State of Alaska
Department of Health and Social Services
Division of Behavioral Health

FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Performance Based Funding Summary

FINAL

June 27, 2014

Table of Contents

FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Funding Allocation Process 1

Attachment A. FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary A-1

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact..... A-13

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact A-21

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact..... A-29

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact..... A-37

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact..... A-45

Attachment A.7: FY2015 BTKH - PLL Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact..... A-53

Attachment A.8: FY2015 Other Non-CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact A-55

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points A-59

Attachment B: FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) - Summary by PBF Measure

Attachment B.1: Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score B-1

Attachment B.2: Annual Household Income: Percent of Served Clients with Missing or Bad Data B-7

Attachment B.3: Average Number of Days from Screening (AST) to First Treatment Service B-11

Attachment B.4: Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment..... B-15

Attachment B.5: Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days B-19

Attachment B.6: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SMI Population B-23

Attachment B.7: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SED Population..... B-27

Attachment B.8: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or Maintained Abstinence) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Adult Population B-32

Attachment B.9: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or Maintained Abstinence) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Youth Population..... B-36

Attachment B.10: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SMI Population B-40

Attachment B.11: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SED Population B-44

Attachment B.12: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Adult Population B-49

Attachment B.13: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Youth Population B-53

Attachment B.14: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SMI Population..... B-57

Attachment B.15: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SED Population B-61

Attachment B.16: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Adult Population B-65

Attachment B.17: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Youth Population B-69

Attachment B.18: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SMI Population B-73

Attachment B.19: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SED Population B-77

Attachment B.20: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SA Adult Population B-81

Attachment B.21: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SA Youth Population ... B-85

Attachment C: FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

Access Alaska (grant # 602-14-202) C-1

Akeela, Inc - Gateway (grant # 602-14-014) C-2

Akeela, Inc - Gateway (grant # 602-14-237) C-3

AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed) (grant # 602-14-230) C-4

AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children) (grant # 602-14-231) C-5

Akeela, Inc. (grant # 602-14-011) C-6

Akeela, Inc. (grant # 602-14-040) C-7

Akeela, Inc. (grant # 602-14-907) C-8

Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch (grant # 602-14-280) C-9

Alaska Baptist Family Services (grant # 602-14-217) C-10

Alaska Behavioral Health Association (grant # 602-14-923) C-11

Alaska Child and Family (grant # 602-14-203) C-12

Alaska Child and Family (grant # 602-14-383) C-13

Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification
(grant # 602-14-301) C-14

Alaska Family Services, Inc (grant # 602-14-260) C-15

Alaska Island Counseling Services (grant # 602-14-254) C-16

Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web (grant # 602-14-918) C-17

Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)
(grant # 602-14-987) C-18

Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (grant # 602-14-130) C-19

Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (grant # 602-14-268) C-20

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services
(grant # 602-14-110) C-21

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services
(grant # 602-14-204) C-22

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services
(grant # 602-14-215) C-23

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services
(grant # 602-14-970) C-24

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services
(grant # 602-14-213) C-25

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)
(grant # 602-14-219) C-26
Arc of Anchorage (grant # 602-14-210) C-27
Assets (grant # 602-14-205) C-28
Bristol Bay Area Health Corp. (grant # 602-14-281) C-29
Catholic Community Services, Inc (grant # 602-14-973) C-30
Catholic Community Services, Inc. (grant # 602-14-131) C-31
Catholic Community Services, Inc. (grant # 602-14-212) C-32
Central Peninsula General Hospital (grant # 602-14-282) C-33
Central Peninsula General Hospital (grant # 602-14-974) C-34
Choices, Inc (Soteria-Alaska, Inc.) (grant # 602-14-021) C-35
Choices, Inc. (grant # 602-14-920) C-36
Choices, Inc. (grant # 602-14-924) C-37
City of Bethel (grant # 602-14-501) C-38
Community Connections (grant # 602-14-250) C-39
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (grant # 602-14-999) C-40
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (grant # 602-14-998) C-41
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse (grant # 602-14-232) ... C-42
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc (grant # 602-14-233) C-43
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc (grant # 602-14-238) C-44
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc. (grant # 602-14-041) C-45
Copper River Native Association (grant # 602-14-283) C-46

Cordova Community Medical Clinic - Sound Alternatives
(grant # 602-14-288) C-47
Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments (grant # 602-14-007) C-48
Daybreak, Inc. (grant # 602-14-263) C-49
Daybreak, Inc. (grant # 602-14-503) C-50
Denali Family Services (grant # 602-14-201) C-51
Denali Family Services (grant # 602-14-214) C-52
Denali Family Services (grant # 602-14-216) C-53
Eastern Aleutian Tribes (grant # 602-14-264) C-54
Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services
(grant # 602-14-269) C-55
Fairbanks Native Association (grant # 602-14-271) C-56
Fairbanks Native Association (grant # 602-14-272) C-57
Fairbanks Resource Agency (grant # 602-14-133) C-58
Family Centered Services (grant # 602-14-273) C-59
Frontier Community Services (grant # 602-14-602) C-60
Frontier Community Services (grant # 602-14-922) C-61
Gastineau Human Services (grant # 602-14-241) C-62
Gastineau Human Services (grant # 602-14-600) C-63
Hope Community Resources (grant # 602-14-206) C-64
Interior Aids Association (grant # 602-14-284) C-65
Ionia, Inc. (grant # 602-14-015) C-66
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. (grant # 602-14-251) C-67

Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris
(grant # 602-14-249) C-68
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris
(grant # 602-14-601) C-69
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris
(grant # 602-14-921) C-70
Juneau Youth Services (grant # 602-14-244) C-71
Kenai Peninsula Community Care (grant # 602-14-266) C-72
Kenaitze Indian Tribe (grant # 602-14-267) C-73
Ketchikan Indian Community (grant # 602-14-245) C-74
Kodiak Area Native Association (grant # 602-14-955) C-75
Lynn Canal Counseling (grant # 602-14-252) C-76
Maniilaq Association (grant # 602-14-001) C-77
Mat-Su Health Services (grant # 602-14-261) C-78
Metlakatla Indian Community (grant # 602-14-979) C-79
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center (grant # 602-14-285) C-80
National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependence
(grant # 602-14-290) C-81
North Slope Borough (grant # 602-14-274) C-82
Norton Sound Health Corporation (grant # 602-14-002) C-83
Norton Sound Health Corporation (grant # 602-14-009) C-84
Norton Sound Health Corporation (grant # 602-14-958) C-85
Peninsula Community Health Services (grant # 602-14-262) C-86

Peninsula Community Health Services (grant # 602-14-976) C-87

Petersburg Mental Health Services (grant # 602-14-253) C-88

Presbyterian Hospitality House (grant # 602-14-957) C-89

Presbyterian Hospitality House (grant # 602-14-956) C-90

Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) -
Adult (grant # 602-14-055) C-91

Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) -
Youth (grant # 602-14-056) C-92

Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center (grant # 602-14-286) .. C-93

Providence Valdez Counseling Center (grant # 602-14-289) C-94

Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions (grant # 602-14-275) C-95

Rainforest Recovery Center (grant # 602-14-243) C-96

Residential Youth Care (grant # 602-14-963) C-97

Residential Youth Care (grant # 602-14-964) C-98

Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (grant # 602-14-235) C-99

SeaView Community Services (grant # 602-14-287) C-100

Set Free Alaska (grant # 602-14-008) C-101

Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (grant # 602-14-247) C-102

South Peninsula Behavioral Health (grant # 602-14-207) C-103

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage (grant # 602-14-208) C-104

Southcentral Foundation - McGrath (grant # 602-14-200) C-105

Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium
(grant # 602-14-209) C-106

Tanana Chiefs Conference (grant # 602-14-211) C-107

Tok Area Mental Health Council (grant # 602-14-276) C-108

Volunteers of America Alaska (grant # 602-14-236) C-109

Volunteers of America Alaska (grant # 602-14-954) C-110

Youth Advocates of Sitka (grant # 602-14-255) C-111

Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation (grant # 602-14-005) C-112

Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation (grant # 602-14-502) C-113

Attachment D: FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

ACCESS ALASKA, (grant # 602-14-202) D-1

Akeela, Inc., (grant # 602-14-011) D-4

Akeela, Inc., (grant # 602-14-040) D-7

Akeela, Inc., (grant # 602-14-230) D-10

Akeela, Inc., (grant # 602-14-231) D-13

Akeela, Inc., (grant # 602-14-907) D-16

Akeela Gateway Rural Peer Support, (grant # 602-14-014) D-19

Akeela, Gateway for Human Services, (grant # 602-14-237) D-22

Alaska Baptist Family Services, (grant # 602-14-217) D-25

Alaska Behavioral Health Assocation, (grant # 602-14-923) D-28

Alaska Child & Family, (grant # 602-14-203) D-31

Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification,

(grant # 602-14-301) D-34

Alaska Family Services, (grant # 602-14-260) D-37

Alaska Island Community Services, (grant # 602-14-254) D-40

Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web, (grant # 602-14-918) D-43

Alaska Youth & Family Network, (grant # 602-14-987) D-46

Alaska Pribilof Islands Association, (grant # 602-14-130) D-49

Alaska Pribilof Islands Association, (grant # 602-14-268) D-52

Alaska Pribilof Islands Association, (grant # 602-14-268) D-55

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services,
(grant # 602-14-110) D-58

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services,
(grant # 602-14-204) D-61

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services - DOC,
(grant # 602-14-213) D-64

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services,
(grant # 602-14-215) D-67

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services - BridgeHome,
(grant # 602-14-219) D-70

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services,
(grant # 602-14-970) D-73

ARC of Anchorage, (grant # 602-14-210) D-76

ASSETS, (grant # 602-14-205) D-79

Bristol Bay Area Health Corp, (grant # 602-14-281) D-82

Catholic Social Services, (grant # 602-14-131) D-85

Catholic Community Services, (grant # 602-14-212) D-88

Catholic Community Services, (grant # 602-14-973) D-91

Central Peninsula General Hospital, (grant # 602-14-282) D-94

Central Peninsula General Hospital, (grant # 602-14-974) D-97

CHOICES-Peer Bridger, (grant # 602-14-920) D-100

CHOICES-ICM, (grant # 602-14-924) D-103

City of Bethel, (grant # 602-14-501) D-106

Community Connections, (grant # 602-14-250) D-109

Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, (grant # 602-14-998) D-112

Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, (grant # 602-14-999) D-115

Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse,
(grant # 602-14-232) D-118

Cook Inlet Tribal Council, (grant # 602-14-041) D-121

Cook Inlet Tribal Council, (grant # 602-14-233) D-124

Cook Inlet Tribal Council, (grant # 602-14-238) D-127

Copper River Native Association, (grant # 602-14-283) D-130

Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments, (grant # 602-14-007) D-133

Daybreak, (grant # 602-14-263) D-136

Daybreak, (grant # 602-14-503) D-139

Denali Family Services, (grant # 602-14-201) D-142

Denali Family Services, (grant # 602-14-214) D-145

Denali Family Services, (grant # 602-14-216) D-148

Eastern Aleutian Tribes, (grant # 602-14-264) D-151

Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services,
(grant # 602-14-269) D-154

Fairbanks Native Association, (grant # 602-14-271) D-157

Fairbanks Native Association, (grant # 602-14-272) D-160

Fairbanks Resource Agency, (grant # 602-14-133) D-163

Family Centered Services of Alaska, (grant # 602-14-273) D-166

Frontier Community Services, (grant # 602-14-602) D-169

Frontier Community Services, (grant # 602-14-922) D-172

Gastineau Human Services, (grant # 602-14-241) D-175

Gastineau Human Services, (grant # 602-14-600) D-178

Hope Community Resources, Inc., (grant # 602-14-206) D-181

Interior Aids Association, (grant # 602-14-284) D-184

Ionia, (grant # 602-14-015) D-187

Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, (grant # 602-14-249) D-190

Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, (grant # 602-14-251) D-193

Juneau Youth Services, (grant # 602-14-244) D-196

Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center, (grant # 602-14-266) D-199

Kenaitze Indian Tribe, (grant # 602-14-267) D-202

Ketchikan Indian Community, (grant # 602-14-245) D-205

Kodiak Area Native Association, (grant # 602-14-955) D-208

Lynn Canal Counseling Services, (grant # 602-14-252) D-211

Maniilaq Association, (grant # 602-14-001) D-214

Mat-Su Health Services, (grant # 602-14-261) D-217

Metlakatla Indian Community - Wil la mootk Counseling Services,

(grant # 602-14-979) D-220

Narcotic Drug Treatment Center (NDTC), (grant # 602-14-285) D-223

National Council on Alcohol and Drug Dependence,
(grant # 602-14-290) D-226

North Slope Borough, (grant # 602-14-274) D-229

Norton Sound Health Corporation, (grant # 602-14-002) D-232

Norton Sound Health Corporation, (grant # 602-14-009) D-235

Norton Sound Health Corporation, (grant # 602-14-958) D-238

Nugens Ranch / Alaska Addiction Rehab Service,
(grant # 602-14-280) D-241

Peninsula Community Health Services, (grant # 602-14-262) D-244

Peninsula Community Health Services, (grant # 602-14-976) D-247

Petersburg Mental Health Services, Inc., (grant # 602-14-253) D-250

Polaris House, (grant # 602-14-601) D-253

Polaris House, (grant # 602-14-921) D-256

Presbyterian Hospitality House, (grant # 602-14-956) D-259

Presbyterian Hospitality House, (grant # 602-14-957) D-262

Providence Crisis Recovery Center - Adult "Compass" Program,
(grant # 602-14-055) D-266

Providence Crisis Recovery Center - Adolescent "Directions"
Program, (grant # 602-14-056) D-269

Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center, (grant # 602-14-286) .. D-272

Providence Valdez Counseling Center, (grant # 602-14-289) D-275

Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions, (grant # 602-14-275) D-278

Rainforest Recovery Center, (grant # 602-14-243) D-281

Residential Youth Care, (grant # 602-14-963) D-284

Residential Youth care, (grant # 602-14-964) D-287

Salvation Army Clitheroe Center, (grant # 602-14-235) D-290

Salvation Army Clitheroe Center, (grant # 602-14-500) D-293

Seaview Community Services, (grant # 602-14-287) D-296

Set Free Alaska, (grant # 602-14-008) D-299

Sitka Counseling and Prevention, (grant # 602-14-247) D-302

Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices), (grant # 602-14-021) D-305

Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center,
(grant # 602-14-288) D-308

South Peninsula Behavioral Health, (grant # 602-14-207) D-311

Southcentral Foundation - McGrath, (grant # 602-14-200) D-314

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage, (grant # 602-14-208) D-317

Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium,
(grant # 602-14-209) D-320

Tanana Chiefs Conference, (grant # 602-14-211) D-323

Tok Area Mental Health Council, Inc., (grant # 602-14-276) D-326

Volunteers of America Alaska, (grant # 602-14-236) D-329

Volunteers of America Alaska, (grant # 602-14-954) D-332

Youth Advocates of Sitka, (grant # 602-14-255) D-335

Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation, (grant # 602-14-005) D-338

Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation, (grant # 602-14-499) D-341

Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation, (grant # 602-14-502) D-344

FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Funding Allocation Process

FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Funding Allocation Process and Impact: Summary

The FY2015 Treatment & Recovery grant awards were based on a competitive process reflecting grantee performance during the first three quarters of FY2014. There were no new funds to distribute to grantees, so existing funds were allocated based on a redistribution process that reflected how well grantees performed relative to one another.

Treatment & Recovery grants were grouped into three grant categories: Comprehensive Behavioral Health Treatment & Recovery (CBHTR), Bring the Kids Home (BTKH), and Other Non-CBHTR. For each of the three categories, grant funds were redistributed using a funding allocation model that incorporated the FY2014 grant amounts and FY2014 performance through the third quarter. For the CBHTR grant category, the model aligned performance measures with five competitive funding groups reflecting specific populations and services. For the BTKH and Other Non-CBHTR categories, the model aligned performance measures with one competitive funding group. The grant categories, competitive funding groups, and performance measures applied to each group are listed in Table 1 below.

Within each grant category, grantees with FY2014 funding in a specific competitive funding group competed with one another for the total available funds for that specific group. In the funding allocation model, grantees started out with their FY2014 final award amount for each competitive funding group. Performance Based Funding (PBF) points (reflecting grantee performance) were applied as adjustments to grantee funding that resulted in a new distribution of funds within each competitive funding group. For each group, the total funding remained the same, but each grantee's percentage of the total changed – thus reflecting the competitive aspect of this process. Each grantee's change in their percentage of the total funds for the competitive funding group reflects how well they did in comparison to other grantees.

An overall summary of the impact of grantee performance on the redistribution of grant funds for each of the three grant categories is provided in Table 2 below. In addition, a performance report card was prepared for each grant. The report card provides a funding allocation summary specific to each grant and the grantee's performance for each applicable PBF measure.

For some grant funds, performance-based funding was not applicable and the funds were not adjusted. These amounts were identified as "fixed set asides" and were not included in the PBF process. Examples of funding not subject to PBF adjustments include the following: (1) if the funding source is federal block grant dollars – e.g., opioid treatment funds; (2) if funds are based on fixed rates – e.g., detoxification funds; and (3) if grants are for $100 for Medicaid billing.

Table 1. Grant Categories, Competitive Funding Groups, and Performance Measures Applied.

Grant Category: Comprehensive Behavioral Health Treatment & Recovery (CBHTR)	
A. CBHTR: Treatment Grants	
Competitive Funding Groups: 1. Adults with Serious Mental Illness (SMI) 2. Children/Youth with Severe Emotional Disturbance (SED) 3. Adult Substance Abuse (SA) 4. Youth Substance Abuse (SA)	**Performance Measures Applied to each Competitive Funding Group:** Compliance Measure: i. Grant Review & Progress Report - DBH Staff Score ii. Annual Household Income - % of Clients with Missing or Bad Data Access/Engagement (Efficiency) Measures: iii. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service iv. % of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment v. % of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures - based on Client Status Review (CSR): vi. CSR – Health Domains: Poor Mental Health Days: % Who Improved or Stayed the Same Alcohol/Drug Use Days: % Who Improved or Maintained Abstinence vii. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % Who Improved or Stayed the Same viii. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % Satisfied with Quality of Services ix. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life
B. CBHTR: Other Grants	
Competitive Funding Group: 5. Psych Emergency Services (PES) and Other Services	**Performance Measure Applied:** Compliance Measure: i. Grant Review & Progress Report - DBH Staff Score
Grant Category: Bring the Kids Home (BTKH)	
Competitive Funding Group: 1. Children/Youth with Severe Emotional Disturbance (SED)	**Performance Measures Applied:** Same nine measures listed above for CBHTR: Treatment Grants
Grant Category: Other Non-CBHTR	
Competitive Funding Group: 1. All other Non-CBHTR grants	**Performance Measure Applied:** Compliance Measure: i. Grant Review & Progress Report - DBH Staff Score

Table 2. FY2015 Grant Awards: Overall Impact of Performance on the Redistribution of Grant Funds, by Grant Category.

Grant Category: CBHTR (69 Grants with funding subject to PBF)		
	Increased Funding (42 of 69 Grantees = 61%)	Decreased Funding (27 of 69 Grantees = 39%)
Min $ Change	$ 75	$ (11)
Max $ Change	$ 42,632	$ (48,948)
Average $ Change	$ 4,972	$ (7,735)
Min % Change	0.11%	-0.003%
Max % Change	1.70%	-3.91%
Average % Change	0.79%	-1.02%
Grant Category: BTKH (7 Grants with funding subject to PBF)		
	Increased Funding (3 of 7 Grantees = 43%)	Decreased Funding (4 of 7 Grantees = 57%)
Min $ Change	$ 1,735	$ (837)
Max $ Change	$ 2,588	$ (2,264)
Average $ Change	$ 2,067	$ (1,551)
Min % Change	1.05%	-0.42%
Max % Change	1.29%	-1.13%
Average % Change	1.21%	-0.80%
Grant Category: Other Non-CBHTR (12 Grants with funding subject to PBF)		
	Increased Funding (10 of 12 Grantees = 83%)	Decreased Funding (2 of 12 Grantees = 17%)
Min $ Change	$ 74	$ (448)
Max $ Change	$ 802	$ (1,821)
Average $ Change	$ 227	$ (1,134)
Min % Change	0.10%	-0.40%
Max % Change	0.10%	-0.65%
Average % Change	0.10%	-0.52%

FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Performance Based Funding (PBF) Measures and PBF Points

The FY2015 funding allocation process included evaluating grantee FY2014 performance (through the 3rd quarter) for the nine performance measures listed in Table 1 above. Performance thresholds and a corresponding PBF point scale were established for each measure. Generally, performance thresholds and PBF point scales varied by measure to address attainment levels specific to each measure. However, the same scale was used to measure performance across all the Outcomes/ Effectiveness measures; this scale had relatively broad threshold ranges to address nuances of the data and, in some cases, small numbers of clients with a first follow-up CSR. Net PBF points were then calculated for the Compliance/Efficiency measures and for the Outcomes/Effectiveness measures (specific to each population). These Net PBF Points were brought into the funding model and aligned with the Competitive Funding Groups. A weighting factor was then applied to the Net PBF Points based on the desired impact on funding. For FY2015 funding, the intent was that PBF would have a mild impact on funding, with a slightly lower weighting for the Outcomes/Effectiveness measures than for the Compliance/Efficiency measures. The funding model accomplished this by applying a weight of 0.5 to the Outcomes/Effectiveness Net PBF Points and a weight of 1.0 to the Compliance/Efficiency Net PBF Points. The weighted PBF Points were then applied as adjustments to grantee funding that resulted in a redistribution of funds within each competitive funding group.

A description of each PBF measure and the measure's threshold ranges (i.e., attainment levels) and corresponding PBF point scale is provided below.

1. **Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score.** DBH staff scored grantees on the following activities to determine an overall Staff Score for each grantee:

   a. Did grantee participate in community planning on a quarterly basis?
   b. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?
   c. Did grantee submit all required reports (including narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal reports) in a timely manner?
   d. Did grantee submit complete quarterly reports?
   e. Did the grantee use the Logic Model to make program improvements?

   Possible PBF Points = -0.75, -0.50, 0.00, +0.25, +0.50, +0.75, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Staff Score	PBF Points
<= 64%	-0.75
>= 65% and <= 74%	-0.50
>= 75% and <= 84%	0.00
>= 85% and <= 89%	+0.25
>= 90% and <= 94%	+0.50
>= 95% and <= 99%	+0.75
= 100%	+1.00

2. **Minimum Data Set - Annual Household Income: Percent of Served Clients with Missing or Bad Data.** This measure identifies the percent of distinct admissions of served clients with missing or bad data for the Minimum Data Set "Annual Household Income" field.

Possible PBF Points = -1.50, -1.00, -0.50, -0.25, 0.00, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: % With Missing or Bad Data	PBF Points
>= 26%	-1.50
>= 21% and <= 25%	-1.00
>= 16% and <= 20%	-0.50
>= 11% and <= 15%	-0.25
>= 6% and <= 10%	0.00
>= 0% and <= 5%	+1.00

3. **Average Number of Days from Screening (AST) to First Treatment Service.** This measure reports the elapsed period of time from the completion of the Alaska Screening Tool (AST) to the date of the first treatment service. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.25, +0.50, +0.75, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Avg # of Days	PBF Points
>= 36	-0.25
>= 29 and <= 35	0.00
>= 22 and <= 28	+0.25
>= 15 and <= 21	+0.50
>= 8 and <= 14	+0.75
<= 0 and <= 7	+1.00

4. **Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment.** This measure reports the percentage of an agency's clients who received a treatment service within 30 days of being enrolled into a program for treatment services. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.25, +0.50, +0.75, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: % Served within 30 Days	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 59%	0.00
>= 60% and <= 69%	+0.25
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80% and <= 89%	+0.75
>= 90%	+1.00

5. **Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days.** This measure identifies those clients enrolled into treatment services who have not received any services within 135 days or greater. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to engagement and retention of clients in services and "capacity management" of treatment services.

Possible PBF Points = -0.75, -0.50, -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: % Not Served in 135 Days	PBF Points
>= 51%	-0.75
>= 31% and <= 50%	-0.50
>= 21% and <= 30%	-0.25
>= 11% and <= 20%	0.00
>= 6% and <= 10%	+0.50
>= 0% and <= 5%	+1.00

6. **Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change/Maintained Abstinence) in Health Domain.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change/maintained abstinence) over time in the CSR health domain question(s). This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   a. For the SMI and SED populations, this measure is based on the client's response to:
      - CSR Question #2: How many days in the past 30 days was your mental health (including depression and/or problems with emotions, behavior, or thinking) not good?

      Measure: percent of clients with improvement or no change in the number of poor mental health days

   b. For the SA populations (adult & youth), this measure is based on the client's responses to:
      - CSR Question #5: How many days during the past 30 days have you used alcohol?
      - CSR Question #6: How many days during the past 30 days have you used illegal drugs (including medications not as prescribed/ directed)?

