisted living or nursing facility in Alaska</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living independently in a smaller community in Alaska</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living independently in a larger community in Alaska</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Move to an assisted living or nursing facility outside Alaska</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Responses show 73 percent of seniors live in a house or condo that they or a family member owns. Survey respondents also live in a variety of other housing situations, ranging from apartments in senior housing complexes to nursing homes and assisted living facilities to homeless shelters.

*Figure 25: Senior Survey 2018 - Current Housing Status*

![Pie chart showing current housing status with House/condo that I or a family member own at 73%, Apartment in senior housing complex at 10%, Apartment not in senior housing complex at 6%, Other, please specify at 5%]

A contributing factor to senior loneliness is the fact that many seniors live alone, including 33.2 percent of those that responded to this survey. Nearly half of the respondents live with their spouse or partner (43.7 percent), and 19.1 percent live with a family member (child, grandchild) or roommate/renter.

*Figure 26: Senior Survey 2018 - Who Lives in Your Household?*

![Bar chart showing who lives in the household with My spouse or partner at 43.7%, Just myself at 3.2%, One or more adult children at 10.2%, One or more grandchildren under age 18 at 4.1%, Other, please specify at 3.0%, Roommate(s) or renter(s) at 2.6%, One or more adult grandchildren at 2.2%, Parent at 1.1%]
Support Services

“**There are very few to no services for coordination, caregiver support and other geriatric support needs except thru Medicaid/Medicaid waiver.**” – Senior Survey 2018 Respondent

Responders were asked about the senior services they may have used in the past year. The most widely used service by survey responders was senior meals served at senior centers (used by 35 percent of survey responders). Senior exercise and recreational activities at senior centers were also highly utilized. Senior centers were mailed packets of the survey, which may have contributed to this number. Other highly-used services include transportation services, homemaking services, care coordination, and home delivered meals.

*Figure 27: Senior Survey 2018 - What Senior Services Do You Currently Use?*

Clearly Alaska seniors trust their senior centers, not only as sources of information about programs and services but also as hubs of social engagement. Just over two in five seniors (42 percent) say they do visit their local senior center, with 23.2 percent visiting regularly (at least twice a month) and 18.6 percent dropping in occasionally (once a month or less). Another 7.1 percent say they would like to visit the senior center but have difficulty getting there. Only one in four seniors (24.3 percent) say they are not interested in what their senior center offers. Forty-two percent of respondents do not regularly visit the senior centers.
Seniors often tell the Alaska Commission on Aging that they don’t know where to go for information about programs and services to help with their needs. The most common place seniors access information is the internet (39.2 percent) followed by relying on friends and relatives (34.7 percent). Just over a third (33.8 percent) of seniors rely on the local senior center for information regarding long term supportive services. The least used information sources were Dialing 2-1-1 (3.7 percent) and utilizing a care coordinator/case manager (6.5 percent).

A majority of respondents drive themselves as a primary mode of transportation (72.1 percent). Alternatives to driving were mostly auto centric including receiving rides from friends and family.
members (9.1 percent), taking a taxi or bus (5.1 percent) or using transportation services provided by a senior center or assisted living facility (5 percent).

Figure 30: Senior Survey 2018 – What Transportation Do You Use Regularly?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation Method</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drive myself</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family/friends</td>
<td>9.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taxi, bus, Uber</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior center or facility van</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walk or ride by bike</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door to door ride service</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other, please specify:</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I do not have access to transportation</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Just under half (44.5 percent) of respondents do volunteer work at least once a month in their communities.

Figure 31: Senior Survey 2018 - Do You Volunteer in Your Community?

- Yes, 44.5%
- No, 55.5%
Provider Survey

Methods
The Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA) distributed an online survey to community-based senior service provider agencies, the Alaska Pioneer Homes, assisted living and long-term care facilities and community health centers. This online survey was distributed via the Survey Monkey on-line platform from November 9 to December 1, 2018. There were 26 questions in the survey, including four open-ended questions. The survey asked providers about the types and amount of services they provide for seniors, their projections of service needs over the next five years, their perceptions of senior concerns, their evaluations of unmet needs of seniors in their service areas, and other information pertinent to primary health care and home and community-based services. One-hundred and 26 people responded.

Key Findings
The Provider Survey highlighted many of the same top issues raised in the elder-senior listening sessions and Senior Survey, including:

- Financial Need (not enough food, money to cover living expenses)
- Assisted living or long-term care facilities
- In home services
- Dementia care
- Affordable and accessible senior housing

The most important senior services that are missing or insufficient in provider service areas include

- Transportation
- Independent senior housing
- Behavioral health services for older adults
- Chore/homemaker assistance
- Home repair/Modification for accessibility

Respondent Profile
Providers responding to this survey represented non-profit, state, municipal, tribal, and for-profit agencies. These providers see only a subset of the senior population in any given area, and this subset of individuals likely has higher needs for services including coordinated care and financial assistance. Of the provider respondents:

- 52 percent work for a non-profit and 21% work for a for-profit organization
- 25 percent work with an assisted living home or long-term care facility
- 59 percent are over the age of 50 and 6.5% are over 70 years old.
- 56 percent are executive directors or program managers
- 24 percent are care coordinators, direct care staff or nurses.
Many types of services are provided by those that responded to this survey, with the most common types being Home- and Community-Based Services (36 percent), Advocacy on Senior Issues (23 percent),
Transportation (23 percent), Assisted Living Home (21 percent) and Home Delivered and Congregate meals (20 percent).

**Funding Sources**

Responding programs were funded by a variety of sources, including the Medicaid Waiver program (66 percent), state grants such as Title III (30 percent), fees or donations from direct users (30 percent), local or foundation grants (30 percent), private insurance payments (28 percent), Donations from businesses (27 percent), fundraisers (3 percent) and Federal funds such as Family Caregiver Support Program and Title VI (16 percent).

*Figure 34: Provider Survey 2018 - Agency Funding Sources*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid Waiver Program</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal/State Grant(s)</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local or foundation grant(s)</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fees or donations from direct users</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private insurance payments</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations from businesses</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraisers</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds, e.g. Title VI and FCSP</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membership dues</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Trends in Clients Served**

For the most part, providers indicated that they are serving an increased number of senior consumers compared to five years ago. Twenty-five percent of providers indicated somewhat higher client numbers between one to five percent higher, 34.9 percent indicated considerably higher numbers of senior clients (greater than a six percent increase), while 7.1 percent of providers show similar numbers to five years ago, and 18 percent are serving fewer clients.

Five years from now, 43 percent of providers expect to be serving significantly more clients (greater than a 6 percent increase), and another 29.2 percent expect to be serving somewhat more (up to five percent more). In other words, three out of every four programs are expecting an increase in demand for their services in the next five years. Of the remaining providers, 9.7 percent expect to be seeing a similar number of clients and 8.9 percent expect to be seeing a decrease in their clientele.
State Plan Goals and Funding Formula

Providers were asked if they were familiar with the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services 2016-2019, to which 58 percent indicated they had seen and or used the plan, 32 percent indicated that they had heard about the plan but had not seen or used it, while another 16 percent were unfamiliar with the State Plan.

Asked about the four goals represented in the previous (FY 2016-2019) state plan, and whether the goals should be kept, expanded, narrowed, or dropped – a strong majority of providers wanted to keep or expand each goal.

Providers were also asked to weigh in on the three new provisions of the funding formula. The three provisions were to 1. Modernize the definition of “rural” to include a “remote” definition, 2. Subdivide Alaska Region V into two subsets to include the Mat-Su as Region V(b) and Kenai/Valdez/Cordova as Region V(a), and 3. Implement a “base funding allocation” using federal Older American Act Funds appropriated for Alaska to replace the hold harmless provision. Provisions one and two were supported and respondents wanted to keep them the same and provision three had some support for modification/dropping. Most respondents (107) chose to skip this question on the survey. The table below shows respondent input on these three provisions.

*Figure 35: Funding Formula Provider Response*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Formula Provision</th>
<th>Keep As Is</th>
<th>Modify</th>
<th>Drop</th>
<th>Not Sure</th>
<th>Total responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Modernize the definition of “rural” to include “remote” definition</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subdivide Alaska Region V into two subsets to include the Mat-Su as region V(b) and Kenai/Valdez/Cordova as Region V(a)</td>
<td>52.6%</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>15.8%</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement a “base funding allocation” using federal Older American Act Funds appropriated for Alaska to Replace the hold harmless provision</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion & Next Steps

The Needs Assessment findings provided the Advisory Committee with extensive quantitative and qualitative input from seniors and providers on what is important to them. The Advisory Committee met in April 2019 to review the information during a facilitated planning session to update the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY 2020-2023. The Needs Assessment is also available for a wide range of uses include program planning, development of services, and public awareness.

The Needs Assessment reveals information as to the perceptions and needs of seniors and senior services providers across Alaska. Issues of healthcare, housing, assisted living, coordinated care, financial need, assistance with activities of daily living, and transportation remain top issues for seniors across Alaska. Ensuring that services and supports are in place that address the concerns and interests of these survey respondents and focus group participants will help to create a more effective network of services for seniors.

The data collected in this Needs Assessment guides recommendations in the FFY 2020-2023 Senior Services Plan. Future needs assessments should reflect upon the results of these surveys and listening sessions and should adapt their questions/methods to cover any information gaps missing from this assessment.
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Appendix B: Alaska Intrastate Funding Formula FFY 2020-2023

The Alaska Intrastate Funding Formula FFY 2020-2023 allocates approximately $4.8 million in Older American Act federal funding and $2.4 million from the State General Fund across Alaska for home and community-based services for a total of approximately $7.1 million for FY 2020. The Older Americans Act (OAA) requires that state funding plans give preference to seniors in economic and social need, defined as follows:

- **Greatest economic need** refers to need resulting from an income level at or below the poverty line.
- **Greatest social need** refers to need caused by the non-economic factors, which include physical and mental disabilities; language barriers; and cultural, social, or geographic isolation, including isolation caused by racial or ethnic status, that restricts an individual’s ability to perform normal daily tasks or threatens his or her capacity to live independently.

The Advisory Committee is tasked with determining and updating the funding formula. The Advisory Committee for the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services for FFY 2020-2023 designated a subcommittee to review and revise the funding formula.

The funding formula submitted as part of this state plan, FFY 2020-2023 is the same as the funding formula approved for the FY 2017-2019 period with two notable changes. First, the American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates are used in place of the Alaska Senior Benefits Program Participation as an indicator of poverty. Second, Kodiak Island Borough is designated as rural, rather than remote as in the FY 2017-2019 plan. The details of this change are included in Funding Formula Factors section in the following pages. In addition, Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development data for total senior population 60 and older, 80 and older, and minority seniors is updated to 2017.

**State Plan Guidance**
Per 2016 AOA State Plan Guidance section 305(a)(2) the state shall –

“(C) in consultation with area agencies, in accordance with guidelines issued by the Assistant Secretary, and using the best available data, develop and publish for review and comment a formula for distribution within the State of funds received under this title that takes into account--

I. The geographical distribution of older individuals in the State; and

II. The distribution among planning and service areas of older individuals with greatest economic need and older individuals with greatest social need, with particular attention to low-income minority older individuals.

III. The State of Alaska constitutes a single planning and service area under the terms of the Older Americans Act. The Alaska Department of Health & Social Services (DHSS) is the State Unit on Aging as designated by the Governor. The operations of Alaska’s state unit on aging are carried out jointly by the DHSS’ Division of Senior & Disabilities Services and the Alaska Commission on Aging. The Division of Senior and Disabilities Services administers the majority of state and federally-funded programs for seniors.”
Funding Formula Overview
The funding formula allocates a percent of the total $7.1 million in funding to nine regions. Each of the state’s 27 census areas are apportioned into the nine funding regions using the formula.

- Region 1: Bethel, Kusilvak
- Region 2: Fairbanks NSB, SE Fairbanks, Denali, Yukon-Koyukuk
- Region 3: North Slope Borough
- Region 4: Muni of Anchorage
- Region 5a: Kenai Peninsula, Valdez/Cordova
- Region 5b: Matanuska-Susitna Borough
- Region 6: Aleutians East, Aleutians West
- Region 7: Bristol Bay, Dillingham, Kodiak, Lake & Peninsula
- Region 8: Nome, Northwest Arctic
- Region 9: Prince of Wales, Sitka, Skagway, Haines, Hoonah/Angoon, Juneau, Ketchikan, Petersburg, Wrangell, Yakutat

A total of 5.74 percent is held out from total funding for statewide programs, including legal services and media services. Actual funding to any region is dependent upon capacity within a region to deliver senior services. If a region does not have the capacity to deliver services to its entire population remaining funds are redistributed statewide.

There are two components of the funding formula. For State General Funds, funding is distributed using five weighted factors that are used to determine the percent of funds that will go to each region each year based on current data. For federal funds, funds are distributed using a fixed percent based on a historic year distribution. The purpose of the fixed percent allocation was to establish a base level of funding each provider and region could rely on.

Funding Formula Factors to Allocate State General Funds
The following descriptions provide detail on the five weighting factors used to allocate the state general fund portion of the funding formula. The weighting factors total to 100. These weighting factors reflect changes from a FY 2017-2019 State Plan Amendment to the FY 2016-2019 State Plan for Senior Services by a separate Funding Formula Task Force.

Total Senior Population Factor
The total number of seniors in each region is a major factor in the demand for services in that area. All of the state’s nine regions have witnessed at least a 29 percent increase in its total senior population since 2001. This factor is weighted at 12.5, as many younger seniors are healthy, currently employed, and not in need of services. The data source for this factor is the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates.

Minority Factor
Minority is defined as those seniors who reported either a race other than white alone, or a Hispanic ethnicity. The Census Bureau categorizes Hispanic origin as an ethnicity, separate from race. This weighting includes all those who report ancestry which is wholly or partly minority, as minority seniors. This factor is weighted at 12.5 because (1) large numbers of non-white seniors live in urban areas with close access to services and (2) Alaska Native Elders, the largest minority population in Alaska, have
access to services provided by Title III and Title VI funds. The data source for this factor is the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates.

**Poverty Factor**
This factor is calculated using the American Community Survey Five-Year Estimate of seniors age 60 and older living at or below poverty level. This factor is weighted at 27.5 to target additional resources to this population of seniors with the greatest economic need. The data source is a changed from previous plans in which participation in the Alaska’s Senior Benefits Program was used as the measure of poverty in the State Plan. This program provides a small monthly cash benefit to any Alaskan age 65 and over with an income up to 175 percent of the Alaska poverty level and meets residency requirements. However, the Advisory Committee decided that the American Community Survey would be a more accurate data source given the unpredictability of funding and the voluntary nature of the Senior Benefits program.

**Frail Factor**
Frail is quantified as those people who are age 80 years and older. Increased age can be correlated with a greater likelihood of need for assistance with activities of daily living, greater risk of cognitive impairment such as Alzheimer’s disease and related dementia, and greater risk of placement in an institutional setting if assistance is not available. This factor is weighted at 25. The data source for this factor is the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates.

**Rural Factor**
The rural factor is calculated using a two-step process. First, each census area is designated as urban, rural or remote based on whether the census area is considered metropolitan, micropolitan or neither, respectively, by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).\(^\text{17}\) According to the OMB definition, a metropolitan area contains a core urban area of 50,000 or more population. A micropolitan area contains an urban core of at least 10,000, but less than 50,000, population. According to the OMB, Anchorage, Fairbanks North Star Borough, and Matanuska-Susitna Borough are considered metropolitan and by extension urban in the funding formula. Juneau City and Borough and Ketchikan Gateway Borough are considered micropolitan and by extension rural in the funding formula. The remaining census areas are “neither” and therefore designated as remote in the funding formula.

The second step is for the Advisory Committee to adjust designations based on local geography. Although the Kenai Peninsula Borough and the Kodiak Island Borough are not classified as a Micropolitan area per OMB, the Advisory Subcommittee agreed that its characteristics were more similar to the rural census areas rather than remote census areas due to being on the road system and having a lower cost of living. The rural factor assigns a weighting value of 22.5 for remote census areas, 11.25 for rural census areas and 0 for urban census areas. These weights are then applied to the census area’s total senior population 60 and older.

**Methodology**
A multi-step process is used to apply the weight factors of the funding formula to the census areas and regions. The first step in applying the weight factors is to update the demographics data in the formula using the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates (for population and ethnicity) and the American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates (for income). Then the

\(^{17}\) [https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro.html](https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/metro-micro.html)
census area demographic data associated with each factor is totaled for the region. These region totals are then multiplied by each weight factor. These five numbers are totaled for that region and divided by the weighted state total to determine a percent that is used to allocate state general funds to that region.

The funding formula also employs a base funding allocation by region using federal Older American Act Funds to provide stability in budgeting and reduce the risk of having to eliminate much needed services in a region if funding is significantly reduced. State and federal sources of funding are then summed together to yield a total funding allocation for each region.

Changes to the Funding Formula for FFY 2020 - 2023
The data source for the poverty factor is changed to the American Community Survey Five-Year Estimate from previous plans in which participation in the Alaska’s Senior Benefits Program was used as the measure of poverty in this State Plan. The program, which provides a small monthly cash benefit, is available to any Alaskan age 65 and over with an income up to 175 percent of the Alaska poverty level. However, the Advisory Committee decided given the unpredictability of funding and the voluntary nature of the program, the American Community Survey would be a more accurate data source.

Additionally, the Advisory Subcommittee decided that Kodiak Island Borough should be categorized as rural, rather than remote. In the FY 2017-2019 period, it was categorized as remote.

Expected Impacts on Service Delivery
The State Plan Advisory Committee anticipates that this methodology will result in a more responsive intrastate funding formula that will direct the limited funding available to Alaska seniors with the greatest economic and social need. The urban-rural-remote reclassification, for example, targets funding to provide enhanced compensation to communities where the cost for providing services is the highest and access to services is the most challenging due to a limited number of providers. Alaska’s senior population is growing at an annual rate of approximately six percent. The growth of the oldest seniors, age 80 and older, most in need of health care and long-term care, has increased 36 percent over the last eight years. This trend is expected to continue over the next 25 years. A growing number of seniors living in poverty is evidenced by the increasing numbers of seniors receiving Senior Benefits, Adult Public Assistance, heating assistance, and food stamps. The funding formula will strategically target the limited resources to serve the most vulnerable older Alaskans in order to protect their health and safety, promote their dignity and ability to age in place, and reduce the utilization of more expensive health and long-term care services.

Future Changes to the Funding Formula
The State Plan Advisory Committee will revisit the funding formula following the release of the 2020 Census, which is expected in early 2021. In particular, the Advisory Committee and public comments discussed whether the use of the Office of Management and Budget’s categories of metropolitan, micropolitan, and neither to define urban, rural and remote Alaska were accurate for census areas such as the Fairbanks North Star Borough and the Matanuska-Susitna Borough that include both urban and rural characteristics. One option would be to use the U.S. Census Bureau’s rurality level which assigns a
“percent rural” to each census area in a region.\(^{18}\) Additionally, in 2020, the Administration for Community Living will provide guidance on defining rural using the Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes (RUCA) codes for service reporting purposes.\(^{19}\) The Advisory Committee decided that waiting until after the 2020 Census would allow for the use of the most accurate data and a more thorough public process. Other changes the Advisory Committee will consider include:

- Adding additional factors, such as cost of living;
- Adjusting how the weights are applied to each factor to give more weight to factors such as poverty and frailty; and
- Whether factors should be used to allocate all funding sources, rather than just the state portion of the funding

As an interim measure, SDS may use performance-based measures to increase funding to regions serving more seniors than planned as a result of senior population growth and need, pending funding availability.


### Figure 36: Funding Formula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.15.19 data</th>
<th>60+ 2017</th>
<th>80+ 2017</th>
<th>Min 2017</th>
<th>Pov 2017</th>
<th>Rural 2017</th>
<th>Weight Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,364,691</td>
<td>4,770,743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7,135,434</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>321,781.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY 2020 - FFY 2023 Appendices

| Region 1 Total | 2,894 | 311 | 2,494 | 484 | 2,894 | 4.6% | $102,782.15 | 4.87% | $218,999.14 | $321,781.29 |
| Denali Borough | 383    | 36   | 63    |     |       | 12.5 | $2,228,957.74 | $4,496,902.35|
| region 2 Total | 19,160 | 1,873 | 3,884 | 891 | 3,025 | 12.8% | $286,116.58 | 13.45% | $604,833.37 | $890,949.95 |
| North Slope | 1,096 | 78 | 708 | 1,096 | 1.5% | $33,495.40 | 1.74% | $78,246.10 | $111,741.50 |
| Anchorage | 49,066 | 5,769 | 13,468 | 0 | 2,243 | 29.7% | $1,184,933.77 | 26.35% | $661,060.57 | $1,845,994.34 |
| Kenai Peninsula | 14,526 | 1,547 | 1,521 | 7,263 | 1.7% | $337,532.76 | 15.15% | $681,280.71 | $1,018,813.47 |
| Valdez-Cordova | 1,875 | 138 | 435 | 1,875 | 1.7% | $38,905.60 | 0.98% | $44,069.64 | $82,975.25 |
| Region 5B Total | 18,210 | 1,827 | 1,975 | 7,263 | 0 | 0.9% | $427,655.41 | 9.51% | $44,069.64 | $82,975.25 |
| Bristol Bay Borough | 204 | 15 | 105 | 204 | | | |
| Dillingham | 748 | 82 | 587 | 748 | | | |
| Kodiak Island | 2,271 | 208 | 1,013 | 1,136 | | | |
| Lake and Peninsula | 251 | 20 | 172 | 251 | | | |
| Region 7 Total | 3,474 | 325 | 1,877 | 233 | 2,339 | 4.0% | $89,720.18 | 7.93% | $356,604.36 | $446,324.54 |
| Nome Census Area | 1,277 | 113 | 941 | 416 | 1,783 | 17.3% | $72,718.96 | 4.31% | $193,816.49 | $266,535.45 |
| Northwest Arctic | 904 | 119 | 734 | 904 | | | |
| Region 8 Total | 2,131 | 232 | 1,675 | 266 | 2,131 | 3.3% | $135,733.26 | 5.74% | $273,840.65 | $409,573.91 |
| Haines Borough | 779 | 94 | 117 | 779 | | | |
| Juneau Borough | 6,311 | 644 | 1,413 | 3,156 | | | |
| Ketchikan Borough | 3,081 | 378 | 828 | 1,540 | | | |
| Prince of Wales | 1,493 | 114 | 621 | 1,493 | | | |
| Sitka Borough | 1,955 | 288 | 562 | 1,955 | | | |
| Skagway | 242 | 17 | 20 | 242 | | | |
| Hoonah-Anchorage | 649 | 57 | 265 | 649 | | | |
| Petersburg | 864 | 85 | 126 | 864 | | | |
| Wrangell | 748 | 84 | 150 | 748 | | | |
| Yakutat Borough | 147 | 19 | 89 | 147 | | | |
| Region 9 Total | 16,269 | 1,780 | 4,191 | 614 | 11,573 | 17.3% | $386,581.03 | 15.71% | $706,463.36 | $1,093,044.39 |
| Totals | 129,908 | 13,927 | 33,193 | 6,961 | 33,403 | 100.00% | $2,228,957.74 | 100.00% | $4,496,902.35 | $6,725,860.09 |
| Region 10 Statewide | $135,733.26 | 5.74% | $273,840.65 | 5.74% | $409,573.91 | 5.74% |
STATE PLAN ASSURANCES AND REQUIRED ACTIVITIES

Older Americans Act, As Amended in 2016

By signing this document, the authorized official commits the State Agency on Aging to performing all listed assurances and activities as stipulated in the Older Americans Act, as amended in 2016.

