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Potential Elements of 2010 Report

- Introduction
  - Purpose
  - Background
  - Summary of 2010 Activities

- Updates/Issues
  - Status of Implementation of 2009 Recommendations
  - Cost of Health Care in Alaska – Part II
  - Impact of Federal Health Care Laws on Alaska
  - Measuring Progress – Data Gaps
  - Other?

- Recommendations
  - Evidence-Based Medicine
  - Other?
  - Other?
What are the gaps in knowledge about Alaska’s health care system?

- What information do we need to accurately diagnose the condition of Alaska’s health care system - what do we need to know to better understand why the current system is not achieving our vision?

- What do we know now?

- What information is missing?
What are the highest priority health care system transformation strategies?

I. Access to Care
   ◦ Increase insurance coverage
   ◦ Develop health care workforce
   ◦ Address specific services (e.g., behavioral health, long term care)

II. Value (Cost & Quality)
   ◦ Analyze cost of care in Alaska
   ◦ Foster primary care innovation
   ◦ Leverage state purchasing power
   ◦ Increase cost and quality transparency
   ◦ Move to value-driven purchasing
     • Evidence-Based Medicine
     • Pay-for-Performance
     • Bundled payment systems
     • Medical error/infection reporting and non-payment
   ◦ Control fraud and abuse
   ◦ Reform malpractice system
   ◦ Support process and quality improvement

III. Prevention
   ◦ Public health and community-based prevention
   ◦ Safe water and sanitation systems
   ◦ Employee health risk management
What indicators best measure progress of Alaska’s health care system toward attainment of the vision?

I. Increase Access
   ◦ Percent of Alaskans Uninsured
   ◦ Percent of Alaskans who have a specific source of on-going care
   ◦ Measure of insurance affordability?
   ◦ Indicator of workforce supply?

II. Control Costs
   ◦ Annual growth rate in total health system expenditures in Alaska
   ◦ Annual growth rate in Alaska’s Medicaid expenditures
   ◦ Impact on Alaska’s state budget: Annual change in spending and revenue; net savings
   ◦ Measure of provider revenue based on value rather than volume

III. Safe, High-Quality Care
   ◦ Percent of population receiving key preventive services
   ◦ Percent of Alaskans with chronic conditions controlled
   ◦ Percent reduction in gap between benchmark and actual levels of quality
   ◦ Percent reduction in gap between benchmark and actual levels of safety

IV. Focus on Prevention
   ◦ Percent of Alaskan communities with safe water and wastewater systems
   ◦ Percent of Alaskans reporting health risks
     ● Percent of Alaskans who smoke cigarettes
     ● Percent of Alaskans who are obese
     ● Percent of Alaskans who are binge drinkers
   ◦ Percent of Alaskans with moderate to severe depression
   ◦ Death rate among Alaskans due to injury (intention and unintentional)
Discussion Questions

- What are the commission’s consulting needs based on these questions?
  - Cost of Care in AK – 2010 Update (?)
  - Fed Reform Economic Impact (?)
  - What other:
    - Issues need to be analyzed?
    - Potential strategies need to be studied?
    - Learning opportunities should we pursue?
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