      Measure: percent of clients with improvement in the average number of alcohol/drug use days or with maintained abstinence.

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Health Domain - % Improved or Stayed Same/Stayed Abstinent	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

7. **Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   For each of the four target populations (SMI, SED, SA Adult, and SA Youth), this measure is based on the sum of the client's responses to the nine quality of life items under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

   - Your housing?
   - Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
   - Your safety in your home or where you sleep?
   - Your safety outside your home?
   - How much people in your life support you?
   - Your friendships?
   - Your family situation?
   - Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
   - Your life in general?

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Quality of Life Domains - % Improved or Stayed Same	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

8. **Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   For each of the four target populations (SMI, SED, SA Adult, and SA Youth), this measure is based on the average of the client's responses to the following two items under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

   - I was treated with respect.
   - I was able to get all the services I needed.

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Quality of Services - % Satisfied	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

9. **Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   For each of the four target populations (SMI, SED, SA Adult, and SA Youth), this measure is based on the client's response to the following item under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

   - The services improved the quality of my life.

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Improved Quality of Life - % Satisfied	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Funding Allocation Process: Description of Attachments

- Attachment A: FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Funding Allocation Model
  - Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary. Provides a list of CBHTR grants with adjusted funding amounts across the five competitive funding groups and the resulting FY2015 award amount reflecting PBF.
  - Attachments A.2 - A.6: FY2015 CBHTR Funding Allocation Process and Impact for each of the five competitive funding groups. Shows funding adjustments (based on PBF points) for each grant amount subject to PBF within the competitive funding group.
  - Attachment A.7: FY2015 BTKH – PLL Grants: Funding Allocation Process and Impact. Shows funding adjustments (based on PBF points) for each grant amount subject to PBF.
  - Attachment A.8: FY2015 Other Non-CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Process and Impact. Shows funding adjustments (based on PBF points) for each grant amount subject to PBF.
  - Attachment A.9: Summary of grantee PBF points for each measure and the net PBF points (i.e., for compliance/efficiency measures and outcome/effectiveness measures) used in the funding allocation process.

- Attachment B: FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) - Summaries by PBF Measure. For each PBF measure, provides a list of grantee performance.

- Attachment C: FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Cards. Individual grantee performance and funding summary sheets.

- Attachment D: FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report for each grantee.

Attachment A

FY2015 Treatment & Recovery Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 44,111,250	$ 11,254,656	$ 11,254,656	$ 6,989,659	$ 6,989,659	$ 15,317,356	$ 15,317,356	$ 2,208,180	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 992,406	$ 513,630	$ 518,297	$ 79,990	$ 80,971	$ 332,302	$ 334,659	$ 30,000	$ 29,683
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ 692,409	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 692,409	$ 702,445	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ 275,254	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 275,254	$ 279,244	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ 879,549	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 879,549	$ 894,495	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ 147,105	$ -	-	$ 147,105	$ 148,218	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ 212,758	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 141,839	$ 143,210	$ 70,919	$ 71,409
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ 414,952	$ 45,877	$ 45,636	$ 38,290	$ 38,022	$ 129,671	$ 128,105	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ 149,040	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ 750,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ 302,411	$ 88,785	$ 88,742	$ 40,438	$ 40,846	$ 103,730	$ 103,602	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ 4,506,271	$ 3,060,860	$ 3,089,144	$ 1,445,411	$ 1,459,759	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ 75,000	$ 75,000	$ 75,693	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ 335,994	$ 220,552	$ 218,314	$ 74,110	$ 73,408	$ 41,332	$ 40,933	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ 757,321	$ 757,321	$ 736,844	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ 946,811	$ 293,350	$ 293,189	$ 197,779	$ 199,275	$ 393,289	$ 394,240	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ 125,000	$ -	-	$ 125,000	$ 126,544	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ 349,365	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 349,365	$ 355,302	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ 145,000	$ -	-	$ 100,000	$ 101,468	$ -	-	$ 45,000	$ 45,303

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	$ 8,341,399	$ 44,111,250	100.0%	$ 44,111,250	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY14 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O) (Same as F)	FY14 PBF Start % of Total $ (Q / Total Q)	FY15 PBF End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P)	FY15 PBF End % of Total $ (S / Total S)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 36,484	$ 36,535	$ 992,406	2.2%	$ 1,000,144	2.3%
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ -	-	$ 692,409	1.6%	$ 702,445	1.6%
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ -	-	$ 275,254	0.6%	$ 279,244	0.6%
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ -	-	$ 879,549	2.0%	$ 894,495	2.0%
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ -	-	$ 147,105	0.3%	$ 148,218	0.3%
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ -	-	$ 212,758	0.5%	$ 214,619	0.5%
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ 201,114	$ 201,393	$ 414,952	0.9%	$ 413,157	0.9%
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ 149,040	$ 149,247	$ 149,040	0.3%	$ 149,247	0.3%
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ 750,000	$ 751,041	$ 750,000	1.7%	$ 751,041	1.7%
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ 69,458	$ 69,554	$ 302,411	0.7%	$ 302,744	0.7%
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ -	-	$ 4,506,271	10.2%	$ 4,548,903	10.3%
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ -	-	$ 75,000	0.2%	$ 75,693	0.2%
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ -	-	$ 335,994	0.8%	$ 332,655	0.8%
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ -	-	$ 757,321	1.7%	$ 736,844	1.7%
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ 62,393	$ 62,480	$ 946,811	2.1%	$ 949,184	2.2%
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ -	-	$ 125,000	0.3%	$ 126,544	0.3%
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ -	-	$ 349,365	0.8%	$ 355,302	0.8%
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	$ 145,000	0.3%	$ 146,771	0.3%

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,105,733	$ 53,216,983	
A	B	C	D	E	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change ($) From FY14 Due to PBF (S-Q)	FY15 Change (%) From FY14 Due to PBF (U/Q)		FY15 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY15 Award Reflecting PBF with Set Aside $ Added Back (S + X)	
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100	
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 7,738	0.8%		$ -	$ 1,000,144	
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ 10,036	1.4%		$ -	$ 702,445	
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ 3,990	1.4%		$ 633,821	$ 913,065	
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ 14,946	1.7%		$ -	$ 894,495	
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100	
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ 1,113	0.8%		$ -	$ 148,218	
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ 1,861	0.9%		$ 327,226	$ 541,845	
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ (1,795)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 413,157	
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ 207	0.1%		$ -	$ 149,247	
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ 1,041	0.1%		$ -	$ 751,041	
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ 333	0.1%		$ -	$ 302,744	
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ 42,632	0.9%		$ 68,850	$ 4,617,753	
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -			$ 300,000	$ 300,000	
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ 693	0.9%		$ 100,000	$ 175,693	
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -			$ 1,039,000	$ 1,039,000	
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ (3,339)	-1.0%		$ -	$ 332,655	
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ (20,477)	-2.7%		$ -	$ 736,844	
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ 2,373	0.3%		$ -	$ 949,184	
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ 1,544	1.2%		$ -	$ 126,544	
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ 5,937	1.7%		$ -	$ 355,302	
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ 1,771	1.2%		$ -	$ 146,771	

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 44,111,250	$ 11,254,656	$ 11,254,656	$ 6,989,659	$ 6,989,659	$ 15,317,356	$ 15,317,356	$ 2,208,180	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ 175,175	$ -	-	$ 175,175	$ 177,747	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ 300,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ 499,714	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 499,714	$ 505,750	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ 473,301	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 473,301	$ 480,161	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ 235,982	$ 58,294	$ 56,712	$ 47,561	$ 46,416	$ 82,367	$ 79,778	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ 358,568	$ 90,518	$ 90,478	$ 105,990	$ 106,801	$ 98,349	$ 98,112	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ 101,332	$ 101,332	$ 102,750	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ 107,840	$ -	-	$ 107,840	$ 108,381	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ 150,000	$ -	-	$ 150,000	$ 150,753	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ 150,000	$ -	-	$ 150,000	$ 150,753	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ 281,927	$ 29,425	$ 29,341	$ 57,712	$ 57,586	$ 151,279	$ 150,363	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ 2,540,495	$ 1,453,904	$ 1,435,540	$ 250,995	$ 249,222	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ 1,573,708	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 1,573,708	$ 1,600,450	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ 750,638	$ -	-	$ 550,638	$ 546,749	$ -	-	$ 200,000	$ 198,497
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ 345,583	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 345,583	$ 348,088	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ 77,920	$ -	-	$ 77,920	$ 77,372	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	$ 8,341,399	$ 44,111,250	100.0%	$ 44,111,250	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY14 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O) (Same as F)	FY14 PBF Start % of Total $ (Q / Total Q)	FY15 PBF End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P)	FY15 PBF End % of Total $ (S / Total S)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ -	-	$ 175,175	0.4%	$ 177,747	0.4%
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ 300,000	$ 298,186	$ 300,000	0.7%	$ 298,186	0.7%
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ -	-	$ 499,714	1.1%	$ 505,750	1.1%
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ -	-	$ 473,301	1.1%	$ 480,161	1.1%
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ 47,760	$ 47,826	$ 235,982	0.5%	$ 230,733	0.5%
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ 63,711	$ 63,799	$ 358,568	0.8%	$ 359,190	0.8%
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ -	-	$ 101,332	0.2%	$ 102,750	0.2%
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ -	-	$ 107,840	0.2%	$ 108,381	0.2%
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	$ 150,000	0.3%	$ 150,753	0.3%
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	$ 150,000	0.3%	$ 150,753	0.3%
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ 43,511	$ 43,571	$ 281,927	0.6%	$ 280,861	0.6%
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ 835,596	$ 836,756	$ 2,540,495	5.8%	$ 2,521,519	5.7%
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ -	-	$ 1,573,708	3.6%	$ 1,600,450	3.6%
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ -	-	$ 750,638	1.7%	$ 745,246	1.7%
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ -	-	$ 345,583	0.8%	$ 348,088	0.8%
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ -	-	$ 77,920	0.2%	$ 77,372	0.2%
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,105,733	$ 53,216,983	
A	B	C	D	E	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change ($) From FY14 Due to PBF (S-Q)	FY15 Change (%) From FY14 Due to PBF (U/Q)		FY15 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY15 Award Reflecting PBF with Set Aside $ Added Back (S + X)	
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -			$ 62,217	$ 62,217	
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ 2,572	1.5%		$ -	$ 177,747	
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ (1,814)	-0.6%		$ -	$ 298,186	
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ 6,036	1.2%		$ -	$ 505,750	
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ 6,860	1.4%		$ -	$ 480,161	
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -			$ 1,731,925	$ 1,731,925	
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ (5,249)	-2.2%		$ -	$ 230,733	
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ 622	0.2%		$ -	$ 359,190	
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100	
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ 1,418	1.4%		$ -	$ 102,750	
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ 541	0.5%		$ -	$ 108,381	
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ 753	0.5%		$ -	$ 150,753	
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ 753	0.5%		$ -	$ 150,753	
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ (1,066)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 280,861	
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ (18,976)	-0.7%		$ -	$ 2,521,519	
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ 26,742	1.7%		$ -	$ 1,600,450	
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -			$ 1,937,722	$ 1,937,722	
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ (5,392)	-0.7%		$ -	$ 745,246	
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ 2,505	0.7%		$ -	$ 348,088	
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ (548)	-0.7%		$ -	$ 77,372	
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -			$ 259,498	$ 259,498	

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 44,111,250	$ 11,254,656	$ 11,254,656	$ 6,989,659	$ 6,989,659	$ 15,317,356	$ 15,317,356	$ 2,208,180	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ 1,327,082	$ 1,183,502	$ 1,197,211	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ 1,049,914	$ -	-	$ 878,847	$ 862,024	$ -	-	$ 112,799	$ 109,502
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ 14,682	$ -	-	$ 14,682	$ 14,757	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ 77,438	$ 15,165	$ 14,645	$ 24,717	$ 23,856	$ 20,113	$ 19,433	$ 17,443	$ 16,476
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ 14,219	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 14,219	$ 14,047	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ 271,391	$ 95,484	$ 96,366	$ 56,580	$ 57,001	$ 86,023	$ 86,433	$ -	-
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ 1,362,023	$ 70,225	$ 68,834	$ 70,092	$ 68,581	$ 924,622	$ 904,523	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ 1,721,903	$ 897,145	$ 907,607	$ 568,788	$ 575,812	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 50,000	$ 50,163
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ 619,969	$ 45,438	$ 44,319	$ 10,464	$ 10,238	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ 1,082,546	$ 33,001	$ 32,744	$ 32,993	$ 33,165	$ 863,984	$ 857,694	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ 518,708	$ 194,697	$ 192,722	$ 129,185	$ 127,802	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ 358,024	$ 31,758	$ 32,287	$ 15,085	$ 15,384	$ 125,640	$ 127,167	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ -	-	$ 100,000	$ 100,485	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ 811,611	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ 371,219	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ 756,849	$ 418,095	$ 413,863	$ 118,928	$ 116,495	$ 80,000	$ 79,034	$ 42,122	$ 41,449
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ 331,562	$ 69,338	$ 67,797	$ 38,985	$ 38,617	$ 86,323	$ 84,238	$ 43,162	$ 42,161

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	$ 8,341,399	$ 44,111,250	100.0%	$ 44,111,250	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY14 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O) (Same as F)	FY14 PBF Start % of Total $ (Q / Total Q)	FY15 PBF End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P)	FY15 PBF End % of Total $ (S / Total S)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ 143,580	$ 143,779	$ 1,327,082	3.0%	$ 1,340,990	3.0%
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ 58,268	$ 58,349	$ 1,049,914	2.4%	$ 1,029,875	2.3%
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ -	-	$ 14,682	0.0%	$ 14,757	0.0%
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ -	-	$ 77,438	0.2%	$ 74,410	0.2%
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ -	-	$ 14,219	0.0%	$ 14,047	0.0%
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ 33,304	$ 33,350	$ 271,391	0.6%	$ 273,150	0.6%
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ 297,084	$ 296,760	$ 1,362,023	3.1%	$ 1,338,698	3.0%
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ 255,970	$ 256,325	$ 1,721,903	3.9%	$ 1,739,744	3.9%
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ -	-	$ 50,000	0.1%	$ 50,163	0.1%
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ 564,067	$ 564,850	$ 619,969	1.4%	$ 619,408	1.4%
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ 152,568	$ 152,780	$ 1,082,546	2.5%	$ 1,076,383	2.4%
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ 194,826	$ 195,097	$ 518,708	1.2%	$ 515,620	1.2%
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ 185,541	$ 185,799	$ 358,024	0.8%	$ 360,636	0.8%
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ -	-	$ 100,000	0.2%	$ 100,485	0.2%
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ 811,611	$ 808,715	$ 811,611	1.8%	$ 808,715	1.8%
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ 371,219	$ 369,894	$ 371,219	0.8%	$ 369,894	0.8%
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ 97,704	$ 97,113	$ 756,849	1.7%	$ 747,954	1.7%
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ 93,754	$ 92,955	$ 331,562	0.8%	$ 325,768	0.7%

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,105,733	$ 53,216,983	
A	B	C	D	E	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change ($) From FY14 Due to PBF (S-Q)	FY15 Change (%) From FY14 Due to PBF (U/Q)		FY15 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY15 Award Reflecting PBF with Set Aside $ Added Back (S + X)	
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -			$ 300,000	$ 300,000	
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ 13,908	1.0%		$ -	$ 1,340,990	
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ (20,039)	-1.9%		$ -	$ 1,029,875	
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ 75	0.5%		$ -	$ 14,757	
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ (3,028)	-3.9%		$ -	$ 74,410	
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ (172)	-1.2%		$ -	$ 14,047	
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ 1,759	0.6%		$ -	$ 273,150	
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ (23,325)	-1.7%		$ -	$ 1,338,698	
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ 17,841	1.0%		$ -	$ 1,739,744	
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100	
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -			$ 937,525	$ 937,525	
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ 163	0.3%		$ -	$ 50,163	
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ (561)	-0.1%		$ 144,385	$ 763,793	
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -			$ 100,000	$ 100,000	
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ (6,163)	-0.6%		$ 100,000	$ 1,176,383	
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ (3,088)	-0.6%		$ -	$ 515,620	
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ 2,612	0.7%		$ -	$ 360,636	
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ 485	0.5%		$ -	$ 100,485	
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ (2,896)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 808,715	
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ (1,325)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 369,894	
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ (8,895)	-1.2%		$ -	$ 747,954	
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ (5,794)	-1.7%		$ -	$ 325,768	

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 44,111,250	$ 11,254,656	$ 11,254,656	$ 6,989,659	$ 6,989,659	$ 15,317,356	$ 15,317,356	$ 2,208,180	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY15 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1 & L2
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ 248,516	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 48,092	$ 48,441	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ 665,349	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 665,349	$ 674,993	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ 45,000	$ -	-	$ 45,000	$ 45,548	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ 2,251,758	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 2,251,758	$ 2,202,810	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ 363,369	$ 146,885	$ 146,805	$ -	-	$ 160,660	$ 160,652	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 50,000	$ 50,180	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ 1,046,561	$ 105,329	$ 104,898	$ 307,332	$ 305,525	$ 459,650	$ 457,430	$ 50,000	$ 49,623
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ 375,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ 366,409	$ 169,275	$ 168,784	$ 10,311	$ 10,288	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ 853,930	$ 37,490	$ 37,562	$ 40,540	$ 40,645	$ 613,203	$ 614,685	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ 300,598	$ 163,903	$ 164,219	$ 29,354	$ 29,430	$ 32,820	$ 32,899	$ -	-
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ 902,721	$ 359,905	$ 355,800	$ -	-	$ 331,178	$ 327,969	$ 175,151	$ 172,767
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ 1,211,413	$ 172,667	$ 173,000	$ -	-	$ 618,881	$ 620,377	$ -	-
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ 221,166	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ 1,371,584	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 1,371,584	$ 1,381,148
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	$ -	-	$ 200,000	$ 201,027	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ 2,619,907	$ 256,506	$ 254,512	$ 375,822	$ 372,687	$ 1,321,800	$ 1,315,417	$ -	-
				$ 53,216,983	**$ 44,111,250**	$ 11,254,656		$ 6,989,659		$ 15,317,356		$ 2,208,180	
						$ 11,254,656		$ 6,989,659		$ 15,317,356		$ 2,208,180	

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	$ 8,341,399	$ 44,111,250	100.0%	$ 44,111,250	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY14 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O) (Same as F)	FY14 PBF Start % of Total $ (Q / Total Q)	FY15 PBF End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P)	FY15 PBF End % of Total $ (S / Total S)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ 200,424	$ 200,702	$ 248,516	0.6%	$ 249,143	0.6%
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ -	-	$ 665,349	1.5%	$ 674,993	1.5%
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	$ 45,000	0.1%	$ 45,548	0.1%
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ -	-	$ 2,251,758	5.1%	$ 2,202,810	5.0%
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ 55,824	$ 55,902	$ 363,369	0.8%	$ 363,358	0.8%
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ -	-	$ 50,000	0.1%	$ 50,180	0.1%
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ 124,250	$ 124,423	$ 1,046,561	2.4%	$ 1,041,899	2.4%
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ 375,000	$ 375,521	$ 375,000	0.9%	$ 375,521	0.9%
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ 186,823	$ 187,082	$ 366,409	0.8%	$ 366,154	0.8%
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ 162,697	$ 162,923	$ 853,930	1.9%	$ 855,816	1.9%
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ 74,521	$ 74,624	$ 300,598	0.7%	$ 301,173	0.7%
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ 36,487	$ 36,538	$ 902,721	2.0%	$ 893,073	2.0%
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ 419,865	$ 420,448	$ 1,211,413	2.7%	$ 1,213,825	2.8%
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ 221,166	$ 220,377	$ 221,166	0.5%	$ 220,377	0.5%
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ -	-	$ 1,371,584	3.1%	$ 1,381,148	3.1%
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ -	-	$ 200,000	0.5%	$ 201,027	0.5%
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ 665,779	$ 666,704	$ 2,619,907	5.9%	$ 2,609,319	5.9%
				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399		$ 44,111,250			
					$ 8,341,399					

Attachment A.1: FY2015 CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Summary				$ 53,216,983	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,105,733	$ 53,216,983	
A	B	C	D	E	U	V	W	X	Y	Z
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change ($) From FY14 Due to PBF (S-Q)	FY15 Change (%) From FY14 Due to PBF (U/Q)		FY15 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY15 Award Reflecting PBF with Set Aside $ Added Back (S + X)	
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ 627	0.3%		$ -	$ 249,143	
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ 9,644	1.4%		$ -	$ 674,993	
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ 548	1.2%		$ -	$ 45,548	
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ (48,948)	-2.2%		$ 1,063,164	$ 3,265,974	
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ (11)	0.0%		$ -	$ 363,358	
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ 180	0.4%		$ -	$ 50,180	
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ (4,662)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 1,041,899	
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ 521	0.1%		$ -	$ 375,521	
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ (255)	-0.1%		$ -	$ 366,154	
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ 1,886	0.2%		$ -	$ 855,816	
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ 575	0.2%		$ -	$ 301,173	
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ (9,648)	-1.1%		$ -	$ 893,073	
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ 2,412	0.2%		$ -	$ 1,213,825	
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ (789)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 220,377	
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ 9,564	0.7%		$ -	$ 1,381,148	
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ 1,027	0.5%		$ -	$ 201,027	
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ (10,588)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 2,609,319	
				$ 53,216,983				$ 9,105,733	$ 53,216,983	

$ (48,948)	-3.9%	Min
$ 42,632	1.7%	Max
$ 0	0.1%	Avg

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 11,254,656	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 400,387	$ 11,655,043	100.0%	$ 11,254,656
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 513,630	4.6%	4.75	4.75%	$ 24,397	$ 538,027	4.6%	$ 519,545
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ 45,877	0.4%	2.25	2.25%	$ 1,032	$ 46,909	0.4%	$ 45,298
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ 88,785	0.8%	3.50	3.50%	$ 3,107	$ 91,892	0.8%	$ 88,736
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ 3,060,860	27.2%	4.25	4.25%	$ 130,087	$ 3,190,947	27.4%	$ 3,081,328
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ 75,000	0.7%	4.25	4.25%	$ 3,188	$ 78,188	0.7%	$ 75,502
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ 220,552	2.0%	2.50	2.50%	$ 5,514	$ 226,066	1.9%	$ 218,300
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ 757,321	6.7%	1.00	1.00%	$ 7,573	$ 764,894	6.6%	$ 738,618
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ 293,350	2.6%	3.75	3.75%	$ 11,001	$ 304,351	2.6%	$ 293,895
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 139,914	$ 11,394,570	100.0%	$ 11,254,656	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	2.00	1.0%	$ 5,195	$ 524,740	4.6%	$ 518,297	0.04%	$ 4,667	0.91%	
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	4.00	2.0%	$ 906	$ 46,204	0.4%	$ 45,636	0.00%	$ (241)	-0.52%	
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	2.50	1.3%	$ 1,109	$ 89,845	0.8%	$ 88,742	0.00%	$ (43)	-0.05%	
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	3.00	1.5%	$ 46,220	$ 3,127,547	27.4%	$ 3,089,144	0.25%	$ 28,284	0.92%	
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,133	$ 76,634	0.7%	$ 75,693	0.01%	$ 693	0.92%	
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,729	$ 221,028	1.9%	$ 218,314	-0.02%	$ (2,238)	-1.01%	
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	2.00	1.0%	$ 7,386	$ 746,004	6.5%	$ 736,844	-0.18%	$ (20,477)	-2.70%	
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	2.00	1.0%	$ 2,939	$ 296,834	2.6%	$ 293,189	0.00%	$ (161)	-0.05%	
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 11,254,656	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 400,387	$ 11,655,043	100.0%	$ 11,254,656
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ 58,294	0.5%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 58,294	0.5%	$ 56,291
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ 90,518	0.8%	3.25	3.25%	$ 2,942	$ 93,460	0.8%	$ 90,249
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ 101,332	0.9%	5.00	5.00%	$ 5,067	$ 106,399	0.9%	$ 102,743
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ 29,425	0.3%	3.00	3.00%	$ 883	$ 30,308	0.3%	$ 29,267
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ 1,453,904	12.9%	2.75	2.75%	$ 39,982	$ 1,493,886	12.8%	$ 1,442,567
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier=	0.5	$ 139,914	$ 11,394,570	100.0%	$ 11,254,656	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	4.00	2.0%	$ 1,126	$ 57,417	0.5%	$ 56,712	-0.01%	$ (1,582)	-2.71%	
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,354	$ 91,603	0.8%	$ 90,478	0.00%	$ (40)	-0.04%	
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	2.50	1.3%	$ 1,284	$ 104,028	0.9%	$ 102,750	0.01%	$ 1,418	1.40%	
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	3.00	1.5%	$ 439	$ 29,706	0.3%	$ 29,341	0.00%	$ (84)	-0.29%	
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	1.50	0.8%	$ 10,819	$ 1,453,386	12.8%	$ 1,435,540	-0.16%	$ (18,364)	-1.26%	
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 11,254,656	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 400,387	$ 11,655,043	100.0%	$ 11,254,656
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ 1,183,502	10.5%	4.75	4.75%	$ 56,216	$ 1,239,718	10.6%	$ 1,197,130
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ 15,165	0.1%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 15,165	0.1%	$ 14,644
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ 95,484	0.8%	4.25	4.25%	$ 4,058	$ 99,542	0.9%	$ 96,122
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ 70,225	0.6%	1.25	1.25%	$ 878	$ 71,103	0.6%	$ 68,660
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ 897,145	8.0%	4.50	4.50%	$ 40,372	$ 937,517	8.0%	$ 905,310
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ 45,438	0.4%	1.25	1.25%	$ 568	$ 46,006	0.4%	$ 44,426
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ 33,001	0.3%	3.00	3.00%	$ 990	$ 33,991	0.3%	$ 32,823
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ 194,697	1.7%	2.50	2.50%	$ 4,867	$ 199,564	1.7%	$ 192,709
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ 31,758	0.3%	4.50	4.50%	$ 1,429	$ 33,187	0.3%	$ 32,047
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ 418,095	3.7%	2.25	2.25%	$ 9,407	$ 427,502	3.7%	$ 412,816
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ 69,338	0.6%	1.50	1.50%	$ 1,040	$ 70,378	0.6%	$ 67,960