Assurances

Sec. 305, ORGANIZATION
(a) In order for a State to be eligible to participate in programs of grants to States from allotments under this title--

(2) The State agency shall—(A) except as provided in subsection (b)(5), designate for each such area after consideration of the views offered by the unit or units of general purpose local government in such area, a public or private nonprofit agency or organization as the area agency on aging for such area;

(B) provide assurances, satisfactory to the Assistant Secretary, that the State agency will take into account, in connection with matters of general policy arising in the development and administration of the State plan for any fiscal year, the views of recipients of supportive services or nutrition services, or individuals using multipurpose senior centers provided under such plan;

(E) provide assurance that preference will be given to providing services to older individuals with greatest economic need and older individuals with greatest social need (with particular attention to low-income older individuals, including low-income minority older individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas), and include proposed methods of carrying out the preference in the State plan;

(F) provide assurances that the State agency will require use of outreach efforts described in section 307(a)(16); and

(G)(ii) provide an assurance that the State agency will undertake specific program development, advocacy, and outreach efforts focused on the needs of low-income minority older individuals;

(c) An area agency on aging designated under subsection (a) shall be--

(5) in the case of a State specified in subsection (b) (5), the State agency; and shall provide assurance, determined adequate by the State agency, that the area agency on aging will have the ability to develop an area plan and to carry out, directly or through contractual or other arrangements, a program in accordance with the plan within the planning and service area. In designating an area
agency on aging within the planning and service area or within any unit of general purpose local
government designated as a planning and service area the State shall give preference to an established
office on aging, unless the State agency finds that no such office within the planning and service area
will have the capacity to carry out the area plan.

Note: STATES MUST ENSURE THAT THE FOLLOWING ASSURANCES (SECTION 306) WILL BE MET BY ITS
DESIGNATED AREA AGENCIES ON AGENCIES, OR BY THE STATE IN THE CASE OF SINGLE PLANNING AND
SERVICE AREA STATES.

Sec. 306(a), AREA PLANS
(a) Each area agency on aging...Each such plan shall--

(2) provide assurances that an adequate proportion, as required under section 307(a)(2), of the amount
allotted for part B to the planning and service area will be expended for the delivery of each of the
following categories of services--

(A) services associated with access to services (transportation, health services (including mental and
behavioral health services), outreach, information and assistance (which may include information
and assistance to consumers on availability of services under part B and how to receive benefits
under and participate in publicly supported programs for which the consumer may be eligible) and
case management services);

(B) in-home services, including supportive services for families of older individuals who are victims
of Alzheimer's disease and related disorders with neurological and organic brain dysfunction; and

(C) legal assistance; and assurances that the area agency on aging will report annually to the State
agency in detail the amount of funds expended for each such category during the fiscal year most
recently concluded;

(4)(A)(i)(I) provide assurances that the area agency on aging will—

(aa) set specific objectives, consistent with State policy, for providing services to older individuals with
greatest economic need, older individuals with greatest social need, and older individuals at risk for
institutional placement;

(bb) include specific objectives for providing services to low-income minority older individuals, older
individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas; and

(I) include proposed methods to achieve the objectives described in items (aa) and (bb) of sub-clause
(I);

(ii) provide assurances that the area agency on aging will include in each agreement made with a
provider of any service under this title, a requirement that such provider will—

(I) specify how the provider intends to satisfy the service needs of low-income minority individuals,
older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas in the
area served by the provider;
(II) to the maximum extent feasible, provide services to low-income minority individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas in accordance with their need for such services; and

(III) meet specific objectives established by the area agency on aging, for providing services to low-income minority individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas within the planning and service area; and

(iii) with respect to the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which such plan is prepared—

(I) identify the number of low-income minority older individuals in the planning and service area;

(II) describe the methods used to satisfy the service needs of such minority older individuals; and

(III) provide information on the extent to which the area agency on aging met the objectives described in clause (i).

(B) provide assurances that the area agency on aging will use outreach efforts that will—

(i) identify individuals eligible for assistance under this Act, with special emphasis on--

(I) older individuals residing in rural areas;

(II) older individuals with greatest economic need (with particular attention to low-income minority individuals and older individuals residing in rural areas);

(III) older individuals with greatest social need (with particular attention to low-income minority individuals and older individuals residing in rural areas);

(IV) older individuals with severe disabilities;

(V) older individuals with limited English proficiency;

(VI) older individuals with Alzheimer’s disease and related disorders with neurological and organic brain dysfunction (and the caretakers of such individuals); and

(VII) older individuals at risk for institutional placement; and

(ii) inform the older individuals referred to in sub-clauses (I) through (VII) of clause (i), and the caretakers of such individuals, of the availability of such assistance; and

(C) contain an assurance that the area agency on aging will ensure that each activity undertaken by the agency, including planning, advocacy, and systems development, will include a focus on the needs of low-income minority older individuals and older individuals residing in rural areas.
(5) provide assurances that the area agency on aging will coordinate planning, identification, assessment of needs, and provision of services for older individuals with disabilities, with particular attention to individuals with severe disabilities, and individuals at risk for institutional placement, with agencies that develop or provide services for individuals with disabilities;

(9) provide assurances that the area agency on aging, in carrying out the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman program under section 307(a)(9), will expend not less than the total amount of funds appropriated under this Act and expended by the agency in fiscal year 2000 in carrying out such a program under this title;

(11) provide information and assurances concerning services to older individuals who are Native Americans (referred to in this paragraph as "older Native Americans"), including—

(A) information concerning whether there is a significant population of older Native Americans in the planning and service area and if so, an assurance that the area agency on aging will pursue activities, including outreach, to increase access of those older Native Americans to programs and benefits provided under this title;

(B) an assurance that the area agency on aging will, to the maximum extent practicable, coordinate the services the agency provides under this title with services provided under title VI; and

(C) an assurance that the area agency on aging will make services under the area plan available, to the same extent as such services are available to older individuals within the planning and service area, to older Native Americans;

(13) provide assurances that the area agency on aging will—

(A) maintain the integrity and public purpose of services provided, and service providers, under this title in all contractual and commercial relationships;

(B) disclose to the Assistant Secretary and the State agency—

(i) the identity of each nongovernmental entity with which such agency has a contract or commercial relationship relating to providing any service to older individuals; and

(ii) the nature of such contract or such relationship;

(C) demonstrate that a loss or diminution in the quantity or quality of the services provided, or to be provided, under this title by such agency has not resulted and will not result from such contract or such relationship;

(D) demonstrate that the quantity or quality of the services to be provided under this title by such agency will be enhanced as a result of such contract or such relationship;

(E) on the request of the Assistant Secretary or the State, for the purpose of monitoring compliance with this Act (including conducting an audit), disclose all sources and expenditures of funds such agency receives or expends to provide services to older individuals;
(14) provide assurances that preference in receiving services under this title will not be given by the area agency on aging to particular older individuals as a result of a contract or commercial relationship that is not carried out to implement this title;

(15) provide assurances that funds received under this title will be used--

(A) to provide benefits and services to older individuals, giving priority to older individuals identified in paragraph (4)(A)(i); and

(B) in compliance with the assurances specified in paragraph (13) and the limitations specified in section 212;

Sec. 307, STATE PLANS

(a) . . . Each such plan shall comply with all of the following requirements:...

(3) The plan shall--

(B) with respect to services for older individuals residing in rural areas—

(i) provide assurances that the State agency will spend for each fiscal year, not less than the amount expended for such services for fiscal year 2000...

(7)(A) The plan shall provide satisfactory assurance that such fiscal control and fund accounting procedures will be adopted as may be necessary to assure proper disbursement of, and accounting for, Federal funds paid under this title to the State, including any such funds paid to the recipients of a grant or contract.

(B) The plan shall provide assurances that--

(i) no individual (appointed or otherwise) involved in the designation of the State agency or an area agency on aging, or in the designation of the head of any subdivision of the State agency or of an area agency on aging, is subject to a conflict of interest prohibited under this Act;

(ii) no officer, employee, or other representative of the State agency or an area agency on aging is subject to a conflict of interest prohibited under this Act; and

(iii) mechanisms are in place to identify and remove conflicts of interest prohibited under this Act.

(9) The plan shall provide assurances that the State agency will carry out, through the Office of the State Long-Term Care Ombudsman, a State Long-Term Care Ombudsman program in accordance with section 712 and this title, and will expend for such purpose an amount that is not less than an amount expended by the State agency with funds received under this title for fiscal year 2000, and an amount that is not less than the amount expended by the State agency with funds received under title VII for fiscal year 2000.

(10) The plan shall provide assurance that the special needs of older individuals residing in rural areas will be taken into consideration and shall describe how those needs have been met and describe how funds have been allocated to meet those needs.

(11) The plan shall provide that with respect to legal assistance --
(A) the plan contains assurances that area agencies on aging will

(i) enter into contracts with providers of legal assistance which can demonstrate the experience or capacity to deliver legal assistance;

(ii) include in any such contract provisions to assure that any recipient of funds under division (i) will be subject to specific restrictions and regulations promulgated under the Legal Services Corporation Act (other than restrictions and regulations governing eligibility for legal assistance under such Act and governing membership of local governing boards) as determined appropriate by the Assistant Secretary; and

(iii) attempt to involve the private bar in legal assistance activities authorized under this title, including groups within the private bar furnishing services to older individuals on a pro bono and reduced fee basis.

(B) the plan contains assurances that no legal assistance will be furnished unless the grantee administers a program designed to provide legal assistance to older individuals with social or economic need and has agreed, if the grantee is not a Legal Services Corporation project grantee, to coordinate its services with existing Legal Services Corporation projects in the planning and service area in order to concentrate the use of funds provided under this title on individuals with the greatest such need; and the area agency on aging makes a finding, after assessment, pursuant to standards for service promulgated by the Assistant Secretary, that any grantee selected is the entity best able to provide the particular services.

(D) the plan contains assurances, to the extent practicable, that legal assistance furnished under the plan will be in addition to any legal assistance for older individuals being furnished with funds from sources other than this Act and that reasonable efforts will be made to maintain existing levels of legal assistance for older individuals; and

(E) the plan contains assurances that area agencies on aging will give priority to legal assistance related to income, health care, long-term care, nutrition, housing, utilities, protective services, defense of guardianship, abuse, neglect, and age discrimination.

(12) The plan shall provide, whenever the State desires to provide for a fiscal year for services for the prevention of abuse of older individuals --

(A) the plan contains assurances that any area agency on aging carrying out such services will conduct a program consistent with relevant State law and coordinated with existing State adult protective service activities for--

(i) public education to identify and prevent abuse of older individuals;

(ii) receipt of reports of abuse of older individuals;

(iii) active participation of older individuals participating in programs under this Act through outreach, conferences, and referral of such individuals to other social service agencies or sources of assistance where appropriate and consented to by the parties to be referred; and
(iv) referral of complaints to law enforcement or public protective service agencies where appropriate

(13) The plan shall provide assurances that each State will assign personnel (one of whom shall be known as a legal assistance developer) to provide State leadership in developing legal assistance programs for older individuals throughout the State...

(15) The plan shall provide assurances that, if a substantial number of the older individuals residing in any planning and service area in the State are of limited English-speaking ability, then the State will require the area agency on aging for each such planning and service area—

(A) to utilize in the delivery of outreach services under section 306(a)(2)(A), the services of workers who are fluent in the language spoken by a predominant number of such older individuals who are of limited English-speaking ability; and

(B) to designate an individual employed by the area agency on aging, or available to such area agency on aging on a full-time basis, whose responsibilities will include—

(i) taking such action as may be appropriate to assure that counseling assistance is made available to such older individuals who are of limited English-speaking ability in order to assist such older individuals in participating in programs and receiving assistance under this Act; and

(ii) providing guidance to individuals engaged in the delivery of supportive services under the area plan involved to enable such individuals to be aware of cultural sensitivities and to take into account effectively linguistic and cultural differences.

(16) The plan shall provide assurances that the State agency will require outreach efforts that will—

(A) identify individuals eligible for assistance under this Act, with special emphasis on—

(i) older individuals residing in rural areas;

(ii) older individuals with greatest economic need (with particular attention to low-income older individuals, including low-income minority older individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas);

(iii) older individuals with greatest social need (with particular attention to low-income older individuals, including low-income minority older individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas);

(iv) older individuals with severe disabilities;

(v) older individuals with limited English-speaking ability; and

(vi) older individuals with Alzheimer’s disease and related disorders with neurological and organic brain dysfunction (and the caretakers of such individuals); and

(B) inform the older individuals referred to in clauses (i) through (vi) of subparagraph (A), and the caretakers of such individuals, of the availability of such assistance.
(17) The plan shall provide, with respect to the needs of older individuals with severe disabilities, assurances that the State will coordinate planning, identification, assessment of needs, and service for older individuals with disabilities with particular attention to individuals with severe disabilities with the State agencies with primary responsibility for individuals with disabilities, including severe disabilities, to enhance services and develop collaborative programs, where appropriate, to meet the needs of older individuals with disabilities.

(18) The plan shall provide assurances that area agencies on aging will conduct efforts to facilitate the coordination of community-based, long-term care services, pursuant to section 306(a)(7), for older individuals who--

(A) reside at home and are at risk of institutionalization because of limitations on their ability to function independently;

(B) are patients in hospitals and are at risk of prolonged institutionalization; or

(C) are patients in long-term care facilities, but who can return to their homes if community-based services are provided to them.

(19) The plan shall include the assurances and description required by section 705(a).

(20) The plan shall provide assurances that special efforts will be made to provide technical assistance to minority providers of services.

(21) The plan shall--

(A) provide an assurance that the State agency will coordinate programs under this title and programs under title VI, if applicable; and

(B) provide an assurance that the State agency will pursue activities to increase access by older individuals who are Native Americans to all aging programs and benefits provided by the agency, including programs and benefits provided under this title, if applicable, and specify the ways in which the State agency intends to implement the activities.

(23) The plan shall provide assurances that demonstrable efforts will be made--

(A) to coordinate services provided under this Act with other State services that benefit older individuals; and

(B) to provide multigenerational activities, such as opportunities for older individuals to serve as mentors or advisers in child care, youth day care, educational assistance, at-risk youth intervention, juvenile delinquency treatment, and family support programs.

(24) The plan shall provide assurances that the State will coordinate public services within the State to assist older individuals to obtain transportation services associated with access to services provided under this title, to services under title VI, to comprehensive counseling services, and to legal assistance.
(25) The plan shall include assurances that the State has in effect a mechanism to provide for quality in the provision of in-home services under this title.

(26) The plan shall provide assurances that funds received under this title will not be used to pay any part of a cost (including an administrative cost) incurred by the State agency or an area agency on aging to carry out a contract or commercial relationship that is not carried out to implement this title.

(27) The plan shall provide assurances that area agencies on aging will provide, to the extent feasible, for the furnishing of services under this Act, consistent with self-directed care.

Sec. 308, PLANNING, COORDINATION, EVALUATION, AND ADMINISTRATION OF STATE PLANS
(b)(3)(E) No application by a State under subparagraph (A) shall be approved unless it contains assurances that no amounts received by the State under this paragraph will be used to hire any individual to fill a job opening created by the action of the State in laying off or terminating the employment of any regular employee not supported under this Act in anticipation of filling the vacancy so created by hiring an employee to be supported through use of amounts received under this paragraph.

Sec. 705, ADDITIONAL STATE PLAN REQUIREMENTS (as numbered in statute)
(a) ELIGIBILITY.—In order to be eligible to receive an allotment under this subtitle, a State shall include in the state plan submitted under section 307—

(1) an assurance that the State, in carrying out any chapter of this subtitle for which the State receives funding under this subtitle, will establish programs in accordance with the requirements of the chapter and this chapter;

(2) an assurance that the State will hold public hearings, and use other means, to obtain the views of older individuals, area agencies on aging, recipients of grants under title VI, and other interested persons and entities regarding programs carried out under this subtitle;

(3) an assurance that the State, in consultation with area agencies on aging, will identify and prioritize statewide activities aimed at ensuring that older individuals have access to, and assistance in securing and maintaining, benefits and rights;

(4) an assurance that the State will use funds made available under this subtitle for a chapter in addition to, and will not supplant, any funds that are expended under any Federal or State law in existence on the day before the date of the enactment of this subtitle, to carry out each of the vulnerable elder rights protection activities described in the chapter;

(5) an assurance that the State will place no restrictions, other than the requirements referred to in clauses (i) through (iv) of section 712(a)(5)(C), on the eligibility of entities for designation as local Ombudsman entities under section 712(a)(5).

(6) an assurance that, with respect to programs for the prevention of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation under chapter 3—
(A) in carrying out such programs the State agency will conduct a program of services consistent with relevant State law and coordinated with existing State adult protective service activities for—

(i) public education to identify and prevent elder abuse;

(ii) receipt of reports of elder abuse;

(iii) active participation of older individuals participating in programs under this Act through outreach, conferences, and referral of such individuals to other social service agencies or sources of assistance if appropriate and if the individuals to be referred consent; and

(iv) referral of complaints to law enforcement or public protective service agencies if appropriate;

(B) the State will not permit involuntary or coerced participation in the program of services described in subparagraph (A) by alleged victims, abusers, or their households; and

(C) all information gathered in the course of receiving reports and making referrals shall remain confidential except—

(i) if all parties to such complaint consent in writing to the release of such information;

(ii) if the release of such information is to a law enforcement agency, public protective service agency, licensing or certification agency, ombudsman program, or protection or advocacy system; or

(iii) upon court order...

**Required Activities**

**Sec. 305 ORGANIZATION**

(a) In order for a State to be eligible to participate in programs of grants to States from allotments under this title—.

(2) the State agency shall—

(G)(i) set specific objectives, in consultation with area agencies on aging, for each planning and service area for providing services funded under this title to low-income minority older individuals and older individuals residing in rural areas;

(ii) provide an assurance that the State agency will undertake specific program development, advocacy, and outreach efforts focused on the needs of low-income minority older individuals; and

(iii) provide a description of the efforts described in clause (ii) that will be undertaken by the State agency;

**Sec. 306 – AREA PLANS**

(a) . . . Each such plan shall— (6) provide that the area agency on aging will—

(F) in coordination with the State agency and with the State agency responsible for mental and behavioral health services, increase public awareness of mental health disorders, remove barriers to
diagnosis and treatment, and coordinate mental health services (including mental health screenings) provided with funds expended by the area agency on aging with mental health services provided by community health centers and by other public agencies and nonprofit private organizations;

(6)(H) in coordination with the State agency and with the State agency responsible for elder abuse prevention services, increase public awareness of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation, and remove barriers to education, prevention, investigation, and treatment of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation, as appropriate;

Sec. 307(a) STATE PLANS
(1) The plan shall—
   (A) require each area agency on aging designated under section 305(a)(2)(A) to develop and submit to the State agency for approval, in accordance with a uniform format developed by the State agency, an area plan meeting the requirements of section 306; and (B) be based on such area plans.

Note: THIS SUBSECTION OF STATUTE DOES NOT REQUIRE THAT AREA PLANS BE DEVELOPED PRIOR TO STATE PLANS AND/OR THAT STATE PLANS DEVELOP AS A COMPILATION OF AREA PLANS.

(2) The plan shall provide that the State agency will --

(A) evaluate, using uniform procedures described in section 202(a)(26), the need for supportive services (including legal assistance pursuant to 307(a)(11), information and assistance, and transportation services), nutrition services, and multipurpose senior centers within the State;

(B) develop a standardized process to determine the extent to which public or private programs and resources (including volunteers and programs and services of voluntary organizations) that have the capacity and actually meet such need; ...

(4) The plan shall provide that the State agency will conduct periodic evaluations of, and public hearings on, activities and projects carried out in the State under this title and title VII, including evaluations of the effectiveness of services provided to individuals with greatest economic need, greatest social need, or disabilities (with particular attention to low-income minority older individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas).

Note: “PERIODIC” (DEFINED IN 45CFR PART 1321.3) MEANS, AT A MINIMUM, ONCE EACH FISCAL YEAR.

(5) The plan shall provide that the State agency will:

(A) afford an opportunity for a hearing upon request, in accordance with published procedures, to any area agency on aging submitting a plan under this title, to any provider of (or applicant to provide) services;

(B) issue guidelines applicable to grievance procedures required by section 306(a)(10); and

(C) afford an opportunity for a public hearing, upon request, by an area agency on aging, by a provider of (or applicant to provide) services, or by any recipient of services under this title regarding any waiver request, including those under Section 316.
The plan shall provide that the State agency will make such reports, in such form, and containing such information, as the Assistant Secretary may require, and comply with such requirements as the Assistant Secretary may impose to insure the correctness of such reports.

The plan shall provide that no supportive services, nutrition services, or in-home services will be directly provided by the State agency or an area agency on aging in the State, unless, in the judgment of the State agency--

(i) provision of such services by the State agency or the area agency on aging is necessary to assure an adequate supply of such services;

(ii) such services are directly related to such State agency's or area agency on aging's administrative functions; or

(iii) such services can be provided more economically, and with comparable quality, by such State agency or area agency on aging.

The plan shall provide, whenever the State desires to provide for a fiscal year for services for the prevention of abuse of older individuals--

(B) the State will not permit involuntary or coerced participation in the program of services described in this paragraph by alleged victims, abusers, or their households; and

(C) all information gathered in the course of receiving reports and making referrals shall remain confidential unless all parties to the complaint consent in writing to the release of such information, except that such information may be released to a law enforcement or public protective service agency.

If case management services are offered to provide access to supportive services, the plan shall provide that the State agency shall ensure compliance with the requirements specified in section 306(a)(8).

Signature and Title of Authorized Official

Date

5/30/19
Appendix D. Information Requirements

Section 305(a)(2)(E)

*Describe the mechanism(s) for assuring* that preference will be given to providing services to older individuals with greatest economic need and older individuals with greatest social need (with particular attention to low-income older individuals, including low-income minority older individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas) and include proposed methods of carrying out the preference in the State plan;

The State of Alaska assures that preference will be given to providing services to older individuals with greatest economic need and older individuals with greatest social need (with particular attention to low-income older individuals, including low-income minority older individuals, older individuals with limited English proficiency, and older individuals residing in rural areas) and include proposed methods of carrying out the preference in the state plan. In addition to the funding formula weighting factors, which cover low-income, minority, rural, and frail seniors as well as a cost-of-living factor, which considers the higher cost of doing business in remote areas, the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services targets non-English-speaking seniors throughout the state by requiring successful grantees to provide an outreach plan for targeting non-English-speaking seniors in their area. Currently all providers have an outreach plan that includes at least one of the following strategies to reach non-English-speaking seniors in their service areas:

- Multi-lingual flyers and information brochures describing offered services
- Outreach through tribal organization newsletters
- Outreach through various ethnic community centers and/or newsletters
- Multi-lingual providers matched with recipients
- Innovative outreach to non-English-speaking individuals and groups

Section 306(a)(17)

*Describe the mechanism(s) for assuring* that each Area Plan will include information detailing how the Area Agency will coordinate activities and develop long-range emergency preparedness plans with local and State emergency response agencies, relief organizations, local and State governments and other institutions that have responsibility for disaster relief service delivery.

Alaska’s state agency on aging will coordinate activities and develop long-range emergency preparedness plans with local and state emergency response agencies, relief organizations, local and state governments and other institutions that have responsibility for disaster relief service delivery.

The Division of Public Health (DPH) is the lead agency within the Alaska Department of Health & Social Services responsible for emergency preparedness, planning, and response. Division staff work closely with the Alaska Department of Military & Veterans’ Affairs’ Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management. They routinely conduct emergency preparedness and planning outreach workshops in communities around the state. They also partner closely with the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium.
DPH strives to reach as many special populations as possible in their outreach activities. Workshop topics range from general all-around hazards emergency preparedness to specific disease-related topics such as pandemic influenza or norovirus (a virus which causes acute gastrointestinal distress, often found on cruise ships and in nursing homes and health care facilities). In addition, the State's public health nurses are regular participants in local health fairs statewide where they discuss emergency preparedness, planning and response issues with attendees of all ages.

The Division of Senior & Disabilities Services (DSDS) within the Alaska Department of Health & Social Services requires its major grantees to complete a disaster response plan. Grantees are asked to coordinate with local governments, tribal organizations, and Native health corporations in their efforts to prepare for an emergency or natural disaster. All providers must submit their communities’ emergency preparedness plans and outline their role in ensuring the health and safety of seniors in the event of an emergency or natural disaster. In the event of an emergency or natural disaster, grantees would be expected to put their plans into operation, with support from DPH as needed.