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 139,914	$ 11,394,570	100.0%	$ 11,254,656	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	2.50	1.3%	$ 14,964	$ 1,212,094	10.6%	$ 1,197,211	0.12%	$ 13,709	1.16%	
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	2.50	1.3%	$ 183	$ 14,827	0.1%	$ 14,645	0.00%	$ (520)	-3.43%	
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,442	$ 97,564	0.9%	$ 96,366	0.01%	$ 882	0.92%	
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,030	$ 69,690	0.6%	$ 68,834	-0.01%	$ (1,391)	-1.98%	
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	3.00	1.5%	$ 13,580	$ 918,890	8.1%	$ 907,607	0.09%	$ 10,462	1.17%	
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	2.00	1.0%	$ 444	$ 44,870	0.4%	$ 44,319	-0.01%	$ (1,119)	-2.46%	
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	2.00	1.0%	$ 328	$ 33,152	0.3%	$ 32,744	0.00%	$ (257)	-0.78%	
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,409	$ 195,118	1.7%	$ 192,722	-0.02%	$ (1,975)	-1.01%	
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	4.00	2.0%	$ 641	$ 32,688	0.3%	$ 32,287	0.00%	$ 529	1.66%	
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	3.00	1.5%	$ 6,192	$ 419,008	3.7%	$ 413,863	-0.04%	$ (4,232)	-1.01%	
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	2.00	1.0%	$ 680	$ 68,640	0.6%	$ 67,797	-0.01%	$ (1,541)	-2.22%	

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 11,254,656	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 400,387	$ 11,655,043	100.0%	$ 11,254,656
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ 146,885	1.3%	3.75	3.75%	$ 5,508	$ 152,393	1.3%	$ 147,158
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ 105,329	0.9%	3.00	3.00%	$ 3,160	$ 108,489	0.9%	$ 104,762
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ 169,275	1.5%	3.25	3.25%	$ 5,501	$ 174,776	1.5%	$ 168,772
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ 37,490	0.3%	3.75	3.75%	$ 1,406	$ 38,896	0.3%	$ 37,560
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ 163,903	1.5%	3.75	3.75%	$ 6,146	$ 170,049	1.5%	$ 164,208
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ 359,905	3.2%	2.75	2.75%	$ 9,897	$ 369,802	3.2%	$ 357,099
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ 172,667	1.5%	3.75	3.75%	$ 6,475	$ 179,142	1.5%	$ 172,988
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ 256,506	2.3%	3.00	3.00%	$ 7,695	$ 264,201	2.3%	$ 255,125
				$ 53,216,983	$ 11,254,656							

Attachment A.2: FY2015 CBHTR: SMI Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier=	0.5	$ 139,914	$ 11,394,570	100.0%	$ 11,254,656	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	2.00	1.0%	$ 1,472	$ 148,630	1.3%	$ 146,805	0.00%	$ (80)	-0.05%	
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	2.75	1.4%	$ 1,440	$ 106,202	0.9%	$ 104,898	0.00%	$ (431)	-0.41%	
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,110	$ 170,882	1.5%	$ 168,784	0.00%	$ (491)	-0.29%	
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	2.50	1.3%	$ 469	$ 38,029	0.3%	$ 37,562	0.00%	$ 72	0.19%	
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,053	$ 166,260	1.5%	$ 164,219	0.00%	$ 316	0.19%	
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	1.75	0.9%	$ 3,125	$ 360,223	3.2%	$ 355,800	-0.04%	$ (4,105)	-1.14%	
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,162	$ 175,150	1.5%	$ 173,000	0.00%	$ 333	0.19%	
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	2.00	1.0%	$ 2,551	$ 257,676	2.3%	$ 254,512	-0.02%	$ (1,994)	-0.78%	
				$ 53,216,983										

-0.18%	$ (20,477)	-3.43%	Min
0.25%	$ 28,284	1.66%	Max
0.00%	$ 0	-0.46%	Avg

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 6,989,659	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 235,222	$ 7,224,881	100.0%	$ 6,989,659
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 79,990	1.1%	4.75	4.75%	$ 3,800	$ 83,790	1.2%	$ 81,062
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ 147,105	2.1%	3.75	3.75%	$ 5,516	$ 152,621	2.1%	$ 147,653
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ 38,290	0.5%	2.25	2.25%	$ 862	$ 39,152	0.5%	$ 37,877
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ 40,438	0.6%	3.50	3.50%	$ 1,415	$ 41,853	0.6%	$ 40,491
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ 1,445,411	20.7%	4.25	4.25%	$ 61,430	$ 1,506,841	20.9%	$ 1,457,782
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ 74,110	1.1%	2.50	2.50%	$ 1,853	$ 75,963	1.1%	$ 73,490
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ 197,779	2.8%	3.75	3.75%	$ 7,417	$ 205,196	2.8%	$ 198,515
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ 125,000	1.8%	4.50	4.50%	$ 5,625	$ 130,625	1.8%	$ 126,372
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ 100,000	1.4%	5.00	5.00%	$ 5,000	$ 105,000	1.5%	$ 101,581

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 77,788	$ 7,067,447	100.0%	$ 6,989,659	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	2.00	1.0%	$ 811	$ 81,872	1.2%	$ 80,971	0.01%	$ 981	1.23%	
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	3.00	1.5%	$ 2,215	$ 149,867	2.1%	$ 148,218	0.02%	$ 1,113	0.76%	
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	3.00	1.5%	$ 568	$ 38,445	0.5%	$ 38,022	0.00%	$ (268)	-0.70%	
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	4.00	2.0%	$ 810	$ 41,301	0.6%	$ 40,846	0.01%	$ 408	1.01%	
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	2.50	1.3%	$ 18,222	$ 1,476,005	20.9%	$ 1,459,759	0.21%	$ 14,348	0.99%	
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	2.00	1.0%	$ 735	$ 74,225	1.1%	$ 73,408	-0.01%	$ (702)	-0.95%	
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	3.00	1.5%	$ 2,978	$ 201,493	2.9%	$ 199,275	0.02%	$ 1,496	0.76%	
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	2.50	1.3%	$ 1,580	$ 127,952	1.8%	$ 126,544	0.02%	$ 1,544	1.23%	
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	2.00	1.0%	$ 1,016	$ 102,597	1.5%	$ 101,468	0.02%	$ 1,468	1.47%	

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 6,989,659	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 235,222	$ 7,224,881	100.0%	$ 6,989,659
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ 175,175	2.5%	5.00	5.00%	$ 8,759	$ 183,934	2.5%	$ 177,945
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ 47,561	0.7%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 47,561	0.7%	$ 46,013
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ 105,990	1.5%	3.25	3.25%	$ 3,445	$ 109,435	1.5%	$ 105,872
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ 107,840	1.5%	4.00	4.00%	$ 4,314	$ 112,154	1.6%	$ 108,502
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ 150,000	2.1%	4.00	4.00%	$ 6,000	$ 156,000	2.2%	$ 150,921
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ 150,000	2.1%	4.00	4.00%	$ 6,000	$ 156,000	2.2%	$ 150,921
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ 57,712	0.8%	3.00	3.00%	$ 1,731	$ 59,443	0.8%	$ 57,508
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ 250,995	3.6%	2.75	2.75%	$ 6,902	$ 257,897	3.6%	$ 249,501
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ 550,638	7.9%	2.75	2.75%	$ 15,143	$ 565,781	7.8%	$ 547,360
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ 77,920	1.1%	2.50	2.50%	$ 1,948	$ 79,868	1.1%	$ 77,268
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 77,788	$ 7,067,447	100.0%	$ 6,989,659	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	2.00	1.0%	$ 1,779	$ 179,725	2.5%	$ 177,747	0.04%	$ 2,572	1.47%	
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	4.00	2.0%	$ 920	$ 46,933	0.7%	$ 46,416	-0.02%	$ (1,145)	-2.41%	
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	4.00	2.0%	$ 2,117	$ 107,989	1.5%	$ 106,801	0.01%	$ 811	0.76%	
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	2.00	1.0%	$ 1,085	$ 109,587	1.6%	$ 108,381	0.01%	$ 541	0.50%	
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	2.00	1.0%	$ 1,509	$ 152,430	2.2%	$ 150,753	0.01%	$ 753	0.50%	
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	2.00	1.0%	$ 1,509	$ 152,430	2.2%	$ 150,753	0.01%	$ 753	0.50%	
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	2.50	1.3%	$ 719	$ 58,227	0.8%	$ 57,586	0.00%	$ (126)	-0.22%	
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	2.00	1.0%	$ 2,495	$ 251,996	3.6%	$ 249,222	-0.03%	$ (1,773)	-0.71%	
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	2.00	1.0%	$ 5,474	$ 552,834	7.8%	$ 546,749	-0.06%	$ (3,889)	-0.71%	
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	2.50	1.3%	$ 966	$ 78,234	1.1%	$ 77,372	-0.01%	$ (548)	-0.70%	
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 6,989,659	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 235,222	$ 7,224,881	100.0%	$ 6,989,659
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ 878,847	12.6%	1.50	1.50%	$ 13,183	$ 892,030	12.3%	$ 862,988
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ 14,682	0.2%	3.50	3.50%	$ 514	$ 15,196	0.2%	$ 14,701
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ 24,717	0.4%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 24,717	0.3%	$ 23,912
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ 56,580	0.8%	4.25	4.25%	$ 2,405	$ 58,985	0.8%	$ 57,064
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ 70,092	1.0%	1.25	1.25%	$ 876	$ 70,968	1.0%	$ 68,658
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ 568,788	8.1%	4.50	4.50%	$ 25,595	$ 594,383	8.2%	$ 575,032
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ 10,464	0.1%	1.25	1.25%	$ 131	$ 10,595	0.1%	$ 10,250
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ 32,993	0.5%	3.00	3.00%	$ 990	$ 33,983	0.5%	$ 32,876
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ 129,185	1.8%	2.50	2.50%	$ 3,230	$ 132,415	1.8%	$ 128,104
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ 15,085	0.2%	4.50	4.50%	$ 679	$ 15,764	0.2%	$ 15,251
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	1.4%	4.50	4.50%	$ 4,500	$ 104,500	1.4%	$ 101,098
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ 118,928	1.7%	2.25	2.25%	$ 2,676	$ 121,604	1.7%	$ 117,645
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ 38,985	0.6%	1.50	1.50%	$ 585	$ 39,570	0.5%	$ 38,281

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 77,788	$ 7,067,447	100.0%	$ 6,989,659	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	2.00	1.0%	$ 8,630	$ 871,618	12.3%	$ 862,024	-0.24%	$ (16,823)	-1.91%	
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	3.00	1.5%	$ 221	$ 14,922	0.2%	$ 14,757	0.00%	$ 75	0.51%	
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	1.75	0.9%	$ 209	$ 24,122	0.3%	$ 23,856	-0.01%	$ (861)	-3.48%	
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	2.00	1.0%	$ 571	$ 57,635	0.8%	$ 57,001	0.01%	$ 421	0.74%	
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	2.00	1.0%	$ 687	$ 69,344	1.0%	$ 68,581	-0.02%	$ (1,511)	-2.16%	
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	2.50	1.3%	$ 7,188	$ 582,220	8.2%	$ 575,812	0.10%	$ 7,024	1.23%	
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	2.00	1.0%	$ 102	$ 10,352	0.1%	$ 10,238	0.00%	$ (226)	-2.16%	
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	4.00	2.0%	$ 658	$ 33,534	0.5%	$ 33,165	0.00%	$ 172	0.52%	
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	1.75	0.9%	$ 1,121	$ 129,224	1.8%	$ 127,802	-0.02%	$ (1,383)	-1.07%	
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	4.00	2.0%	$ 305	$ 15,556	0.2%	$ 15,384	0.00%	$ 299	1.98%	
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	1.00	0.5%	$ 505	$ 101,603	1.4%	$ 100,485	0.01%	$ 485	0.48%	
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	0.25	0.1%	$ 147	$ 117,792	1.7%	$ 116,495	-0.03%	$ (2,433)	-2.05%	
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	4.00	2.0%	$ 766	$ 39,047	0.6%	$ 38,617	-0.01%	$ (368)	-0.94%	

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 6,989,659	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 235,222	$ 7,224,881	100.0%	$ 6,989,659
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ 45,000	0.6%	5.00	5.00%	$ 2,250	$ 47,250	0.7%	$ 45,712
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ 307,332	4.4%	3.00	3.00%	$ 9,220	$ 316,552	4.4%	$ 306,246
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ 10,311	0.1%	3.25	3.25%	$ 335	$ 10,646	0.1%	$ 10,300
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ 40,540	0.6%	3.75	3.75%	$ 1,520	$ 42,060	0.6%	$ 40,691
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ 29,354	0.4%	3.75	3.75%	$ 1,101	$ 30,455	0.4%	$ 29,463
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	2.9%	3.50	3.50%	$ 7,000	$ 207,000	2.9%	$ 200,261
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ 375,822	5.4%	3.00	3.00%	$ 11,275	$ 387,097	5.4%	$ 374,494
				$ 53,216,983	$ 6,989,659							

Attachment A.3: FY2015 CBHTR: SED Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier=	0.5	$ 77,788	$ 7,067,447	100.0%	$ 6,989,659	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	1.50	0.8%	$ 343	$ 46,055	0.7%	$ 45,548	0.01%	$ 548	1.22%	
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	1.75	0.9%	$ 2,680	$ 308,926	4.4%	$ 305,525	-0.03%	$ (1,807)	-0.59%	
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	2.00	1.0%	$ 103	$ 10,402	0.1%	$ 10,288	0.00%	$ (23)	-0.22%	
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	2.00	1.0%	$ 407	$ 41,098	0.6%	$ 40,645	0.00%	$ 105	0.26%	
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	2.00	1.0%	$ 295	$ 29,758	0.4%	$ 29,430	0.00%	$ 76	0.26%	
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	3.00	1.5%	$ 3,004	$ 203,265	2.9%	$ 201,027	0.01%	$ 1,027	0.51%	
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	1.25	0.6%	$ 2,341	$ 376,834	5.3%	$ 372,687	-0.04%	$ (3,135)	-0.83%	
				$ 53,216,983										

-0.24%	$ (16,823)	-3.48%	Min
0.21%	$ 14,348	1.98%	Max
0.00%	$ (0)	-0.07%	Avg

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 15,317,356	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 534,540	$ 15,851,896	100.0%	$ 15,317,356
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 332,302	2.2%	4.75	4.75%	$ 15,784	$ 348,086	2.2%	$ 336,349
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ 692,409	4.5%	5.00	5.00%	$ 34,620	$ 727,029	4.6%	$ 702,513
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ 275,254	1.8%	5.00	5.00%	$ 13,763	$ 289,017	1.8%	$ 279,271
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ 879,549	5.7%	5.00	5.00%	$ 43,977	$ 923,526	5.8%	$ 892,384
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ 141,839	0.9%	4.50	4.50%	$ 6,383	$ 148,222	0.9%	$ 143,224
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ 129,671	0.8%	2.25	2.25%	$ 2,918	$ 132,589	0.8%	$ 128,118
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ 103,730	0.7%	3.50	3.50%	$ 3,631	$ 107,361	0.7%	$ 103,740
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ 41,332	0.3%	2.50	2.50%	$ 1,033	$ 42,365	0.3%	$ 40,937
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ 393,289	2.6%	3.75	3.75%	$ 14,748	$ 408,037	2.6%	$ 394,278
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ 349,365	2.3%	5.00	5.00%	$ 17,468	$ 366,833	2.3%	$ 354,463
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier=	0.5	$ 231,273	$ 15,548,629	100.0%	$ 15,317,356	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	2.00	1.0%	$ 3,363	$ 339,712	2.2%	$ 334,659	0.02%	$ 2,357	0.71%	
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	3.00	1.5%	$ 10,538	$ 713,051	4.6%	$ 702,445	0.07%	$ 10,036	1.45%	
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	3.00	1.5%	$ 4,189	$ 283,460	1.8%	$ 279,244	0.03%	$ 3,990	1.45%	
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	3.50	1.8%	$ 15,617	$ 908,001	5.8%	$ 894,495	0.10%	$ 14,946	1.70%	
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	3.00	1.5%	$ 2,148	$ 145,372	0.9%	$ 143,210	0.01%	$ 1,371	0.97%	
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,922	$ 130,039	0.8%	$ 128,105	-0.01%	$ (1,566)	-1.21%	
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	2.75	1.4%	$ 1,426	$ 105,167	0.7%	$ 103,602	0.00%	$ (128)	-0.12%	
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	3.00	1.5%	$ 614	$ 41,551	0.3%	$ 40,933	0.00%	$ (399)	-0.97%	
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	3.00	1.5%	$ 5,914	$ 400,192	2.6%	$ 394,240	0.01%	$ 951	0.24%	
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	3.50	1.8%	$ 6,203	$ 360,666	2.3%	$ 355,302	0.04%	$ 5,937	1.70%	
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 15,317,356	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 534,540	$ 15,851,896	100.0%	$ 15,317,356
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ 499,714	3.3%	4.75	4.75%	$ 23,736	$ 523,450	3.3%	$ 505,799
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ 473,301	3.1%	5.00	5.00%	$ 23,665	$ 496,966	3.1%	$ 480,208
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ 82,367	0.5%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 82,367	0.5%	$ 79,590
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ 98,349	0.6%	3.25	3.25%	$ 3,196	$ 101,545	0.6%	$ 98,121
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ 151,279	1.0%	3.00	3.00%	$ 4,538	$ 155,817	1.0%	$ 150,563
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ 1,573,708	10.3%	5.00	5.00%	$ 78,685	$ 1,652,393	10.4%	$ 1,596,673
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ 345,583	2.3%	4.25	4.25%	$ 14,687	$ 360,270	2.3%	$ 348,122
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 231,273	$ 15,548,629	100.0%	$ 15,317,356	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	3.00	1.5%	$ 7,587	$ 513,386	3.3%	$ 505,750	0.04%	$ 6,036	1.21%	
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	3.00	1.5%	$ 7,203	$ 487,411	3.1%	$ 480,161	0.04%	$ 6,860	1.45%	
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	3.50	1.8%	$ 1,393	$ 80,982	0.5%	$ 79,778	-0.02%	$ (2,589)	-3.14%	
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,472	$ 99,593	0.6%	$ 98,112	0.00%	$ (237)	-0.24%	
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	2.75	1.4%	$ 2,070	$ 152,633	1.0%	$ 150,363	-0.01%	$ (916)	-0.61%	
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	3.50	1.8%	$ 27,942	$ 1,624,615	10.4%	$ 1,600,450	0.17%	$ 26,742	1.70%	
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	3.00	1.5%	$ 5,222	$ 353,343	2.3%	$ 348,088	0.02%	$ 2,505	0.72%	
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 15,317,356	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 534,540	$ 15,851,896	100.0%	$ 15,317,356
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ 20,113	0.1%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 20,113	0.1%	$ 19,435
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ 14,219	0.1%	2.50	2.50%	$ 355	$ 14,574	0.1%	$ 14,083
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ 86,023	0.6%	4.25	4.25%	$ 3,656	$ 89,679	0.6%	$ 86,655
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ 924,622	6.0%	1.25	1.25%	$ 11,558	$ 936,180	5.9%	$ 904,611
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ 863,984	5.6%	3.00	3.00%	$ 25,920	$ 889,904	5.6%	$ 859,895
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ 125,640	0.8%	4.50	4.50%	$ 5,654	$ 131,294	0.8%	$ 126,866
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ 80,000	0.5%	2.25	2.25%	$ 1,800	$ 81,800	0.5%	$ 79,042
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ 86,323	0.6%	1.50	1.50%	$ 1,295	$ 87,618	0.6%	$ 84,663

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 231,273	$ 15,548,629	100.0%	$ 15,317,356	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	3.00	1.5%	$ 292	$ 19,726	0.1%	$ 19,433	0.00%	$ (680)	-3.38%	
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	2.50	1.3%	$ 176	$ 14,259	0.1%	$ 14,047	0.00%	$ (172)	-1.21%	
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	2.50	1.3%	$ 1,083	$ 87,738	0.6%	$ 86,433	0.00%	$ 410	0.48%	
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	3.00	1.5%	$ 13,569	$ 918,180	5.9%	$ 904,523	-0.13%	$ (20,099)	-2.17%	
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	2.50	1.3%	$ 10,749	$ 870,644	5.6%	$ 857,694	-0.04%	$ (6,290)	-0.73%	
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	3.50	1.8%	$ 2,220	$ 129,087	0.8%	$ 127,167	0.01%	$ 1,527	1.22%	
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,186	$ 80,227	0.5%	$ 79,034	-0.01%	$ (966)	-1.21%	
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	2.00	1.0%	$ 847	$ 85,510	0.5%	$ 84,238	-0.01%	$ (2,085)	-2.42%	

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 15,317,356	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 534,540	$ 15,851,896	100.0%	$ 15,317,356
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ 48,092	0.3%	4.25	4.25%	$ 2,044	$ 50,136	0.3%	$ 48,445
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ 665,349	4.3%	5.00	5.00%	$ 33,267	$ 698,616	4.4%	$ 675,058
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ 2,251,758	14.7%	1.25	1.25%	$ 28,147	$ 2,279,905	14.4%	$ 2,203,025
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ 160,660	1.0%	3.75	3.75%	$ 6,025	$ 166,685	1.1%	$ 161,064
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	0.3%	4.00	4.00%	$ 2,000	$ 52,000	0.3%	$ 50,247
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ 459,650	3.0%	3.00	3.00%	$ 13,790	$ 473,440	3.0%	$ 457,475
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ 613,203	4.0%	3.75	3.75%	$ 22,995	$ 636,198	4.0%	$ 614,745
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ 32,820	0.2%	3.75	3.75%	$ 1,231	$ 34,051	0.2%	$ 32,903
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ 331,178	2.2%	2.75	2.75%	$ 9,107	$ 340,285	2.1%	$ 328,811
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ 618,881	4.0%	3.75	3.75%	$ 23,208	$ 642,089	4.1%	$ 620,437
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ 1,321,800	8.6%	3.00	3.00%	$ 39,654	$ 1,361,454	8.6%	$ 1,315,545
				$ 53,216,983	$ 15,317,356							

Attachment A.4: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Adult $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 231,273	$ 15,548,629	100.0%	$ 15,317,356	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	3.00	1.5%	$ 727	$ 49,172	0.3%	$ 48,441	0.00%	$ 349	0.72%	
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	3.00	1.5%	$ 10,126	$ 685,184	4.4%	$ 674,993	0.06%	$ 9,644	1.45%	
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	3.00	1.5%	$ 33,045	$ 2,236,070	14.4%	$ 2,202,810	-0.32%	$ (48,948)	-2.17%	
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,013	$ 163,077	1.0%	$ 160,652	0.00%	$ (8)	-0.01%	
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	2.75	1.4%	$ 691	$ 50,937	0.3%	$ 50,180	0.00%	$ 180	0.36%	
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	3.00	1.5%	$ 6,862	$ 464,337	3.0%	$ 457,430	-0.01%	$ (2,220)	-0.48%	
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	3.00	1.5%	$ 9,221	$ 623,966	4.0%	$ 614,685	0.01%	$ 1,482	0.24%	
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	3.00	1.5%	$ 494	$ 33,396	0.2%	$ 32,899	0.00%	$ 79	0.24%	
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	2.50	1.3%	$ 4,110	$ 332,921	2.1%	$ 327,969	-0.02%	$ (3,209)	-0.97%	
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	3.00	1.5%	$ 9,307	$ 629,744	4.1%	$ 620,377	0.01%	$ 1,496	0.24%	
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	3.00	1.5%	$ 19,733	$ 1,335,278	8.6%	$ 1,315,417	-0.04%	$ (6,383)	-0.48%	
				$ 53,216,983										