The Division of Senior & Disabilities Services Emergency Preparedness Coordinator is located in the Grants Unit of DSDS, within the Department of Health & Social Services (the state unit on aging). This position coordinates with state and local entities to provide information and guidance to senior services providers and seniors on how to prepare for an emergency or natural disaster. Each grantee is required to have an agency board-approved emergency response plan.

The Health Program Manager responsible for administering this program has experience implementing and coordinating public health programs. This position is also responsible for increasing older adults’ access to health promotion and disease prevention programming.

The DSDS Emergency Preparedness Coordinator position includes the following duties:

- Ensure that senior services grantees (NTS, SIH, Adult Day, and Family Caregiver) are coordinating with their local emergency preparedness planners to provide for the safety of vulnerable seniors
- Identify a contact person in each grantee agency who will directly communicate information about the safety and needs of seniors to DSDS in the event of an emergency or natural disaster
- Communicate directly with the Administration on Community Living/Administration on Aging regarding local emergency response in the event of an emergency or natural disaster
- Coordinate with Public Health and other State agencies in the development of a statewide emergency preparedness planning process to ensure the safety of vulnerable adults.

The Emergency Preparedness Coordinator participates in the Alaska Disability Advisory Group (ADAG) is an Emergency Preparedness (EP) workgroup to address the functional and access needs of Alaskans with Disabilities during an emergency. This group consists of 35 partners/agencies including Red Cross of Alaska, FEMA region 10, State of Alaska Emergency
Management, Independent Living Centers, and multiple disability agencies. There are monthly meetings to discuss address the functional and access needs of Alaskans with Disabilities during an emergency and is advisory to the SEOC during a declared disaster. Additional goals of the ADAG include: Integrate into the EOP, local EOPs, and SCERPs the inclusion of people with disabilities and Elders, create a communications plan, response group that attends to drills and scenarios (representing ADAG), and outreach efforts.

**Section 307(a)(2)**
The plan shall provide that the State agency will --...

(C) *specify a minimum proportion* of the funds received by each area agency on aging in the State to carry out part B that will be expended (in the absence of a waiver under sections 306 (c) or 316) by such area agency on aging to provide each of the categories of services specified in section 306(a)(2). *(Note: those categories are access, in-home, and legal assistance. Provide specific minimum proportion determined for each category of service.)*

Alaska’s state agency on aging assures that an adequate proportion of the amount allotted for part B will be expended for the delivery of (A) access to services, (B) in-home services, and (C) legal assistance. The State’s distribution of Title III(B) funds will include no less than the following percentages dedicated to these categories, based upon past performance and utilization:

(A) Access to Services: 50%

(B) In-Home Services: 5%

(C) Legal Assistance: 5%

Rationale: Alaska’s transportation costs are among the highest in the nation because of its high fuel prices, limited infrastructure, and distances between populations and town centers. In light of this and with the additional funding for in-home services provided by State funds, the State of Alaska has chosen to allocate at least 50% of Title III funds to alleviate transportation costs and assist seniors by providing affordable, accessible transportation to services. Currently in-home services, including case management, respite, and chore, are provided to seniors through State funding. The 5% allotted to in-home services is allocated to provide homemaker services, which are not provided by other senior in-home services. Legal services are provided statewide.

**Section 307(a)(3)**
The plan shall--

(B) with respect to services for older individuals residing in rural areas--

(i) provide assurances the State agency will spend for each fiscal year not less than the amount expended for such services for fiscal year 2000;

(ii) *identify, for each fiscal year to which the plan applies, the projected costs of providing such services (including the cost of providing access to such services); and*
(iii) describe the methods used to meet the needs for such services in the fiscal year preceding the first year to which such plan applies.

Alaska’s State Agency on Aging assures that the state agency will spend for each fiscal year not less than the amount expended for such services for fiscal year 2000.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title III</td>
<td>$3,628,881</td>
<td>$3,665,170</td>
<td>$3,701,822</td>
<td>$3,738,840</td>
<td>$3,776,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State General Funds</td>
<td>$4,046,177</td>
<td>$4,086,639</td>
<td>$4,127,505</td>
<td>$4,168,780</td>
<td>$4,210,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,500</td>
<td>$51,005</td>
<td>$51,515</td>
<td>$52,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7,725,058</td>
<td>$7,802,309</td>
<td>$7,880,332</td>
<td>$7,959,135</td>
<td>$8,038,726</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The intra-state funding formula and percentage allocation to each region are detailed in the funding formula section of this plan (Appendix B). Current year (FY 2019) estimated costs of providing grant-funded long-term care services including all Title III grants, Adult Day, Aging and Disability Resource Centers, and Senior In-Home, for seniors in rural Alaska are as follows:

Title III – $3,628,881

State’s Contribution – $4,046,177

Other Sources – $50,000

Total - $7,725,058

In FY 2019, the fiscal year preceding the first year to which this state plan applies, rural and partially rural regions were funded as described above. Funds were provided to non-profit agency grantees in each region for the provision of Older Americans Act programs and other services. A Rural Long-Term Services and Support Coordinator (RLTSSC), to be housed within the Commission on Aging and managed by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services, will work with rural communities throughout the state to assist in the development of community-based long-term care services for seniors. This position provides a link to rural communities so that they can develop services needed to allow their elders to age in place. By meeting with community members and service providers, the RLTSSC Coordinator assesses elder care needs in a community and works with available State, federal and local resources to meet those needs. In addition to assisting with the development of local services, the RLTSSC Coordinator will provide information to the State that is valuable in statewide services delivery efforts.

The Division of Senior & Disabilities Services grants staff conducts outreach to providers during their site visits to educate communities on Older Americans Act services offered and to assess unmet needs in each community.
Section 307(a)(10)
The plan shall provide assurance that the special needs of older individuals residing in rural areas are taken into consideration and shall describe how those needs have been met and describe how funds have been allocated to meet those needs.

The State of Alaska assures that the special needs of older individuals residing in rural areas are taken into consideration in allocating resources for senior services. In addition to following the State funding formula, which provides for a rural factor as well as a cost-of-living (COLA) factor by region (with higher COLA factors generally assigned to the more remote areas of the state), the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services coordinates with rural providers, including the Alaska Native health corporations, rural nonprofit organizations, city and borough governments, and other State agencies to ensure service delivery in rural areas. Multi-lingual outreach to rural areas is conducted through health fairs, public service announcements, and training programs, as well as through popular media such as the Senior Voice (statewide senior newspaper) and the Mukluk Telegraph (bi-monthly newsletter of the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium).

Section 307(a)(14)
(14) The plan shall, with respect to the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year for which such plan is prepared—

(A) identify the number of low-income minority older individuals in the State, including the number of low-income minority older individuals with limited English proficiency; and

According to the 2017 American Community Survey 1-year estimate, of the 117,047 people who are 60 and older in Alaska: 6 percent are living below federal poverty level, 23.6 percent are non-white, 2.7 percent are Hispanic or Latino origin (of any race) and 6.9 percent speak English less than very well.

(B) describe the methods used to satisfy the service needs of the low-income minority older individuals described in subparagraph (A), including the plan to meet the needs of low-income minority older individuals with limited English proficiency.

The State of Alaska assures services meet the needs of low-income minority older individuals by requiring grantee providers target services to the most vulnerable seniors. Grantees are required to submit an outreach plan and are measured on the % served within the target population of low income, minority, with limited English proficiency. Grantees report this in SAMS through client characteristics and it is a performance measure in our State Program report. Grantees report on the number of clients served in each category by % of Minority, Rural, and below poverty. They also report the number served with 3+ ADL needs and at high nutrition risk. Each year Alaska's performance is measured against the previous year. For example, in 2018 of the 11,244 registered clients 35.74% were minority, 75.44% were rural, 41.91% were below poverty. 1,040 registered clients served had 3+ ADL needs, and 3,031 had high nutrition risk.

Section 307(a)(21)
The plan shall --

(B) provide an assurance that the State agency will pursue activities to increase access by older individuals who are Native Americans to all aging programs and benefits provided by the agency, including programs and benefits provided under this title, if applicable, and specify the ways in which the State agency intends to implement the activities.
The State of Alaska assures that the state agency on aging will pursue activities to increase access by older individuals who are Native Americans to all aging programs and benefits provided by the agency, including programs and benefits provided under this title (Title III), if applicable. Specific outreach to Native American elders through coordination with Title VI programs is happening throughout the state. For example, Southeast Senior Services combines Title III and Title VI funds to provide meals and rides throughout the Southeast region. North Slope Borough combines funds to provide meals and rides for participants in Alaska’s far northern region. Bristol Bay Native Association combines funds to provide meals for participants in their area. In total, 12 of Alaska’s 44 Title VI agencies collaborate with or receive Title III funds from the State of Alaska. Other outreach examples include coordination with the University of Alaska’s Native Resource Center, outreach through the Senior Voice (statewide senior newspaper), coordination with individual Alaska Native regional health corporations and the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, serving traditional foods in many meal programs, having multi-lingual providers, and utilizing multi-lingual media, translators, and presenters to Alaska Native providers.

Section 307(a)(28)
(A) The plan shall include, at the election of the State, an assessment of how prepared the State is, under the State’s statewide service delivery model, for any anticipated change in the number of older individuals during the 10-year period following the fiscal year for which the plan is submitted.
(B) Such assessment may include—
(i) the projected change in the number of older individuals in the State;
(ii) an analysis of how such change may affect such individuals, including individuals with low incomes, individuals with greatest economic need, minority older individuals, older individuals residing in rural areas, and older individuals with limited English proficiency; Things that can be addressed: Challenges accessing health care, cost of health care, limited physicians who accept Medicare, workforce, housing, growth of Medicaid and how reductions will affect home and community-based services providers, increasing support for caregivers to help meet the needs of the growing senior population.
(iii) an analysis of how the programs, policies, and services provided by the State can be improved, including coordinating with area agencies on aging, and how resource levels can be adjusted to meet the needs of the changing population of older individuals in the State; and
(iv) an analysis of how the change in the number of individuals age 85 and older in the State is expected to affect the need for supportive services.

The State of Alaska addresses this section as part of the planning process for updating the State Plan for Senior Services. The context section of this plan includes quantitative data about the current and anticipated number of seniors in Alaska and the planning regions. The needs assessment gathered qualitative data from seniors and providers about current and anticipated future needs. The context section also includes a summary of the key issues for seniors in Alaska.
Section 307(a)(29)
The plan shall include information detailing how the State will coordinate activities, and develop long-range emergency preparedness plans, with area agencies on aging, local emergency response agencies, relief organizations, local governments, State agencies responsible for emergency preparedness, and any other institutions that have responsibility for disaster relief service delivery.

See previous response to section 306(a)(17).

Section 307(a)(30)
The plan shall include information describing the involvement of the head of the State agency in the development, revision, and implementation of emergency preparedness plans, including the State Public Health Emergency Preparedness and Response Plan.

The Division of Public Health, within the Alaska Department of Health & Social Services (the state unit on aging), is the state unit on aging’s lead agency with respect to emergency preparedness, working closely with the Alaska Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management as well as with local community emergency planners. The Emergency Preparedness Coordinator within the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services focuses specifically on assisting senior centers and other grantees to coordinate with their local emergency planners in order to ensure that the community plans for the needs of seniors and other vulnerable adults in the event of a natural disaster or other emergency.

Section 705(a) Eligibility --
In order to be eligible to receive an allotment under this subtitle, a State shall include in the State plan submitted under section 307--

(7) a description of the manner in which the State agency will carry out this title in accordance with the assurances described in paragraphs (1) through (6).

(Note: Paragraphs (1) of through (6) of this section are listed below)

The State agency assures that this title will be carried out in accordance with the assurance described in paragraphs (1) through (6).

In order to be eligible to receive an allotment under this subtitle, a State shall include in the State plan submitted under section 307--

(1) an assurance that the State, in carrying out any chapter of this subtitle for which the State receives funding under this subtitle, will establish programs in accordance with the requirements of the chapter and this chapter;

(2) an assurance that the State will hold public hearings, and use other means, to obtain the views of older individuals, area agencies on aging, recipients of grants under title VI, and other interested persons and entities regarding programs carried out under this subtitle;
(3) an assurance that the State, in consultation with area agencies on aging, will identify and prioritize statewide activities aimed at ensuring that older individuals have access to, and assistance in securing and maintaining, benefits and rights;

(4) an assurance that the State will use funds made available under this subtitle for a chapter in addition to, and will not supplant, any funds that are expended under any Federal or State law in existence on the day before the date of the enactment of this subtitle, to carry out each of the vulnerable elder rights protection activities described in the chapter;

(5) an assurance that the State will place no restrictions, other than the requirements referred to in clauses (i) through (iv) of section 712(a)(5)(C), on the eligibility of entities for designation as local Ombudsman entities under section 712(a)(5);

(6) an assurance that, with respect to programs for the prevention of elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation under chapter 3--

(A) in carrying out such programs the State agency will conduct a program of services consistent with relevant State law and coordinated with existing State adult protective service activities for-

(i) public education to identify and prevent elder abuse;

(ii) receipt of reports of elder abuse;

(iii) active participation of older individuals participating in programs under this Act through outreach, conferences, and referral of such individuals to other social service agencies or sources of assistance if appropriate and if the individuals to be referred consent; and

(iv) referral of complaints to law enforcement or public protective service agencies if appropriate;

(B) the State will not permit involuntary or coerced participation in the program of services described in subparagraph (A) by alleged victims, abusers, or their households; and

(C) all information gathered in the course of receiving reports and making referrals shall remain confidential except--

(i) if all parties to such complaint consent in writing to the release of such information;

(ii) if the release of such information is to a law enforcement agency, public protective service agency, licensing or certification agency, ombudsman program, or protection or advocacy system; or

(iii) upon court order.

See previous response to section 705(a)(1) through (6).
Appendix E: Demographics

This appendix provides additional detail to the demographics summary provided in the context chapter of the main body of the plan.

Population Growth

Asked to identify the state with the fastest-growing senior population, most Americans would think of Florida, Nevada, or Arizona. Few would imagine that Alaska, land of frozen tundra and long winters where the sun is not seen for months in parts of the state, leads the nation in senior population growth. The Administration on Aging’s “A Profile of Older Americans: 2017” identified a 65.5 percent increase in Alaska’s population 65 and older from 2006 to 2016. This was the top growth rate in the United States and twice the national growth rate of 32.5 percent. The average annual growth rate for Alaska’s 60 and older population between 2010 and 2018 was six percent (Figure 37). Alaska’s senior population 60 and older reached an estimated 134,983 in 2018, representing 18.2 percent of the state’s total population. Of Alaska’s senior population, 14,514 individuals are 80 years of age or older.20

The rapid expansion of Alaska’s senior population lies in the events of the 1970s: the construction of the Trans-Alaska Pipeline and the economic boom that oil development brought about, drawing thousands of young people to the state for newly-created jobs in every sector. Those young people established homes and families and grew extremely fond of Alaska’s lifestyle. Many of them stayed on for their entire working lives and are now choosing to retire in the state as well (representing a shift in a long-term pattern where most seniors left the state upon retirement). Senior population growth is also fueled by the aging of the baby boomer generation.

Figure 37: Alaska’s Senior Population 60+, 2010-2018

![](image)

The rate of growth in the 60 and older population is not uniform across the state. The statewide growth rate was 49 percent between 2010 and 2018 with more growth seen in Interior Alaska (51 percent) and Southcentral Alaska (61 percent) and less growth seen in the rural regions of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, North Slope and Northwestern Alaska.21

Figure 38: Percent Growth of 60+ Population by Region, 2010-2018

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates, 2010-2018

Alaska’s senior population 60 and older is expected to increase significantly until 2030, while the number of seniors 80 and older continues to grow through 2045 (Figure 39).22 Planning for greater capacity and infrastructure across the spectrum of senior services, including home- and community-based services and long-term care, is especially significant for the 80 and older segment of the population who typically require more supports than younger seniors. Figure 40 shows growth of the senior population relative to growth in other age groups between 2017 and 2045. The number of seniors in the state is expected to surpass the number of young people age 15 to 24 in 2020.23

Figure 39: Population Projections for Alaska’s Senior Population, 2017-2045

---

22 Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Projections, 2017-2045.
23 Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Projections, 2017-2045.
Senior Characteristics

Gender
Alaska’s 60 and older population is almost evenly divided between men and women, although men predominate in the 60 to 64 and 65 to 74 age groups and women in the 75 and older age groups.  

Figure 41: Gender of Alaska’s 60+ Population, 2017
Race
White seniors are over-represented compared to the total population, while other races are slightly under-represented. For example, 77 percent of seniors 60 and older are white, while only 66 percent of the total population is white. Meanwhile, only 12 percent of seniors are Alaska Native although 15 percent of the state’s total population is Alaska Native. African-Americans are also under-represented in the senior population. Seven percent of the total population identify as two or more races, while just three percent of the senior population are two or more races. Three percent of seniors of any race are of Hispanic origin, compared to seven percent of the total population.25

Figure 42: Race of Alaska’s 60+ Population, 2017


Migration
National demographic trends reflect changes in settlement patterns as the population ages. Seniors tend to be attracted to places with warm climates, low taxes, cultural opportunities, and other amenities. University towns as well as much of the West and South are hotspots for seniors.

The net migration rate for Alaska seniors has traditionally been negative, with many older individuals leaving the state soon after retirement. This pattern is changing as a growing number of older Alaskans choose to remain in the state as they age, in part because of improved systems of care and support as well as to remain close to family, friends, and ways of life.

Geography
Alaska’s seniors age 60 and older live primarily in the state’s most populated regions – Anchorage, the Interior (which includes Fairbanks and surrounding areas) and the Matanuska-Susitna Borough.26 A slightly larger percentage of seniors 80 and older live in Anchorage, but the percentage of seniors by region remains mostly constant between older and younger seniors, possibly indicating a desire by seniors to remain close to family and community as they age.

25 Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development Population Estimates, 2018; U.S. Census Bureau.
The relative percentage of seniors varies by region. Statewide, seniors 60+ make up 18 percent of the total population, but Southeast Alaska seniors comprise around 23 percent of the total population. In the rural northern and western regions of the state (Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, North Slope and Northwest), seniors comprise just 11 to 12 percent of the population.27

---
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Income and Benefits

Income

The American Community Survey provides household income estimates by age group. These estimates were used to identify the percentage of Alaska seniors who fall within one of three possible payer categories:

1. Income eligible Medicaid Waiver, those with annual household incomes less than $24,999;
2. Gap seniors, those with annual household incomes between $25,000 and $59,999; and,
3. Private pay, those with annual household incomes of more than $60,000.

Gap seniors are those with incomes that likely exceed the Medicaid Waiver income limit but are not sufficient to pay privately for assisted living. In Alaska, private pay seniors are estimated to make up the largest proportion of the senior population. The Kenai Peninsula Borough has the highest percentage of Medicaid Waiver seniors at 27 percent, Bethel has the highest number of gap seniors, and Anchorage, the Fairbanks North Star Borough, and Juneau all have higher numbers of private pay seniors than the statewide average.28

Figure 46: Household Income by Census Area, 2013-2017 5 Year Estimates

Insurance

Most Alaskans over age 65 have health coverage. Sixty-eight percent have Medicare, but just 21 percent of seniors are covered only by Medicare.\(^{29}\)

Figure 47: Insurance Coverage, Age 65+

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage Type</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Coverage</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Only</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private insurance</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA/TriCare Military Only</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Health

Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias

Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementias (ADRD) are estimated to affect 12,500 Alaskans over the age of 65. It is estimated that by 2030 the number of seniors with ADRD in the state will almost double, affecting 23,900 seniors.\(^ {30}\) Rates of Alzheimer’s Disease increase with age. Younger seniors, those 65 to

\(^{29}\) American Community Survey 2013-2017, 5 Year Estimates

\(^{30}\) Alaska Alzheimer’s Statistics, 2018; Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, 2018; aging.net; Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY 2020-FFY 2023 Appendices
74, have just a three percent rate of Alzheimer’s Disease while the oldest seniors, those over 85, have a 32 percent chance of Alzheimer’s Disease.\textsuperscript{31}

\textit{Figure 48: Alaska Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementia’s Prevalence, Ages 65+}
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\caption{Alaska Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementia’s Prevalence, Ages 65+}
\end{figure}

\textit{Source: Alzheimer’s Association, 2018 Alaska Alzheimer’s Statistics, AK Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Aging.com, Agnew::Beck analysis}

\textit{Figure 49: Rates of Alzheimer’s Disease by Age}
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\caption{Rates of Alzheimer’s Disease by Age}
\end{figure}

\textit{Source: Alzheimer’s Association, 2019 Alzheimer’s Statistics Facts and Figures Report. Projections. Note: Estimates do not include the related dementia that is not considered Alzheimer’s.}

The 2016 Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS) contained a module about cognitive decline. When asked about their cognitive decline, people 60 and older who self-reported as experiencing cognitive decline noted impacts on their ability to perform day-to-day activities both in and outside of the home. Forty-one percent identified they had household, work or social functional activity limitations related to their cognitive decline.

\textsuperscript{31}Alzheimer’s Association, 2019 Alzheimer’s Statistics Facts and Figures Report. Projections. Note: Estimates do not include the related dementia that is not considered Alzheimer’s.
Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries with Alzheimer’s or other dementia are significant utilizers of healthcare services. In 2015 there were 1,398 emergency department visits for every 1,000 Medicare beneficiaries with ADRD in Alaska. Eighteen percent of hospital stays were followed by readmission within 30 days for this population. In 2016, 14 percent of Alaskan Medicare beneficiaries admitted to hospice had a primary diagnosis of dementia. Total Medicaid payments for Alaskans with ADRD were 71 million dollars in 2019, a number that is projected to grow to 109 million dollars by 2025.32

Health + Behavioral Health
Alaska 2017 BRFSS data provides insight into the health of the 65 and older population in Alaska. Rates of binge drinking (five or more drinks on an occasion for men or four or more drinks on occasion for women) and daily smoking are lower in Alaska for this age bracket than the United States population as a whole. However, rates of heavy drinking (two or more drinks per day for men or one or more drinks per day for women), obesity and frequent mental distress are higher in Alaska. Seniors reporting frequent mental distress are those who say they are limited in their activities because of physical, mental or emotional conditions.


The leading causes of death for individuals 65 and older per 100,000 population, both in Alaska and in the United States as a whole, are heart disease, cancer and stroke. While Alaska sees fewer fatalities due to cancer, heart disease and Alzheimer’s Disease, there are more senior deaths per 100,000 for accidents/unintentional injuries, chronic liver disease and cirrhosis, alcohol induced deaths, fatal falls and suicides (Figure 52).

Source: Alaska Bureau of Health Analytics & Vital Records via email, 11/15/2018 as reported in the ACOA 2018 Senior Snapshot.
**Caregiving**

An estimated 85,000 Alaskans provide care to a family member or friend to help them age in place. These unpaid services have an estimated worth of 1.2 billion dollars per year.\(^{33}\) It is estimated that 33,000 Alaskans provide 38 million hours of care each year to a family member or friend with ADRD. The value of this unpaid care is estimated at 479 million dollars per year.\(^{34}\)

Most caregivers in Alaska provide care for a family member. Just over five percent of caregivers provide care for someone with dementia and 15 percent of people who are not currently caregivers expect to provide care to someone due to a health problem or disability within the next two years.\(^{35}\)

*Figure 53: Care Recipient’s Relationship to Caregiver, 2017*

Eighty-three percent of caregivers assist with household tasks and 54 percent help with managing personal tasks. Over half of caregivers provide zero to eight hours of caregiving per week, while 20 percent report providing 40 or more hours. Many caregivers (39 percent) have been caregiving for over five years, while 19 percent have been caregiving for 30 days or less.\(^{36}\)

*Figure 54: Length of Time as a Caregiver*

---

\(^{33}\) AARP Alaska (2016) [https://states.aarp.org/ak-caregiving-teletown-hall/](https://states.aarp.org/ak-caregiving-teletown-hall/)


\(^{36}\) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System – Caregiver Module, 2017
As the number of seniors in Alaska rises, the availability of potential caregivers declines. In 2018 there were 15.9 potential caregivers aged 40 to 64 for every senior over 80. By 2030, this will decrease to just 7 potential caregivers for each senior over age 80. Nationwide, in 2018, the ratio of potential caregivers to seniors was 8.6 to 1.

Program Utilization
The State of Alaska plays a significant role in funding services across the continuum of care. The graphic that follows identifies different services offered along the continuum of senior services from programs that help seniors live independently to acute care. Red dollar signs denote Medicaid/Waiver funded services and yellow dollar signs denote state grant funded and/or pass through OAA funding.
Over the past three years utilization of services for seniors has increased across most areas of service. Waiver, Personal Care Services and Senior Grant Services have seen slight decreases in utilization. Medicare saw the largest growth in utilization with 12,669 more users in fiscal year 2018 than in fiscal year 2016.\textsuperscript{37}

\textsuperscript{37}Retrieved on 4/11/2019 by RAU (data source: DS3 and Harmony); Source: evergreen Economics Compilation of State of Alaska data.
The number of seniors receiving Nutrition, Transportation, and Support services (senior meals, transportation, and homemaker services) increased from FY 2011 to FY 2018 but the percentage of the total senior population receiving these services declined from 9.7 percent in FY 2011 to 7.8 percent in FY 2018. Moreover, the number of seniors receiving Adult Day and Senior In-Home grant-funded services decreased by 34 percent and 20 percent, respectively from FY2011 to FY2018.38


38 Division of Senior and Disabilities Services Grants Unit, December 2018.
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The cost per day for all Title III OAA services has increased since 2011 with Adult Day Programs and Senior In-Home Services seeing the largest increases.

**Figure 60: Cost per Recipient for Title 3 OAA Services**

![Graph showing the cost per day for various services with a significant increase from 2011 to 2018.]

*Source: Evergreen Economics Compilation of State of Alaska data.*

**Housing Utilization**

**Figure 61: Housing Utilization**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>FY2016</th>
<th>FY2017</th>
<th>FY2018</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Licensed Assisted Living Home Beds</td>
<td>2074</td>
<td></td>
<td>2063</td>
<td>DHHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Home Filled Beds</td>
<td></td>
<td>423</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>DHHS, Division of Pioneer Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneer Home Active Waitlist (65+)</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>Division of Pioneer Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Housing Units</td>
<td>3013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AHFC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHFC Senior Housing Units Waitlist (62+)</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>1,511</td>
<td>1,558</td>
<td>Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix F. Advisory Committee + Planning Team

The core planning team for the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY2020-2023 included:

- David Blacketer, Alaska Commission on Aging Member, Advisory Committee Co-Chair, Kodiak
- Mary Shields, Alaska Commission on Aging Member, Advisory Committee Co-Chairs, Anchorage
- Gordon Glaser, Alaska Commission on Aging Chair, Anchorage
- Denise Daniello, Executive Director, DHSS, Alaska Commission on Aging
- Lesley Thompson, Planner, DHSS, Alaska Commission on Aging

The Advisory Committee included:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name + Title</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kelda Barstad, Program Officer - Anchorage</td>
<td>Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Branson, Executive Director - Kodiak</td>
<td>Senior Services of Kodiak, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Castaneda</td>
<td>Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Champney, Consultant - Juneau</td>
<td>Champney Consulting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linda Combs, Commission Member – Palmer</td>
<td>DHSS, Alaska Commission on Aging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Coons, Commission Member – Palmer</td>
<td>DHSS, Alaska Commission on Aging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nathan Dahl, Administrator - Wasilla</td>
<td>Colony Manor Assisted Living Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deb Etheridge, Acting Director - Juneau</td>
<td>DHSS, Senior and Disabilities Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Fisher - Juneau</td>
<td>Senior Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan Gone, Health Program Manager - Juneau</td>
<td>DHSS, Senior and Disabilities Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rita Gray, Mature Alaskans Seeking Employment Training (MASST) Program Coordinator - Juneau</td>
<td>Department of Labor and Workforce Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosemary Hagevig, Alaska Pioneer Home Advisory Board Chair and Commission Member – Douglas</td>
<td>DHSS, Alaska Commission on Aging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken Helander, Advocacy Director - Anchorage</td>
<td>AARP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teresa Holt, State Long-term Care Ombudsman - Anchorage</td>
<td>Alaska Office of the Long-term Care Ombudsman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pamela Kelley, Executive Director – Anchorage</td>
<td>Alzheimer's Resource of Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton Lasley, Division Director - Juneau</td>
<td>Alaska Pioneer Homes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim McCall, Officer – Housing Relations Alaska Housing Finance Corporation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Morley, Health Program Manager - Juneau DHSS, Senior and Disabilities Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tari O’Connor, / Section Chief / Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion - Anchorage DHSS, Division of Public Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joan O’Keefe, Treasurer - Juneau Statewide Independent Living Council of Alaska</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deedee Raymond, Mental Health Clinician - Anchorage DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nona Safra, Commission Member – Anchor Point DHSS, DHSS Alaska Commission on Aging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Sivertsen, Commission Member – Ketchikan DHSS, Alaska Commission on Aging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luann Strickland, Home Care Manager - Fairbanks Tanana Chiefs Conference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timothy Struna, Chief of Public Health Nursing - Anchorage DHSS, Division of Public Health</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix G. Programs and Services Description

While multiple state agencies provide services to Alaska seniors, the Department of Health & Social Services (DHSS) is the State of Alaska’s designated state unit on aging (SUA). The state is a single planning and service unit. At this time there are no Area Agencies on Aging within the state of Alaska. The responsibilities of the SUA are carried out by both the Alaska Commission on Aging (which takes the lead on planning activities, advocates for increased resources for senior programs, and promotes public awareness about senior matters) and the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services (which administers Older Americans Act funds and issues the grants to community agencies that make OAA services possible).

Advocacy, Planning, and Interagency Coordination Programs

Alaska Commission on Aging
Since 1982, the Alaska Commission on Aging, an agency within the Department of Health & Social Services, has served to ensure the dignity and independence of all older Alaskans by addressing their needs through planning, advocacy, education, and interagency cooperation.

As part of its continuing commitment to the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, FY 2016-2019, the Commission held implementation and planning meetings with its agency partners, to both identify their accomplishments related to the plan’s goals and objectives and also to plan further activities for the coming year. In 2017, the Commission began coordinating planning activities with senior consumers and representatives from public and non-profit agencies serving older Alaskans to develop the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, FFY 2020-2023. The plan fulfills a requirement of the Older Americans Act.

FY 2018 ACoA Activities
The Alaska Commission on Aging carried out the following activities in FY2018 pursuant to its core services of planning services for older adults, educating the public about issues affecting seniors, and advocating for policies, programs, and services that help older Alaskans maintain a high quality of life and ability to participate meaningfully in their communities. The Commission collaborates with public and private partners to work toward a common goal of healthy and successful aging for all Alaskan seniors.

- **Planning activities** related to this State Plan include conducting several needs assessment activities – a senior survey of Alaskans age 55+; a senior provider survey, and Elder-Senior Listening Sessions – all designed to gather information about senior needs to inform development of the new Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, FFY2020-FFY2023. Other activities included collaboration with the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority, the national Alzheimer’s Association, and the Division of Public Health to implement the Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey (BRFSS) Caregiver Module to gather the first Alaska specific data about unpaid, natural support caregivers in addition to participating in the update of the Comprehensive Mental Health Plan. The Commission also participates with the Division of Public Health and other partners to promote senior fall prevention awareness efforts.

- **Advocacy activities** during the FY2018 legislative session included monitoring a total of 50 bills and resolutions and actively supporting 11 pieces of legislation with committee testimony, legislative visits, and letters of support/commentary to bill sponsors, legislative committees, and Congressional members. Advocacy efforts resulted in an eight-year extension of the Senior...
Benefits program to seniors (age 65+) who are income eligible as well as passage of several bills that enhance programs serving seniors and other vulnerable Alaskans. In addition, the Commission and advocacy partners successfully advocated for preserving funding for core senior programs— including grant-funded services, waiver services, Personal Care Services, elder protection, transportation, caregiver supports, and housing —with no reductions in state funds.

- Public awareness and community education work in FY2018 included an Alaskan Centenarian Celebration, in collaboration with the Governor’s Office, which identified 53 Alaskan Centenarians during “May is Older Americans Month in Alaska” celebration activities and officially recognized 17 Centenarians who provided consent. The Commission coordinated the first Joint Senior Advocacy Summit, in collaboration with senior advocacy partners, to prepare for legislative session and made several presentations such as to the Alaska State Library, Southern Peninsula Senior Summit, National Association of Federal Retired Employees, Alaska Behavioral Health Association, National Institute of Senior Centers, and other presentations in addition to conducting nine statewide Senior Legislative Advocacy Teleconferences. The Commission also partnered with the Governor’s Office to recognize September 22 as Senior Fall Prevention Awareness Day, November as Alzheimer’s Awareness Month, and November as Family Caregiver Awareness Month.

Financial Safety Net Programs for Older Alaskans

Senior Benefits Program
The State of Alaska’s Senior Benefits Program provides a monthly cash payment to low-income Alaskans age 65 and older. The amount of the payment varies by income. The Alaska poverty threshold is a federally determined amount that is adjusted each year.

With support from the Alaska Commission on Aging and other senior advocates, the Senior Benefits Program was established by Alaska’s Legislature in 2007 to replace the former Senior Care Program, that had been implemented after the Longevity Bonus Program (a previous benefit program for older Alaskans which was based solely on age and residency, with no income requirement) was eliminated in 2003. The Senior Benefits Program provides benefits to a broader range of low-income seniors (Senior Care had covered individuals with incomes up to 135% of the 2005 poverty threshold), returns to an annually adjusted income cap, and eliminates asset limits that had prevented some very low-income seniors from participating in Senior Care. The program is administered by DHSS’ Division of Public Assistance. As of May 2019, funding for the Senior Benefits Program was not secured.

Adult Public Assistance
Low-income seniors with few resources may be eligible for monthly cash benefits from the Adult Public Assistance program. The State of Alaska established this program to provide financial assistance to needy aged, blind, and disabled Alaskans to help them remain independent. Those eligible must be age 65 or older, or have severe and long-term disabilities that impose mental and physical limitations on their day-to-day functioning. The program is intended to supplement the federal SSI (Supplemental Security Income) program. The program is administered by the Division of Public Assistance in DHSS.

General Relief Assistance Program (GRA)
General Relief Assistance (GRA) provides for the most basic needs of many Alaskans without the personal resources to meet an emergent need and ineligible for assistance from other programs. GRA is designed to meet the immediate, basic needs of Alaskans facing extreme financial crisis and is a
temporary funding source for assisted living home placement. This program is administered by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services.

**Alaska Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP aka “Food Stamps”)**
The Alaska SNAP, funded by the federal government, provides food benefits for low-income households. Income eligibility for Food Stamps is complex, with Senior Benefits payments and the Alaska Permanent Fund Dividend counted as income for determining eligibility.

Eligible households use SNAP benefits to buy food products from authorized stores statewide using an Alaska Quest card. The amount a household receives each month depends on the household’s size, income, assets, and location. Benefits are adjusted for the higher Alaska cost of living, and Alaska allows for higher Food Stamp benefits in rural parts of the state as well in addition to the purchase of certain subsistence hunting and fishing supplies. This program is administered by the Division of Public Assistance.

**Heating Assistance Program**
Heating assistance is provided to eligible Alaskans through two programs administered by the Division of Public Health. The Low-Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), for households with income up to 150 percent of the federal poverty income guidelines, is funded through a federal block grant.

The second program is the Subsidized Rental Housing Utility Deposit (SRHUD), which is available to assist tenants with a minimum deposit to establish gas or electric utility service required to participate in subsidized housing. To qualify for this deposit, the housing program must fully subsidize the household’s home heating costs and meet other eligibility criteria.

The SRHUD is available on a year-round basis. Applicants may receive a benefit from only one of these programs, depending on their individual circumstances. Both programs are administered by HAP use the same application and eligibility process.

**Alaska Permanent Fund Dividend**
The Alaska Permanent Fund Dividend program has, since 1982, provided an annual payment to every Alaska resident from half the earnings of the Alaska Permanent Fund. The Permanent Fund, established in 1977, receives at least 25 percent of the State’s royalties from the sale of natural resources, primarily oil and gas. The size of each year’s “PFD” depends on the average of the earnings over the previous five-year period and the number of eligible applicants.

All Alaskans who resided in the state for the entire calendar year are eligible to apply for a PFD. Dividend amounts vary widely. Dividends are extremely important to Alaska’s economy and to individual seniors, particularly those with little cash income, such as those not eligible for Social Security benefits.

**Senior Property Tax Exemption**
Alaska law exempts real property owned and occupied as a permanent home by a resident age 65 or older (or by a disabled veteran) from a portion of local property tax. Applicants apply directly to their municipality. The State established the program in the 1970s and initially paid for the cost of the program, but beginning in 1986 the State began to prorate payments to municipalities, and since FY 1997 the entire cost of the program has been paid by local governments. As home valuations have increased in recent years, there are calls from cash-strapped seniors for increasing the amount of assessed valuation exempted from property taxes; at the same time, other entities favor eliminating the
program altogether due to its cost to municipalities, and potential program growth with the increasing number of aging baby boomers.

**Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training Program (MASST) - Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP)**

The Alaska Department of Labor & Workforce Development, Division of Employment and Training, is the grantee of the OAA Title V Senior Community Service Employment for Older Americans program. The program’s statutory goals are to foster individual economic self-sufficiency, to provide community service opportunities, and to increase participation in unsubsidized employment. The program provides an average of about $200 per week to participants. In Alaska, this program is working extremely well getting older workers back to work.

Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP) provides local training in priority industry sectors by placing participants in community work-based training sites at non-profit, faith-based organizations, transportation and public facilities, governmental offices, senior centers, schools, and hospitals, to name a few. As currently structured, the SCSEP program is cost-effective, returning approximately $1.50 for every dollar invested by empowering individuals to become self-sufficient, productive, taxpaying members of their communities. About 75 cents of every dollar is expended on participant wages and fringe benefits; less than 10 cents of every dollar is expended on administration, one of the lowest rates among federal programs. The balance is expended on participant training, counseling, and related employment expenses.

During FY 2018, SCSEP served 171 older Alaskans who worked in service to the general community and 60 participants who worked in service to the elderly community. The program served an unduplicated 208 participants. Seventy-one percent of participants were female, and twenty-nine percent were male. Seventy-six percent of participants were under age 65, and twenty-four were age 65 and older. Forty percent of participants identified their race as American Indian, Alaska Native, Asian, Black, Hawaiian/Pacific Islander or mixed race. Ten percent of participants had less education than a high school diploma or equivalent, while forty-one percent had a high school diploma or equivalent, and thirty-eight percent had some post-secondary education, including eleven percent with a bachelor’s degree or advanced college degree. Eighty percent of the participants had a family income at or below the poverty level. Thirty-three percent were individuals with documented disabilities. Forty-nine percent were individuals with poor employment history or prospects. Thirty-four percent were homeless, three percent were displaced homemakers, and fifteen percent were veterans or spouses of veterans.

For FY 2018, the program exceeded its goal of 25 percent of participants placed into unsubsidized employment – in fact, a majority (58.9 percent) of program participants were able to achieve unsubsidized employment. Fully 74.5 percent of those placed into unsubsidized employment were still employed in those jobs one year later. The average earnings were $34,172 for those finding employment.

SCSEP’s common measures goals for State fiscal year 2019 are:

- Entered Employment 2nd Quarter after Exit: At least 46.2 percent will enter employment (federal law states 25 percent);
- Employment Retention: At least 36.3 percent will stay in job for one year after MASST;
- Service Level: 164.6 percent, with at least 10 percent more than the
minimum number of participants required receiving skill-specific on-the-job training;
• Service to Most in Need: Program will serve those most in need as evidenced by average number of barriers (at least 2); and
• Average Earnings: Increase average wages from zero to $8,543 per quarter.

Personal Safety and Long-Term Care Supports

Office of the Long-term Care Ombudsman
Mission of the Long-term Care Ombudsman
The Long-term Care Ombudsman Program is mandated by the Older Americans Act and state law to provide resident centered advocacy designed to protect the rights, health, safety, and welfare of Alaskans living in nursing facilities and assisted living homes. In Alaska, the OLTCO program also provides advocacy to seniors with complaints about their residential circumstances.

What does a Long-term Care Ombudsman do?
• Visit older Alaskans who are 60 years of age or older who live in assisted living homes or nursing homes
• Educate older Alaskans and their families about their rights in a facility
• Support and Empower older Alaskans to advocate for themselves
• Assist older Alaskans in Resolving issues and concerns
• Provide Information and Referrals to older Alaskans having difficulty with guardianship, financial exploitation or housing
• Create Systems Change that improves the lives of older Alaskans

The Office of the Long-term Care Ombudsman works hard to make visits to facilities statewide so that seniors have regular and timely access to ombudsman services. The OLTCO made 1,143 unannounced visits to all 280 long term care facilities in Alaska, visiting more than 3,200 seniors. There are long term care facilities in 28 different communities in Alaska. Resolving complaints, visiting facilities, and providing information and referral in Alaska is difficult with only 6 staff. To help with these duties, the OLTCO trains and certifies volunteer ombudsmen to assist with making regular visits to facilities. In FY2018, Alaska’s OLTCO investigated 631 complaints from seniors across the state, resolving the complaint to the senior’s satisfaction 98 percent of the time.

Top 5 Complaints in Nursing Facilities
1. Discharge and eviction
2. Request for less restrictive placement
3. Access to physician services
4. Symptoms not attended including pain
5. Issues with medication administration

Top 5 Complaints in Assisted Living Homes
1. Discharge and eviction
2. Issues with guardian or legal representative
3. Personal property lost or destroyed
4. Being treated with dignity and respect by facility staff
5. Request for less restrictive placement
The OLTCO works with the licensing and certification agencies for both nursing facilities and assisted living homes on a daily basis to prevent abuse and neglect of seniors living in long term care facilities. The OLTCO also collaborates with partners in the aging community to resolve systems issues at the state level, including the Alaska Commission on Aging, the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority, Senior and Disability Services, Elder Fraud unit, and AARP. These partners have worked on the following issues: guardianship reform, facility discharge process, dementia caregiver information and supports as well as training on emergency preparedness for seniors and long term care facilities.

**Adult Protective Services**

Within the Division of Senior and Disabilities Services, Adult Protective Services (APS) responds to reports of harm to vulnerable adults who are defined as those age 18 years or older with a physical or cognitive impairment or condition that prevents them from protecting themselves or seeking help from someone else. Allegations may involve abuse, neglect, self-neglect, or exploitation. Alaska law requires that protective services not interfere with elderly or disabled individuals who are capable to care for themselves.

In FY2017, APS received a total of 6,351 reports of harm that include 5,744 person-centered reports of harm and 607 reports of harm involving residents of assisted living homes. These reports to APS resulted in 1,626 (25%) new investigations, 861 (13%) continuing investigations already in progress, and 1,623 (25%) for information and referral. The majority of reports of harm received by APS involved Alaskans age 60 years and older. Specifically, there were a total of 3,387 reports of harm involving seniors/elders or 51% of the total reports received in FY2017.

In 2017 self-neglect, financial exploitation, and neglect were the three most frequent reports of harm involving seniors. In FY2017 APS investigated 878 case of abuse involving senior/elders over 60 and made 858 information and referral involving senior/elders over 60.

Of the founded investigations involving older adults in FY2017, 1,211 investigations (51%) reported allegations of self-neglect; 324 investigations (5.3%) related to financial exploitation allegations, and 424 investigations (6.6%) corresponded to neglect allegations. A family member was most often reported as the perpetrator for non-self-neglect investigations involving an older adult when a perpetrator was indicated.

APS has experienced substantial increases not only in the number of reports of harm that come in, but also in the complexity of cases, due to improved public awareness about the signs of elder abuse and the fast-growing senior population. APS resources are not keeping pace with these changes, particularly during recent fiscal constraints. As a result, it is difficult to maintain adequate staffing levels and training. APS investigators in Alaska carry the highest caseloads in the country and have the most geographical challenges and areas to cover. Involvement of the criminal justice system and other partners, in particular financial institutions, is not always adequate, impeding APS’ ability to resolve cases. Stronger efforts in coming years will focus on abuse prevention, public education, and up-to-date technology and updated assessment tools to help close the gap on the growing demands of Alaska’s APS. Public awareness can be part of an overall approach to preventing adult abuse and neglect.

**Division of Senior and Disabilities Services Quality Assurance Program**

Within the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services (DSDS), the Quality Assurance (QA) program seeks to maintain continuous improvement in the services (including Medicaid waiver services and senior grant program services, among others) provided to consumers. QA safeguards the integrity of DSDS’ programs.
by gathering and analyzing stakeholder information. The QA Unit provides technical assistance and information necessary for service providers to meet complex regulatory requirements. The Quality Assurance Unit strives to strengthen the information network among consumers, service providers and the DSDS staff.

**Office of Public Advocacy**
Located within the State of Alaska’s Department of Administration, the Office of Public Advocacy (OPA) protects the rights of vulnerable Alaskans by providing legal assistance and public guardian representation to abused and neglected children, incapacitated adults, and others. OPA represents only clients for whom the agency is appointed by a court. As of 2006, OPA now includes the Office of Elder Fraud and Assistance (see below).

**Office of Elder Fraud and Assistance**
This office, located in the Office of Public Advocacy (within the Department of Administration), was established by legislation passed in 2006. The office is empowered to investigate complaints and file civil actions involving fraud committed against Alaska residents age 60 and older. “Fraud” includes robbery, extortion, coercion, theft, and exploitation for personal profit or advantage. The office also provides information, referrals and assistance to older Alaskans who are victims of fraud and co-sponsors consumer education efforts designed to help seniors protect themselves from identify theft, credit and debt consolidation scams, predatory lending, Medicare and Medicaid fraud, and other issues of concern.

**Alaska Pioneer Homes**
The Alaska Pioneer Home and Alaska Veterans Home system (AKPH) is established in Alaska Statute. They are maintained and operated under the State of Alaska, Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Alaska Pioneer Homes. The six Alaska Pioneer Homes are located in Ketchikan, Sitka, Juneau, Anchorage, Palmer and Fairbanks. The AKPH also operates a centralized pharmacy located at the Anchorage Pioneer Home which provides pharmacy services to elders in all six locations.

Eligibility to the Pioneer Homes requires an individual to be age 65 or older and has been a resident of Alaska for at least one year. Applicants may select placement on the inactive waitlist or the active waitlist depending on how soon they anticipate needing services. Waitlist priority is based solely on an individual’s application date.

The Pioneer Homes are licensed as Assisted Living facilities and comply with Assisted Living Licensing Statutes and Regulations. This care delivery model is less expensive than a traditional skilled nursing environment and more appropriate for the needs of the elders currently living in the Pioneer Homes. The majority of AKPH elders have some form of cognitive impairment in addition to their need for physical assistance with activities of daily living. The Pioneer Homes are recognized for the highly specialized care they offer individuals with Alzheimer’s disease and related cognitive dementias. This delivery of compassionate care to such a vulnerable population requires increased staffing ratios, mandatory staff training requirements, and specialized equipment offered in the dementia neighborhoods.

The rates for the AKPH are set in regulation and vary depending on the level of service needed. Discussions are on-going related to proposals for additional levels of service and increased rates to reflect the actual cost of providing care. Elders can make payment for their monthly services privately, or through some combination of private pay, Medicaid waiver, Long Term Care or other third-party insurance. If an elder is no longer able to afford the monthly rate they can apply to the Pioneer Home.
payment assistance program. The current waitlist for AKPH services in each of the six communities continues to grow and there are no plans for additional Pioneer Homes in the State.