-0.32%	$ (48,948)	-3.38%	Min
0.17%	$ 26,742	1.70%	Max
0.00%	$ 0	-0.09%	Avg

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 2,208,180	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 82,387	$ 2,290,567	100.0%	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 30,000	1.4%	4.75	4.75%	$ 1,425	$ 31,425	1.4%	$ 30,295
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ 70,919	3.2%	4.50	4.50%	$ 3,191	$ 74,110	3.2%	$ 71,445
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ 45,000	2.0%	5.00	5.00%	$ 2,250	$ 47,250	2.1%	$ 45,551

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier=	0.5	$ 34,248	$ 2,242,428	100.0%	$ 2,208,180	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	(1.00)	-0.5%	$ (151)	$ 30,143	1.3%	$ 29,683	-0.01%	$ (317)	-1.06%
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	3.00	1.5%	$ 1,072	$ 72,516	3.2%	$ 71,409	0.02%	$ 490	0.69%
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	2.00	1.0%	$ 456	$ 46,006	2.1%	$ 45,303	0.01%	$ 303	0.67%

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 2,208,180	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 82,387	$ 2,290,567	100.0%	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ 200,000	9.1%	2.75	2.75%	$ 5,500	$ 205,500	9.0%	$ 198,109
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 34,248	$ 2,242,428	100.0%	$ 2,208,180	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	3.50	1.8%	$ 3,467	$ 201,575	9.0%	$ 198,497	-0.07%	$ (1,503)	-0.75%
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 2,208,180	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 82,387	$ 2,290,567	100.0%	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ 112,799	5.1%	1.50	1.50%	$ 1,692	$ 114,491	5.0%	$ 110,373
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ 17,443	0.8%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 17,443	0.8%	$ 16,816
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ 50,000	2.3%	4.25	4.25%	$ 2,125	$ 52,125	2.3%	$ 50,250
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ 42,122	1.9%	2.25	2.25%	$ 948	$ 43,070	1.9%	$ 41,521
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ 43,162	2.0%	1.50	1.50%	$ 647	$ 43,809	1.9%	$ 42,234

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 34,248	$ 2,242,428	100.0%	$ 2,208,180	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	1.50	0.8%	$ 828	$ 111,201	5.0%	$ 109,502	-0.15%	$ (3,297)	-2.92%
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	(1.00)	-0.5%	$ (84)	$ 16,732	0.7%	$ 16,476	-0.04%	$ (967)	-5.54%
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	2.75	1.4%	$ 691	$ 50,941	2.3%	$ 50,163	0.01%	$ 163	0.33%
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	2.75	1.4%	$ 571	$ 42,092	1.9%	$ 41,449	-0.03%	$ (673)	-1.60%
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	2.75	1.4%	$ 581	$ 42,814	1.9%	$ 42,161	-0.05%	$ (1,001)	-2.32%

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 2,208,180	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 82,387	$ 2,290,567	100.0%	$ 2,208,180
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ 50,000	2.3%	3.00	3.00%	$ 1,500	$ 51,500	2.2%	$ 49,648
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ 175,151	7.9%	2.75	2.75%	$ 4,817	$ 179,968	7.9%	$ 173,495
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ 1,371,584	62.1%	4.25	4.25%	$ 58,292	$ 1,429,876	62.4%	$ 1,378,446
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
				$ 53,216,983	$ 2,208,180							

Attachment A.5: FY2015 CBHTR: SA Youth $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	PBF Multiplier= 0.5		$ 34,248	$ 2,242,428	100.0%	$ 2,208,180	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	3.00	1.5%	$ 745	$ 50,392	2.2%	$ 49,623	-0.02%	$ (377)	-0.75%
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	2.25	1.1%	$ 1,952	$ 175,446	7.8%	$ 172,767	-0.11%	$ (2,384)	-1.36%
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	3.50	1.8%	$ 24,123	$ 1,402,569	62.5%	$ 1,381,148	0.43%	$ 9,564	0.70%
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
				$ 53,216,983									

-0.15%	$ (3,297)	-5.54%	Min
0.43%	$ 9,564	0.70%	Max
0.00%	$ 0	-1.16%	Avg

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 71,731	$ 8,413,130	100.0%	$ 8,341,399
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES/Other Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES/Other Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES/Other Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES/Other Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	$ 36,484	0.4%	1.00	1.00%	$ 365	$ 36,849	0.4%	$ 36,535
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	$ 201,114	2.4%	1.00	1.00%	$ 2,011	$ 203,125	2.4%	$ 201,393
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	$ 149,040	1.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,490	$ 150,530	1.8%	$ 149,247
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	$ 750,000	9.0%	1.00	1.00%	$ 7,500	$ 757,500	9.0%	$ 751,041
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	$ 69,458	0.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 695	$ 70,153	0.8%	$ 69,554
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	$ 62,393	0.7%	1.00	1.00%	$ 624	$ 63,017	0.7%	$ 62,480
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change in % Distribution Due to PBF (L-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (M-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (O/F)
ARO	602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 992,406	0.00%	$ 51	0.14%
ARO	602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 692,409	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 909,075	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 879,549	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	$ 100	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	$ 147,105	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 539,984	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 414,952	0.00%	$ 279	0.14%
ARO	602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,040	0.00%	$ 207	0.14%
STATEW	602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 750,000	0.01%	$ 1,041	0.14%
SCRO	602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,411	0.00%	$ 96	0.14%
ARO	602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,575,121	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 300,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 175,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 1,039,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 335,994	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-205	21	Assets	$ 757,321	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 946,811	0.00%	$ 87	0.14%
SERO	602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	$ 125,000	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 349,365	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 71,731	$ 8,413,130	100.0%	$ 8,341,399
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES/Other Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES/Other Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES/Other Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES/Other Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	$ 300,000	3.6%	0.25	0.25%	$ 750	$ 300,750	3.6%	$ 298,186
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	$ 47,760	0.6%	1.00	1.00%	$ 478	$ 48,238	0.6%	$ 47,826
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	$ 63,711	0.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 637	$ 64,348	0.8%	$ 63,799
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	$ 43,511	0.5%	1.00	1.00%	$ 435	$ 43,946	0.5%	$ 43,571
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	$ 835,596	10.0%	1.00	1.00%	$ 8,356	$ 843,952	10.0%	$ 836,756
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change in % Distribution Due to PBF (L-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (M-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (O/F)
ARO	602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-250	50	Community Connections	$ 175,175	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 300,000	-0.02%	$ (1,814)	-0.60%
SCRO	602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 499,714	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 473,301	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 1,731,925	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 235,982	0.00%	$ 66	0.14%
SCRO	602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 358,568	0.00%	$ 88	0.14%
NRO	602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-263	24	Daybreak	$ 101,332	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	$ 107,840	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	$ 150,000	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 281,927	0.00%	$ 60	0.14%
NRO	602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	$ 2,540,495	0.01%	$ 1,160	0.14%
NRO	602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,573,708	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 1,937,722	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 750,638	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 345,583	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 77,920	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 259,498	-	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 71,731	$ 8,413,130	100.0%	$ 8,341,399
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES/Other Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES/Other Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES/Other Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES/Other Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	$ 143,580	1.7%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,436	$ 145,016	1.7%	$ 143,779
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	$ 58,268	0.7%	1.00	1.00%	$ 583	$ 58,851	0.7%	$ 58,349
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	$ 33,304	0.4%	1.00	1.00%	$ 333	$ 33,637	0.4%	$ 33,350
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	$ 297,084	3.6%	0.75	0.75%	$ 2,228	$ 299,312	3.6%	$ 296,760
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	$ 255,970	3.1%	1.00	1.00%	$ 2,560	$ 258,530	3.1%	$ 256,325
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	$ 564,067	6.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 5,641	$ 569,708	6.8%	$ 564,850
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	$ 152,568	1.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,526	$ 154,094	1.8%	$ 152,780
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	$ 194,826	2.3%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,948	$ 196,774	2.3%	$ 195,097
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	$ 185,541	2.2%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,855	$ 187,396	2.2%	$ 185,799
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	$ 811,611	9.7%	0.50	0.50%	$ 4,058	$ 815,669	9.7%	$ 808,715
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	$ 371,219	4.5%	0.50	0.50%	$ 1,856	$ 373,075	4.4%	$ 369,894
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	$ 97,704	1.2%	0.25	0.25%	$ 244	$ 97,948	1.2%	$ 97,113
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	$ 93,754	1.1%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 93,754	1.1%	$ 92,955

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change in % Distribution Due to PBF (L-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (M-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (O/F)
SERO	602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	$ 300,000	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,327,082	0.00%	$ 199	0.14%
SERO	602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 1,049,914	0.00%	$ 81	0.14%
SCRO	602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,682	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 77,438	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,219	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 271,391	0.00%	$ 46	0.14%
NRO	604-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,362,023	0.00%	$ (324)	-0.11%
SCRO	602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,721,903	0.00%	$ 355	0.14%
SERO	602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 937,525	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	$ 50,000	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 764,354	0.01%	$ 783	0.14%
NRO	602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 100,000	-	-	-
NRO	605-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,182,546	0.00%	$ 212	0.14%
SCRO	602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 518,708	0.00%	$ 271	0.14%
SERO	602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 358,024	0.00%	$ 258	0.14%
NRO	602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 100,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 811,611	-0.03%	$ (2,896)	-0.36%
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,219	-0.02%	$ (1,325)	-0.36%
SCRO	602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 756,849	-0.01%	$ (591)	-0.60%
SCRO	602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 331,562	-0.01%	$ (799)	-0.85%

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 71,731	$ 8,413,130	100.0%	$ 8,341,399
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 PES/Other Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES/Other Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES/Other Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES/Other Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES/Other Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 PES/Other Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	$ 200,424	2.4%	1.00	1.00%	$ 2,004	$ 202,428	2.4%	$ 200,702
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	$ 55,824	0.7%	1.00	1.00%	$ 558	$ 56,382	0.7%	$ 55,902
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	$ 124,250	1.5%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,243	$ 125,493	1.5%	$ 124,423
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	$ 375,000	4.5%	1.00	1.00%	$ 3,750	$ 378,750	4.5%	$ 375,521
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	$ 186,823	2.2%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,868	$ 188,691	2.2%	$ 187,082
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	$ 162,697	2.0%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,627	$ 164,324	2.0%	$ 162,923
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	$ 74,521	0.9%	1.00	1.00%	$ 745	$ 75,266	0.9%	$ 74,624
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	$ 36,487	0.4%	1.00	1.00%	$ 365	$ 36,852	0.4%	$ 36,538
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	$ 419,865	5.0%	1.00	1.00%	$ 4,199	$ 424,064	5.0%	$ 420,448
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	$ 221,166	2.7%	0.50	0.50%	$ 1,106	$ 222,272	2.6%	$ 220,377
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	$ 665,779	8.0%	1.00	1.00%	$ 6,658	$ 672,437	8.0%	$ 666,704
				$ 53,216,983	$ 8,341,399							

Attachment A.6: FY2015 CBHTR: PES/Other $ - Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 53,216,983	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change in % Distribution Due to PBF (L-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (M-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (O/F)
NRO	602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 248,516	0.00%	$ 278	0.14%
SERO	602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 665,349	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,314,922	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 363,369	0.00%	$ 78	0.14%
SERO	602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	$ 50,000	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 1,046,561	0.00%	$ 173	0.14%
ARO	602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	$ 375,000	0.01%	$ 521	0.14%
SCRO	602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 366,409	0.00%	$ 259	0.14%
ARO	602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 853,930	0.00%	$ 226	0.14%
NRO	602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 300,598	0.00%	$ 103	0.14%
SERO	602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 902,721	0.00%	$ 51	0.14%
NRO	602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	$ 1,211,413	0.01%	$ 583	0.14%
NRO	602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 221,166	-0.01%	$ (789)	-0.36%
ARO	602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 1,371,584	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ 200,000	-	-	-
NRO	605-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 2,619,907	0.01%	$ 925	0.14%
				$ 53,216,983			

-0.03%	$ (2,896)	-0.85%	Min
0.01%	$ 1,160	0.14%	Max
0.00%	$ (0)	0.02%	Avg

Attachment A.7: FY2015 BTKH – PLL Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 1,961,400	$ 1,277,400	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 44,833	$ 1,322,233	100.0%	$ 1,277,400
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 BTKH - PLL Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 BTKH - PLL Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF BTKH-PLL L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF BTKH-PLL L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	BTKH-PLL L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	BTKH-PLL L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	BTKH-PLL L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 BTKH-PLL L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
	602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 165,000	$ 165,000	12.9%	4.25	4.25%	$ 7,013	$ 172,013	13.0%	$ 166,180
	602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Service, Inc	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	15.7%	4.50	4.50%	$ 9,000	$ 209,000	15.8%	$ 201,913
	602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	15.7%	2.25	2.25%	$ 4,500	$ 204,500	15.5%	$ 197,566
	602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	$ 165,793	$ 165,793	13.0%	3.00	3.00%	$ 4,974	$ 170,767	12.9%	$ 164,977
	602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 265,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Community Health Services of Alaska	$ 199,333	$ 199,333	15.6%	2.50	2.50%	$ 4,983	$ 204,316	15.5%	$ 197,389
	602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 200,000	$ 200,000	15.7%	3.50	3.50%	$ 7,000	$ 207,000	15.7%	$ 199,981
	602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	$ 147,274	$ 147,274	11.5%	5.00	5.00%	$ 7,364	$ 154,638	11.7%	$ 149,394
	602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America of Alaska	$ 419,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
				$ 1,961,400	$ 1,277,400							

Pink cells: Grant $ are fixed and not subject to PBF

Attachment A.7: FY2015 BTKH – PLL Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 1,961,400	PBF Multiplier=	0.5	$ 11,662	$ 1,289,062	100.0%	$ 1,277,400	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	PBF BTKH-PLL L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF BTKH-PLL L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	BTKH - PLL L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	BTKH - PLL L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	BTKH - PLL L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY15 BTKH-PLL L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY15 Change in % Distrib Due to PBF (R-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (S-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (U/F)	
	602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 165,000	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,077	$ 168,257	13.1%	$ 166,735	0.14%	$ 1,735	1.05%	
	602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Service, Inc	$ 200,000	2.50	1.3%	$ 2,524	$ 204,437	15.9%	$ 202,588	0.20%	$ 2,588	1.29%	
	602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	$ 200,000	2.00	1.0%	$ 1,976	$ 199,542	15.5%	$ 197,736	-0.18%	$ (2,264)	-1.13%	
	602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	$ 165,793	1.50	0.8%	$ 1,237	$ 166,214	12.9%	$ 164,710	-0.08%	$ (1,083)	-0.65%	
	602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 265,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
	602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Community Health Services of Alaska	$ 199,333	1.75	0.9%	$ 1,727	$ 199,116	15.4%	$ 197,314	-0.16%	$ (2,019)	-1.01%	
	602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	$ 200,000	1.00	0.5%	$ 1,000	$ 200,981	15.6%	$ 199,163	-0.07%	$ (837)	-0.42%	
	602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	$ 147,274	1.50	0.8%	$ 1,120	$ 150,515	11.7%	$ 149,153	0.15%	$ 1,879	1.28%	
	602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America of Alaska	$ 419,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
				$ 1,961,400										

Pink cells: Grant $ are fixed and not subject to PBF

-0.18%	$ (2,264)	-1.13%	Min
0.20%	$ 2,588	1.29%	Max
0.00%	$ 0	0.06%	Avg

Attachment A.8: FY2015 Other Non-CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 3,684,413	$ 2,718,020	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 24,503	$ 2,742,523	100.0%	$ 2,718,020
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 Other Non-CBHTR Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 Other Non-CBHTR Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	Non-CBHTR Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	Non-CBHTR Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	Non-CBHTR Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 Other Non-CBHTR Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
	(Adult RurPeerSup)	SCO1	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 112,500	$ 112,500	4.1%	0.50	0.50%	$ 563	$ 113,063	4.1%	$ 112,052
	(Adult RurPeerSup)	SCO2	Ionia, Inc.	$ 112,500	$ 112,500	4.1%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,125	$ 113,625	4.1%	$ 112,610
	(Bethel Serv Patrol)	SCO3	City of Bethel	$ 346,491	$ 346,491	12.7%	1.00	1.00%	$ 3,465	$ 349,956	12.8%	$ 346,829
	(Bethel Sober Cntr)	SCO4	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 821,340	$ 821,340	30.2%	1.00	1.00%	$ 8,213	$ 829,553	30.2%	$ 822,142
	602-14-924 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO5	CHOICES	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	602-14-922 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO6	Frontier Community Services	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	602-14-921 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO7	Polaris House	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	(SA Tx OCS Famly)	SCO8	Akeela, Inc.	$ 183,500	$ 183,500	6.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,835	$ 185,335	6.8%	$ 183,679
	(SA Tx OCS Parnts)	SCO9	Akeela, Inc.	$ 225,000	$ 225,000	8.3%	1.00	1.00%	$ 2,250	$ 227,250	8.3%	$ 225,220
	(SA Tx OCS Parnts)	SCO10	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	$ 183,500	$ 183,500	6.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,835	$ 185,335	6.8%	$ 183,679
	602-14-130 (SOAR)	SCO11	Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association	$ 75,297	$ 75,297	2.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 753	$ 76,050	2.8%	$ 75,371
	602-14-131 (SOAR)	SCO12	Catholic Community Service, Inc.	$ 150,595	$ 150,595	5.5%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,506	$ 152,101	5.5%	$ 150,742
	602-14-133 (SOAR)	SCO13	Fairbanks Resource Agency	$ 75,297	$ 75,297	2.8%	1.00	1.00%	$ 753	$ 76,050	2.8%	$ 75,371
	602-14-602 (Sup Emp)	SCO14	Frontier Community Services	$ 118,871	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	602-14-600 (Sup Emp)	SCO15	Gastineau Human Services	$ 115,979	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	602-14-601 (Sup Emp)	SCO16	Polaris House	$ 179,505	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	(Therapeutic Court)	SCO17	Akeela, Inc.	$ 282,000	$ 282,000	10.4%	0.25	0.25%	$ 705	$ 282,705	10.3%	$ 280,179
	(Palmer Therap Crt)	SCO18	Daybreak, Inc.	$ 150,000	$ 150,000	5.5%	1.00	1.00%	$ 1,500	$ 151,500	5.5%	$ 150,146
	(Trauma Infm Train)	SCO19	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	602-14-383 (RCCY Training)	SCO20	Alaska Child & Family (formerly ACS)	$ 250,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	(BH Provider Assoc)	SCO21	Alaska Behavioral Health Association	$ 55,905	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
	(Chem Dep ProfCert)	SCO22	Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification	$ 45,833	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.8: FY2015 Other Non-CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 3,684,413	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change in % Distribution Due to PBF (L-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (M-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (O/F)
	(Adult RurPeerSup)	SCO1	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 112,500	-0.02%	$ (448)	-0.40%
	(Adult RurPeerSup)	SCO2	Ionia, Inc.	$ 112,500	0.00%	$ 110	0.10%
	(Bethel Serv Patrol)	SCO3	City of Bethel	$ 346,491	0.01%	$ 338	0.10%
	(Bethel Sober Cntr)	SCO4	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	$ 821,340	0.03%	$ 802	0.10%
	602-14-924 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO5	CHOICES	$ 100	-	-	-
	602-14-922 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO6	Frontier Community Services	$ 100	-	-	-
	602-14-921 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO7	Polaris House	$ 100	-	-	-
	(SA Tx OCS Famly)	SCO8	Akeela, Inc.	$ 183,500	0.01%	$ 179	0.10%
	(SA Tx OCS Parnts)	SCO9	Akeela, Inc.	$ 225,000	0.01%	$ 220	0.10%
	(SA Tx OCS Parnts)	SCO10	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	$ 183,500	0.01%	$ 179	0.10%
	602-14-130 (SOAR)	SCO11	Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association	$ 75,297	0.00%	$ 74	0.10%
	602-14-131 (SOAR)	SCO12	Catholic Community Service, Inc.	$ 150,595	0.01%	$ 147	0.10%
	602-14-133 (SOAR)	SCO13	Fairbanks Resource Agency	$ 75,297	0.00%	$ 74	0.10%
	602-14-602 (Sup Emp)	SCO14	Frontier Community Services	$ 118,871	-	-	-
	602-14-600 (Sup Emp)	SCO15	Gastineau Human Services	$ 115,979	-	-	-
	602-14-601 (Sup Emp)	SCO16	Polaris House	$ 179,505	-	-	-
	(Therapeutic Court)	SCO17	Akeela, Inc.	$ 282,000	-0.07%	$ (1,821)	-0.65%
	(Palmer Therap Crt)	SCO18	Daybreak, Inc.	$ 150,000	0.01%	$ 146	0.10%
	(Trauma Infm Train)	SCO19	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 200,000	-	-	-
	602-14-383 (RCCY Training)	SCO20	Alaska Child & Family (formerly ACS)	$ 250,000	-	-	-
	(BH Provider Assoc)	SCO21	Alaska Behavioral Health Association	$ 55,905	-	-	-
	(Chem Dep ProfCert)	SCO22	Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification	$ 45,833	-	-	-

Attachment A.8: FY2015 Other Non-CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 3,684,413	$ 2,718,020	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 24,503	$ 2,742,523	100.0%	$ 2,718,020
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY14 Other Non-CBHTR Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 Other Non-CBHTR Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	Non-CBHTR Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	Non-CBHTR Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	Non-CBHTR Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY15 Other Non-CBHTR Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
				$ 3,684,413	$ 2,718,020							

Pink cells: Grant $ are not subject to PBF

Attachment A.8: FY2015 Other Non-CBHTR Grants: Funding Allocation Process & Impact				$ 3,684,413	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY14 Grant Number	ID	Agency Name	FY14-FY15 Starting Award	FY15 Change in % Distribution Due to PBF (L-G)	FY15 Change ($) Due to PBF (M-F)	FY15 Change (%) Due to PBF (O/F)
				$ 3,684,413			

Pink cells: Grant $ are not subject to PBF

-0.07%	$ (1,821)	-0.65%	Min
0.03%	$ 802	0.10%	Max
0.00%	$ (0)	-0.01%	Avg

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		Net PBF Points for Competitive Funding Groups						
		C - 2	D - 3	E - 4	F - 5	G - 6	H - 7	I - 8
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score - Compliance Points (J)	SMI, SED, SA Adlt, & SA Yth $ All Compliance & Efficiency Net Points (Sum J thru N)	SMI $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum O thru R)	SED $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum S thru V)	Adult SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum W thru Z)	Youth SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum AA thru AD)	
Access Alaska	68	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	1.00	4.75	2.00	2.00	2.00	(1.00)	
AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	1.00	5.00	-	-	3.00	-	
AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	1.00	5.00	-	-	3.00	-	
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	16	1.00	5.00	-	-	3.50	-	
Alaska Baptist Family Services	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Alaska Child and Family	59	1.00	3.75	-	3.00	-	-	
Alaska Family Services, Inc	23	1.00	4.50	-	-	3.00	3.00	
Alaska Island Counseling Services	39	1.00	2.25	4.00	3.00	3.00	-	
Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	61	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	
Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	89	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	
Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	15	1.00	3.50	2.50	4.00	2.75	-	
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1077	1.00	4.25	3.00	2.50	-	-	
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1177	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1277	1.00	4.25	3.00	-	-	-	
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	1377	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Arc of Anchorage	46	1.00	2.50	2.50	2.00	3.00	-	
Assets	21	1.00	1.00	2.00	-	-	-	
Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	74	1.00	3.75	2.00	3.00	3.00	-	
Catholic Community Services	1085	1.00	4.50	-	2.50	-	-	
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1066	1.00	5.00	-	-	3.50	-	
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1166	1.00	5.00	-	2.00	-	2.00	
Choices, Inc.	1082	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Community Connections	50	1.00	5.00	-	2.00	-	-	
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	10111	0.25	-	-	-	-	-	
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	31	1.00	4.75	-	-	3.00	-	
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	1067	1.00	5.00	-	-	3.00	-	
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	1167	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Copper River Native Association	48	1.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	-	
Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	38	1.00	3.25	3.00	4.00	3.00	-	
Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	133	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Daybreak	24	1.00	5.00	2.50	-	-	-	