**Assisted Living Licensing**

An assisted living home can be a place for seniors and disabled Alaskans to call home and feel a part of a community, thus helping them to stay independent longer. The Assisted Living Licensing program in the Section of Certification and Licensing recently moved from the Division of Public Health to the Division of Health Care Services. The office licenses assisted living homes according to State guidelines (those homes that house only one or two residents and do not receive state or federal funding are exempt from licensing requirements); provides orientation on State regulations, licensing and fees; investigates complaints alleging violation of State guidelines; answers questions and maintains a current list of licensed assisted living homes around Alaska; monitors homes to ensure that they are clean, safe, sanitary and are providing appropriate meals and activities for their residents; and provides technical assistance and coordinates training to assisted living home providers. Additional homes are licensed to care for people with developmental disabilities and individuals with mental illness.

**Background Check Unit**

The Background Check Unit within the Division of Health Care Services’ Certification and Licensing Section provides centralized background check support for health, safety and welfare programs that are subject to the licensing and certification authority of the Department, or that are eligible to receive payments (such as grant funds and Medicaid reimbursements) from the Department. All staff serving vulnerable populations in these programs are subject to the background check requirements. Employers may complete online background check applications before hiring personal care attendants or staff for assisted living homes, senior centers, and many other programs serving seniors.

**Emergency Preparedness**

While the Department of Military & Veterans Affairs’ Division of Homeland Security & Emergency Management is the State of Alaska’s lead agency for emergency management, the Division of Public Health takes the lead within the Department of Health & Social Services. For the past three years, the Division of Public Health’s Section of Emergency Programs has been working with urban, rural, and tribal communities on emergency planning for vulnerable populations. These populations are defined as functional needs populations, the elderly, and anyone who needs more than basic medical care. (Functional needs populations are groups who may not be able to comfortably or safely access and use the standard resources offered in disaster preparedness, response, and recovery. This includes, but is not limited to those who are physically or mentally disabled, the non-English-speaking or those with limited English speaking ability, the medically or chemically dependent, the geographically or culturally isolated, the frail elderly, and children. The experiences of Hurricane Katrina and other natural disasters highlighted the need to improve disaster response preparedness and planning for vulnerable populations during a disaster.)

The Section of Emergency Preparedness works with the Alaska Pioneer Homes (six long term care assisted living home facilities operated by the State of Alaska) to assist them in their emergency planning and Continuity of Operations Planning for their residents and facilities.

The Emergency Preparedness Coordinator participates in the Alaska Disability Advisory Group (ADAG) is an Emergency Preparedness (EP) workgroup to address the functional and access needs of Alaskans with Disabilities during an emergency. This group consists of 35 partners/agencies including Red Cross of Alaska, FEMA region 10, State of Alaska Emergency Management, Independent Living Centers, and Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY 2020-FFY 2023 Appendices A-78
multiple disability agencies. There are monthly meetings to discuss address the functional and access needs of Alaskans with Disabilities during an emergency and is advisory to the SEOC during a declared disaster. Additional goals of the ADAG include: Integrate into the EOP, local EOPs, and SCERPs the inclusion of people with disabilities and Elders, create a communications plan, response group that attends to drills and scenarios (representing ADAG), and outreach efforts.

**Rural Long-Term Services and Support Coordinator**

Rural Long-Term Care Development (to be placed with the Alaska Commission on Aging with oversight provided by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services) assists in the development of a variety of services in rural areas so that elders can remain as close to home as possible when they need extended care. Funded by a grant from the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority and Medicaid administrative claiming funds, the goal is to assist rural communities to develop home- and community-based services, such as care coordination, chore and respite services, personal care assistance programs, adult day centers, and other home- and community-based waiver services. The program provides training and technical assistance to communities.

**Information Resources**

**Medicare Information Office, including Senior Medicare Patrol, State Health Insurance Assistance Program and Medicare Improvement for Patients and Providers Act**

As part of the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003, the Medicare Information Office was established and housed in the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services. The office provides a toll-free number that anyone may call 24/7 for information on any aspect of Medicare, including enrollment in Medicare Parts A and B, Medigap insurance, Medicare Part D prescription drug plans, paying for Medicare programs – including Extra Help and the Medicare Savings Plan, coverage questions, training, finding local Medicare counselors, and other assistance. As one of the most visible programs offering a toll-free hotline, the office receives approximately 1,000 calls a month, triaging simple questions to local counselors while answering more complex calls and managing the complex calls to prioritize people who need their medications within a week and/or have other emergent health needs.

The Medicare Information Office houses the Alaska Senior Medicare Patrol (SMP), a program that emphasizes identification and prevention of Medicare fraud, waste, and abuse. The Alaska State Health Insurance Program (SHIP), a national program that offers one-on-one counseling and assistance to people with Medicare and their families. The Medicare Improvement for Patient and Providers Act (MIPPA) helps older adults, individuals with disabilities, and their caregivers apply for special assistance through Medicare by outreach and application assistance to those who may be eligible for the Low-Income Subsidy program, Medicare Savings Program, and the Medicare Part D Prescription Drug Program. All the programs in the Medicare Information Office are federally funded by the U.S. Administration on Aging and have a special focus on reaching people with a limited income and people with mental health and other disabilities who are younger than 65 and on Medicare.

Consistent with the spirit of the SHIP, SMP and MIPPA programs, there is a cadre of trained volunteer counselors throughout the state of Alaska to assist the public with all aspects of Medicare and to refer as appropriate. Training occurs via phone mentoring, webinar, in person, and through regional training that the two full-time Medicare experts provide. In addition to providing Medicare information to recipients in their communities, volunteers also are trained to spot and stop fraud, waste, and abuse in the Medicare program.
Partners providing counselors or liaisons include many senior centers, all the sites that provide home delivered or congregate meals, advocates that provide training on consumer protection such as the Office of Elder Fraud and Assistance, AARP, the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, Access Alaska, the Salvation Army’s Older Alaskans Program, the Aging and Disability Resource Centers (ADRC), the Alzheimer’s Disease Resource Agency of Alaska, and others.

Alaska’s Medicare Information Office SHIP, SMP and MIPPA continue to develop efficiencies to communicate authoritative and current information about Medicare such as the use of their website, e-list, webinars, and the recruitment of retired teachers and nurses. Grantees include Anchorage and Fairbanks serving the regions with the most Medicare beneficiaries in the state and providing coverage to all 96,786 beneficiaries in Alaska. Grantees also work closely with the ADRCs and other information and referral agencies to assist seniors and people with disabilities to access resources as efficiently as possible.

**Aging and Disability Resource Centers**

Alaska’s Aging and Disability Resource Centers (ADRCs) serve as a centralized resource for information and assistance related to long term services and supports to seniors, people with disabilities, and their caregivers and families accessing public and private long term care services. Core functions include information, referral and assistance, Person Centered Intake (PCI), options counselling, streamlined benefits screening, person-centered transition support, outreach and training. In 2017, Alaska implemented its Person Centered Intake as a first step for all individuals seeking long term services and supports. The PCI collects important information about the individual and provides guidance as to what services would best meet their needs. ADRC Specialists are trained to provide Options counseling after the PCI and can provide a follow up call to ensure the individual was able to access services and provide assistance as needed.

Alaska’s ADRC are administered by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services and are funded partially with state funds and also through Medicaid Administrative Claiming. With a growing senior population in Alaska and the rising cost of long term care support services, the need for expertise of available options coupled with supported decision making, is increasingly essential. Six ADRCs provide statewide coverage and are operated by a variety of non-profit, government and Tribal organizations. ADRCs are required to be “conflict free” meaning they are not allowed to provide Medicaid home and community-based services. In FY2018, ADRCs served 10,764 individuals statewide.

**Developmental Disabilities Resource Connections (formerly known as STAR)**

Similar to the Aging and Disability Resource Centers (ADRCs), Developmental Disabilities Resource Connections (DDRC) serve as the primary resource for individuals who experience an intellectual and/or developmental disability and their families. With an increasing aging Alaskan demographic, comes an aging IDD population and aging caregivers of individuals who experience IDD, who may experience changing needs due to aging. DDRCs collect information about the individual using the Person-Centered Intake (PCI) and if needed, assists them in applying for long term services and supports available through the Division of Senior and Disabilities Services as well as providing assistance connecting with other state and local resources. There are 9 DDRCs providing statewide coverage operated by non-profit and tribal health organizations. In FY2018 DDRCs served 1,251 individuals.

**Centers for Independent Living (CIL)**

The federal Rehabilitation Act describes a center for independent living (CIL) as a consumer-controlled, community-based, cross-disability, nonresidential private nonprofit agency that is designed and
operated within a local community by individuals with disabilities and provides an array of independent living services. Centers for Independent Living serve people of all ages. In the last completed fiscal year, the four Alaska CILs served 1,241 individuals age 60 and older residing in more than 70 communities scattered across the state. Most frequently provided services provided by CILs include assistive devices; independent living skills training; transportation; advocacy; recreation and community engagement; employment; transition services; and housing and home modifications supporting safely aging in place in the environment of choice. Home Modifications for Aging in Place, HomeMAP ©, is a comprehensive, person centered, home assessment and resource tool developed by the Independent Living Center and has partnered with Southeast Alaska Independent Living (SAIL) to deliver the program. HomeMAP is available statewide as a fee-for-service.

Senior Housing and Facility Supports

Alaska Housing Finance Corporation Senior Housing Office

Alaska Housing Finance Corporation’s (AHFC) mission is to provide access to safe, quality, and affordable housing. Within AHFC, the Senior Housing Office works with seniors and others to promote adequate, accessible, secure and affordable housing. In addition to advocacy efforts and industry relationships, developing senior housing is accomplished either through the use of competitive grant awards or qualifying loans, or both, which assist developers who seek to build affordable senior housing in the state. Within AHFC’s public housing division, seniors may pursue either senior/disabled housing or the Housing Choice Voucher program.

Seniors age 62 or older, or persons with a verifiable disability age 18 or older, may apply to rent housing at one of the eleven HUD senior housing facilities managed by AHFC and located across the state. Many seniors pursue the Housing Choice Voucher program, which allows them to live anywhere, so long as the landlord accepts the voucher for federally subsidized rent.

The Housing Choice Voucher program allows families to pay approximately 30 percent of their income toward rent, with the balance supplied by the voucher. There is also a wait list for this program. Persons with acute need, such as those who are homeless, fleeing domestic violence, or paying more than 50 percent of their income for rent, among others, have the highest priority on the wait list.

Alaska Housing Finance Corporation also supports privately developed housing projects designed to serve seniors through various grants, loans, and tax credit programs. The Greater Opportunities for Affordable Living (GOAL) program includes Low Income Housing Tax Credits and HOME funds. Each of these programs plays a critical role in the development process for senior housing, but applicants must compete with others who are likewise providing housing for special needs populations and low-income families.

Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority Affordable Housing Focus Area

The Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority administers the Mental Health Trust to improve the lives of beneficiaries. Trustees have a fiduciary responsibility to protect and enhance Trust assets in perpetuity for the beneficiaries. The Trust provides leadership in advocacy, planning, implementing and funding a Comprehensive Integrated Mental Health Program and acts as a catalyst for change. Beneficiaries are those who experience a mental illness, chronic alcoholism and drug dependence, developmental disabilities, Alzheimer’s disease and related dementia conditions, and brain injury.
The Trust has identified housing and long-term services and supports as a critical area for planning and resource investment in Alaska. The Trust beneficiaries have many unmet housing needs: lack of affordable decent options, rising costs for rent and utilities, social challenges, disruptions in housing stability, and other challenges. The Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority has identified affordable housing as a priority area for funding and advocacy. Safe, decent, affordable, accessible, and appropriate housing is often the key for Trust beneficiaries in maintaining a healthy lifestyle and participating in rehabilitation and recovery activities, or in receiving supportive services through a dignified end of life.

The statewide shortage of affordable, safe, accessible, and appropriate housing disproportionately affects seniors and Trust beneficiaries due to the rising costs of rent and utilities, combined with challenges associated with disabling conditions or health problems. These problems will only be amplified as we see the increase of seniors in the state as the baby boomer generation ages and chooses to remain in Alaska.

The Trust’s-Housing and Long-term Services and Supports Focus Area pursues the following strategies targeted toward Trust beneficiaries who are elderly and/or require long-term care services:

- Policy advocacy to bring together necessary funding sources to support the supported housing stock in the state. This work includes efforts to replicate aspects of a housing trust used in several other states that would assist in providing on-site support services.
- Increasing capital resources for supportive housing for seniors and those with cognitive and behavioral challenges due to mental illness, dementia, or other related cognitive disorders.
- Increasing the availability of long-term care supports and community-based services for those beneficiaries who are at risk of institutionalization.
- Increasing the availability of technical assistance through the State’s Department of Health & Social Services, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation, and the Pre-development Program (operated by the Foraker Group) for development and maintenance of safe, affordable housing at the community level.

Facilities Capital Grants
The Department of Health & Social Services’ (DHSS) Facilities Section has several capital grant programs that can be used to help provide services to Alaska’s seniors. Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority beneficiaries, who include older adults who live with Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias or are experiencing chronic alcoholism, developmental disabilities, mental illness, or brain injury, are served through these capital grant programs.

The DHSS, Finance and Management Services, Facilities Section administers three Capital Grant Programs to meet the needs of Trust Beneficiaries and other vulnerable Alaskans.

- The Deferred Maintenance Capital Grant program allows eligible service providers of Trust beneficiaries to apply through the Request for Proposal (RFP) process. If awarded, the provider can procure deferred maintenance and accessibility improvements to the buildings housing treatment offices, residential services, administrative offices, and similar services.
- The Essential Program Equipment Capital Grant program is for one-time equipment purchases for eligible applicants for program equipment needs. Example of eligible program equipment needs include, but are not limited to, therapeutic equipment, computers, fax machines, copiers, general office equipment and furnishings, and kitchen equipment.
• The Home Modifications Capital Grant program seeks to provide eligible service providers with the resources to ensure Trust beneficiaries and special needs populations are able to experience increased mobility and accessibility in their home environment. The overall goal of this capital grant program is to fund capital projects which initiate, enhance, or extend an eligible service provider’s system of delivering the resources required to provide home modifications for Trust beneficiaries or individuals with special needs.

**Senior Residential Services Grant (SRS Grant)**

Through designated funding from the Alaska State Legislature, the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services oversees three grants to rural/remote providers in Galena (Yukon-Kuskokwim Elder Assisted Living Home), Tanana (Tanana Tribal Association), and Dillingham (Bristol Bay Housing Authority) for supported residential living services to frail elders who do not have access to the Pioneer Homes or other assisted living facilities in their community or region. Senior Residential Services facilities supported by these funds served 31 individuals in FY 2018. Many of the residents are Alaska Native elders who have relocated from surrounding villages. These SRS funded assisted living facilities provide meals, community event for socialization, transportation, and assistance with activities of daily living to enable the elders to remain in or near their community of choice.

**Home and Community-Based Services**

**Senior Home and Community-Based Grant Programs**

As an agency within the State Unit on Aging, the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services uses a combination of Title III, State general funds and local match for the provision of home and community-based services to meet the needs of individuals who are 60+ years old and may have a disability, and may not qualify for Medicaid (or other) services. Home and community-based grant programs administered by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services provide a safety net for older individuals who need assistance in order to remain independent, but who do not qualify for other publicly funded programs, and are intended as a safety net for individuals who are at risk for institutionalization and wish to remain in their own homes.

Grant funds are awarded to provider agencies statewide through a competitive grant process and are targeted to meet the needs of individuals with ADRD (Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders), those who are non-English-speaking, older adults living in rural areas, those age 80 and older, seniors who are experiencing poverty, and those at risk of institutionalization.

Individuals may access home- and community-based services through a number of grant programs administered by the State. Senior Community Based Grant programs include the Senior In-Home Services grant, Nutrition, Transportation and Support Services (NTS) grant, and Adult Day grants. Services provided through these grant programs include: adult day care, meals, transportation, respite, chore, case management, information and assistance, homemaker, legal assistance, and other supportive services. In FY 2018, the Senior Community Grant programs combined served 13,247 unique individuals.

**Medicaid Personal Care Services Program (formerly Personal Care Assistance)**

Services provided through the Personal Care Services (PCS) Program support Medicaid-eligible individuals who need assistance with activities of daily living (such as eating, bathing, dressing, transferring, and toileting) as well as instrumental activities of daily living (including shopping, laundry, and light housework) to live in their own homes and communities. Personal Care Services are provided
in Alaska through private and nonprofit agencies, with administration of the program by the PCS Unit of Senior and Disabilities Services. Personal Care Services are provided through two different qualified models that include agency-based PCS and the Consumer Directed PCS.

- **Agency-based PCS:** Consumers may choose to receive services through an agency that oversees, manages, and supervises their care. PCAs (Personal Care Assistants) working in an Agency-Based PCS program must be at least 18 years of age, have successfully completed a 40-hour PCS training program delivered by a licensed State of Alaska registered nurse and approved by the State, possess current CPR/First Aid certification, be individually enrolled, and pass a criminal history background check. Supervision of the PCS service plan is provided by a registered nurse in the Agency Based PCS program.

- **Consumer Directed PCS:** Alternatively, consumers may manage their own care by selecting, hiring, training, firing, scheduling, and supervising their own PCA worker. The agency provides administrative support to both the consumer and the assistant by providing payroll and billing support, prepares a back-up service plan with the recipient, and conducts semiannual visits with the consumer in that person's home. Eligible PCAs must be at least 18 years of age, be individually enrolled, pass a criminal history background check, and be trained by the recipient for their specific needs. The recipient may hire a family member (excluding a spouse or minor child) or friend to work as their PCA. The recipient also decides what training, if any, they will require for their PCA. Supervision by a registered nurse is not provided by the consumer-directed PCS agency.

From testimony of seniors at its quarterly meetings, the Alaska Commission on Aging understands that older Alaskans throughout the state wish to have a choice between the agency-based and the consumer-directed PCS programs. While consumer-directed services fit the needs of some seniors, others have told us they simply lack the energy or focus to manage their own PCA, and desire an agency to handle the details for them. Both agency-based PCS and consumer-directed PCS programs are available in most communities in Alaska. Together the programs provide support for about 4,000 Alaskan seniors and individuals with disabilities.

**Community First Choice Program**

In October 2018, Senior and Disabilities Services brought a new state plan service on line called Community First Choice (CFC). Seniors can also receive personal care services through this state plan option. They must first meet an Institutional level of care and income eligibility requirements. There are additional Personal Care Assistance services available through this option including time for supervision and cuing, skill building, and worker supervision training. Personal Emergency Response systems are also available through this program.

**Medicaid Waiver Programs**

The Division of Senior & Disabilities Services provides Medicaid waiver programs, including the Alaskans Living Independently Waiver (formerly Older Alaskans Waiver), for Medicaid-eligible individuals who are age 21 years or older and meet a nursing home level of care. In FY 2018, 1,355 Alaskans received services under the Alaskans Living Independently Waiver program. At an average annual cost per beneficiary of $36,598, this program not only supports seniors to live in their own homes and communities (where they desire to be), but also does so at a cost equal to about ten percent of the cost of a skilled nursing facility in Alaska.

A long-time concern related to the Medicaid waiver in Alaska is that an individual in the early or middle stages of Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias (ADRD) as a primary diagnosis may not be eligible.
for the waiver because they do not meet nursing facility level of care. While the person may be functionally able to perform tasks, he or she requires prompting and cueing to know when and how to perform these tasks. For an individual living alone, or even one with a caregiver who works during the day, not having access to appropriate services can create a great hardship as well as safety concerns for that person to be at home alone. Many of these individuals can and do receive services through the Senior Grants programs, although the need for services exceeds their availability. The Alaska Commission on Aging and its advocacy partners continue to seek ways to meet the needs of persons with ADRD for home- and community-based services by advocating for options to the Medicaid State Plan to serve persons with ADRD and other cognitive impairments.

**Nursing Facility Transition Program**

Alaska offers a Nursing Facility Transition Program (within the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services), which helps families by offering support and funds to enable seniors and disabled citizens to return to independent or family living after a stay in a nursing facility. Originally piloted under a Real Choice System Change Grant, this program can provide funding for one-time expenses such as home or environmental modifications, travel, room and board to bring caregivers in from a rural community to receive training, security deposits, initial cleaning of a home, basic furnishings necessary to set up a livable home, transportation to the new home, and other needed items or services approved by program coordinators.

The Centers for Independent Living (CILs) administer the Nursing Facility Transition program and work with the individual, discharge planner, family members, and service providers to develop a transition plan back into the community. To be eligible for this program, a person must qualify both medically and financially for the Medicaid Home- and Community-Based Services (HCBS) Waiver program or the Medicaid funded Personal Care Services Program. The grant is used only for one-time costs associated with the transition. After that, the Medicaid program pays for all services when the HCBS waiver or PCS services are approved. The nursing facility transition process may take from one to three months to complete.

In FY 2018, this program helped 25 people to transition from nursing facilities. The program’s current goal is to transition 50 people per year out of nursing homes and back into the community. FY 2018 costs averaged $2,400 per person, using State of Alaska general funds. (Note: The median cost of a private room in an Alaska nursing home is $907, more than three times the average cost for a day of nursing home care in the U.S. as a whole, according to the Genworth 2018 Cost of Care Survey in Alaska).

**Services for Alaskan Veteran Seniors**

According to estimates from the U.S. Department of Veteran Affairs (2017), 20,421 Alaskan Veterans are age 65 and older.

The Alaska Office of Veterans Affairs, under the Department of Military and Veterans Affairs, serves as the primary advocate for Alaska’s Veterans by offering assistance to determine eligibility, connecting them with appropriate services, completing paperwork, and providing general resource direction on all veteran benefit issues. All primary services are provided free of charge and range from helping Veterans file claims for education, medical or other benefits to assisting them in obtaining earned military awards. Located throughout the state are Veterans Service Officers who are trained to help veterans find the benefits they are entitled to and assist them in claiming those benefits.
The Alaska Veterans and Pioneers Home in Palmer, built in 1971, was approved by the Legislature as the state’s only Certified State Veterans’ Home in Alaska. This Home has 79 beds of which 75 percent are designated for Veterans with the remainder of beds available for non-veterans. Veteran residents are eligible for several benefits including a per diem from the VA Office to offset costs of their care, transportation to VA appointments, access to special support from VA health, social services and other community-based services, in addition to other special benefits.

The Alaska Veteran-Directed Home- and Community-Based Services program was established in 2015 and is a collaboration of the Alaska Veterans Affairs, the Aging and Disability Resource Centers serving the Kenai Peninsula, Kodiak Island, Southeast Alaska, and soon the Matanuska Susitna Valley, and a fiscal agent located in Vermont. This program allows Veterans to receive the home- and community-based services they need in a self-directed manner. Based on their level of care needs, Veteran enrollees are given a budget for services that is managed by the Veteran or their designee. Services are selected by the Veteran, and if the need is in-home support services such as personal care, chore, and respite, the Veteran (or designee) acts as an employer, and is responsible for recruiting, hiring and establishing a wage for their employees. The budget can also include home-delivered meals, adult day services, and other services identified by the Veteran. This program supports Veterans enrolled in VA Healthcare who need hands on assistance to remain in supportive home environments; provides an opportunity for Veterans to direct their own care; improves the quality of life for Veterans through service provision; and provides support and respite for family and other informal caregivers, allowing Veterans to remain in their own homes longer by preventing the need for higher level of care services.

Veterans Advisory Council
The Alaska Veterans Advisory Council consists of 13 members appointed by the governor. The Alaska Veterans Advisory Council’s mission is to address the needs and concerns of all of Alaska’s Veterans, their dependents, and survivors and to improve recognition of Alaska’s Veterans. The Council carries out its mission by making prioritized recommendations on suggested, existing or pending state legislation, regulations, administration policy, and the budget to ensure the delivery of needed state and federal Veterans’ entitlements, benefits and services.

The Council advises the Department of Military and Veterans Affairs and, through the governor, other departments and agencies of the state on matters concerning state Veterans, their dependents, and their survivors. The Council meets annually and makes recommendations to the Governor and the Department concerning the needs of and benefits for the State’s Veterans, developing public and private partnerships to meet those needs, providing information regarding Veterans’ benefits and services, for improving recognition of State Veterans, and on other matters. The Council is dedicated to the concerns of the Alaska Veterans community as a whole, and does not take action on individual Veteran concerns.

Older Americans Act Title III Grant-Funded Programs and Services
Programs funded by Title III of the Older Americans Act are administered and coordinated with a blend of federal, state, and local funding. These programs cover information and assistance, adult day, congregate and home-delivered meals, legal assistance, transportation, nutrition education, outreach, health promotion and disease prevention, medication management, community services, homemaker services, care coordination/case management, and caregiver services. Older Americans Act programs are administered by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services with federal and state funds distributed
through grants to provider organizations throughout the state, based on the funding formula described in this State Plan for Senior Services, FFY 2020-2023

The Older Americans Act provides the framework for delivery of services along the continuum of care to meet the social and nutritional needs of seniors throughout the state. In addition, the Older Americans Act programs administered by DSDS provide the basis for coordination of services for seniors that would otherwise be fragmented. Partnerships between senior grant programs funded through the Older Americans Act (Title III) and other entities include: Title VI programs, Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman, Medicare Information Office, Legal Assistance, Division of Public Health, Division of Behavioral Health, Independent Living Centers, Pioneer Homes, Medicaid Programs, Division of Public Assistance, local senior services providers, municipalities, and tribal health organizations.

The Senior Grant Programs provide critical supports and opportunities for seniors and their caregivers so that they may live independently in their homes and communities for as long as they are able. In addition to services authorized under Title III of the Older Americans Act, Senior Grant Programs offer additional services targeted to individuals with Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias (ADRD) and their caregivers.

The array of services available in each community differs based upon the unique characteristics of the community and the needs of its seniors. Older Americans Act programs ensure participation by seniors in the development and delivery of services and technical assistance is provided by the State Unit on Aging to communities who need help developing a viable plan for service delivery to meet the needs of seniors in their area.

**Nutrition, Transportation, and Support Services Grant Program**

Federal funds for the Nutrition, Transportation and Support Services (NTS) Grant Program are awarded to the State by the Administration for Community Living (ACL), Administration on Aging (AoA), in accordance with Title III of the Older Americans Act (OAA). In addition to the Federal and State funds, applicants provide additional matching funds including senior donations and funds through other fundraising activities, community volunteers, and volunteer participants through the Title V Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training program to meet the growing demand and ensure successful programs.

In accordance with the Older Americans Act, NTS services target seniors (age 60 and older) whose health and welfare are at highest risk to help seniors maintain their health and independence and prevent the need for costly medical and institutional care. While there is never a fee charged for services, seniors may voluntarily donate to the cost of services.

Provider organizations, including non-profits, school districts, and tribal and local governments, choose from an array of services to best meet the needs of the older Alaskans they serve. These services help seniors maintain their quality of life and promote active, meaningful, and involved lifestyles. They also assist older individuals to sustain their dignity and well-being, and remain safely and productively in their homes and communities.

Services provide an entry point and increased awareness and use of social supports for challenging situations and lay a foundation for individuals to help sustain cognitive, physical, and social health and maintain independence. ACL statistical studies confirm that these services reach the vulnerable target population and help mitigate the effects of declining health and functional ability.
Services provided by NTS programs (descriptions of these programs are included below, under the Continuum of Care):

- Congregate Meals
- Home Delivered Meals
- Nutrition Education and Counseling
- Assisted and Unassisted Transportation
- Homemaker
- Information & Assistance, Outreach
- Community Volunteers
  - Retired Senior Volunteer Program
  - Senior Companions
  - Foster Grandparent/Elder Mentor
- Statewide
  - Legal Services
  - Monthly Newspaper
- Health Promotion & Disease Prevention

**Health Promotion and Disease Prevention for Older Alaskans**
The Division of Senior & Disabilities Services (DSDS) supports health promotion and disease prevention services for older Alaskans through grants, partnerships, and the provision of technical assistance.

Title III-D provides limited funding for health promotion and disease prevention. These funds can be used for a range of services, including health screening and health risk assessments, health education, physical fitness, and other activities. DSDS requires evidence-based practices for specific health promotion aims, specifically “A Matter of Balance” for falls prevention, and Chronic Disease Self-Management, currently provided through the Division of Public Health as “Better Choices, Better Health: Living Well Alaska.” All other DSDS Title III-D grant funding requires at least a portion of any grant be used for evidence-based programming. DSDS is expanding older Alaskans’ access to health promotion and disease prevention programming beyond what is possible through the grants by collaboration with other agency partners. Activities include work with DSDS’ Quality Assurance Unit and other DSDS-funded programs, the Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium, the Division of Public Health, the Alaska Pharmacists Association, senior centers and other providers of services for older adults, and agency partners.

Facilitator training for both “Better Choices, Better Health” and “A Matter of Balance” can be provided by Alaska master trainers to assist agencies in meeting requirements. Health promotion information, tailored for the needs and interests of an older audience, is provided to service providers, including both grant recipients and non-recipients. Useful health-related materials in multiple languages are identified and shared with providers who serve immigrant populations.

**Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Grant Program**
Health Promotion Disease Prevention (HPDP) Grant program provides grant funds to local provider agencies for evidence-based interventions to improve the health and wellness of seniors. HPDP grants are funded through a combination of Title III-D and state funds and administered by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services. HPDP is based on the evidence that ongoing physical activity can prevent
disease, slow the progress of disease, and reduce the impact of disease. Physical activity has a positive impact on physical illness, depression, and cognitive loss.

Personal goal setting and tracking is required and has been found to contribute to motivation and adherence to physical activity and wellness behaviors. Participants can measure improvement and experience the rewards of increased fitness and confidence.

**Senior In-Home Services Grant Program**
Home and community-based services are provided throughout the state with the goal of decreasing the need for seniors to be moved to more costly out-of-home placements. State of Alaska general funds are competitively awarded to non-profit agencies to provide services to individuals who qualify under the requirements of the Older Americans Act or who are at risk for institutionalization and do not qualify for services under the Medicaid Waiver program.

Priority of service is given to individuals with Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias, those who live alone, persons with a physical disability, seniors with the greatest social or economic need, minority individuals, and those who reside in a rural area. Senior In-Home Services, administered by the Division of Senior and Disabilities Services, provides funding for case management, chore, respite, extended respite, and supplemental services.

**Adult Day Services**
Adult Day Services (ADS) is an organized program of services offered during the day in a center-based group setting. Grants for this program are provided using State of Alaska general funds as administered by Senior and Disabilities Services. In FY 2018, ten provider agencies received grant funds for Adult Day programs. ADS provide supervision and a secure environment for individuals who experience Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias, as well as those with physical, emotional, and/or cognitive impairments who are not safe staying alone while their caregivers are away. Adult Day Services support an adult’s personal independence and promote social, physical, and emotional well-being. Adult Day Services provide a variety of program activities designed to meet the individual’s needs and interests, including social, recreational, and therapeutic activities to assist in supporting optimal mental and physical functioning. Services and activities are planned incorporating person-centered planning approaches in response to an assessment of the participant’s functional, health, and social needs. Services are flexible to meet the changing needs of the participant and provide continuity of support as defined in the plan of care. The ADS program is an integral part of the network of services to seniors in the state, providing the opportunity for clients to remain in their homes and communities, preventing or forestalling the need for institutionalization.

**National Family Caregiver Support Grant Program**
Caregivers often make it possible for disabled adults to remain in their home setting rather than moving into a long-term care facility. Although providing care to a family member can be a positive and rewarding experience, family caregiving can be stressful. Alaska has recognized the importance of family caregiving and has offered services to benefit caregivers for a number of years. Since the reauthorization of the Older Americans Act in 2000, Alaska has implemented the National Family Caregiver Support Program, whose purpose is to provide relief from the emotional, physical, and financial stress experienced by family caregivers. Alaska’s Family Caregiver programs are funded with a combination of Title III-E and State funds, and administered by the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services through a competitive grant process which allows local providers to develop programs that meet the specific needs of the caregivers in their communities. Ten percent of Family Caregiver funds are dedicated to...
supporting Grandparents Raising Grandchildren. Services are provided specifically to family caregivers and may include:

- Information about available resources
- Comprehensive assessment, if needed
- Assistance in gaining access to support services
- Counseling, support groups, and training to assist caregivers in making decisions and solving problems related to their caregiving roles
- Respite care
- Supplemental services

**Alzheimer’s Disease & Related Dementia (ADRD) Education and Support Grant Program**

The Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Dementia (ADRD) Education and Support grant program provides funding to a statewide organization to provide information to organizations and individuals throughout the state about the signs, symptoms, causes, diagnosis, and effects of ADRD on an individual and their family. In addition, the grant funds provider training in evidence-based dementia care practices and skills development, as well as support, education and socialization activities for persons with dementia and their informal caregivers. Availability of information about ADRD is critical to caregivers and anyone experiencing memory loss and assists while developing strategies in dealing with the disease. Senior and Disabilities Services administers this senior grant program.

**Legal Assistance Developer**

The Legal Assistance Developer is the individual in each state who is responsible for providing leadership in developing legal assistance programs for persons 60 years of age and older and plays a key role in assisting states in the development and the provision of a strong elder rights system. The Developer provides oversight of the Older Americans Act (OAA) Title III B legal assistance programs and assures that at-risk older people have access to the civil justice system. The activities of these legal programs and the legal services developer support the most vulnerable older adults by enabling them to retain autonomy, remain in the community and assist in the prevention of many kinds of abuses against older adults.

The Legal Assistance Developer for the State of Alaska is currently housed within the Division of Senior & Disabilities Services and provides oversight of the OAA Chapter 4 Section 731 legal assistance program through close collaboration with Alaska Legal Services and the Alaska Commission on Aging. The Legal Assistance Developer collaborates with AoA’s “Model Approach to Statewide Legal Delivery Systems” grantee, Alaska Legal Services, in the development of recommendations to ensure the provision of a strong elder rights system.

Legal assistance for seniors is provided statewide by Alaska Legal Services and assures that seniors, especially those at greatest social and economic risk, have access to the civil justice system. Access to legal information, advice and assistance helps older Alaskans preserve financial and personal independence, maintain control of their financial and health care decisions, maintain appropriate family relationships, and protect personal assets, clan property, and well-being.

**Title VI Coordination**

The State of Alaska encourages providers of Title III services to collaborate with tribal governments, which receive Title VI funds in order to make more services available for older Alaskans. Title VI grantees (there are 44 of them in Alaska) are also encouraged to collaborate with Title III grantees to maximize services available for their elders. In twelve cases (see below), the same organization is the Title VI and Alaska State Plan for Senior Services FFY 2020-FFY 2023 Appendices A-90
the Title III grantee in an area. In a number of other communities, coordination, collaboration, and cooperation between the agencies responsible for these separately-funded services is well underway.

For example, Senior Citizens of Kodiak, Inc. (SCOK) and Kodiak Area Native Association (KANA) have collaborated for more than a decade to assure that elders in Kodiak Island villages have meals and elder care while they continue to live in their communities. Senior Citizens of Kodiak, Inc. uses Title III funds and contracts with KANA to provide meals in all six villages on the island. These funds along with Title VI funds assure that at least three meals a week (congregate and home delivered meals) are available in each village. Family Caregiver Support funds are also used to contract with KANA in providing Elder Caregiver Advocates in the villages as a point of contact and support for elders and their families. By combining Title VI and Title III funds, more consistent programs are being delivered to the six villages on Kodiak Island.

In Southeast Alaska, Southeast Senior Services (SESS), a Title III grantee, approached the area’s tribal organizations years ago to help protect the current level of services in various communities, in anticipation of a funding shift of Title III monies to other areas of the state. Southeast Senior Services conducts a needs assessment for each tribe, assists with the Title VI grant application, provides the services, and handles the necessary reporting. As it does each tribe’s needs assessment, SESS revisits with each tribe how it would like its Title VI Part A (nutrition and supportive services) and Part C (family caregiver support) monies used.

During the period of coverage of this state plan (FFY 2020 through FFY 2023), the State of Alaska agrees to continue to increase coordination, collaboration, cooperation, and partnerships between Title III and Title VI programs for older Alaskans. Title III grantees are encouraged to develop partnerships with Title VI grantees in their communities, and to submit a memorandum of agreement to ensure coordination of services to Native elders. Coordination of Title III and Title VI services is required in order to reduce duplication of services, develop services to address unmet needs, expand resources, and share information with Native elders about additional services, benefits, and resources available to them.

The State of Alaska facilitates planning and partnerships between Title III and Title VI grantees through the Rural Long-Term Services and Support Coordinator. Regional elder needs assessments are required to examine all resources including Title VI and Title III, and to include recommendations for increased collaboration where needed. The State of Alaska acknowledges that coordination is also a requirement for Title VI grantees, and will initiate increased partnerships and collaboration between Title III and Title VI grantees.

Workforce Development Initiative

In Alaska, as well as in other states, workforce shortages and limited funding to recruit, train, and maintain direct service workers create obstacles to providing home- and community-based services in rural and urban areas throughout the state. In Alaska, this is compounded by the great distances between communities and often their remote locations. The Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority (AMHTA and The Trust) recognized the growth in its beneficiary populations and the challenges of workforce shortages they face now and in the future. Trust beneficiaries include Alaskans who experience mental illness, developmental disabilities, chronic alcoholism, drug dependence and Alzheimer’s disease and related dementias. In 2006, The Trust began sponsoring a workforce steering committee to work on the AMHTA Workforce Development Initiative, which was prepared by the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education (WICHE) Mental Health Program. The purpose of the initiative was “to bring stakeholders together to strategically discuss and examine the workforce
trends and demands in Alaska, including recruitment, retention, education, training, and career opportunities. The goal of the project is to expand the current workforce efforts and to increase communication between systems and initiatives to foster a more coordinated strategy that maximizes resources and decreases duplication.” In late 2006, the Trust accepted the AMHTA Workforce Development Initiative as one of its focus areas.

The Trust utilizes the workforce development area to support recruitment and retention of healthcare employees across Alaska who provide in-patient and community-based care to its beneficiaries. Keeping a focus on improving and increasing the workforce is integral to maintaining The Trust’s focus on Medicaid reform and redesign, as well as criminal justice reform and reinvestment. An important aspect to quality care for Trust beneficiaries lies in having a robust health care system in the community, who can provide the needed care and on-going support. This level of care helps beneficiaries in the long-term with enhancing quality of life and ability to remain in the community.

The Alaska Health Workforce Coalition (AHWC) is a group of industry associations, tribal health, state departments, and universities who come together monthly to develop a coordinated, cohesive, and effective approach to address the critical needs for health workers in Alaska. The Alaska Department of Labor predicts the health care industry will increase 21.4 percent by 2026, which equates to over 10,000 new jobs. At the same time, Alaska’s provider workforce demographic, those age 18 to 64, is declining. As a state, this means we not only need to focus on growing our own workers, but recruit heavily from outside Alaska at the same time. Forty-seven of Alaska’s 50 fastest-growing occupations are in the healthcare sector, and the AHWC is leading and supporting that job growth. Health care workforce development is essential to maintain the state’s overall economic health during this period of low oil prices and associated impacts.

Alaska Training Cooperative (ATC)
The Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority (The Trust) provides sole funding for the Alaska Training Cooperative (ATC) housed at the University of Alaska Center for Human Development. The ATC is a strategy of the Trust Workforce Development Initiative focus area since FY 2008. The program has the directive to “promote career development opportunities for direct service workers and their supervisors engaged with Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority beneficiaries, by ensuring that technical assistance and training is accessible and coordinated.” (Direct service workers are defined as individuals whose job requires a bachelor’s degree or less and who work at least 75 percent directly with consumers.)

The ATC has three programmatic goals:

1. Leading and partnering with training entities
2. Brokering and facilitating non-academic training based on identified training gaps and provider need
3. Utilizing tools that assist with training delivery

The Alaska Training Cooperative staff provides technical assistance in the delivery of provider training by agencies, organizations, businesses, and associations to a broader audience via distance delivery (audio and video conferencing, web-based training), sharing of training materials, and coordination of shared training. In addition, technical assistance services provide assistance with identifying resources, existing training, and possible solutions to address recognized training needs.
Appendix H. Continuum of Care Definitions of Programs and Services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community-Based Services</th>
<th>Home-Based Services</th>
<th>Intensive Home and Community-Based Services</th>
<th>Services in a Residential Care Setting</th>
<th>Most Intensive Institutional Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* Congregate Meals</td>
<td>* Home Delivered Meals</td>
<td>* Adult Day Services</td>
<td>* Assisted Living Homes</td>
<td>* Acute Care (aka Hospital)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Public Transportation</td>
<td>* Assisted Transportation</td>
<td>* Counseling</td>
<td>* Pioneers’ Homes</td>
<td>* Nursing Home Care (aka Skilled Nursing Facility)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Information/Referral/Personal Advocacy</td>
<td>* Shopping Assistance</td>
<td>* Family Caregiver Support</td>
<td></td>
<td>* Residential Hospice Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Physical Fitness</td>
<td>* Home Repair</td>
<td>* In-Home Respite Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Health Promotion Activities</td>
<td>* Senior Companion Volunteers</td>
<td>* Home Health Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Senior Employment Services (MASST)</td>
<td>* Homemaker/Chore</td>
<td>* Hospice Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Independent Living</td>
<td></td>
<td>* Personal Care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* ADRCs and Options Counseling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Senior Centers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* RSVP/Foster Grandparent Volunteers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Legal Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community-Based Services

**Congregate Meals**: Congregate meal programs provide at least one hot or other appropriate healthy meal per day to qualified individuals in a group setting to promote good nutrition and provide opportunities for socialization. Congregate nutrition programs may also provide nutrition education and, based on a Nutrition Risk Assessment, referral to a dietician for counseling (if available).

**Transportation**: Transportation includes assisted and unassisted rides provided by bus, van, taxi, boat or any other vehicle for a maximum of five days a week. All vehicles must comply with Department of Transportation vehicle safety standards. Rides are scheduled according to the following priorities: 1) Medical services, 2) Congregate meal site, 3) Adult Day Care, 4) Employer/Volunteer site, and 5) Other.

**Information and Referral**: Information, assistance, and referral services provide information about services available to seniors (health care, social, legal, financial, counseling, and other home- and community-based services) for continued independent living or for locating appropriate long-term care and include follow-up to the maximum extent possible.
**Physical Fitness:** Programs include a wide range of age-appropriate exercises to promote cardiovascular health, strength, balance, flexibility, endurance, and overall physical well-being.

**Health Promotion/Disease Prevention Classes & Activities:** Activities include routine health screening, nutritional counseling and education services, health promotion programs, physical fitness, group exercise, music, art, dance-therapy programs, home injury control services, fall prevention awareness and balance training, mental health screenings, preventive health services, medication management screening and education, diagnosis, prevention, treatment and rehabilitation information.

**Senior Employment Services:** The Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training (MASST) program provides vocational training and placement in community service organizations for unemployed, low-income Alaskans age 55 and older to help them become self-sufficient and make meaningful contributions to their communities.

**Senior Independent Housing:** Independent senior housing offers age restricted apartments for seniors and adults with disabilities. Facilities may have common space for group activities, but usually other services are not provided. For more information please see the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation website at: http://www.ahfc.state.ak.us/home/senior_guide.cfm

**Senior Centers:** Senior Centers are social institutions that address the needs of older individuals, their families, and their caregivers as a vital and inclusive part of the community. They provide a variety of services including nutrition, recreation, social and educational services, and comprehensive information and referral to help seniors help themselves through assistance in finding appropriate services and care.

**Senior Volunteer Programs:** Volunteer opportunities benefit seniors by keeping them active and involved and adding to seniors’ self-esteem and social value as well as providing benefits to the communities they serve. Examples of volunteer programs include Retired Senior Volunteers (RSVP), Senior Companions (SCP), Foster Grandparent/Elder Mentor Program (FG/EM), and other local volunteer opportunities.

**Legal Services:** The legal services program for seniors provides legal advice, counseling and representation by an attorney or other person acting under the supervision of an attorney. Activities include legal advice, representation, and investigation related to resolution of civil legal matters and protection of civil rights; assistance with administrative hearings and small claims court preparation; and community legal education presentations. For further information please see Alaska Law Help at http://www.alaskalawhelp.org/AK/index.cfm or Alaska Legal Services at https://www.alsc-law.org/.

**Health Screening:** Activities include routine, non-invasive screening for conditions such as hypertension, high cholesterol, diabetes, iron deficiency, under- or overweight, and other common medical or physical conditions, generally performed by a nurse or other health care professional or paraprofessional.

**Social, Recreational & Educational Activities:** Activities, often provided through senior centers, range widely to include classes, games, arts and crafts, dances, study groups, exercise programs, travel opportunities, and many other one-time or ongoing gatherings which encourage social interaction, exchange of ideas, and/or physical activity.
**In-Home Services**

**Home Delivered Meals:** Home-delivered meals are an in-home nutrition service that provides at least one hot, cold, frozen, dried, canned, or supplemental-food meal with the number of meals per week determined by local service providers in their grant proposals. Recipients of home delivered meals must have documented need for the service based on eligibility criteria (inability to perform ADLs and IADLs). Provider agencies “target” those with the greatest need who are homebound. Home delivery includes social contact and informal checks on the senior’s well-being.

**Assisted Transportation:** This service provides help with vehicular transportation, through an escort, to a senior with physical or cognitive difficulty.

**Shopping Assistance:** Volunteers provide shopping assistance to homebound senior citizens. Shopping assistants have a flexible schedule coordinated directly between the volunteer and the senior. Some of the seniors are able to shop for themselves; however, they may need assistance with transportation to the store and/or assistance carrying packages into their home. Other seniors are not able to shop due to physical limitations. In this case, the senior would prepare a shopping list for the assistant.

**Congregate Housing:** Congregate Housing is similar to independent living except that it may provide some supportive services like information and referral, meals, housekeeping, and transportation in addition to rental housing.

**Supported Housing:** Supported housing is available to individuals who, for health, safety, or other reasons, choose not to remain in their own homes. In the past, leaving one's home for these reasons usually meant living with a relative or going into a nursing home. Today, people have a variety of other arrangements to choose from, including this option, in which a range of supportive services targeted to the individual’s need are provided on-site in a congregate housing living arrangement.

**Home Repair & Renovation:** Provides adaptation and/or renovation to the living environment intended to increase ease of use, safety, security, and independence. Modifications that would make a home more accessible include widening doorways, adding wheelchair ramps, and adding hand rails in bathrooms. For more information please see the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation website at: [http://new.ahfc.state.ak.us/Grants/accessibility_modification.cfm](http://new.ahfc.state.ak.us/Grants/accessibility_modification.cfm)

**Senior Companion Volunteers:** Senior volunteers are matched with frail seniors who need assistance with everyday tasks such as shopping, reading mail, and running errands, or perhaps just having someone to talk to or keep them company on a regular basis. The social contact as well as the assistance with needed household tasks helps the individual maintain the ability to live on his or her own.

**Homemaker/Chore Service:** Homemaker service may include meal preparation, shopping, light housekeeping, assisting with paperwork for financial, health care, insurance or other needs, making telephone calls on the senior’s behalf, or assisting with using the telephone, escorting and assisting the senior to medical appointments, shopping, and other errands (does not include general transportation). Chore services assist the client with keeping a safe and clean environment to enable them to live independently in their own home. Chore helps individuals who are unable to perform one or more instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs) that include meal preparation, shopping, managing money, housework, yard work, or sidewalk maintenance.
**Companion Services:** Include cueing and support to individuals with mild to moderate dementia living at home. Such services include assistance with activities of daily living such as meal preparation, dressing, grooming, and other daily tasks.

**Tele-health:** Tele-health is the delivery of health-related services and information via electronic information and telecommunications technologies. Tele-health is an expansion of telemedicine, but unlike telemedicine (which more narrowly focuses on the curative aspect), tele-health allows long-distance communication that encompasses preventive, promotive and curative aspects. Tele-health involves a myriad of technology solutions, from physicians using email to communicate with patients to remote monitoring of a patient’s health status to a teleconference session with a behavioral health professional in a different location.