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		N Range = 20	N Range = 21	N Range = 1	N Range = 2	N Range = 3	N Range = 4	N Range = 8	N Range = 12	N Range = 16	N Range = 5	N Range = 9	N Range = 13	N Range = 17
		Compliance		Access/Engagement (Efficiency) Measures			Outcome (Effectiveness) Measures for Target Populations							
		J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score (Compliance Points)	Annual Household Income Data (Compliance Points)	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	% of Clients Served within 30 Days of Enrollment	% of Clients Not Served for 135 Days	All SMI Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SMI Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Access Alaska	68													
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.50
AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	16	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Alaska Baptist Family Services	91													
Alaska Child and Family	59	1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.50					0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00
Alaska Family Services, Inc	23	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00								
Alaska Island Counseling Services	39	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00	(0.50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00
Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	61	1.00												
Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	89	1.00												
Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	15	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.75	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1077	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1177													
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1277	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	1377													
Arc of Anchorage	46	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	(0.50)	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Assets	21	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.50)	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	74	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Catholic Community Services	1085	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.75	1.00					0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1066	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1166	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Choices, Inc.	1082													
Community Connections	50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	10111	0.25												
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	31	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00								
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	1067	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	1167													
Copper River Native Association	48	1.00	(1.50)	0.25	0.75	(0.50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	38	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	133													
Daybreak	24	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		N Range = 6	N Range = 10	N Range = 14	N Range = 18	N Range = 7	N Range = 11	N Range = 15	N Range = 19
		Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Adult SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Access Alaska	68								
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.50	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)
AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	16	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50				
Alaska Baptist Family Services	91								
Alaska Child and Family	59								
Alaska Family Services, Inc	23	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Alaska Island Counseling Services	39	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	61								
Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	89								
Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	15	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00				
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1077								
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1177								
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1277								
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	1377								
Arc of Anchorage	46	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Assets	21								
Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	74	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Catholic Community Services	1085								
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1066	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1166					1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Choices, Inc.	1082								
Community Connections	50								
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	10111								
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	31	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	1067	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	1167								
Copper River Native Association	48	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	38	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	133								
Daybreak	24								

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		Net PBF Points for Competitive Funding Groups						
		C - 2	D - 3	E - 4	F - 5	G - 6	H - 7	I - 8
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score - Compliance Points (J)	SMI, SED, SA Adlt, & SA Yth $ All Compliance & Efficiency Net Points (Sum J thru N)	SMI $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum O thru R)	SED $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum S thru V)	Adult SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum W thru Z)	Youth SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum AA thru AD)	
Denali Family Services	1075	1.00	4.00	-	2.00	-	-	
Denali Family Services	1175	1.00	4.00	-	2.00	-	-	
Denali Family Services	1275	1.00	4.00	-	2.00	-	-	
Eastern Aleutian Tribes	69	1.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	2.75	-	
Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	113	1.00	2.75	1.50	2.00	-	-	
Fairbanks Native Association	1014	1.00	5.00	-	-	3.50	-	
Fairbanks Native Association	1114	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Family Centered Services	51	1.00	2.75	-	2.00	-	3.50	
Gastineau Human Services	7	1.00	4.25	-	-	3.00	-	
Hope Community Resources	52	1.00	2.50	-	2.50	-	-	
Interior Aids Association	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	1032	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	1132	1.00	4.75	2.50	-	-	-	
Juneau Youth Services	72	1.00	1.50	-	2.00	-	1.50	
Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	30	1.00	3.50	-	3.00	-	-	
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	0.75	0.00	2.50	1.75	3.00	(1.00)	
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	1.00	2.50	-	-	2.50	-	
Lynn Canal Counseling	35	1.00	4.25	3.00	2.00	2.50	-	
Maniilaq Asso.	55	0.75	1.25	3.00	2.00	3.00	-	
Mat-Su Health Svc.	73	1.00	4.50	3.00	2.50	-	-	
Metlakatla Indian Community	86	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	
National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	131	1.00	4.25	-	-	-	2.75	
North Slope Borough	43	1.00	1.25	2.00	2.00	-	-	
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1053	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1153	1.00	3.00	2.00	4.00	2.50	-	
Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	1080	1.00	2.50	2.50	1.75	-	-	
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	1.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	-	
Presbyterian Hospitality House	1079	1.00	4.50	-	1.00	-	-	
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	1081	0.50	-	-	-	-	-	
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	1181	0.50	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		N Range = 20	N Range = 21	N Range = 1	N Range = 2	N Range = 3	N Range = 4	N Range = 8	N Range = 12	N Range = 16	N Range = 5	N Range = 9	N Range = 13	N Range = 17
		Compliance		Access/Engagement (Efficiency) Measures			Outcome (Effectiveness) Measures for Target Populations							
		J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score (Compliance Points)	Annual Household Income Data (Compliance Points)	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	% of Clients Served within 30 Days of Enrollment	% of Clients Not Served for 135 Days	All SMI Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SMI Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Denali Family Services	1075	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Denali Family Services	1175	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Denali Family Services	1275	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Eastern Aleutian Tribes	69	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	113	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Fairbanks Native Association	1014	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Fairbanks Native Association	1114													
Family Centered Services	51	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	(0.25)					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Gastineau Human Services	7	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.50								
Hope Community Resources	52	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	(0.25)	1.00					0.00	0.50	1.00	1.00
Interior Aids Association	13													
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	1032													
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	1132	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Juneau Youth Services	72	1.00	(1.00)	1.00	1.00	(0.50)					0.50	0.00	1.00	0.50
Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	30	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.75	0.00					1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	0.75	(1.00)	0.50	0.25	(0.50)	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	1.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.75	0.50								
Lynn Canal Counseling	35	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Maniilaq Asso.	55	0.75	(0.25)	(0.25)	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00
Mat-Su Health Svc.	73	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Metlakatla Indian Community	86													
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	25													
National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	131	1.00	1.00	0.25	1.00	1.00								
North Slope Borough	43	1.00	0.00	(0.25)	0.75	(0.25)	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1053													
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1153	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	1080	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.25	(0.75)	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Presbyterian Hospitality House	1079	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50					0.00	0.00	0.50	0.50
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	1081	0.50												
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	1181	0.50												

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		N Range = 6	N Range = 10	N Range = 14	N Range = 18	N Range = 7	N Range = 11	N Range = 15	N Range = 19
		Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Adult SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Denali Family Services	1075								
Denali Family Services	1175								
Denali Family Services	1275								
Eastern Aleutian Tribes	69	(0.25)	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	113								
Fairbanks Native Association	1014	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Fairbanks Native Association	1114								
Family Centered Services	51					1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00
Gastineau Human Services	7	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Hope Community Resources	52								
Interior Aids Association	13								
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	1032								
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	1132								
Juneau Youth Services	72					0.50	0.00	1.00	0.00
Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	30								
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Lynn Canal Counseling	35	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Maniilaq Asso.	55	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Mat-Su Health Svc.	73								
Metlakatla Indian Community	86								
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	25								
National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	131					1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
North Slope Borough	43								
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1053								
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1153	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	1080								
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Presbyterian Hospitality House	1079								
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	1081								
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	1181								

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		Net PBF Points for Competitive Funding Groups						
		C - 2	D - 3	E - 4	F - 5	G - 6	H - 7	I - 8
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score - Compliance Points (J)	SMI, SED, SA Adlt, & SA Yth $ All Compliance & Efficiency Net Points (Sum J thru N)	SMI $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum O thru R)	SED $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum S thru V)	Adult SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum W thru Z)	Youth SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum AA thru AD)	
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	0.25	2.25	3.00	0.25	3.00	2.75	
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	0.00	1.50	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.75	
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	3	1.00	4.25	-	-	3.00	-	
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	1.00	5.00	-	-	3.00	-	
Residential Youth Care	1084	1.00	5.00	-	1.50	-	-	
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	19	(0.50)	1.25	-	-	3.00	-	
Seaview Comm. Svc.	2	1.00	3.75	2.00	-	2.50	-	
Set Free Alaska	96	1.00	4.00	-	-	2.75	-	
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	1.00	3.00	2.75	1.75	3.00	3.00	
Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	1182	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	
South Penin. Behav. Health	71	1.00	3.25	2.50	2.00	-	-	
Southcentral Foundation - ANC	1047	1.00	3.75	2.50	2.00	3.00	-	
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	1.00	3.75	2.50	2.00	3.00	-	
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	36	1.00	2.75	1.75	-	2.50	2.25	
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	1.00	3.75	2.50	-	3.00	-	
Tok Area Mental Health Council	11	0.50	-	-	-	-	-	
Volunteers of America Alaska	1020	0.50	4.25	-	-	-	3.50	
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	1.00	3.50	-	3.00	-	-	
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	1.00	3.00	2.00	1.25	3.00	-	
	Min	(0.50)	0.00	1.50	0.25	2.00	(1.00)	
	Max	1.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.50	
	Avg	0.91	3.54	2.59	2.38	2.92	2.08	
	CheckSum	62.50	219.50	83.00	92.75	105.25	25.00	

BTKH - PLL: Subject to CBHTR Measures								
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1477		4.25		2.50			
Catholic Community Service, Inc	1185		4.50		2.50			
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	11111		2.25		2.00			
Kodiak Area Native Association	29		3.00		1.50			
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1253		-		-			
Peninsula Community Health Services of Alaska	1180		2.50		1.75			
Presbyterian Hospitality House	1179		3.50		1.00			
Residential Youth Care	1184		5.00		1.50			
Volunteers of America of Alaska	1120		-		-			
	Avg		3.57		1.82			

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		N Range = 20	N Range = 21	N Range = 1	N Range = 2	N Range = 3	N Range = 4	N Range = 8	N Range = 12	N Range = 16	N Range = 5	N Range = 9	N Range = 13	N Range = 17
		Compliance		Access/Engagement (Efficiency) Measures			Outcome (Effectiveness) Measures for Target Populations							
		J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score (Compliance Points)	Annual Household Income Data (Compliance Points)	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	% of Clients Served within 30 Days of Enrollment	% of Clients Not Served for 135 Days	All SMI Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SMI Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	0.25	1.00	0.25	0.75	0.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.00
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	0.00	1.00	0.25	0.75	(0.50)	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	3	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.75	1.00								
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Residential Youth Care	1084	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					0.50	0.00	0.50	0.50
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	19	(0.50)	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	0.00								
Seaview Comm. Svc.	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.50				
Set Free Alaska	96	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00								
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.75	0.00	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00	0.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	1182	1.00												
South Penin. Behav. Health	71	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Southcentral Foundation - ANC	1047	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	36	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.50	0.00	0.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00				
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Tok Area Mental Health Council	11	0.50												
Volunteers of America Alaska	1020	0.50	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00								
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00					1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	1.00	1.00	0.25	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	(0.25)	0.50	1.00
	Min	(0.50)	(1.50)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.75)	0.00	(0.25)	0.00	0.00	0.00	(0.25)	0.00	0.00
	Max	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Avg	0.91	0.80	0.61	0.81	0.39	0.56	0.13	0.97	0.94	0.37	0.19	0.92	0.90
	CheckSum	62.50	49.75	38.00	50.00	24.00	18.00	4.00	31.00	30.00	14.50	7.25	36.00	35.00
		219.50					83.00				92.75			
BTKH - PLL: Subject to CBHTR Measures														
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1477	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.50	1.00					0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Catholic Community Service, Inc	1185	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.75	1.00					0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	11111	1.00	(1.00)	0.50	0.75	1.00					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Kodiak Area Native Association	29	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	(0.50)					0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1253													
Peninsula Community Health Services of Alaska	1180	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.25	(0.75)					0.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Presbyterian Hospitality House	1179	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50					0.00	0.00	0.50	0.50
Residential Youth Care	1184	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00					0.50	0.00	0.50	0.50
Volunteers of America of Alaska	1120													
	Avg	0.86	0.71	0.79	0.75	0.46					0.21	(0.04)	0.86	0.79

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

Grantee	AKAIMS ID	N Range = 6	N Range = 10	N Range = 14	N Range = 18	N Range = 7	N Range = 11	N Range = 15	N Range = 19
		Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD
		Adult SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	3	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Residential Youth Care	1084								
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	19	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Seaview Comm. Svc.	2	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Set Free Alaska	96	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00				
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	1182								
South Penin. Behav. Health	71								
Southcentral Foundation - ANC	1047	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	36	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	0.50	1.00
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Tok Area Mental Health Council	11								
Volunteers of America Alaska	1020					1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8								
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
	Min	(0.25)	(0.25)	1.00	0.50	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)
	Max	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00
	Avg	0.78	0.17	1.00	0.97	0.75	(0.04)	0.67	0.71
	CheckSum	28.25	6.00	36.00	35.00	9.00	(0.50)	8.00	8.50
		105.25				25.00			
BTKH - PLL: Subject to CBHTR Measures									
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	1477								
Catholic Community Service, Inc	1185								
Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	11111								
Kodiak Area Native Association	29								
Norton Sound Health Corporation	1253								
Peninsula Community Health Services of Alaska	1180								
Presbyterian Hospitality House	1179								
Residential Youth Care	1184								
Volunteers of America of Alaska	1120								
	Avg								

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		Net PBF Points for Competitive Funding Groups						
		C - 2	D - 3	E - 4	F - 5	G - 6	H - 7	I - 8
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score - Compliance Points (J)	SMI, SED, SA Adlt, & SA Yth $ All Compliance & Efficiency Net Points (Sum J thru N)	SMI $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum O thru R)	SED $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum S thru V)	Adult SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum W thru Z)	Youth SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum AA thru AD)	
Other NonCBHTR - Staff Score Only								
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	SCO1	0.50						
Ionia, Inc.	SCO2	1.00						
City of Bethel	SCO3	1.00						
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	SCO4	1.00						
CHOICES	SCO5	-						
Frontier Community Services	SCO6	-						
Polaris House	SCO7	-						
Akeela, Inc.	SCO8	1.00						
Akeela, Inc.	SCO9	1.00						
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	SCO10	1.00						
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association	SCO11	1.00						
Catholic Community Service, Inc.	SCO12	1.00						
Fairbanks Resource Agency	SCO13	1.00						
Frontier Community Services	SCO14	-						
Gastineau Human Services	SCO15	-						
Polaris House	SCO16	-						
Akeela, Inc.	SCO17	0.25						
Daybreak, Inc.	SCO18	1.00						
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	SCO19	-						
Alaska Child & Family (formerly ACS)	SCO20	-						
Alaska Behavioral Health Association	SCO21	-						
Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification	SCO22	-						
	Avg	0.90						

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		N Range = 20	N Range = 21	N Range = 1	N Range = 2	N Range = 3	N Range = 4	N Range = 8	N Range = 12	N Range = 16	N Range = 5	N Range = 9	N Range = 13	N Range = 17
		Compliance		Access/Engagement (Efficiency) Measures			Outcome (Effectiveness) Measures for Target Populations							
		J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Grantee	**AKAIMS ID**	**Staff Score (Compliance Points)**	**Annual Household Income Data (Compliance Points)**	**Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx**	**% of Clients Served within 30 Days of Enrollment**	**% of Clients Not Served for 135 Days**	**All SMI Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same**	**All SMI Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same**	**All SMI Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied**	**All SMI Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied**	**All SED Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same**	**All SED Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same**	**All SED Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied**	**All SED Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied**
Other NonCBHTR - Staff Score Only														
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	SCO1	0.50												
Ionia, Inc.	SCO2	1.00												
City of Bethel	SCO3	1.00												
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	SCO4	1.00												
CHOICES	SCO5													
Frontier Community Services	SCO6													
Polaris House	SCO7													
Akeela, Inc.	SCO8	1.00												
Akeela, Inc.	SCO9	1.00												
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	SCO10	1.00												
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association	SCO11	1.00												
Catholic Community Service, Inc.	SCO12	1.00												
Fairbanks Resource Agency	SCO13	1.00												
Frontier Community Services	SCO14													
Gastineau Human Services	SCO15													
Polaris House	SCO16													
Akeela, Inc.	SCO17	0.25												
Daybreak, Inc.	SCO18	1.00												
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	SCO19													
Alaska Child & Family (formerly ACS)	SCO20													
Alaska Behavioral Health Association	SCO21													
Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification	SCO22													
	Avg	0.90												

Attachment A.9: FY2014 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter - PBF Points

		N Range = 6	N Range = 10	N Range = 14	N Range = 18	N Range = 7	N Range = 11	N Range = 15	N Range = 19
		Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Adult SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Other NonCBHTR - Staff Score Only									
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	SCO1								
Ionia, Inc.	SCO2								
City of Bethel	SCO3								
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	SCO4								
CHOICES	SCO5								
Frontier Community Services	SCO6								
Polaris House	SCO7								
Akeela, Inc.	SCO8								
Akeela, Inc.	SCO9								
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	SCO10								
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association	SCO11								
Catholic Community Service, Inc.	SCO12								
Fairbanks Resource Agency	SCO13								
Frontier Community Services	SCO14								
Gastineau Human Services	SCO15								
Polaris House	SCO16								
Akeela, Inc.	SCO17								
Daybreak, Inc.	SCO18								
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	SCO19								
Alaska Child & Family (formerly ACS)	SCO20								
Alaska Behavioral Health Association	SCO21								
Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification	SCO22								
	Avg								

Attachment B

FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) - Summary by PBF Measure

Attachment B.1: Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score

Measure Description: DBH staff scored grantees on the following activities to determine an overall Staff Score for each grantee:
a. Did grantee participate in community planning on a quarterly basis?
b. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?
c. Did grantee submit all required reports (including narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal reports) in a timely manner?
d. Did grantee submit complete quarterly reports?
e. Did the grantee use the Logic Model to make program improvements?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	*>=*	*0%*	*<=*	*64%*	(0.75)
2	*>=*	*65%*	*<=*	*74%*	(0.50)
3	*>=*	*75%*	*<=*	*84%*	0.00
4	*>=*	*85%*	*<=*	*89%*	0.25
5	*>=*	*90%*	*<=*	*94%*	0.50
6	*>=*	*95%*	*<=*	*99%*	0.75
7	*>=*	*100%*			1.00

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 64%	0	0%
65% to 74%	1	1%
75% to 84%	2	2%
85% to 89%	2	2%
90% to 94%	6	7%
95% to 99%	2	2%
100% or more	75	85%
TOTALS	88	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	73%	100%	98%
b	42%	100%	98%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	*A* Total Available Points	*B* Staff Score Points	*C* Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No	21	18	86%	4	0.25
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	Yes	57	57	100%	7	1.00
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	Yes	57	57	100%	7	1.00
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Total Available Points	Staff Score Points	Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes	24	24	100%	7	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No	57	57	100%	7	1.00
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes	66	58	88%	4	0.25
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No	66	28	42%	1	(0.75)
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Total Available Points	Staff Score Points	Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes	66	63	95%	6	0.75
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes	66	63	95%	6	0.75
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No	66	58	88%	4	0.25
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No	57	57	100%	7	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	Yes	66	62	94%	5	0.50
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	Yes	66	62	94%	5	0.50
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes	66	58	88%	4	0.25
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes	66	54	82%	3	0.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes	66	48	73%	2	(0.50)
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Total Available Points	Staff Score Points	Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	Yes	66	62	94%	5	0.50
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes	66	62	94%	5	0.50
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Service, Inc	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Community Health Services of Alaska	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes	66	54	82%	3	0.00
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America of Alaska	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Total Available Points	Staff Score Points	Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-014 (Adult RurPeerSup)	SCO1	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes	66	62	94%	5	0.50
602-14-015 (Adult RurPeerSup)	SCO2	Ionia, Inc.	Yes	45	45	100%	7	1.00
602-14-501 (Bethel Serv Patrol)	SCO3	City of Bethel	Yes	33	33	100%	7	1.00
602-14-502 (Bethel Sober Cntr)	SCO4	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-924 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO5	CHOICES	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-922 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO6	Frontier Community Services	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-921 (ICM / Flex Sup)	SCO7	Polaris House	No	57	49	86%	4	0.25
602-14-040 (SA Tx OCS Famly)	SCO8	Akeela, Inc.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-011 (SA Tx OCS Parnts)	SCO9	Akeela, Inc.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-041 (SA Tx OCS Parnts)	SCO10	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-130 (SOAR)	SCO11	Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association	Yes	33	33	100%	7	1.00
602-14-131 (SOAR)	SCO12	Catholic Community Service, Inc.	Yes	33	33	100%	7	1.00
602-14-133 (SOAR)	SCO13	Fairbanks Resource Agency	Yes	33	33	100%	7	1.00
602-14-602 (Sup Emp)	SCO14	Frontier Community Services	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-600 (Sup Emp)	SCO15	Gastineau Human Services	No	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-601 (Sup Emp)	SCO16	Polaris House	No	57	57	100%	7	1.00
602-14-907 (Therapeutic Court)	SCO17	Akeela, Inc.	Yes	66	58	88%	4	0.25
602-14-503 (Palmer Therap Crt)	SCO18	Daybreak, Inc.	Yes	66	66	100%	7	1.00
602-14-110 (Trauma Infm Train)	SCO19	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No	33	33	100%	7	1.00
602-14-383 (RCCY Training)	SCO20	Alaska Child & Family (formerly ACS)	No	n/a	n/a	n/a		
602-14-923 (BH Provider Assoc)	SCO21	Alaska Behavioral Health Association	No	45	42	93%	5	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Total Available Points	Staff Score Points	Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-301 (Chem Dep ProfCert)	SCO22	Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification	No	33	33	100%	7	1.00

Attachment B.2: Annual Household Income: Percent of Served Clients with Missing or Bad Data

Measure Description: This measure identifies the percent of distinct admissions of served clients with missing or bad data for the Minimum Data Set "Annual Household Income" field.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	5%	1.00
2	>=	6%	<=	10%	0.00
3	>=	11%	<=	15%	(0.25)
4	>=	16%	<=	20%	(0.50)
5	>=	21%	<=	25%	(1.00)
6	>=	26%			(1.50)
7					

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 5%	60	87%
6% to 10%	2	3%
11% to 15%	3	4%
16% to 20%	0	0%
21% to 25%	3	4%
26% or more	1	1%
TOTALS	69	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	0%	31%	3%
b	0%	70%	5%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA: A Distinct Admissions of Served Clients	B Distinct Admissions of Served Clients with Missing/Bad	C % With Missing or Bad Data (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			12%	3	(0.25)
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			1%	1	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Distinct Admissions of Served Clients	Distinct Admissions of Served Clients with Missing/Bad	% With Missing or Bad Data (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			1%	1	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			1%	1	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			25%	5	(1.00)
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			31%	6	(1.50)
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			70%	6	(1.50)
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			7%	2	0.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes			0%	1	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Distinct Admissions of Served Clients	Distinct Admissions of Served Clients with Missing/Bad	% With Missing or Bad Data (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes			4%	1	1.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			21%	5	(1.00)
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			23%	5	(1.00)
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes			12%	3	(0.25)
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			12%	3	(0.25)
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			24%	5	(1.00)
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			7%	2	0.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			44%	6	(1.50)
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			44%	6	(1.50)
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes			0%	1	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Distinct Admissions of Served Clients	Distinct Admissions of Served Clients with Missing/Bad	% With Missing or Bad Data (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Service, Inc	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes			25%	5	(1.00)
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes			4%	1	1.00
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Community Health Services of Alaska	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America of Alaska	No			0%	1	1.00

Attachment B.3: Average Number of Days from Screening (AST) to First Treatment Service

Measure Description: This measure reports the elapsed period of time from the completion of the Alaska Screening Tool (AST) to the date of the first treatment service. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	<=	0	<=	7	1.00
2	>=	8	<=	14	0.75
3	>=	15	<=	21	0.50
4	>=	22	<=	28	0.25
5	>=	29	<=	35	0.00
6	>=	36			(0.25)
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no AST data:
If the agency did not have any clients with AST data, but had clients that were expected to have AST data, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 7	24	35%
8 to 14	17	25%
15 to 21	12	18%
22 to 28	9	13%
29 to 35	1	1%
36 or more	5	7%
TOTALS	68	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF *b) All Agencies*	*Minimum*	Maximum	Average
a	(57)	68	12
b	(57)	68	12

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A) Not Displayed per HIPAA — *A* Count of Clients Interviewed	*B* Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No		14	2	0.75
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes		9	2	0.75
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes		0	1	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes		0	1	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes		5	1	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No		n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes		22	4	0.25
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes		20	3	0.50
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes		(7)	1	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No		n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No		n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes		8	2	0.75
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes		13	2	0.75
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No		13	2	0.75
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes		13	2	0.75

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Count of Clients Interviewed	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No		13	2	0.75
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes		21	3	0.50
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes		n/a	6	(0.25)
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes		21	3	0.50
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes		14	2	0.75
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes		6	1	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes		6	1	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No		16	3	0.50
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes		6	1	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No		21	3	0.50
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes		11	2	0.75
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes		1	1	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No		1	1	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes		28	4	0.25
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes		19	3	0.50
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No		11	2	0.75
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes		2	1	1.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes		(22)	1	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes		(22)	1	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes		(22)	1	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes		53	6	(0.25)
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes		2	1	1.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes		(2)	1	1.00
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No		(2)	1	1.00
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes		18	3	0.50
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes		8	2	0.75
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes		68	6	(0.25)
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No		23	4	0.25
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No		14	2	0.75

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Count of Clients Interviewed	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes		14	2	0.75
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes		0	1	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes		9	2	0.75
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes		18	3	0.50
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes		17	3	0.50
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes		13	2	0.75
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes		38	6	(0.25)
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes		0	1	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No		13	2	0.75
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No		2	1	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes		27	4	0.25
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes		59	6	(0.25)
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No		23	4	0.25
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes		23	4	0.25
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes		(57)	1	1.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes		13	2	0.75
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes		7	1	1.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No		0	1	1.00
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No		0	1	1.00
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes		23	4	0.25
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes		24	4	0.25
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes		20	3	0.50
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes		1	1	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes		2	1	1.00
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes		37	6	(0.25)
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes		7	1	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes		29	5	0.00
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes		26	4	0.25
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No		16	3	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Count of Clients Interviewed	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes		15	3	0.50
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes		14	2	0.75
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes		14	2	0.75
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes		22	4	0.25
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes		9	2	0.75
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No		18	3	0.50
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes		8	2	0.75
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes		19	3	0.50
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes		23	4	0.25
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes		13	2	0.75
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Services	Yes		14	2	0.75
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes		21	3	0.50
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes		20	3	0.50
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No		23	4	0.25
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes		-57	1	1.00
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes		7	1	1.00
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes		2	1	1.00
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America Alaska	No		8	2	0.75

Attachment B.4: Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment

Measure Description: This measure reports the percentage of an agency's clients who received a treatment service within 30 days of being enrolled into a program for treatment services. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	49%	(0.25)
2	>=	50%	<=	59%	0.00
3	>=	60%	<=	69%	0.25
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%	<=	89%	0.75
6	>=	90%			1.00
7					

Note: Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services' PBF Point assignment was changed from -0.25 to 0.75 in consideration of their unique circumstances during this time period. The 0.75 PBF Point assignment corresponds to the average score across agencies.

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 49%	3	4%
50% to 59%	0	0%
60% to 69%	4	6%
70% to 79%	7	10%
80% to 89%	18	26%
90% or more	37	54%
TOTALS	69	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	25%	100%	87%
b	25%	100%	87%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA: A All Clients	B # Clients Served in 30 days	C % Served in 30 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			92%	6	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			93%	6	1.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			96%	6	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			84%	5	0.75
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			76%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All Clients	# Clients Served in 30 days	% Served in 30 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			76%	4	0.50
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes			29%	1	(0.25)
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			87%	5	0.75
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes			86%	5	0.75
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			89%	5	0.75
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes			95%	6	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			84%	5	0.75
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes			95%	6	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes			99%	6	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			99%	6	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			82%	5	0.75
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			88%	5	0.75
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			64%	3	0.25
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes			95%	6	1.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			82%	5	0.75
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			37%	5	0.75
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			100%	6	1.00
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			74%	4	0.50
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes			90%	6	1.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes			25%	1	(0.25)
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			100%	6	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All Clients	# Clients Served in 30 days	% Served in 30 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			95%	6	1.00
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes			95%	6	1.00
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes			89%	5	0.75
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			66%	3	0.25
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes			86%	5	0.75
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			94%	6	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			74%	4	0.50
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			75%	4	0.50
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			100%	6	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes			97%	6	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			80%	5	0.75
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			89%	5	0.75
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			89%	5	0.75
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			66%	3	0.25
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			83%	5	0.75
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			97%	6	1.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			100%	6	1.00
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			100%	6	1.00
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			80%	5	0.75
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			84%	5	0.75
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes			86%	5	0.75
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes			97%	6	1.00
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			95%	6	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes			99%	6	1.00
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			88%	5	0.75

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All Clients	# Clients Served in 30 days	% Served in 30 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			89%	5	0.75
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			64%	3	0.25
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			90%	6	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			90%	6	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			77%	4	0.50
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			89%	5	0.75
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes			100%	6	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes			93%	6	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			83%	5	0.75
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Services	Yes			86%	5	0.75
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes			84%	5	0.75
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes			90%	6	1.00
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			89%	5	0.75
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			66%	3	0.25
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			97%	6	1.00
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes			98%	6	1.00
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			100%	6	1.00

Attachment B.5: Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days

Measure Description: This measure identifies those clients enrolled into treatment services who have not received any services within 135 days or greater. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to engagement and retention of clients in services and "capacity management" of treatment services.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	5%	1.00
2	>=	6%	<=	10%	0.50
3	>=	11%	<=	20%	0.00
4	>=	21%	<=	30%	(0.25)
5	>=	31%	<=	50%	(0.50)
6	>=	51%			(0.75)
7					

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 5%	28	41%
6% to 10%	11	16%
11% to 20%	17	25%
21% to 30%	3	4%
31% to 50%	8	12%
51% or more	2	3%
TOTALS	69	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	0%	55%	13%
b	0%	88%	12%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA: A All Clients	B # Clients Not Served for 135 days	C % Not Served for 135 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			4%	1	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			5%	1	1.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes			6%	2	0.50
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			40%	5	(0.50)
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			14%	3	0.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			3%	1	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All Clients	# Clients Not Served for 135 days	% Not Served for 135 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			3%	1	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			3%	1	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			44%	5	(0.50)
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes			38%	5	(0.50)
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			8%	2	0.50
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			38%	5	(0.50)
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			15%	3	0.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			88%	6	(0.75)
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes			13%	3	0.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes			13%	3	0.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes			13%	3	0.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			6%	2	0.50
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			16%	3	0.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			29%	4	(0.25)
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes			6%	2	0.50
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes			1%	1	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All Clients	# Clients Not Served for 135 days	% Not Served for 135 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			5%	1	1.00
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes			5%	1	1.00
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			41%	5	(0.50)
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes			20%	3	0.00
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			45%	5	(0.50)
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes			8%	2	0.50
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			6%	2	0.50
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			10%	2	0.50
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			6%	2	0.50
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			5%	1	1.00
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			0%	1	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			26%	4	(0.25)
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			20%	3	0.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			20%	3	0.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			55%	6	(0.75)
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			9%	2	0.50
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			9%	2	0.50
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			9%	2	0.50
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			15%	3	0.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			46%	5	(0.50)
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes			15%	3	0.00
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			23%	4	(0.25)

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All Clients	# Clients Not Served for 135 days	% Not Served for 135 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			13%	3	0.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			2%	1	1.00
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			6%	2	0.50
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			19%	3	0.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			19%	3	0.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			12%	3	0.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			12%	3	0.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			11%	3	0.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes			14%	3	0.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			17%	3	0.00
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			3%	1	1.00
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Services	Yes			1%	1	1.00
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes			2%	1	1.00
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes			32%	5	(0.50)
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			20%	3	0.00
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			55%	6	(0.75)
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			9%	2	0.50
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes			0%	1	1.00
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			0%	1	1.00

Attachment B.6: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR mental health domain question. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SMI population, this measure is based on client response to CSR Question #2: How many days in the past 30 days was your mental health (including depression and/or problems with emotions, behavior, or thinking) not good?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29.00%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49.00%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69.00%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79.00%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	8	27%
70% to 79%	10	33%
80% or more	12	40%
TOTALS	30	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	56%	100%	78%
b	0%	100%	77%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SMI Clients	B: # SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain	C: % SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			80%	5	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			74%	4	0.50
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			68%	3	0.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			68%	3	0.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			93%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			n/a	4	0.50
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			85%	5	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			85%	5	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes			63%	3	0.00
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			56%	3	0.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			75%	4	0.50
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			75%	4	0.50
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			65%	3	0.00
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			67%	3	0.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			73%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			n/a		
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			65%	3	0.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			87%	5	1.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			74%	4	0.50
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes			74%	4	0.50
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			n/a		
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			75%	4	0.50
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			72%	4	0.50
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			84%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			82%	5	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			69%	3	0.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			69%	3	0.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			69%	3	0.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			77%	4	0.50
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			78%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			65%	3	0.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			65%	3	0.00
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			69%	3	0.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			64%	3	0.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			n/a	4	0.50
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			78%	4	0.50
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			n/a		
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			63%	3	0.00

Attachment B.7: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR mental health domain question. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SED population, this measure is based on client response to CSR Question #2: How many days in the past 30 days was your mental health (including depression and/or problems with emotions, behavior, or thinking) not good?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	24	53%
70% to 79%	11	24%
80% or more	10	22%
TOTALS	45	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	50%	100%	71%
b	0%	100%	71%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA *A* All SED Clients	*B* # SED Improved or Same - MH Domain	*C* % SED Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			72%	4	0.50
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			57%	3	0.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			57%	3	0.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes			72%	4	0.50
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			78%	4	0.50
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			78%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SED Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			76%	4	0.50
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			76%	4	0.50
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			76%	4	0.50
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			50%	3	0.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes			70%	4	0.50
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			68%	3	0.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			68%	3	0.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes			66%	3	0.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			64%	3	0.00
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			n/a	4	0.50
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			53%	3	0.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SED Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			64%	3	0.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			70%	4	0.50
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			58%	3	0.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			40%	2	(0.25)
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			60%	3	0.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			77%	4	0.50
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			60%	3	0.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			90%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			61%	3	0.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			60%	3	0.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SED Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			65%	3	0.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			56%	3	0.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			52%	3	0.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			52%	3	0.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	No			94%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			72%	4	0.50
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			63%	3	0.00
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Services	Yes			70%	4	0.50
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes			64%	3	0.00
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes			60%	3	0.00
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			90%	5	1.00
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			61%	3	0.00
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes			73%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SED Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			72%	4	0.50

Attachment B.8: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or Maintained Abstinence) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or maintained abstinence) over time in the CSR substance abuse health domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SA population, this measure is based on the average of the client responses to:
CSR Question #5: How many days during the past 30 days have you used alcohol?
CSR Question #6: How many days during the past 30 days have you used illegal drugs (including medications not as prescribed/directed)?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	1	3%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	2	6%
70% to 79%	9	26%
80% or more	23	66%
TOTALS	35	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	0%	100%	83%
b	0%	100%	82%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Adults	B: # SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	C: % SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			78%	4	0.50
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			89%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	% SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			79%	4	0.50
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			79%	4	0.50
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			79%	4	0.50
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			79%	4	0.50
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			79%	4	0.50
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			89%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			90%	5	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			80%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			79%	4	0.50
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	% SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			94%	5	1.00
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			75%	4	0.50
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			n/a		
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			64%	3	0.00
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			71%	4	0.50
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			80%	5	1.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes			78%	4	0.50
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			71%	4	0.50
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			89%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			76%	4	0.50
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			77%	4	0.50
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	% SA Adults Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			71%	4	0.50
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			67%	3	0.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			80%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			84%	5	1.00

Attachment B.9: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or Maintained Abstinence) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or maintained abstinence) over time in the CSR substance abuse health domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SA population, this measure is based on the average of the client responses to:
CSR Question #5: How many days during the past 30 days have you used alcohol?
CSR Question #6: How many days during the past 30 days have you used illegal drugs (including medications not as prescribed/directed)?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0% to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	0	0%
70% to 79%	1	10%
80% or more	9	90%
TOTALS	10	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	76%	100%	98%
b	0%	100%	94%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Youth	B: # SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	C: % SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			n/a		
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			n/a		
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	% SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			n/a		
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	No			n/a		
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	No			n/a		
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	% SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	No			n/a		
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain	% SA Youth Improved or Stayed Abstinent - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			n/a		
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			n/a		
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	No			91%	5	1.00

Attachment B.10: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

- Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
- Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
- How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
- Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
- Your life in general?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	2	7%
50% to 69%	22	73%
70% to 79%	3	10%
80% or more	3	10%
TOTALS	30	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	45%	92%	61%
b	0%	100%	64%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA *A* All SMI Clients	*B* # SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom	*C* % SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			0.65	3	0.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			0.51	3	0.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			0.63	3	0.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			0.63	3	0.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			0.81	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			n/a	3	0.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			0.56	3	0.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			0.56	3	0.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			0.56	3	0.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			0.56	3	0.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			0.78	4	0.50
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes			0.5	3	0.00
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			0.59	3	0.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			0.75	4	0.50
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			0.75	4	0.50
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			0.65	3	0.00
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			0.67	3	0.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			0.83	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			0.57	3	0.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes			0.64	3	0.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			1	5	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			1	5	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			1	5	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			0.5	3	0.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			0.52	3	0.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			n/a		
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			0.73	4	0.50
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			0.53	3	0.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			0.66	3	0.00
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes			0.66	3	0.00
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			n/a		
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			0.63	3	0.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			0.67	3	0.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			0.51	3	0.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			0.62	3	0.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			0.65	3	0.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			0.5	3	0.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			0.66	3	0.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			0.66	3	0.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			0.67	3	0.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			0.92	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			0.43	2	(0.25)
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			0.7	4	0.50
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			0.5	3	0.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			1	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			0.54	3	0.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			0.45	2	(0.25)
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			0.65	3	0.00
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			0.7	4	0.50
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			0.62	3	0.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			0.62	3	0.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			0.49	2	(0.25)
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			n/a	3	0.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			0.67	3	0.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			0	1	(0.25)
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			n/a		
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			0.55	3	0.00

Attachment B.11: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

- Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
- Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
- How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
- Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
- Your life in general?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	1	2%
30% to 49%	5	11%
50% to 69%	29	64%
70% to 79%	3	7%
80% or more	7	16%
TOTALS	45	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	20%	100%	64%
b	20%	100%	64%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SED Clients	B: # SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom	C: % SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			60%	3	0.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			71%	4	0.50
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			71%	4	0.50
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes			78%	4	0.50
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			67%	3	0.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			73%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			50%	3	0.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			50%	3	0.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			56%	3	0.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes			62%	3	0.00
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			55%	3	0.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			55%	3	0.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			64%	3	0.00
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			n/a	3	0.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			68%	3	0.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			52%	3	0.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			64%	3	0.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			44%	2	(0.25)
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			40%	2	(0.25)
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			66%	3	0.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			60%	3	0.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			81%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			81%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			47%	2	(0.25)
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			63%	3	0.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			20%	1	(0.25)

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes			66%	3	0.00
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			38%	2	(0.25)
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			35%	2	(0.25)
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			50%	3	0.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			63%	3	0.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			63%	3	0.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	No			53%	3	0.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			60%	3	0.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes			58%	3	0.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			37%	2	(0.25)
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			50%	3	0.00
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Services	Yes			62%	3	0.00
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes			64%	3	0.00
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes			53%	3	0.00
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			81%	5	1.00
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			47%	2	(0.25)
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			63%	3	0.00
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes			66%	3	0.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			60%	3	0.00

Attachment B.12: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

- Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
- Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
- How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
- Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
- Your life in general?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	2	6%
50% to 69%	24	69%
70% to 79%	5	14%
80% or more	4	11%
TOTALS	35	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	45%	100%	66%
b	0%	100%	65%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Adults	B: # SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom	C: % SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			52%	3	0.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes			65%	3	0.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes			65%	3	0.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			56%	3	0.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			79%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			45%	2	(0.25)
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			62%	3	0.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			62%	3	0.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			62%	3	0.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			62%	3	0.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			n/a	3	0.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			77%	4	0.50
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			77%	4	0.50
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes			69%	3	0.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			67%	3	0.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			70%	4	0.50
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			71%	4	0.50
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes			78%	4	0.50
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			78%	4	0.50
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes			61%	3	0.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			n/a		
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			60%	3	0.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes			68%	3	0.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			59%	3	0.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			54%	3	0.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			81%	5	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			25%	1	(0.25)
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			65%	3	0.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			65%	3	0.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			50%	3	0.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			50%	3	0.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes			69%	3	0.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			56%	3	0.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes			47%	2	(0.25)
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			58%	3	0.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			68%	3	0.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			68%	3	0.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			65%	3	0.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			68%	3	0.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			60%	3	0.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			67%	3	0.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			64%	3	0.00

Attachment B.13: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

- Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
- Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
- How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
- Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
- Your life in general?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of 0.0;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	3	30%
30% to 49%	1	10%
50% to 69%	4	40%
70% to 79%	2	20%
80% or more		
TOTALS	10	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	0%	75%	43%
b	0%	100%	53%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Youth	B: # SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom	C: % SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			n/a		
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			n/a		
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			55%	3	0.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	No			75%	4	0.50

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			n/a		
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	No			n/a		
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	No			n/a		
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			67%	3	0.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	No			n/a		
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			75%	4	0.50
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			0%	1	(0.25)

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			53%	3	0.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			n/a		
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			45%	2	(0.25)
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			n/a		
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes			71%	4	0.50
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	No			36%	2	(0.25)

Attachment B.14: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	1	3%
70% to 79%	0	0%
80% or more	29	97%
TOTALS	30	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	67%	100%	92%
b	67%	100%	93%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SMI Clients	B: # SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C: % SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			82%	5	1.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			84%	5	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			84%	5	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			90%	5	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			90%	5	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			80%	5	1.00
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			81%	5	1.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			n/a		
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			94%	5	1.00
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			n/a		
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			96%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			85%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			84%	5	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			80%	5	1.00
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			89%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			n/a		
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			95%	5	1.00

Attachment B.15: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	1	2%
70% to 79%	6	13%
80% or more	38	84%
TOTALS	45	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	67%	100%	90%
b	50%	100%	91%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SED Clients	B: # SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C: % SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			78%	4	0.50
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			70%	4	0.50
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			84%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			84%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Services	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			78%	4	0.50
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			88%	5	1.00

Attachment B.16: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	0	0%
70% to 79%	0	0%
80% or more	35	100%
TOTALS	35	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	83%	100%	96%
b	80%	100%	96%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Adults	B: # SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C: % SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			98%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			96%	5	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes			92%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			92%	5	1.00
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			n/a		
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			99%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			98%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes			99%	5	1.00
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			80%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			96%	5	1.00

Attachment B.17: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	1	10%
70% to 79%	1	10%
80% or more	8	80%
TOTALS	10	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	67%	100%	91%
b	0%	100%	91%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Youth	B: # SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C: % SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			n/a		
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			n/a		
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			n/a		
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	No			n/a		
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	No			n/a		
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			67%	3	0.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	No			n/a		
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	No			n/a		
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			n/a		
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	No			n/a		
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	No			n/a		
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			77%	4	0.50
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			n/a		
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	No			100%	5	1.00

Attachment B.18: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	1	3%
70% to 79%	2	7%
80% or more	27	90%
TOTALS	30	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	68%	100%	89%
b	0%	100%	89%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SMI Clients	B: # SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C: % SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			72%	4	0.50
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			73%	4	0.50
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	Yes			90%	5	1.00
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			68%	3	0.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			n/a		
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			89%	5	1.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			87%	5	1.00
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			n/a		
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			89%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			92%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			80%	5	1.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			76%	4	0.50
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			73%	4	0.50
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			89%	5	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			89%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			n/a		
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			89%	5	1.00

Attachment B.19: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	2	4%
70% to 79%	7	16%
80% or more	36	80%
TOTALS	45	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	50%	100%	88%
b	50%	100%	88%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SED Clients	B: # SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C: % SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			79%	4	0.50
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	Yes			87%	5	1.00
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			86%	5	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			91%	5	1.00
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			n/a		
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	Yes			84%	5	1.00
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	Yes			84%	5	1.00
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	Yes			84%	5	1.00
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			81%	5	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			78%	4	0.50
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	Yes			67%	3	0.00
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			80%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			83%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			50%	3	0.00
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			n/a		
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			94%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			79%	4	0.50
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			50%	3	0.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			75%	4	0.50
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			82%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			82%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			84%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-970 (PLL)	1477	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	Yes			86%	5	1.00
602-14-973 (PLL)	1185	Catholic Community Services	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-999 (PLL)	11111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-955 (PLL)	29	Kodiak Area Native Association	Yes			71%	4	0.50
602-14-958 (PLL)	1253	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			94%	5	1.00
602-14-976 (PLL)	1180	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-957 (PLL)	1179	Presbyterian Hospitality House	Yes			79%	4	0.50
602-14-964 (PLL)	1184	Residential Youth Care	Yes			73%	4	0.50
602-14-954 (PLL)	1120	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			84%	5	1.00

Attachment B.20: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	0	0%
70% to 79%	2	6%
80% or more	33	94%
TOTALS	35	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	71%	100%	94%
b	71%	100%	94%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Adults	B: # SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C: % SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			71%	4	0.50
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	Yes			79%	4	0.50
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	Yes			n/a	5	1.00
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			98%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			98%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			96%	5	1.00
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	Yes			92%	5	1.00
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			92%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			n/a		
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			93%	5	1.00
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			80%	5	1.00
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			90%	5	1.00
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			94%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			95%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	Yes			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	Yes			81%	5	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	Yes			95%	5	1.00
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	Yes			97%	5	1.00
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			94%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			80%	5	1.00
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	No			83%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	Yes			97%	5	1.00

Attachment B.21: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.25).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range (Grantees with $ Subject to PBF)

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	1	10%
70% to 79%	0	0%
80% or more	9	90%
TOTALS	10	100%

a) Agencies w/ $ Subject to PBF
b) All Agencies

	Minimum	Maximum	Average
a	65%	100%	92%
b	0%	100%	87%

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	Detail Data (col. A & B) Not Displayed per HIPAA A: All SA Youth	B: # SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C: % SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-202	68	Access Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-237	56	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	No			n/a		
602-14-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	No			n/a		
602-14-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	No			n/a		
602-14-217	91	Alaska Baptist Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-203	59	Alaska Child and Family	No			n/a		
602-14-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	Yes			91%	5	1.00
602-14-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	No			96%	5	1.00
602-14-918	61	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	No			n/a		
602-14-987	89	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-204	1077	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-215	1177	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-213	1277	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-219	1377	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	No			n/a		
602-14-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	No			n/a		
602-14-205	21	Assets	No			n/a		
602-14-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	No			n/a		
602-14-212	1085	Catholic Community Services	No			n/a		
602-14-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-974	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-920	1082	Choices, Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-250	50	Community Connections	No			n/a		
602-14-998	10111	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-233	1067	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-238	1167	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	No			n/a		
602-14-283	48	Copper River Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	No			n/a		
602-14-007	133	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	No			n/a		
602-14-263	24	Daybreak	No			n/a		
602-14-201	1075	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-214	1175	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-216	1275	Denali Family Services	No			n/a		
602-14-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	No			n/a		
602-14-269	113	Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services	No			n/a		
602-14-271	1014	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		
602-14-272	1114	Fairbanks Native Association	No			n/a		

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-273	51	Family Centered Services	Yes			88%	5	1.00
602-14-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	No			n/a		
602-14-206	52	Hope Community Resources	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-284	13	Interior Aids Association	No			n/a		
602-14-249	1032	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. - Polaris	No			n/a		
602-14-251	1132	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	No			n/a		
602-14-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	Yes			65%	3	0.00
602-14-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	No			n/a		
602-14-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	Yes			n/a	2	(0.25)
602-14-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	No			0%	1	(0.25)
602-14-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	No			n/a		
602-14-979	86	Metlakatla Indian Community	No			n/a		
602-14-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	No			n/a		
602-14-290	131	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependance	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-274	43	North Slope Borough	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-009	1053	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-002	1153	Norton Sound Health Corporation	No			88%	5	1.00
602-14-262	1080	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	No			n/a		
602-14-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-956	1079	Presbyterian Hospitality House	No			n/a		
602-14-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	No			n/a		
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	No			n/a		
602-14-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	Yes			100%	5	1.00
602-14-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	No			100%	5	1.00

FY14 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name	$ Subject to PBF? (Yes/no)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	% SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-14-243	78	Rainforest Recovery Center	No			n/a		
602-14-963	1084	Residential Youth Care	No			n/a		
602-14-235	19	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	No			n/a		
602-14-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-008	96	Set Free Alaska	No			n/a		
602-14-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	Yes			96%	5	1.00
602-14-021	1182	Soteria-Alaska, Inc. (Choices)	No			n/a		
602-14-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	No			n/a		
602-14-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	No			n/a		
602-14-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	No			n/a		
602-14-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	Yes			85%	5	1.00
602-14-211	12	Tanana Chiefs Conference	No			n/a		
602-14-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	No			n/a		
602-14-236	1020	Volunteers of America Alaska	Yes			93%	5	1.00
602-14-255	8	Youth Advocates of Sitka	No			100%	5	1.00
602-14-005	65	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	No			78%	4	0.50

Attachment C

FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ACCESS ALASKA*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-202*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**2%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**14**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**92%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**4%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**80%**							
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**65%**							
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**100%**							
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**100%**							
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC - GATEWAY*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-014*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$112,500**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$112,500**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$112,052**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(448)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$112,052**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**94%**	**0.50**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC - GATEWAY*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-237*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$992,406**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$992,406**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,000,144**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$7,738**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.8%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,000,144**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**9**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**93%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**5%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**74%**	**0.50**	**72%**	**0.50**	**78%**	**0.50**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**51%**	**0.00**	**60%**	**0.00**	**52%**	**0.00**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**82%**	**1.00**	**92%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**72%**	**0.50**	**79%**	**0.50**	**71%**	**0.50**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**		**2.00**		**2.00**		**-1.00**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC (CO-ED)*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-230*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$692,409**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$692,409**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$702,445**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$10,036**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$702,445**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**0**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**96%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**65%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**89%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**92%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC (WOMEN AND CHILDREN)*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-231*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$909,075**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$633,821**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$275,254**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$279,244**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$3,990**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$913,065**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**0**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**96%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**65%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**89%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**92%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-011*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$225,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$225,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$225,220**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$220**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$225,220**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-040*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$183,500**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$183,500**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$183,679**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$179**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$183,679**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-907*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$282,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$282,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$280,179**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(1,821)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.6%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$280,179**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**88%**	**0.25**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA ADDICTION REHAB SERVICE - NUGEN'S RANCH*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-280*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$879,549**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$879,549**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$894,495**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$14,946**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$894,495**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**5**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**97%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**87%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**83%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**79%**	**0.50**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.50**		