**Intensive Home & Community-based Services**

**Adult Day Services:** Adult day services provide supervised care in an organized program of services during the day in a community group setting for the purpose of supporting an adult’s personal independence and promoting social, physical and emotional well-being. A variety of program activities is offered and designed to meet the individual’s needs and interests. These services help seniors remain in their communities and offer respite for family caregivers on a planned or scheduled basis.

**In-Home Respite Care:** Respite care service provides temporary relief to non-paid caregivers and family members who are caring for seniors. Services are provided in the client’s home.

**Home Health Care:** Skilled health-related services are provided by a nurse or certified nursing assistant on an intermittent or short-term basis at home under the home health program. Individuals must be determined “home-bound” to qualify for home health services.

**Personal Care:** A personal care assistant (also known as a PCA) performs tasks of a non-technical medical nature which help individuals remain safely at home. Personal care includes assistance with personal hygiene, going to the bathroom, incontinence care, medication reminders, taking vital signs, and care of bed-bound and chair-bound clients (skin care, turning, positioning). To qualify for PCA services, individuals must require extensive assistance with two or more ADLs (activities of daily living). For Further information please see the State of Alaska Division of Senior and Disabilities Services at: [http://www.hss.state.ak.us/DSDS/pca/default.htm](http://www.hss.state.ak.us/DSDS/pca/default.htm)

**Palliative & Hospice Care:** Hospice care is a coordinated program of palliative care for individuals with a terminal illness. There is focus on symptom management rather than recovery. Programs include nursing care and support, pain management, and training for family and friends. More information is available at the following national website: [https://www.nhpco.org/](https://www.nhpco.org/).

**Family Caregiver Support:** The National Family Caregiver Support Program offers support services to non-paid family caregivers of older adults (age 60 years and older) and grandparents and relative caregivers, 55 years and older, of children not more than 18 years of age (including grandparents who are sole caregivers of children and those individuals who are affected by mental retardation or who have developmental disabilities). Services include information, assistance, caregiver counseling, caregiver support groups, caregiver training, respite care, and supplemental services. A family caregiver is defined as an adult family member, or another individual, who is an informal provider of in-home and community care to an older individual.
Outpatient Care: Patient follow-up care is delivered to a senior outside of a medical facility, generally in a doctor’s or other medical provider’s office.

Rehabilitation: Services, such as physical therapy, occupational therapy, and other treatments provided usually at a rehabilitation facility, designed to promote recovery from an injury, operation, other physical trauma, or addiction with the goal of returning the person to normal functioning.

Counseling: Provides appropriate behavioral health intervention to older adults who experience depression, anxiety, substance abuse and other behavioral conditions in senior-friendly settings.

Services in a Residential Care Setting
Assisted Living: Assisted living homes provide 24-hour care for individuals who are not able to live in their own homes. This service provides assistance with activities of daily living and supervision of persons who require this care. Often transportation to outside activities is included by the home. Pioneers’ Homes are a unique type of assisted living home that allow residents to age in place and also specialize in caring for individuals who experience dementia. A list of licensed assisted living homes is available at the State of Alaska Division of Public Health website at: http://www.hss.state.ak.us/dph/CL/default.htm

Facility Respite Care: Respite care provides temporary relief to non-paid caregivers and family members who are caring for seniors. Facility respite services can be provided in an adult day center or by a licensed assisted living facility.

Pioneer Homes: Assisted living homes administered by the State of Alaska that provide 24-hour care for older Alaskans who are not able to live in their own homes. This service provides assistance with activities of daily living and supervision of individuals who require it. Pioneers Homes are a unique type of assisted living home that specialize in caring for individuals who experience dementia. A list of licensed assisted living homes is available at the State of Alaska Division of Public Health website at: http://dhss.alaska.gov/dhcs/Pages/cl/all/default.aspx. The Pioneers’ Home information including waitlist registry information is available at: http://www.hss.state.ak.us/dalp/

Adult Foster Care: This service provides care in a safe home setting for vulnerable adults who may have experienced abuse, neglect, self-neglect or exploitation.

Continuing Care Retirement Community (CCRC): A type of living arrangement in which a senior may smoothly transition from independent living to supported living to assisted living and skilled nursing care within the same home or complex as his or her needs change. CCRCs provide a model for the way many seniors would like to age – with an assurance that they will be able to stay in their homes and obtain the services they need, rather than facing the disruption of a physical move at a time when their health may be declining.

Most Intensive Institutional Services
Acute Care: Generally provided in a hospital or other skilled nursing facility, acute care provides needed medical support for an individual suffering from a life-threatening health crisis.

Nursing Home Care: Nursing homes provide a cost-effective way to enable patients with injuries, chronic diseases, some acute illnesses or postoperative care needs to recover or remain medically stable.
in an environment outside a hospital. They are staffed by medical professionals on a 24-hour basis and offer rehabilitative services as well as social and recreational opportunities for long-term residents.

**Residential Hospice Care:** Hospice care is a coordinated program of palliative care for individuals with a terminal illness. The focus of care is on symptom management rather than recovery. Programs include nursing care and support, pain management, and training for family and friends. Rather than a home-based hospice program, residential hospice provides a facility in which palliative care takes place.

**Psychiatric Hospital:** Alaska Psychiatric Institute, Alaska’s only psychiatric hospital, provides assessment, diagnostic, and therapeutic services to support individuals whose ability to function is severely limited by mental health problems.

NOTE: Medicaid Waivers are a type of payment arrangement rather than a specific service. Waiver programs allow people who would otherwise need an institutional level of care to live in their home or community and receive the array of services they need. These "waivers" are approved by the federal government and allow Alaska Medicaid to provide expanded services to people who meet the eligibility criteria for the specific waiver (as well as Medicaid income guidelines). For further information please see the State of Alaska Division of Senior and Disabilities Services at: [http://dhss.alaska.gov/dsds/Pages/HCBWprogram.aspx](http://dhss.alaska.gov/dsds/Pages/HCBWprogram.aspx).

Guardianship is a legal arrangement where a person or institution is appointed as a guardian to make decisions for an incapacitated person for housing, medical care, legal issues, and other services. For more information please see the Alaska Court System Family Law Self-Help Center at [http://www.courts.alaska.gov/shc/guardian-conservator/](http://www.courts.alaska.gov/shc/guardian-conservator/).

### FY 2018 Grant Funding by Component and Program and Number of Alaskans Served

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Name</th>
<th>Senior Community Based Grants</th>
<th>Number of Alaskans Served</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult Day</td>
<td>$ 1,721,489</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging and Disability Resource Centers</td>
<td>$ 885,000</td>
<td>10,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRD Education and Support</td>
<td>$ 346,036</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Caregiver (III E)</td>
<td>$ 1,016,246</td>
<td>1,251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition Services Incentive Program</td>
<td>$ 487,540</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrition, Transportation and Support Services for Seniors (III B, C1, C2)</td>
<td>$ 6,625,729</td>
<td>11,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior In-Home</td>
<td>$ 2,865,368</td>
<td>1,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing Facility Transition</td>
<td>$ 60,000</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Promotion and Disease Prevention (III D)</td>
<td>$ 143,661</td>
<td>476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Residential Services</td>
<td>$ 591,000</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Senior</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 14,742,069</strong></td>
<td><strong>25,982</strong>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix I. Summary of Older Americans Act (OAA)

Older Americans Act (OAA) Programs at a Glance

Title I: Declaration of Objectives and Definitions

Title II: Administration on Aging

Title III: Grants for State and Community Programs** Part A: General Provisions

Part B: Supportive Services and Senior Centers** Part C: Congregate and Home-Delivered Meals** Part D: Disease Prevention and Health Promotion** Part E: National Family Caregiver Support Program**

Title IV: Training, Research, and Discretionary Projects & Programs

Title V: Community Service Employment for Older Americans**

Title VI: Grants for Native Americans**

Title VII: Allotments for Vulnerable Elder Rights Protection Activities**

** indicates programs for which Alaska receives OAA funding

The Older Americans Act (OAA) was signed into law by President Lyndon Johnson in 1965. It was considered a direct outgrowth of the 1961 White House Conference on Aging. Created during a time of rising societal concern for the poor and disadvantaged, the OAA set forth a broad set of objectives that continue to be relevant today. Objectives of the OAA include ensuring that the elderly have an adequate retirement income, the best possible physical and mental health, suitable housing at an affordable cost, a comprehensive array of community-based long-term care services (including family support), employment opportunities, efficient community services with emphasis on choice and continuity of care, benefits from research knowledge, participation in meaningful activities, and protection against abuse and neglect. Nearly half a century later, the OAA’s vision of Americans aging with honor, dignity, freedom, and independence still inspires nearly universal allegiance by the public. The OAA has been reauthorized numerous times since its inception.

The Older Americans Act continues to provide the framework for a partnership among the different levels of government and the public and private sectors with a common objective – to improve the quality of life for all older Americans by helping them to remain independent and productive. The activities, which are mandated and funded under the OAA, carry no income eligibility requirements, unlike numerous other federal assistance programs. All seniors age 60 and over are eligible. Service providers must follow priorities set by the Area Agency on Aging (or sole state agency on aging, in the case of single planning and service area states such as Alaska) for serving older persons with the greatest economic or social need, with particular attention to low-income minority older persons and older individuals residing in rural areas, individuals with disabilities, those whose primary language is not
English, and Native Americans. Each client is provided the opportunity to contribute to the cost of the service; however, denial of service for non-contribution is prohibited.

The Older Americans Act established the federal Administration on Aging (AoA), now within the Department of Health and Human Services, Administration on Community Living (ACL). Since 1993, the ACL/AoA has been headed by an Assistant Secretary on Aging, appointed by the president with the advice and consent of the Senate. Lance Robertson is the current Assistant Secretary on Aging. The ACL/AoA is charged with acting as an effective and visible advocate for older individuals, collecting and disseminating information related to problems of aging, administering grants, evaluating programs, providing technical assistance and consultation to states, and stimulating more effective use of existing resources.

The overall purpose of the Older Americans Act was to establish an aging network, provide for the funding of local service programs, establish training and research projects, and stimulate the development of innovative and/or improved services for the elderly. Congress has continued to appropriate funds and update the law with periodic amendments under this Act for research and demonstration projects and for the operation of the Administration on Aging.

Amendments in 1969 emphasized planning and resource mobilization. A set of amendments in 1973 required states to set up planning and service areas, and authorized grants for model projects, multipurpose gerontology centers, senior centers, and the new Nutrition Program for the Elderly.

The Comprehensive Older Americans Act Amendments of 1978 reorganized the Act, authorized separate funding for specific services, including a strong advocacy responsibility, and provided for more focused work on long-term care for older Americans. In the 1978 amendments Congress recognized the special sovereign status of Tribal governments and created Title VI, Grants for Indian Tribal Organizations. The purpose of Title VI was to promote the delivery of supportive and nutrition services to American Indians and Alaska Natives that are comparable to services offered to other older persons under the Title III program. The Older Americans Act Amendments of 2000 established an important new program, the National Family Caregiver Support Program (NFCSP), after listening to the needs expressed by family caregivers in discussions held across the country. Increases in funding accompanied many of the amendments and reauthorizations of the OAA. The Older Americans Act was again reauthorized in 2006, with added emphasis on disease prevention and health promotion, senior behavioral health services, and emergency preparedness, among other changes.

Beginning in 2011, Congress has been considering reauthorization and amendment of the Older Americans Act. A number of potential changes are under consideration, including a focus on creating livable communities for all ages, an expanded role in affordable housing with supportive services, enhanced coordination between Title V (Community Service Employment for Older Americans) and the Workforce Investment Act, greater authority to protect older adults’ legal rights, transfer of SHIP (the State Health Insurance Assistance Program) to the Administration on Aging from CMS (Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services), capacity-building for Title VI programs, and increased coordination with emergency management agencies to better serve the needs of older adults during disasters. Typically the OAA receives broad bi-partisan support.
The ACL/AoA distributes funds to states under a formula based largely on the number of people aged 60 and older in each state. In order for a state to receive these funds, its governor must designate an agency as the state unit on aging and the state must develop a multi-year plan for services. In Alaska, the Department of Health and Social Services is that agency, with state plan development delegated to the Alaska Commission on Aging. Like its federal counterpart, the state agency serves as an advocate for the elderly. While all seniors are eligible for services, preference must be given to providing services to older individuals with the greatest economic and social need, with particular attention to low-income, minority individuals, those with frail health, and older people residing in rural areas. While most states are divided into a number of “planning and service areas,” each served by an “Area Agency on Aging” (AAA), in Alaska the entire state is considered a single planning and service area, with the state unit on aging responsible for assessing the needs of all older persons within the state. The AAA (or sole state agency on aging) must have an advisory council of older persons. In Alaska, the Alaska Commission on Aging (ACoA) is an eleven-member commission appointed by the governor, with a small staff to carry out the Commission’s directives on planning, education and public awareness, and advocacy. The current state plan for services is available for review on the ACoA’s website at www.AlaskaAging.org.

For more than 30 years, Area Agencies on Aging (AAAs) and Title VI Native American aging programs, which serve as the local component of the Aging Network, have leveraged federal dollars with other federal, state, local and private funds to meet the needs and provide a better quality of life for millions of older adults.

Statewide programs and services for Alaskan seniors have existed since the advent of the Older Americans Act in the mid-1960s. The Alaska Commission on Aging works closely with the Division of Senior and Disabilities Services within the Department of Health and Social Services to develop a service plan and innovative projects through the Division’s Senior Grant Programs. Services are funded by the U.S. Administration on Aging, State general funds, the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority, local government, community fundraising, and individual contributions.

**Title III** of the Older Americans Act outlines the types of supportive services funded by the Act, services which have remained fairly constant for nearly a decade. Title III services, provided through the Senior Community Based Grants program administered by the Division of Senior and Disabilities Services, are organized as follows:

- Part A provides guidelines and funding for State and Area Agencies on Aging.
- Part B provides for supportive services to seniors and for the operation of senior centers
- Part C provides for congregate and home delivered nutrition services
- Part D provides disease prevention and health promotion services
- Part E funds the National Caregiver Support Program

Senior transportation services (funded under Title III, Part B) allow older Alaskans to access medical appointments, senior center or adult day care participation, shopping, errands and other engagements through a door-to-door service equipped to handle special needs. Nutrition programs (funded under Title III, Part C) offer meals both in congregate settings and for homebound individuals. The nutrition program is more than a meal. It provides nutrition education, counseling, and screening, and is often the gateway to many other services. The Older Americans Act Nutrition Program (OAANP) is the largest single component of the OAA. In Alaska funds for senior transportation, meals, and other Title III
support services are provided under the Nutrition, Transportation, and Support Service Program, widely known as “NTS.”

Each state’s unit on aging provides disease prevention and health promotion services (funded under Title III, Part D) and information and referral services at senior centers, meal sites, and other appropriate locations. Health promotion is the process of enabling people to increase control over and to improve their health. Disease prevention covers measures not only to prevent the occurrence of disease, but also to arrest its progress and reduce its consequences once established. States give priority to areas which are medically underserved and in which there are a large number of older individuals who have the greatest economic need for such services.

The National Family Caregiver Support Program (NFCSP), established by the OAA amendments of 2000 (Title III, Part E), was modeled after several successful state long-term care programs. States provide five basic services for family caregivers: information about available services; assistance in gaining access to supportive services; individual counseling, help in organizing support groups, and caregiver training to assist in making decisions and solving problems related their caregiving roles; respite care; and supplemental services, on a limited basis, to complement the care provided by caregivers. Funds for this program are distributed to the states using a congressionally mandated formula that is based on a proportionate share of the age 70+ population. Priority consideration is to be given to those in greatest social and economic need, and older individuals providing care and support to persons with mental retardation and developmental disabilities.

Title V of the Older Americans Act provides for programs that foster and promote useful part-time work opportunities in community service activities and offer skills training for unemployed low-income persons who are fifty-five years old or older and who have poor employment prospects. In Alaska, Title V funds the MASST (Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training) program administered by the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development.

Title VI of the Older Americans Act provides grants directly through tribal organizations in Alaska for services to Native Americans. These grants provide supportive and nutrition services comparable to the services provided elsewhere within the statewide planning and service area through the state unit on aging under Title III of the OAA.

Title VII of the OAA was created by Congress in the 1992 Amendments to the OAA to protect and enhance the basic rights and benefits of vulnerable older people. Individuals may need advocacy on their behalf because their physical or mental disabilities, social isolation, limited educational attainment or limited financial resources prevent them from being able to protect or advocate for themselves. Title VII brings together and strengthens three advocacy programs – the Long-Term Care Ombudsman program, programs for the prevention of abuse and exploitation, and state legal assistance development programs in each state. It also calls on the state units on aging to take a holistic approach to elder rights advocacy. Alaska provides a Long-Term Care Ombudsman in the Department of Revenue (within the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority) and Adult Protective Services in the Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Senior and Disabilities Services (DSDS). DSDS also administers the legal assistance development program.
**Appendix J. Acronyms and Definitions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABPCA</td>
<td>Agency-Based Personal Care Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACL</td>
<td>U.S. Administration on Community Living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACoA</td>
<td>Alaska Commission on Aging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRCs</td>
<td>Aging &amp; Disability Resource Centers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADRD</td>
<td>Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADS</td>
<td>Adult Day Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK DOLWD</td>
<td>Alaska Department of Labor &amp; Workforce Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMHTA</td>
<td>Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTHC</td>
<td>Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AoA</td>
<td>U.S. Administration on Aging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Adult Protective Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRFSS</td>
<td>Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDPACA</td>
<td>Consumer-Directed Personal Care Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMS</td>
<td>Centers for Medicare &amp; Medicaid Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COL</td>
<td>Cost of living</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBH</td>
<td>Division of Behavioral Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHSS</td>
<td>Department of Health &amp; Social Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPH</td>
<td>Division of Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSDS</td>
<td>Division of Senior &amp; Disabilities Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESD</td>
<td>Employment Security Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCBS</td>
<td>Home and Community Based Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPDP</td>
<td>Health Promotion, Disease Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPACT</td>
<td>Improving Mood, Promoting Access to Collaborative Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTCO</td>
<td>Long-Term Care Ombudsman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MASST</td>
<td>Mature Alaskans Seeking Skills Training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTS</td>
<td>Nutrition, Transportation, and Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAA</td>
<td>Older Americans Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLTCO</td>
<td>Office of the Long-Term Care Ombudsman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>Personal care assistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSVP</td>
<td>Retired &amp; Senior Volunteer Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBIRT</td>
<td>Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral, Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOK</td>
<td>Senior Citizens of Kodiak, Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCSEP</td>
<td>Senior Community Service Employment Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SESS</td>
<td>Southeast Senior Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHIP</td>
<td>State Health Insurance Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMP</td>
<td>Senior Medicare Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOAR</td>
<td>Senior Outreach, Assessment, and Referral</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Appendix K. Public Comments


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment or Suggestion:</th>
<th>Response:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statewide Teleconference May 22, 2019</strong></td>
<td>The State Plan Overview Public Comment PowerPoint is posted on the Alaska Commission on Aging’s website. (<a href="http://www.alaskaaging.org">www.alaskaaging.org</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a way to get a copy of the slides presented today? Thanks! Great presentation</td>
<td>The State Plan for Senior Services utilizes the grant funds through the Older Americans Act, and so that is the funding focus for this State Plan. Although the State Plan does mention the Medicaid Waiver and Personal Care Services, this Plan focuses on the State and federal Older Americans Act grant funds of $7.1 million that is allocated through the funding formula for Title III and Senior In-Home Services. There is a potential increase in the Older American Act federal funding, pending Congressional approval. Alaska receives ½ of 1% of the total federal funds from the Older American Act.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How does the overall 5% Medicaid reimbursement rates to providers &amp; Governor Dunleavy’s letter to President Trump requesting NO grant funds sent to AK affect this Plan?</td>
<td>There will be many changes over the upcoming years regarding how the state administers programs especially those utilizing Medicaid funds. We don’t know what the changes will be. There has been some talk about using federal block grants for Medicaid services and programs in the future but there have been no decisions made about changes at this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How and when were the Alaska DHSS Department Priorities and Core Services determined? What was the process?</td>
<td>This method was developed in 2014 by DHSS senior leadership as a means to show how the divisions are contributing to the DHSS mission as defined by progress being made to achieve stated short and long-term goals, to encourage more cross collaboration between divisions, and to implement performance measures to evaluate progress towards the goals/strategies. In previous years, this method was used to report the outcomes to the Legislature under “performance-based budgeting.” This method has not been recently used for legislative presentations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment or Suggestion:</td>
<td>Response:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>What happened to the HOPE dementia pilot that was addressing the issues with getting people at API into their housing program?</td>
<td>This project, “Forest Assisted Living Home,” is a five-bed assisted living home designated exclusively for people who are discharged from API. The project is up and going serving residents who are seniors living with dementia and challenging behaviors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Written comments – Statewide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment or Suggestion:</th>
<th>Response:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I believe Designating the Mat-Su Borough as urban is a terrible idea. While Wasilla and Palmer might appear to be urban, there is an enormous area of the Mat-Su Borough that a lot of Seniors live in who are far away from those cities. One of the goals of Senior Services is to help seniors to remain in their own homes longer. Cutting funding will reduce the ability of truly rural seniors to stay in their homes. The unintended consequences of cutting funding will force rural Borough residences to leave their homes and move to Wasilla Palmer or even Anchorage where living will be more expensive than staying where they are would be. They will also be leaving their friends and local supporters.</td>
<td>The State Plan Advisory Committee recognizes this as a concern and will revisit the funding formula in 2021 when new 2020 census data can be incorporated as well as consideration given to other possible changes such as the rural definition, changes to weighting factors, and allowing for a more thorough public process. Please see the final section of Appendix B for the detailed timeline and topics to be explored during this revision. As an interim measure, SDS will use performance-based measures to increase funding, if available, to regions serving more seniors in a cost efficient manner than planned as a result of senior population growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plans to classify the Matanuska-Susitna Borough as urban are ludicrous. The borough is huge, extending almost to Cantwell in the north and past Eureka Roadhouse and Lake Louise in the east. Please see attached map.</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Letter from Coalition of Mat-Su Senior Centers (follows table)</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I find your usage of the term urban in regard to the senior population in the entire Matsu valley to be darkly comedic. I would urge you to not count people many of whom have no water, sewage and in some case's electricity as urban. As a senior struggling for existence due to no fault of my own, I find your attempt to cut budgeting to essential senior services to be morally reprehensible. (remainder of comment removed for inappropriate content)</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have lived in the Mat Su for over 10 years. During this time I have lived in two homes. One house on Bogard Rd &amp; my current home is on Seldon Rd. Both of these houses are on a septic tank and a well! We are not provided police protection and must call the Troopers. The Mat Su is not “Urban”. We live in a rural community. Please correct this error and recognize that the Mat Su is a “rural” area.</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment or Suggestion:</td>
<td>Response:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please classify the Mat Su as “rural” in the State Funding Formula or build a water supply to all the homes in the Mat Su Valley. Fire hydrants and police protection would also be nice. We live in a rural area not urban! My home is not located in Wasilla, only the Post Office!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please classify the Mat-Su as “Rural” and not “Urban” in the State Plan Funding Formula. By definition urban communities are busy and crowded. The city is the most central location in the region. There are often skyscrapers, and have major industries. The Federal Office of Rural Health Policy accepts all non-Metro counties as rural. The Mat Su does not have major industries, and consist mostly of farming. Therefor the Mat Su should be classified as rural.</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think the Matanuska Valley should be classified as Rural in the State Plan Funding Formula. The Matanuska Valley is mostly farming and is mostly rural. Thank you for your time in concerning this matter.</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>It is ridiculous to reclassify the Mat-Su Borough as an urban area. One look at the lakes and mountains, the farmland and forests on the map counters the Borough as urban. With as much of the Borough resources undeveloped the majority of its land it anything but. The Mat-Su Borough is one of the few agricultural areas of Alaska. It contains the entire Matanuska and Susitna Rivers with their relatively undeveloped energy potential. 650 square mils of the 25K+ area is water. The Chugach National Forest and Part of the Denali National Park and Preserve and the Lake Clark Wilderness are contained in the Mat-Su Borough. The population is about 2 people per square mile. Trimble Glacier, Knik Glacier- uninhabitable - are all within the Mat-Su Borough. Mat-Su Borough includes Game Management Units 14A, 14B, 16A + 16B. It is not a predominantly urban area.</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I am writing to let you know we are not urban. Please come to lunch one day and you will see we are not an urban community. Please correct this mistake calling us urban – we are a rural area.</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mat-Su Council on Aging Letter (full letter follows table)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MCoA requests the Commission to place all funding under the funding formula.</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment or Suggestion:</td>
<td>Response:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MCoA requests the Commission to use the most current data to populate each category in the funding formula.</td>
<td>The Administration on Community Living recommends all data sets used in the funding formula to be taken from the most recent year where there is a complete data set in order to maintain statistical reliability. The funding formula uses several data estimates such as total senior population, frailty (defined as persons age 80+), poverty, minority, among other factors. The funding formula uses population data published by the Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis (DOLWD). The DOLWD publishes Alaska senior minority data (age 60+) one year later than population estimates. In order to maintain statistical reliability, as recommended by the U.S. Administration on Community Living, the funding formula used DOLWD 2017 published data in the 2018 formula because that year is the most recent for providing complete data for the formula factors, including senior minority data. Data used in the funding formula is updated annually.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MCoA requests the Commission to include an annual adjustment so the funding formula is responsive to changing needs during the life of each plan.</td>
<td>There is already an annual adjustment to the funding formula to include the most recent data available for that fiscal year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MCoA requests the Commission to immediately adopt a reasonable, understandable, and fully discussed strategy to delineate which geographic areas should be funded as urban, rural, or remote.</td>
<td>The State Plan Advisory Committee recognizes this as a concern and will revisit the funding formula in 2021. This focused effort will allow for new 2020 census data to be incorporated into the funding formula as well as consideration of possible changes to the definition of rural, weighting factors, and allow for a more thorough public process. As an interim measure, Senior and Disabilities Services (SDS) will use performance-based measures to increase funding (if available) to regions serving more seniors than planned in a cost-effective manner as a result of senior population growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MCoA requests the Commission to correct this error by designating the Mat-Su (Region Vb) as “rural” in the 2020-2023 plan.</td>
<td>See above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MCoA requests the Commission to extend the public review and comment period and to specifically advertise and invite Older Alaskans and those who serve them to comment.</td>
<td>The public comment period will be extended as part of revisiting the funding formula in 2021.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The MCoA requests the Commission to correct figure 3, using the most current data available.</td>
<td>This figure is used to show where seniors 60+ live in the state, as this is prominent factor in distributing funding. Fourteen percent of Alaska seniors 60+ live in the Mat-Su Borough. The calculation you refer to is also correct. Eighteen percent of the total population of the MSB is</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comment or Suggestion:</th>
<th>Response:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age 60+. We will clarify the figure title to reduce confusion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If not resolved before adoption of the 2020-2023 State Plan, the MCoA requests the Commission to include in the 2020-2023 State Plan a description, including a timeline, of the process to be used to resolve these concerns (from the 2017-2019 Plan.)</td>
<td>See the last section of Appendix B for the detailed timeline and process.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Coalition of Mat-Su Senior Centers

May 23, 2019

Mr. Gordon Glaser, Chair
Alaska Commission on Aging
PO Box 110693
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Re: Comment on Draft State Plan for Senior Services, FY2020-2023

Dear Mr. Glaser,

The Coalition of Mat-Su Senior Centers (the Coalition) has reviewed the draft copy of the State Plan for Senior Services (the Plan) and offers the comments below.