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA BAPTIST FAMILY SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-217*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**86%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**n/a**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**n/a**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**n/a**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**n/a**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**n/a**					
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**n/a**					
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**n/a**					
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**n/a**					
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-923*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$55,905**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$55,905**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$55,905**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**93%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA CHILD AND FAMILY*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-203*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$147,105**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$147,105**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$148,218**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,113**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.8%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$148,218**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**22**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**6%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**72%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**78%**	**0.50**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**90%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**87%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**3.00**				

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA CHILD & FAMILY (FORMERLY ACS)*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-383*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$250,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$250,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$250,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	**Performance**	**PBF Points**
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**n/a**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	**SMI**		**SED**		**SA Adult**		**SA Youth**	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA COMMISSION FOR BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CERTIFICATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-301* FY2015

Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$45,833**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$45,833**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$45,833**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA FAMILY SERVICES, INC*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-260*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$539,984**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$327,226**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$212,758**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$214,619**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,861**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.9%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$541,845**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**20**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**96%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**1%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**89%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**56%**	**0.00**	**55%**	**0.00**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**95%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**97%**	**1.00**	**91%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		**3.00**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA ISLAND COUNSELING SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-254*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$414,952**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$414,952**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$413,157**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(1,795)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$413,157**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**12%**	**-0.25**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**(7)**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**40%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**93%**	**1.00**	**78%**	**0.50**	**79%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**81%**	**1.00**	**73%**	**0.50**	**79%**	**0.50**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**98%**	**1.00**	**98%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**98%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**4.00**		**3.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA MENTAL HEALTH CONSUMER WEB*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-918*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$149,040**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$149,040**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$149,247**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$207**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$149,247**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA YOUTH AND FAMILY NETWORK (PEER & CONSUMER SUPPORT)*

Grant Category/Grant #: ***CBHTR / 602-14-987***

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$750,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$750,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$751,041**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,042**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$751,041**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALEUTIAN PRIBILOF ISLANDS ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-130*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$75,297**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$75,297**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$75,371**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$74**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$75,371**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALEUTIAN PRIBILOF ISLANDS ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-268*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$302,411**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$302,411**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$302,744**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$333**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$302,744**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**8**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**84%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**14%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**n/a**	**0.50**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**n/a**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**45%**	**-0.25**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**n/a**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**n/a**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**		**4.00**		**2.75**		

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-110*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$200,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$200,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$200,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-204*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$4,575,121**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$68,850**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$4,506,271**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$4,548,903**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$42,632**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.9%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$4,617,753**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**13**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**76%**	**0.50**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**3%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**85%**	**1.00**	**76%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**56%**	**0.00**	**50%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**90%**	**1.00**	**95%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**91%**	**1.00**	**86%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**2.50**				

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-215*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$300,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$300,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$300,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	**Performance**	**PBF Points**
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	**SMI**		**SED**		**SA Adult**		**SA Youth**	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-970*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$165,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$165,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$166,735**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,735**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$166,735**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**13**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**76%**	**0.50**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**3%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**76%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**50%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**95%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**86%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.50**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-213*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$175,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$75,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$75,693**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$693**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.9%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$175,693**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**13**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**76%**	**0.50**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**3%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**85%**	**1.00**						
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**56%**	**0.00**						
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**90%**	**1.00**						
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**91%**	**1.00**						
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**						

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (BRIDGE)*

Grant Category/Grant #: ***CBHTR / 602-14-219***

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,039,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$1,039,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,039,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ARC OF ANCHORAGE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-210*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$335,994**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$335,994**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$332,655**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(3,339)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.0%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$332,655**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**2%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**21**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**73%**	**0.50**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**44%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**100%**	**1.00**	**50%**	**0.00**	**n/a**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**78%**	**0.50**	**50%**	**0.00**	**n/a**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**67%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**88%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**		**2.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ASSETS*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-205*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$757,321**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$757,321**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$736,844**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(20,477)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-2.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$736,844**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**n/a**	**-0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**29%**	**-0.25**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**38%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**63%**	**0.00**						
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**50%**	**0.00**						
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**100%**	**1.00**						
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**88%**	**1.00**						
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**						

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *BRISTOL BAY AREA HEALTH CORP.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-281*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$946,811**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$946,811**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$949,184**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$2,373**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.3%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$949,184**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**21**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**87%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**8%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**56%**	**0.00**	**89%**	**1.00**	**89%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**59%**	**0.00**	**56%**	**0.00**	**59%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**94%**	**1.00**	**86%**	**1.00**	**95%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**94%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**		**3.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CATHOLIC COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-973*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$200,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$200,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$202,588**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$2,588**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.3%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$202,588**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**14**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**86%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**1%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**70%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**62%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**90%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**93%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.50**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CATHOLIC COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-131*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$150,595**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$150,595**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$150,742**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$147**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$150,742**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CATHOLIC COMMUNITY SERVICES, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-212*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$125,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$125,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$126,544**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,544**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$126,544**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**14**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**86%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**1%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**70%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**62%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**90%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**93%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.50**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-282*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$349,365**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$349,365**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$355,302**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$5,937**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$355,302**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**6**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**89%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**77%**	**0.50**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**98%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**98%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.50**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-974*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$145,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$145,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$146,771**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,771**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$146,771**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**6**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**68%**	**0.00**			**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**55%**	**0.00**			**67%**	**0.00**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**86%**	**1.00**			**67%**	**0.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**100%**	**1.00**			**100%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				**2.00**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CHOICES, INC. (SOTERIA-ALASKA, INC.)*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-021*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$375,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$375,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$375,521**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$521**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$375,521**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CHOICES, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-920*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$62,217**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$62,217**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$62,217**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**16**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**89%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**2%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**65%**							
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**65%**							
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**80%**							
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**73%**							
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CHOICES, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-924*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	**Performance**	**PBF Points**
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	**SMI**		**SED**		**SA Adult**		**SA Youth**	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CITY OF BETHEL*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-501*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$346,491**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$346,491**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$346,829**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$338**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$346,829**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-250*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$175,175**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$175,175**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$177,747**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$2,572**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$177,747**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**2%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**6**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**95%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**2%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**66%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**59%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**87%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**88%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CO-OCCURRING DISORDERS INSTITUTE, INC*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-999*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$200,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$200,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$197,736**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(2,264)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$197,736**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**25%**	**-1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**21**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**84%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**2%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**64%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**64%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**95%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**91%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CO-OCCURRING DISORDERS INSTITUTE, INC*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-998*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$300,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$300,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$298,186**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(1,814)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.6%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$298,186**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**88%**	**0.25**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COOK INLET COUNCIL ON ALCOHOL & DRUG ABUSE*

Grant Category/Grant #: ***CBHTR / 602-14-232***

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$499,714**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$499,714**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$505,750**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$6,036**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$505,750**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**11**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**95%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**2%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**85%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**69%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**97%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**94%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COOK INLET TRIBAL COUNCIL, INC*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-233*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$473,301**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$473,301**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$480,161**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$6,860**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$480,161**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**2%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**1**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**99%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**90%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**67%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**96%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**96%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COOK INLET TRIBAL COUNCIL, INC*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-238*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,731,925**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$1,731,925**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,731,925**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COOK INLET TRIBAL COUNCIL, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-041*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$183,500**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$183,500**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$183,679**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$179**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$183,679**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COPPER RIVER NATIVE ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-283*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$235,982**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$235,982**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$230,733**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(5,249)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-2.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$230,733**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**31%**	**-1.50**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**28**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**82%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**38%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**0.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**80%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**83%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**70%**	**0.50**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**4.00**		**4.00**		**3.50**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CORDOVA COMMUNITY MEDICAL CLINIC - SOUND ALTERNATIVES*

Grant Category/Grant #: ***CBHTR / 602-14-288***

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$358,568**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$358,568**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$359,190**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$622**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$359,190**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**19**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**88%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**15%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**93%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**79%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**57%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**71%**	**0.50**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**93%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**92%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**93%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**92%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**4.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COUNCIL OF ATHABASCAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-007*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**42%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**70%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**11**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**64%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**88%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)							**n/a**	
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)							**n/a**	
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)							**n/a**	
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)							**n/a**	
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DAYBREAK, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-263*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$101,332**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$101,332**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$102,750**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,418**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$102,750**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**2**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**95%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**3%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**73%**	**0.50**						
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**64%**	**0.00**						
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**95%**	**1.00**						
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**90%**	**1.00**						
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**						

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DAYBREAK, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-503*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$150,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$150,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$150,146**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$146**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$150,146**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DENALI FAMILY SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-201*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$107,840**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$107,840**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$108,381**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$541**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$108,381**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**(22)**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**13%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**59%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**67%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**86%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**84%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DENALI FAMILY SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-214*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$150,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$150,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$150,753**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$753**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$150,753**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**(22)**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**13%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**59%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**67%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**86%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**84%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DENALI FAMILY SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-216*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$150,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$150,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$150,753**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$753**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$150,753**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**(22)**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**13%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**59%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**67%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**86%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**84%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *EASTERN ALEUTIAN TRIBES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-264*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$281,927**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$281,927**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$280,861**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(1,066)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$280,861**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**53**	**-0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**82%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**6%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**100%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**0.50**	**0%**	**-0.25**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**50%**	**0.00**	**n/a**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**100%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**100%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**2.50**		**2.75**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAIRBANKS COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-269*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$2,540,495**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$2,540,495**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$2,521,519**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(18,976)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$2,521,519**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**7%**	**0.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**2**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**37%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**16%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**73%**	**0.50**	**53%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**52%**	**0.00**	**68%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**81%**	**1.00**	**89%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**68%**	**0.00**	**95%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:		**1.50**		**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAIRBANKS NATIVE ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-271*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,573,708**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,573,708**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,600,450**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$26,742**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,600,450**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**(2)**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**2%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**94%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**78%**	**0.50**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**92%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**92%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.50**		

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAIRBANKS NATIVE ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-272*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,937,722**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$1,937,722**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,937,722**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAIRBANKS RESOURCE AGENCY*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-133*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$75,297**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$75,297**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$75,371**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$74**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$75,371**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAMILY CENTERED SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-273*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$750,638**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$750,638**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$745,246**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(5,392)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$745,246**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**18**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**74%**	**0.50**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**29%**	**-0.25**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**64%**	**0.00**			**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**52%**	**0.00**			**75%**	**0.50**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**83%**	**1.00**			**88%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**81%**	**1.00**			**88%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				**3.50**

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FRONTIER COMMUNITY SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-602*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$118,871**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$118,871**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$118,871**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FRONTIER COMMUNITY SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-922*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	**Performance**	**PBF Points**
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	**SMI**		**SED**		**SA Adult**		**SA Youth**	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *GASTINEAU HUMAN SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-241*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$345,583**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$345,583**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$348,088**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$2,505**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$348,088**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**8**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**90%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**6%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**98%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**61%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**92%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**94%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *GASTINEAU HUMAN SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-600*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$115,979**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$115,979**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$115,979**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *HOPE COMMUNITY RESOURCES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-206*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$77,920**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$77,920**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$77,372**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(548)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$77,372**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**4%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**68**	**-0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**25%**	**-0.25**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**1%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**67%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**73%**	**0.50**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**93%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**83%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.50**				

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *INTERIOR AIDS ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-284*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$259,498**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$259,498**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$259,498**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**23**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**64%**			
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**93%**			
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**86%**			
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**93%**			
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *IONIA, INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-015*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$112,500**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$112,500**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$112,610**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$110**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$112,610**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU ALLIANCE FOR MENTAL HEALTH INC.*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-251*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,327,082**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,327,082**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,340,990**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$13,908**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,340,990**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**14**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**95%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**5%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**74%**	**0.50**						
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**66%**	**0.00**						
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**94%**	**1.00**						
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**87%**	**1.00**						
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**						

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU ALLIANCE FOR MENTAL HEALTH INC. - POLARIS*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-249*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$300,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$300,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$300,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	**Performance**	**PBF Points**
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	**SMI**		**SED**		**SA Adult**		**SA Youth**	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU ALLIANCE FOR MENTAL HEALTH INC. - POLARIS*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-601*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$179,505**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$179,505**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$179,505**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU ALLIANCE FOR MENTAL HEALTH INC. - POLARIS*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-921*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	**Performance**	**PBF Points**
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**86%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	**SMI**		**SED**		**SA Adult**		**SA Youth**	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU YOUTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-244*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,049,914**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,049,914**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,029,875**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(20,039)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.9%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,029,875**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**21%**	**-1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**0**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**41%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**1.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**70%**	**0.50**			**76%**	**0.50**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**64%**	**0.00**			**67%**	**0.00**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**90%**	**1.00**			**85%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**78%**	**0.50**			**65%**	**0.00**
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				**1.50**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *KENAI PENINSULA COMMUNITY CARE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-266*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$14,682**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$14,682**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$14,757**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$75**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$14,757**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**9**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**89%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**20%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**100%**	**1.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**100%**	**1.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**100%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**67%**	**0.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**3.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *KENAITZE INDIAN TRIBE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-267*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$77,438**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$77,438**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$74,410**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(3,028)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-3.9%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$74,410**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**95%**	**0.75**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**23%**	**-1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**18**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**66%**	**0.25**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**45%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**0.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**75%**	**0.50**	**58%**	**0.00**	**80%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**63%**	**0.00**	**44%**	**-0.25**	**60%**	**0.00**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**100%**	**1.00**	**94%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**88%**	**1.00**	**94%**	**1.00**	**80%**	**1.00**	**n/a**	**-0.25**
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**		**1.75**		**3.00**		**-1.00**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *KETCHIKAN INDIAN COMMUNITY*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-245*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$14,219**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$14,219**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$14,047**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(172)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$14,047**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**12%**	**-0.25**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**17**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**86%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**8%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**78%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**68%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**94%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**91%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**2.50**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *KODIAK AREA NATIVE ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-955*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$165,793**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$165,793**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$164,710**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(1,083)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$164,710**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**4%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**20**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**90%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**32%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**60%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**53%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**86%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**71%**	**0.50**				
Net PBF Points:				**1.50**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *LYNN CANAL COUNSELING*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-252*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$271,391**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$271,391**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$273,150**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,759**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.6%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$273,150**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**13**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**94%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**6%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**84%**	**1.00**	**60%**	**0.00**	**71%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**51%**	**0.00**	**67%**	**0.00**	**59%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**95%**	**1.00**	**93%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**88%**	**1.00**	**93%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**2.00**		**2.50**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *MANIILAQ ASSOCIATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-001*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,362,023**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,362,023**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,338,698**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(23,325)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,338,698**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**95%**	**0.75**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**12%**	**-0.25**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**38**	**-0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**74%**	**0.50**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**10%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**1.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**85%**	**1.00**	**83%**	**1.00**	**91%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**62%**	**0.00**	**67%**	**0.00**	**54%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**96%**	**1.00**	**67%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**96%**	**1.00**	**83%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**2.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *MAT-SU HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-261*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,721,903**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,721,903**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,739,744**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$17,841**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.0%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,739,744**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**0**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**6%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**82%**	**1.00**	**77%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**65%**	**0.00**	**66%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**87%**	**1.00**	**95%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**86%**	**1.00**	**93%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**2.50**				

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *METLAKATLA INDIAN COMMUNITY*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-979*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**24%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**13**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**75%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**5%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**100%**					
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**100%**					
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**50%**					
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**50%**					
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NARCOTIC DRUG TREATMENT CENTER*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-285*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$937,525**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$937,525**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$937,525**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**88%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**2**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**100%**			
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**81%**			
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**95%**			
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**90%**			
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NATIONAL COUNCIL ON ALCOHOLISM & DRUG DEPENDENCE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-290*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$50,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$50,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$50,163**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$163**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.3%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$50,163**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**27**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**97%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)							**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)							**0%**	**-0.25**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)							**100%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)							**100%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:								**2.75**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NORTH SLOPE BOROUGH*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-274*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$764,354**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$144,385**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$619,969**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$619,408**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(561)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$763,793**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**7%**	**0.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**59**	**-0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**80%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**26%**	**-0.25**
	Net PBF Points:	**1.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**69%**	**0.00**	**60%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**50%**	**0.00**	**60%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**97%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**94%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**		**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NORTON SOUND HEALTH CORPORATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-002*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,182,546**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,082,546**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,076,383**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(6,163)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.6%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,176,383**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**2%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**23**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**89%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**20%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**69%**	**0.00**	**90%**	**1.00**	**76%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**66%**	**0.00**	**81%**	**1.00**	**65%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**96%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**98%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**92%**	**1.00**	**94%**	**1.00**	**95%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**		**4.00**		**2.50**		

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NORTON SOUND HEALTH CORPORATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-009*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$100,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:		
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	**Performance**	**PBF Points**
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	**SMI**		**SED**		**SA Adult**		**SA Youth**	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NORTON SOUND HEALTH CORPORATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-958*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$265,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$265,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$265,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**2%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**23**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**89%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**20%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**90%**					
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**81%**					
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**100%**					
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**94%**					
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PENINSULA COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-262*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$518,708**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$518,708**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$515,620**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(3,088)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.6%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$515,620**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**(57)**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**66%**	**0.25**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**55%**	**-0.75**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**77%**	**0.50**	**59%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**67%**	**0.00**	**47%**	**-0.25**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**88%**	**1.00**	**95%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**80%**	**1.00**	**98%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**		**1.75**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PENINSULA COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-976*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$199,333**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$199,333**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$197,314**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(2,019)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.0%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$197,314**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**(57)**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**66%**	**0.25**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**55%**	**-0.75**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**59%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**47%**	**-0.25**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**95%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**98%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**1.75**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PETERSBURG MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-253*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$358,024**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$358,024**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$360,636**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$2,612**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$360,636**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**13**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**83%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**3%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**92%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**77%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**92%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**92%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**93%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**4.00**		**4.00**		**3.50**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITALITY HOUSE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-957*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$200,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$200,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$199,163**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(837)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$199,163**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**82%**	**0.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**7**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**97%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**9%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**61%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**63%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**78%**	**0.50**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**79%**	**0.50**				
Net PBF Points:				**1.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITALITY HOUSE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-956*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$100,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$100,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$100,485**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$485**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$100,485**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**7**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**97%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**9%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**61%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**63%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**78%**	**0.50**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**79%**	**0.50**				
Net PBF Points:				**1.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE HEALTH SYSTEM (PROVIDENCE CRISIS RESPITE CENTER) - ADULT*

Grant Category/Grant #: ***CBHTR / 602-14-055***

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$811,611**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$811,611**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$808,715**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(2,896)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$808,715**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**94%**	**0.50**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE HEALTH SYSTEM (PROVIDENCE CRISIS RESPITE CENTER) - YOUTH*

Grant Category/Grant #: ***CBHTR / 602-14-056***

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$371,219**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$371,219**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$369,894**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(1,325)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$369,894**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**94%**	**0.50**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE KODIAK ISLAND COUNSELING CENTER*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-286*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$756,849**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$756,849**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$747,954**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(8,895)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$747,954**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**88%**	**0.25**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**23**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**80%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**15%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**78%**	**0.50**	**60%**	**0.00**	**91%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**70%**	**0.50**	**20%**	**-0.25**	**50%**	**0.00**	**0%**	**-0.25**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**97%**	**1.00**	**70%**	**0.50**	**97%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**92%**	**1.00**	**50%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**0.25**		**3.00**		**2.75**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE VALDEZ COUNSELING CENTER*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-289*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$331,562**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$331,562**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$325,768**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(5,794)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.8%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$325,768**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**82%**	**0.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**24**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**84%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**46%**	**-0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**1.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**65%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**67%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**50%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**50%**	**0.00**	**0%**	**-0.25**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**85%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**85%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**		**4.00**		**2.00**		**2.75**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RAILBELT MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-275*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$248,516**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$248,516**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$249,143**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$627**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.3%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$249,143**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**20**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**86%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**3%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**67%**	**0.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**83%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**100%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RAINFOREST RECOVERY CENTER*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-243*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$665,349**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$665,349**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$674,993**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$9,644**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$674,993**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**1**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**87%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**69%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**95%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**93%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RESIDENTIAL YOUTH CARE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-963*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$45,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$45,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$45,548**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$548**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$45,548**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**2**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**73%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**66%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**73%**	**0.50**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**73%**	**0.50**				
Net PBF Points:				**1.50**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RESIDENTIAL YOUTH CARE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-964*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$147,274**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$147,274**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$149,153**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,879**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.3%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$149,153**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**2**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**98%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**5.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**73%**	**0.50**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**66%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**73%**	**0.50**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**73%**	**0.50**				
Net PBF Points:				**1.50**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SALVATION ARMY - CLITHEROE CENTER*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-235*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$3,314,922**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$1,063,164**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$2,251,758**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$2,202,810**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(48,948)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-2.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$3,265,974**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**73%**	**-0.50**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**37**	**-0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**97%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**15%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**1.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**89%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**67%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**94%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**88%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SEAVIEW COMMUNITY SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-287*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$363,369**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$363,369**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$363,358**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(11)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.0%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$363,358**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**7**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**95%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**23%**	**-0.25**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**73%**	**0.50**			**71%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**54%**	**0.00**			**56%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**84%**	**1.00**			**94%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**76%**	**0.50**			**81%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**				**2.50**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SET FREE ALASKA*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-008*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$50,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$50,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$50,180**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$180**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$50,180**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**29**	**0.00**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**99%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**2%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)					**94%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)					**47%**	**-0.25**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)					**99%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)					**95%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**2.75**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SITKA COUNSELING AND PREVENTION SERVICES*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-247*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,046,561**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,046,561**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,041,899**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(4,662)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,041,899**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**26**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**88%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**13%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**85%**	**1.00**	**65%**	**0.00**	**87%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**45%**	**-0.25**	**35%**	**-0.25**	**58%**	**0.00**	**53%**	**0.00**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**92%**	**1.00**	**92%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**	**96%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**100%**	**1.00**	**92%**	**1.00**	**94%**	**1.00**	**96%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:		**2.75**		**1.75**		**3.00**		**3.00**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTH PENINSULA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-207*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$366,409**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$366,409**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$366,154**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(255)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$366,154**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**15**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**64%**	**0.25**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**6%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**69%**	**0.00**	**56%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**70%**	**0.50**	**50%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**97%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**89%**	**1.00**	**88%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**		**2.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - ANCHORAGE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-208*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$853,930**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$853,930**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$855,816**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,886**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$855,816**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**14**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**90%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**19%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**76%**	**0.50**	**52%**	**0.00**	**91%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**62%**	**0.00**	**63%**	**0.00**	**68%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**90%**	**1.00**	**84%**	**1.00**	**98%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**83%**	**1.00**	**82%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**		**2.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - MCGRATH*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-200*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$300,598**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$300,598**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$301,173**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$575**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$301,173**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**14**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**90%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**19%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**76%**	**0.50**	**52%**	**0.00**	**91%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**62%**	**0.00**	**63%**	**0.00**	**68%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**90%**	**1.00**	**84%**	**1.00**	**98%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**83%**	**1.00**	**82%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**		**2.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHEAST ALASKA REGIONAL HEALTH CONSORTIUM*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-209*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$902,721**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$902,721**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$893,073**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(9,648)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-1.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$893,073**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**3%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**22**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**77%**	**0.50**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**12%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**64%**	**0.00**			**76%**	**0.50**	**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**49%**	**-0.25**			**65%**	**0.00**	**45%**	**-0.25**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**93%**	**1.00**			**95%**	**1.00**	**77%**	**0.50**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**86%**	**1.00**			**94%**	**1.00**	**85%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:		**1.75**				**2.50**		**2.25**

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *TANANA CHIEFS CONFERENCE*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-211*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,211,413**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,211,413**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,213,825**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$2,412**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.2%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,213,825**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**9**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**12%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**n/a**	**0.50**			**91%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**n/a**	**0.00**			**68%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**n/a**	**1.00**			**87%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**n/a**	**1.00**			**91%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.50**				**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *TOK AREA MENTAL HEALTH COUNCIL*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-276*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$221,166**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$221,166**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$220,377**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(789)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$220,377**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**94%**	**0.50**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA ALASKA*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-236*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$1,371,584**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$1,371,584**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$1,381,148**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$9,564**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.7%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$1,381,148**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**94%**	**0.50**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**8**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**4.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)							**100%**	**1.00**
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)							**71%**	**0.50**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)							**93%**	**1.00**
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)							**93%**	**1.00**
Net PBF Points:								**3.50**