The Coalition is comprised of member non-profit, charitable agencies who provide essential supportive services to older Alaskans in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough. The major services provided to borough seniors include safe, decent, affordable housing; nutrition; essential transportation; health promotion/disease prevention; and other essential services. These services often make the difference between independent living and serious hardship for recipients - usually treatment in an emergency medical department, hospitalization, or early placement in skilled nursing care (or substitute). Major financial support to these programs comes from the state of Alaska.

The Plan as proposed perpetuates a serious error in that the funding formula designates the Mat Su Borough and all the seniors who reside there as “urban dwellers.” Apparently, the Plan considers the Mat Su’s proximity to Anchorage sufficient reason to fund Mat Su’s seniors at a lower rate than other similarly-situated seniors in the state. In fact, the Mat Su is not urban; it is rural. This fact is evident to all who live, work, play, or even visit.

Please reconsider this determination and provide a more reasonable determination: the Mat Su is a rural place where some people live in an urban cluster. This is no different than other boroughs the Plan treats as rural. Please correct the error and designate the Mat Su as “rural” in funding formula calculations.

Respectfully,

Sherri Rusher,
Secretary
Coalition of Mat-Su Senior Centers

OFFICERS & MEMBERS OF THE COALITION OF MAT-SU SENIOR CENTERS - 2019
CHAIR: CHUCK FOSTER, VICE-CHAIR: LARRY DEARMAN, TREASURER: BJ ELDEED, SECRETARY: SHERRI RUSHER
General Member Organizations: Mat-Su Senior Services, Meadow Lakes Seniors, Inc., Mid-Valley Seniors, Inc., Upper Susitna Seniors, Inc., Wasilla Area Seniors, Inc., Willow Area Seniors, Inc.
May 25, 2019

Mr. Gordon Glaser
Chair
Alaska Commission on Aging
PO Box 110693
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Mr. Glaser,

On behalf of the Mat-Su Council on Aging (MCoA), and the more than 19,400 Alaskans aged 60+ who reside in the Matanuska-Susitna Borough, thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on the draft *Alaska State Plan for Senior Services* for SFY 2020 - 2023.

As you know, the Mat-Su Borough remains Alaska’s fastest growing community. Our senior community is a large part of this growth. Since 2015, annual growth of the 60+ cohort (as a percentage of population) has averaged 5.6%. For the past year, the senior population increased 6.5%. This rapid growth in total population, and in the 60+ cohort will continue for the foreseeable future. ¹

Likewise, the graying of the Mat-Su’s senior population is increasing. As noted in prior discussions of the Alaska State Plan, older seniors are most likely to need services.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Rate for Age Groups in Mat Su</th>
<th>60+ Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>6.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>4.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td>5.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 3-yearaverage growth: | 5.60% |

| Growth Rate for Age Groups in Mat Su | 65+ Cohort | 80+ Cohort |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 2017-2018                            | 7.48%      | 6.78%      |
| 2016-2017                            | 6.59%      | 5.54%      |
| 2015-2016                            | 7.53%      | 2.73%      |

| 3-yearaverage growth: | 7.20% | 5.02% |

¹ Demographics from Alaska DOL, 2018; [http://live.laborstats.alaska.gov/pop/](http://live.laborstats.alaska.gov/pop/)

P.O. Box 2754 • Palmer • Alaska • 99645
Chair: Chuck Foster • Vice Chair: Jim McCall • Secretary: Nathan Dahl
The Mat-Su Council on Aging are proud of Mat-Su's seniors, firm in our commitment to serve, and honored to speak on their behalf.

In review of the draft Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, the MCoA has identified weaknesses that require your attention and correction.

Funding Formula Not Responsive to Alaska's Needs

The funding formula is currently designed to secure funding for areas of lesser growth at the expense of areas with faster growing demand.

1. **Base Funding:** The "base funding" in the formula is a fixed percentage of federal funds. This percentage is completely de-linked from changing demographics, and because the federal contribution is far larger than the state match, this component - which bypasses the categories in the funding formula - provides the majority of funding for each region. The percentage assigned to each region's base funding is a legacy of the "hold harmless" provisions from 2011. The intent of "hold harmless" at the time was to prevent a drastic drop in funding that would eliminate service providers in some areas. When "hold harmless" was replaced by "base funding" in 2016, the percentage was instituted without a mechanism to adjust it in the future. This means these percentages are forever set at prior levels, despite known migration shifts with Alaska's elderly population. As Alaskans age, they are choosing communities with more responsive and needed services, yet the formula isolates a large segment of funding from these changing conditions.

   The State Plan should support senior choice. It should serve all seniors on a common footing, which means it must respond honestly to changing needs in each region. The current "base funding" prevents this responsiveness. The MCoA requests the Commission to place all funding under the funding formula.

2. **Current Demographics:** The demographics proposed for the funding formula are not the best available. The draft plan uses 2017 demographics for all categories, despite the availability of newer, more accurate statistics. This again causes areas with less growth (or contraction) to be comparatively overfunded at the expense of regions with faster growth. During discussion, the Plan Advisory Committee explained the need for a common year: because the most current figures for poverty and minority demographics are 2017, the state should use 2017 for total population, frail population and urban/rural/remote figures. However, there is no link between these categories and no benefit to forcing a link. In other words, using 2018 total population figures has no effect on the calculation in the "minority" or "poverty" category. The MCoA agrees that a common year should be used in each category, but there is no reason to use outdated figures in all categories because at least one
category does not bear the same year label. **The MCoA requests the Commission to use the most current data to populate each category in the funding formula.** Specifically for this plan, this means using 2018 data for total population of seniors, population of frail seniors, and population of "urban-rural-remote" seniors.

3. **Adjust Annually:** The State Plan should include a mechanism to adjust for changes within the span of the plan itself. As currently drafted, the State Plan will compute a distribution by region, which will remain fixed until the next State Plan is adopted. This again has the effect of hampering regions with growing needs, while protecting funding in regions where, according to the funding formula, the needs have grown less. At a minimum, an annual adjustment should account for updated demographic figures (available each January). **The MCoA requests the Commission to include an annual adjustment so the funding formula is responsive to changing needs during the life of each plan.**

**Identifying Urban, Rural, and Remote Areas**

The funding formula uses a flawed mechanism to delineate between "urban, rural, and remote" census areas in funding regions. This flaw has been extensively critiqued in sessions leading to the current State Plan (2016-2019), and again during discussions creating the draft State Plan for FY2020-2023. These objections are clear and reasonable.

The draft plan uses an 0MB statistical delineator as a tool for distributing funding. This is expressly rejected by the Office of Management and Budget, creator of these delineators, as they explain:2: (bold added)

*The purpose of the Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Area standards is to provide nationally consistent delineations for collecting, tabulating, and publishing Federal statistics for a set of geographic areas. The Office of Management and Budget establishes and maintains these areas solely for statistical purposes.*

*Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Areas are not designed as a general-purpose geographic framework for nonstatistical activities or for use in program funding formulas. The [Core Based Statistical Area] CBSA classification is not an urban-rural classification; Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Areas and many counties outside CBSAs contain both urban and rural populations.*

MCoA shared this information with the ACOA during discussions creating the current (2016-2019) funding formula. The Commission rejected this reasoning and promised to readdress it when creating the next (2020-2023) plan. It would be helpful to know how this determination benefits Alaska, yet no data has surfaced showing this designation is the best for elderly Alaskans as a whole.

---

The funding formula subcommittee of the Plan Advisory Committee heard testimony for alternate approaches to this method but declined any substantive change, citing the need for more time and a more public process to consider such a large change. Their objections are hollow given the years available since adopting this approach in 2016 until the first meeting of the Plan Advisory Committee on April 23, 2019. **The MCoA requests the Commission to immediately adopta reasonable, understandable, and fully discussed strategy to delineate which geographic areas should be funded as urban, rural, or remote.**

Specifically affecting the Matanuska-Susitna Borough (Region Vb), the funding formula’s strict use of the CESA delineator causes all of the region’s 19,431 seniors (2018 data) to be funded as though they reside in an urban setting. This is simply not true, and there is ample proof for anyone with a fair eye to see; and it harms rural seniors in the Mat Su. Even though the ACOA accommodated modifications to the strict delineations for other regions (Kodiak being the most recent) based only on a cursory and subjective evaluation by the subcommittee participants, such consideration has been denied to the Mat-Su. In the 2016-2019 State Plan, the Kenai-Peninsula Borough (KPB) is classified as "rural." There is no difference between living conditions for rural and urban seniors in the KPB compared to rural and urban seniors living in the MSB.

The State Plan determination that the Mat-Su (Region Vb) is "urban" is wrong and must be corrected immediately. **The MCoA requests the Commission to correct this error by designating the Mat-Su (Region Vb) as "rural" in the 2020-2023 plan.**

**Process and Public Comment**

The State Plan is a complex document that requires significant work to properly complete. In creating plans in the past, a common critique was that there was too little time to properly consider the vast amount of information available. When crafting the current plan (2016-2019), the Commission used a far more extensive process, involving much more public input compared to the draft plan for 2020-2023.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016-2019</th>
<th>2020-2023</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First Meeting (Advisory Comm)</td>
<td>January 13, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding discussions</td>
<td>Discussions at all meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Hearings</td>
<td>5 hearings in 5 communities, plus a statewide teleconference (June 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public comment period</td>
<td>30 Days,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The short time available to the Plan Advisory Committee to do their work is harmful to the state and resulted in a rushed, flawed result. The short time frame pushed the Advisory Committee towards a "get it done" approach which minimized thoughtful deliberation and urged them toward a rapid conclusion. Their speed induced flaws, mainly by shielding the Advisory Committee from hearing from the vast array of competent experts who could - and would - inform their decision-making process if only invited to do so.

It seems evident to the members of the MCoA that the Commission has set for itself an unreasonable timeframe to complete this work. In so doing, the Advisory Committee was mostly isolated from the experts and helps otherwise available. We do not see any reason the State Plan must be forwarded from the Commission any sooner than the last, and by extending the time to work on the plan, a better result will be achieved. This can be corrected.

The Commission should conduct a robust outreach to Older Alaskans regarding the final draft, much as was done during the data-gathering phase. The Commission's efforts to gather survey input from seniors was very successful last year and it seems likely the outreach effort owns the credit. Yet review of the draft plan has adopted the opposite view that "quicker is better than thorough." Seek more public input, not less. The MCoA requests the Commission to extend the public review and comment period and to specifically advertise and invite Older Alaskans and those who serve them to comment.

Persistent Errors

On page 9 of the draft, figure 3 attempts to display "Percentage of All Seniors 60+ by Region, 2017." Although this discrepancy was mentioned in two meetings of the Advisory Committee, an incorrect value for the Mat-Su endures. The 60+ population of Mat Su is depicted as 14%. This is incorrect. The last time the Mat Su saw this condition was in 2012, when the percentage was 14.46%. The actual percentage for 2017 is 17.48%, but the figure would be much more accurate and useful for its purpose by using the most current data available. The 2018 population figures from the Alaska DOL show the 60+ cohort comprises 18.38% of the total population. This is a slightly higher percentage than the state average. The MCoA requests the Commission to correct figure3, using the most current data available.

Many of the flaws discussed this year are the result of leaving prior comments unaddressed. I have attached MCOA's comments to the draft amended plan crafted in 2016. Although these concerns could have been discussed and resolved - and it appeared to be the Commission's intent to do so in 2016, those comments and concerns were swept aside under the cover of "not enough time." The State Plan is revised every four years and
it is impossible for the MCoA to accept there was inadequate time to address these concerns. In deliberations, the Advisory Committee again this year promised to review concerns when the next Census results are released, or at some undefined interim during the life of the 2020-2023 plan. In the light of past experience, these assurances are not dependable. If not resolved before adoption of the 2020-2023 State Plan, the MCoA requests the Commission to include in the 2020-2023 State Plan a description, including a timeline, of the process to be used to resolve these concerns.

Conclusion

The draft State Plan is a complex document with ambitious and appropriate goals. But it falls short of doing the best for Older Alaskans and therefore, it falls short of Alaska’s obligations under the Older Americans Act. Under the Act, Alaska should

"develop greater capacity and foster the development and implementation of comprehensive and coordinated systems to serve older individuals by entering into new cooperative arrangements in each State with..."

(A) State agencies and area agencies on aging;

(B) other State agencies, including agencies that administer home and community care programs;

(C) Indian tribes, tribal organizations, and Native Hawaiian organizations;

(D) the providers, including voluntary organizations or other private sector organizations, of supportive services, nutrition services, and multipurpose senior centers;

(E) organizations representing or employing older individuals or their families; and

(F) organizations that have experience in providing training, placement, and stipends for volunteers or participants who are older individuals (such as organizations carrying out Federal service programs administered by the Corporation for National and Community Service), in community service settings.

Analyzing the make-up of the Advisory Committee, it is clear the Commission sought to assemble a board of experts and committed advocates, yet even the best central planning is subject to error due to myriad effects of group dynamics. The best defense against the flaws of group think or insular deliberation is a robust discussion in the marketplace.

3 https://fns-prod.azureedge.net/sites/default/files/OAA65.pdf Section 301
This State Plan is essentially good, yet it has serious flaws which will damage Alaska’s efforts to achieve the promises of the Older Americans Act and the goals of Alaska’s Department of Health and Social Services. These flaws can and must be corrected.

Thank you again for the opportunity to provide comments on the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services (2020-2023) and thank you for your service to Alaska’s Seniors, wherever they live in the Last Frontier.

Respectfully,

[Signature]
Chair

Attached: MCoA Comment, June 10, 2016

Copy:
The Honorable Michael J. Dunleavy, Governor of the State of Alaska
Commissioner Adam Crum, Department of Health and Social Services
Denise Daniello, Executive Director, Alaska Commission on Aging
June 10, 2016

Alaska Commission on Aging
Denise Daniello, Executive Director
P.O. Box 110693
Juneau, Alaska 99811

Ms. Daniello:

Thank-you for the opportunity to provide public comments regarding proposed changes to the Alaska State Plan for Senior Services, FY2016·2019 Funding Formula.

The Mat-Su Borough continues to be Alaska’s fastest growing community with a large senior citizen population, estimated in 2015 to be over 16,500 individuals and over population currently stands at 8.5%. The Borough is immense in mass and is frequently compared to the State of West Virginia for illustrative purposes. The boundaries reach just south of Cantwell along the Park Highway, and to the northeast, Lake Louise is closer to Glen then the core areas of Palmer and Wasilla, by far.

Today, ten individuals sit on the Mat-Su Council on Aging (MCoA) and we work closely together to promote the lives of seniors who call Mat-Su home. To that extent Council members directed me to share our combined observations and concerns with you and the Commission regarding the FY’16-19 funding formula.

We appreciate the efforts of all who have worked on the most recent state plan and we warmly embrace the decision to increase the poverty and frail weight factors as these two categories often represent our most vulnerable senior citizens, wherever they may reside in the Last Frontier.

Our comments and observations specific to the revised funding formula are:

In use of the Office of Management and Budget’s (OMB) definition to define urban, rural and remote communities within Alaska is not an accurate summation of the Mat-Su Borough as many seniors reside outside the core area of Palmer & Wasilla and in no way do those individuals reside in an urban environment as portrayed by OMB’s classification of the region. These concerns were previously addressed at your May 5th Commission meeting in Palmer and also during the May 24th teleconference by Chuck Foster. Mr. Foster, Acting President & CEO of Wasilla Area Seniors, Inc., & Council member of MCoA, pointed out during that call, page 33 of OMB’s final report addresses the purpose of the Metro & Micropolitan Area Standards. It states: “The purpose...is to provide a nationally consistent set of area definitions suitable for collecting, tabulating, and publishing Federal statistics. CBSAs are not designed to serve as a general purpose geographic framework applicable to non-statistical activities, programs or funding formulas.” Despite this warning, the current funding formula is relying on exactly what OMB warns against. MCoA is strongly opposed to OMB’s broad brush definition of urban, rural and remote communities in Alaska, and instead, recommends US Census data be utilized for this purpose;
Hold Harmless Funding. During the May 24, 2016 teleconference it was revealed portions of the hold harmless funding would continue beyond July 1, 2016. This was later identified as “Legacy Funding” during the teleconference. It is MCoA’s recollection hold harmless would be phased out beginning July 1, 2016, and this announcement came as a surprise. MCoA supports elimination of all provisions of hold harmless, regardless of funding source, as was previously determined by those involved in the funding formula review process;

MCoA encourages the Commission to review the following items in the current formula for accuracy:

a) Region Sa totals for urban/rural/remote currently totals 7,260. The actual number should be 8,106.5;

b) Region 2 has 3,046 seniors reported as minority in 2014. If all the values the total would be 4,101, however, the actual number of minority seniors in reported as 713 (not 1,768), so adjusting the Y-K dataset would resolve t i 1111 discrepancy;

c) Region 9- Yakutat is shown as having 239 seniors in the minoritY. a or 2014, yet there are only 152 seniors in the community according to the 60+ population column. ears to be overstated by the same number; 152 individuals. This correction would change Regio ov otal from 3,729 to 3,577;

d) Reviewing Alaska Department of Labor (DOL) reports around the state. Nine communities were under-reported in this category while one was over-reported. Mat-Su was one of the under-reported communities showing a difference of four seniors over the age of 80; and,

e) Reviewing DOL reports from 2014, the 60+ population data is different for every community shown, except Aleutians East, Bristol Bay and Neg. l total of 25 communities were under-reported in this category while one was over-reported. i P.resents 262 seniors over age 60 statewide not beingcounted in the current document, of which 261 are in the Mat-Su Borough;

IV. Demographic data is not the most available. During the May 24th teleconference it was disclosed 2014 population data was utilize for the current funding formula. Specifically, as minority population data has not yet e.ted for the most recent year, all other aspects of 2015 data (which is available) is not utilized. As Alaska has the fastest growing per-capita population of seniors in the nation, MCoA supports a different approach to overall demographic analysis. In a quick review of other state plans across the nation we know it is possible to review population data throughout the state plan period, which for Alaska equates to four years. By focusing exclusively on 2014 data, due solely on one factor not being updated, communities are frozen in time and only completely reevaluated during the next planning effort. Due to the rapid growth rate in several communities in the state, such an approach widens the funding gap and disparity over the life of the plan. To illustrate, the current state plan reflects the 60+ population at 115,280 for 2014. However, one year later, DOL stated the 2015 senior population stood at 120,444. This represents a 4.48% increase in one year, which could equate to approximately an 18% change over four years. The same example holds true for frail, or 80 plus. In 2014 there were 12,760 seniors statewide over the age of 80, but one year later the number had increased by 2.63% to 13,096. At the end of four years, a growth of 10.5% could likely occur in this factor, yet not be adequately documented thereby hurting communities experiencing demographic changes. Further, in 2015, four communities reported a lower overall senior population than 2014, yet the current formula would not take those decreases into account, nor would it reallocate funding accordingly. Reviewing demographic data each year, throughout the life of the plan, as other states have done, could help various communities, who are also
experiencing substantial changes within their population in just one year, such as Wrangell (+7.6%); Aleutians West (7.1%) and also the communities of Kodiak and Petersburg (6.2% each);

Y... The current funding formula reduces the 60+ weight factor by 4.5% to a total of 12.5%. The primary explanation provided during the May 24th teleconference was: "the majority of the senior population is younger (60-64) and are not in need of services." MCoA urges extreme caution as we move forward with respect to this factor and how it is interpreted. In Alaska today, the 60-64 age group comprises 38% of the overall senior population, while 62% of Alaska's seniors are 65 and older;

VI. This, and future funding formulas should specifically address what grant programs are included in the calculation factors - and which are not - to eliminate any and all possible confusion;

VII. Provide an actual written step-by-step example of the funding formula in (as as verbally shared over the webinar and teleconference) so individuals can see how calculations are performed; and, finally

All of who have worked on state plans in the past understand the complexities involved, particularly concerning the funding formula which triggers approximations of state and federal receipts. MCoA respectfully suggests discussion of the actual formula begin earlier in the overall process as the two most recent discussions began in April of last year and also April of this year, leaving just a short window of time before implementation. Additionally, while a task force was convened to review and 'vote' on changes, much of the vetting of the various subjects was done outside the task force. While that is understandable, MCoA recommends those findings be shared sometime prior to a final group gathering and subsequent vote so individuals have adequate time to analyze in presented and do their own research of what has been presented.

In advance, and on behalf of senior citizens across the Mat-Su Borough, and all MCoA Council members, thank you for your consideration of our observations and concerns to the proposed FY2016-2019 funding formula.

Respectfully,

Jim McCall, Chair
Mat-Su Council on Aging

PO Box 2754
Palmer, Alaska 99645
MCoAging@gmail.com