Attachment C. FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA ALASKA*

Grant Category/Grant #: *BTKH - PLL / 602-14-954*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$419,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$419,000**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$-**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**-**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**-**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$419,000**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**8**	
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**100%**	
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**0%**	
	Net PBF Points:	

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**72%**					
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**60%**					
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**88%**					
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**84%**					
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *YOUTH ADVOCATES OF SITKA*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-255*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$200,000**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$200,000**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$201,027**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$1,027**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.5%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$201,027**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**0%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**19**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**93%**	**1.00**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**14%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)			**85%**	**1.00**				
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)			**58%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)			**96%**	**1.00**				
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)			**88%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**3.00**				

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *YUKON-KUSKOKWIM HEALTH CORPORATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *CBHTR / 602-14-005*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$2,619,907**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$2,619,907**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$2,609,319**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$(10,588)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**-0.4%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$2,609,319**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)	**1%**	**1.00**
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)	**23**	**0.25**
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)	**83%**	**0.75**
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)	**17%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)	**63%**	**0.00**	**63%**	**0.00**	**84%**	**1.00**		
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)	**55%**	**0.00**	**37%**	**-0.25**	**64%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)	**95%**	**1.00**	**76%**	**0.50**	**96%**	**1.00**		
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)	**89%**	**1.00**	**88%**	**1.00**	**97%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**2.00**		**1.25**		**3.00**		

Attachment C.FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *YUKON-KUSKOKWIM HEALTH CORPORATION*

Grant Category/Grant #: *OTHER NONCBHTR / 602-14-502*

FY2015 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY14-15 Total Start $:		**$821,340**
b)	FY14-15 Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY14-15 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$821,340**
d)	FY15 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to FY14 Performance:		**$822,142**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$802**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY15 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$822,142**

FY2014 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency/Access Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment B.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Annual Household Income: % of Served Clients with Missing/Bad Data (Attachment B.2)		
3. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment B.3)		
4. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment B.4)		
5. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment B.5)		
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	PBF Points	**%**	**PBF Points**	**%**	**PBF Points**
6. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.6-B.9)								
7. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments B.10-B.13)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments B.14-B.17)								
9. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments B.18-B.21)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment D

FY2014 Treatment & Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**ACCESS ALASKA**		
Grant No:	**602-14-202**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 100.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Access Alaska attends and actively participates in the community action planning process.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Access Alaska did use their logic model and did demonstrate program improvements.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Akeela, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-011**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 225,000**
Grant Type:	**Substance Abuse Treatment for OCS Engaged Parents - SAFERR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
$ 225,000.00	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 225,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee actively participated in all but one meeting of the Mat Su Behavioral Health Integration Team.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Grantee utilized their funds to provide the services that they committed to, and went "above and beyond" at times.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Akeela's Logic Model is specific to this program, identifies relevant goals/outcomes and quarterly updates report progress on indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Akeela, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-040**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 183,500**
Grant Type:	**Substance Abuse Treatment for OCS Involved Families**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
$ 183,500.00	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 183,500.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Akeela consistently and actively participated in the ARBHC meetings and hosted, as well as participated in the CAP Development meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Akeela spent their grant funds to provide the services that they committed to.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model is specific to this program, proposed outcomes are specific and relevant, and quarterly reports contain updates/progress on outcome indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Akeela, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-230**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 692,409**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 692,409.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 692,409.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Akeela consistently and actively participated in the ARBHC meetings and hosted, as well as participated in several CAP meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Akeela House utilization rates and completion rates are good, and no complaints from recipients of service.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly summaries were timely, complete and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Akeela set specific goals on their Logic Model, reported progress and achieved their goals.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Akeela, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-231**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 909,075**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
$ 611,675.00	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 297,400.00	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 909,075.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Akeela was an active participant in all ARBHC Meetings and hosted, as well as actively particpated and contributed to the CAP Meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Stepping Stones Resdidential and Outpatient Programs had good utilization and completion rates, as well as utilization of evidence based best practices and adherence to their grant agreement.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required quartely reports were submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quartrly reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly Summaries were timely, accurate and comlete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Akeela's Logic Model stated specific goals, reported progress on their goals and accomplished what they proposed to do.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Akeela, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-907**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 282,002**
Grant Type:	**Therapeutic Court**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
$ 282,002.00	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 282,002.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**1**	**4**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**58**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.88%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Akeela consistently and actively participated in the ARBHC Meetings and hosted, as well as participating, in CAP Development Meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**1**	**Weighted Score:**	**4**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Akeela did attempt to provide the services described in the RFP, however there were apparent miscommunications about what this entailed, etc. with the Court System and other entities involved with the process. They were also not able to provide treatment to the specified number of Family Care Court participants, due to referrals being halted by the Court due to the current, ongoing redevelopment of the CINA Therapeutic Court.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were submitted on or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were submitted accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Akeela's Logic Model is well formulated and quarterly progress on outcome indicators was received.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Akeela Gateway Rural Peer Support**		
Grant No:	**602-14-014**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 112,500**
Grant Type:	**Adult Rural Peer Support**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
$ 112,500.00	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 112,500.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**2**	**8**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**62**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**93.94%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

AKEELA actively participated in the CAP process. The Ketchikan CAP made huge strides in incorporating a larger number of agencies by collaborating with existing collaborative groups.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

All grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS reports ere timely and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

AKEELA / Gateway has actively utilized the logic model to guide services, but their reports do not clearly establish that outcomes have been accomplished. It is clear that the agency actively worked on their plan in implementing peer support services.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Gateway for Human Services (Akeela)**		
Grant No:	**602-14-237**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 992,406**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 36,484.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
$ 232,302.00	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 109,990.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 513,630.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 100,000.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 992,406.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Gateway / AKEELA has taken a leadership role in the Ketchikan CAP process. The Ketchikan CAP has taken a huge leap forward this fiscal year by finding ways of including the Ketchikan Behavioral Health Action Group, that focuses on Psychiatric emergencies and the Ketchikan Wellness Coalition in the planning process.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

All grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports have been submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data submitted with reports was accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Gateway Center for Human Services utilized their logic model to improve services in a manner consistent with the Divisions RBA dashboard.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Baptist Family Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-217**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Steve Krall**	**Date:**	**5/16/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 100.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**0**	**0**	0
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**0**	**0**	0
Total Possible Score					21
Weighted Score Total					**18**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**85.71%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Not required in the grant.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**6**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Grantee is in process of beginning services.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Grantee is in process of beginning services.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee is in process of beginning services.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Behavioral Health Assocation**		
Grant No:	**602-14-923**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 55,905**
Grant Type:	**Behavioral Health Provider Association**		
Reviewer:	**Brenda Knapp**	**Date:**	**5/22/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
$ 55,905.00	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 55,905.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					45
Weighted Score Total					**42**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**93.33%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	N/A	Weighted Score:	#VALUE!

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

NA--not a grant requirement.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

ABHA worked toward improved and effective communications between provider members and other stakeholders. ABHA continued assisting providers in obtaining needed TA from peer agencies.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	2	Weighted Score:	6

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

1st quarter report was late.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:
NA--does not provide direct services.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**AK Child & Family**		
Grant No:	**602-14-203**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 147,105**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 147,105.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 147,105.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

AK Child & Family attends and participates in the community action planning process.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

AK Child & Family did use their logic model and did demonstrate program improvements.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Commission for Behavioral Health Certification**		
Grant No:	**602-14-301**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 45,833**
Grant Type:	**Chemical Dependency Professionals Certification**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
$ 45,833.00	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 45,833.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**0**	**0**	0
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					33
Weighted Score Total					**33**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Family Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-260**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 539,984**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 180,055.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 179,871.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 180,058.00	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 539,984.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

AFS consistently and actively participated in community planning via the BHIT and other collaborations.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

AFS utlilized these grant funds to provide the services they committed to.

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

AFS's quarterly reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly AKAIMS Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

AFW's Logic Model is specific and relevant to the programs and quarterly reports contain updates/progress on outcome indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Island Community Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-254**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 414,952**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 201,114.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 38,290.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 45,877.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 129,671.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 414,952.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Alaska Islands Community Services actively participates in CAP process in Wrangell and on Prince of Wales Islands and has used this process to coordinate and improve services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and Notice of grant Award

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were detailed and accurate.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS reports were accurate and timely.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

AICS utilized their logic model to improve access to primary and behavioral health services, improve service delivery through the use of the AKAIMS data set and CSR to guide services, achieve improvements in life domains, and achieve improvement in number of clients who report receiving quality services.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web**		
Grant No:	**602-14-918**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 149,040**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/8/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
$ 149,040.00	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 149,040.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					57
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:
Does not have "clients" to enter into AKAIMS. Has contacts.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Youth & Family Network**		
Grant No:	**602-14-987**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 750,000**
Grant Type:			
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
$ 750,000.00	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 750,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					57
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:
Agency isn't required to use AKAIMS at this time.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:
Grantee continued to expand their services in FY14 beyond urban settings, including itinerant work in Sitka, Bethel and Barrow as requested. Their logic model served as effective guidance in agency continuing to move forward in meeting their goals and outcomes in serving Alaskan families.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-130**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 75,297**
Grant Type:	**Senior Outreach, Assessment and Referral Project (SOAR)**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 75,297.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 75,297.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**0**	**0**	0
Total Possible Score					33
Weighted Score Total					**33**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Participation in Community Planning groups is not a requirement of this grant.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

As described in APIA's Quarterly Reports.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted by the deadlne.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly Reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

SOAR grants do not require submission of AKAIMS Reports.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

SOAR Grantees are not required to submit a Logic Model

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Aleutian Pribilof Island Asso**		
Grant No:	**602-14-268**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 302,411**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**4/30/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$69,458	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$40,438	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$88,785	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$103,730	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 302,411.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comment.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comment.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comment.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comment.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comment.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Alaska Pribilof Islands Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-268**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 302,411**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 69,458.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 40,438.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 88,785.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 103,730.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 302,411.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Anchorage Community Mental Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-110**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 235,000**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/29/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
$ 235,000.00	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 235,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**0**	**0**	0
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**0**	**0**	0
Total Possible Score					33
Weighted Score Total					**33**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 100% of the grant funds for this project. In addition, they are on track to meet the clinical minimum of 24 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 75%.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Anchorage Community Mental Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-204**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 4,530,121**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 1,545,411.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 2,965,860.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
$ 18,850.00	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 4,530,121.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

ACMHS consistently attends and is a very active participant in the community action planning process.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

ACMHS submitted extensive logic models and demonstrated program improvements.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**ACMHS-DOC**		
Grant No:	**602-14-213**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 175,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 175,000.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 175,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**0**	**0**	0
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**0**	**0**	0
Total Possible Score					24
Weighted Score Total					**24**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Logic Model not required. All required reports were timely.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Logic Model not required. All required reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly Summaries not required.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic Model not required. Quarterly RBA type reports and annual report is required.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Anchorage Community Mental Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-215**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 300,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Sherrie Hinshaw**	**Date:**	**5/23/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
$ 300,000.00	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 300,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

ACMHS consistently attends and is a very active participant in the community action planning process.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Yes, the funds were spent in accordance with SAMHSA programmatic goals.

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Yes, all quarterly reports were completed on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Yes, all quarterly reports were completed on time and complete. This was the first year this grant

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

ACMHS submitted extensive logic models and demonstrated program improvements as well as willingness to increase numbers served through homeless outreach.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**ACMHS-BridgeHome**		
Grant No:	**602-14-219**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 750,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
$ 750,000.00	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 750,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Anchorage Community Mental Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-970**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 200,000**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 200,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 200,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 100% of the grant funds for this project. In addition, they are on track to meet the clinical minimum of 24 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 75%.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**ARC of Anchorage**		
Grant No:	**602-14-210**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 335,994**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 74,110.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 261,884.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 335,994.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

ARC attends the community action planning process meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The ARC did use their logic model and did demonstrate some program improvements.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**ASSETS**		
Grant No:	**602-14-205**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 757,321**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/8/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 757,321.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 757,321.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Bristol Bay Area Health Corp**		
Grant No:	**602-14-281**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 946,811**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 62,393.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 300,000.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 197,779.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 293,350.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 93,289.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 946,811.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Catholic Social Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-131**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 150,595**
Grant Type:	**Senior Outreach, Assessment and Referral Project (SOAR)**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 150,595.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 150,595.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**0**	**0**	0
Total Possible Score					33
Weighted Score Total					**33**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	N/A	Weighted Score:	#VALUE!

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

SOAR grantees are not required to participate in the Community Planning Group.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

As described in CCS's Quarterly Reports.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted by the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly Reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

SOAR grantees are not required to submit AKAIMS Reports.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

SOAR grantees are not required to submit a Logic Model.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Catholic Community Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-212**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 125,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 125,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 125,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee continues to expand their services to young children ages 0-5 and their families.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Catholic Community Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-973**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 200,000**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 200,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 200,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, making the most of the opportuniteis to gain referral from community providers into the PLL program.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 100% of the grant funds for this project. FY14 is agency's first year in providing Parenting with Love & Limits ("PLL") They are on track to meet the clinical minimum of 24 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 80%.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Central Peninsula General Hospital**		
Grant No:	**602-14-282**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 349,365**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 90,812.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 258,553.00	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 349,365.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Central Peninsula General Hospital**		
Grant No:	**602-14-974**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 145,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 145,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 145,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Central Peninsula General Hospital (CPGH) is funded to provide Outpatient Substance Abuse Services. Outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing theTIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Soldotna This grant also serves the target population placed in Serenity House in addition to youth and families served in their outpatient programs.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project. Through 3 quarters agency has served over 125 Transitional Aged Youth under this grant program.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**CHOICES-Peer Bridger**		
Grant No:	**602-14-920**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 62,217**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/8/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
$ 62,217.00	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 62,217.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					57
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:
Program does not report into AKAIMS. It has contacts, not clients.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**CHOICES-ICM**		
Grant No:	**602-14-924**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100**
Grant Type:	**Independent Case Management and Flexible Supports**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 100.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**City of Bethel**		
Grant No:	**602-14-501**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 346,491**
Grant Type:	**Bethel Community Service Patrol and Sobering Center**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
$ 346,491.00	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 346,491.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**0**	**0**	0
Total Possible Score					33
Weighted Score Total					**33**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	N/A	Weighted Score:	#VALUE!

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	N/A	Weighted Score:	#VALUE!

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Community Connections**		
Grant No:	**602-14-250**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 175,175**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/6/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 175,175.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 175,175.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Community Connections has been very actively involved in the Community Action Planning Process in both Ketchikan and Prince of Wales Island. They have used this process to improve coordination and quality of services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

All funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports have been submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were detailed and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

All Quarterly AKAIMS summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Community Connections' Logic Model was very detailed with highly measurable outcomes. They used the logic model to improve access of services and quality of services.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Co-Occurring Disorders Institute**		
Grant No:	**602-14-998**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 300,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
$ 300,000.00	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 300,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**1**	**4**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**58**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.88%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Co-Occurring Disorders Institute (CoDI) is funded to provide is as a neutral party coordinating BH services care management support services on the Kenai Peninsula Borough. CoDI engages youth (ages 5-21) and their family immediately upon referral to residential placement or when a youth is identified as at risk for referral to residential treatment.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	1	Weighted Score:	4

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency experienced a significant challenge as FY14 began. They became a Medicaid billing agency in MatSu while continuing to be funded for services on the Kenai. Significant changes occurred mid FY where the Executive Director was relieved of her duties and many changes were made in order for agency to regroup and work toward financial sustainability. It was learned while on site, meeting with CoDI's new Director in January 2014 that these funds had not been expended appropriately in the first 2 quarters of FY14. In fact, the previous director had been using these funds for operational costs for their MatSu Office. Pursuant to this meeting, CoDI made swift changes and refocused its efforts on the Kenai. Since January agency has re-established itself in Kenai and is actively serving families there. In FY14 year to date, they have served 12 youth which is the target number for the year.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 3 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 3 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 4 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Co-Occurring Disorders Institute**		
Grant No:	**602-14-999**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 200,000**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 200,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 200,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, making the most of the opportunities to gain referral from community providers into the PLL program. Despite their early FY struggles with significant leadership changes agency has done a tremendous job in implementing the Parenting with Love & Limits Model in MatSu.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 100% of the grant funds for this project. FY14 is agency's first year in providing Parenting with Love & Limits ("PLL") They are on track to meet the clinical minimum of 24 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 95%. National graduation rate is 70%. Impressive that this has been accomplished for the most part over Quarters 2 and 3.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse**		
Grant No:	**602-14-232**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 499,714**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 499,714.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 499,714.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Cook Inlet Tribal Council**		
Grant No:	**602-14-041**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 183,500**
Grant Type:	**Substance Abuse Treatment for OCS Engaged Parents - SAFERR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
$ 183,500.00	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 183,500.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

CITC is the host and an active participant in the ARBHC Meetings, as well as in the development of the CAP.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Although this project got off to a slow start due in part to some lack of communication from OCS, CITC has put forth great effort into establishing communications and fulfilling the goals of the grant.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All quarterly reports have been submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Qtly Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model is specific to the Program, and quarterly reports contain updates as to progress on proposed outcomes.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Cook Inlet Tribal Council**		
Grant No:	**602-14-233**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 473,301**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**corrected 6/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 473,301.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 473,301.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Cook Inlet Tribal Council**		
Grant No:	**602-14-238**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,598,700**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**6/3/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
$ 1,598,700.00	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,598,700.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Copper River Native Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-283**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 235,982**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 47,760.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 47,561.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 58,294.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 82,367.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 235,982.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments**		
Grant No:	**602-14-007**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 100.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**0**	**0**	9
	2	4	**0**	**0**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	9
	4	4	**1**	**4**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**28**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**42.42%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

The state mis-assigned program type # and agency accepted wrong # but does approp. services.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	0	Weighted Score:	0

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants and Contracts section states no agency reports were timely.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**0**	**Weighted Score:**	**0**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Grants and Contracts section states no agency reports were submitted accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**0**	**Weighted Score:**	**0**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Summaries are part of reports that G&C states were not timely. Reports also not complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**1**	**Weighted Score:**	**4**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Agency reports goals not met.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Daybreak**		
Grant No:	**602-14-263**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 101,332**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 101,332.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 101,332.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Daybreak**		
Grant No:	**602-14-503**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 150,000**
Grant Type:	**Palmer Therapeutic Court**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
$ 150,000.00	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 150,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Denali Family Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-201**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 107,840**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 107,840.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 107,840.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

DFS attends the community action planning meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

DFS did use their logic model and did demonstrate program improvements.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Denali Family Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-214**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 200,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 200,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 200,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Denali Family Services provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing the TIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in the MatSu. This project is collaborating with several DBH Grantees to ensure a larger scale approach than simply Denali. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within the DFS continuum of care. Denali is one of three TIP sites who have established two site based trainers to assist with further movement toward sustainability.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project. Through 3 quarters agency has served 88 Transitional Aged Youth under this grant program.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Denali Family Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-216**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 200,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 200,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 200,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Denali Family Services provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing the TIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Anchorage. This project is collaborating with several DBH Grantees to ensure a larger scale approach than simply Denali. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within the DFS continuum of care. Denali is one of three TIP sites who have established two site based trainers to assist with further movement toward sustainability.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project. Through 3 quarters agency has served 157 Transitional Aged Youth under this grant program.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Eastern Aleutian Tribes**		
Grant No:	**602-14-264**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 281,927**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 43,511.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 57,712.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 29,425.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 151,279.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 281,927.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

EAT submitted the Q1 report without MDS-Annual Household Income. DBH requested the report which was provided by EAT on 12/3/2013. EAT submitted the Q3 report without MDS-Annual Household Income. DBH requested the report which as of 5/2/2014 has not yet been provided.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Fairbanks Community Mental Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-269**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,905,371**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 626,697.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 188,246.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 1,090,428.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,905,371.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

FCMHS consistently attends and participates in the community action planning meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FCMHS did use their logic model and did demonstrate program improvements.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Fairbanks Native Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-271**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,573,708**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 689,915.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
$ 689,915.00	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 193,878.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,573,708.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Fairbanks Native Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-272**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,937,722**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
$ 1,937,722.00	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,937,722.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Fairbanks Resource Agency**		
Grant No:	**602-14-133**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 75,297**
Grant Type:	**Senior Outreach, Assessment and Referral Project (SOAR)**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 75,297.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 75,297.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**0**	**0**	0
Total Possible Score					33
Weighted Score Total					**33**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	N/A	Weighted Score:	#VALUE!

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

This is not a requirement of this grant.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

As socumented in quarterly reports.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Required reports wre submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

SOAR grantees are not required to submit AKAIMS Reports.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

SOAR grantees are not required to submit a Logic Model.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Family Centered Services of Alaska**		
Grant No:	**602-14-273**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 750,638**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 550,638.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 200,000.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 750,638.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

FCSA attends the community action planning meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FCSA reported progress on their logic model.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Frontier Community Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-602**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 118,871**
Grant Type:	**Supported Employment**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 118,871.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 118,871.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Frontier Community Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-922**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100**
Grant Type:	**Independent Case Management and Flexible Supports**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 100.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Gastineau Human Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-241**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 345,583**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 345,583.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 345,583.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Gastineau Human Services (GHS) actively and regularly participated in the community Action Planning process to improve and coordinate services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Grantee expended funds in a manner consistent with the RFP and Notice of Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

GHS utilized their logic model to improve the accurateness and completeness of AKAIMS data. Improve assessment and treatment planning and improve the quality of services.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Gastineau Human Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-600**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 115,979**
Grant Type:	**Supported Employment**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/1/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
$115,979	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 115,979.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

GHS has been a consistent and active participant in the Juneau CAP. This group has been effective in coordinating and planning services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

All grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

GHS has consistently submitted Quarterly reports in advance of their due date to assist the Division in meeting block grant requirements.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports have been complete and accurate.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS report have been timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

GHS has effectively used their logic model to make improvements in assisting clients to assisting job readiness skills..

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Hope Community Resources, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-206**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 77,920**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Lisa Rosay**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 77,920.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 77,920.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Hope attends the community action planning meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Hope reported some progress on their logic model.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Interior Aids Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-284**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 259,498**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$259,498	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 259,498.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Ionia**		
Grant No:	**602-14-015**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 112,500**
Grant Type:	**Adult Rural Peer Support**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
$ 112,500.00	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 112,500.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**0**	**0**	0
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					45
Weighted Score Total					**45**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Juneau Alliance for Mental Health**		
Grant No:	**602-14-249**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 300,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 300,000.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 300,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

JAMHI and Polaris House have both been very active in the CAP meetings and in city and state housing meetings to advocate and coordinate services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Funds were expended in a manner that was consistent with the RFP and grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner,

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were complete, detailed and informative.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

For clients who are required to be in AKAIMS, data was complete (Polaris House is not yet required to use AKAIMS).

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Both JAMHI and Polaris House have used logic models to effectively address housing.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Juneau Alliance for Mental Health**		
Grant No:	**602-14-251**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,327,082**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 143,580.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 1,183,502.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,327,082.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

JAMHI attended and displayed active leadership in the Juneau CAP meetings. They utilized these meetings to coordinate services and improve psychiatric emergency and a wide array of other services to the population they serve.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

All grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and Notification of Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were very detailed and provided excellent information about the provision of services and management of the organization.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

JAMHI utilized their logic model to improve housing, decrease hospitalization and decrease legal involvement for SMI clients.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Juneau Youth Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-244**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,049,914**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/1/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 58,268.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 878,847.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 112,799.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,049,914.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Juneau Youth Services has taken a leadership role and has been instrumental in developing CAP team in Juneau that has improved the coordination and quality of behavioral health services for the residents of Juneau.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Grant funds were expanded in a manner consistent with the RFP and Notice of Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS reports were accrete and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

JYS utilized their logic model to improve access to treatment, improve psychiatric emergency services to youth, and to improve client outcomes, as measured by the CSR.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center**		
Grant No:	**602-14-266**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 14,682**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 14,682.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 14,682.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Kenaitze Indian Tribe**		
Grant No:	**602-14-267**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 77,438**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 24,717.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 17,443.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 15,165.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 20,113.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 77,438.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**63**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**95.45%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**6**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Required corrective actions (RCA) due with 3Q report were not provided. A reminder request was sent 5/2/2014 but the RCAs had not been provided by the due date of this review (5/9/14).

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Ketchikan Indian Community**		
Grant No:	**602-14-245**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 14,219**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/1/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 14,219.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 14,219.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Ketchikan Indian Community (KIC) consistently participated in CAP meetings and improved services to clients by better coordinating services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

KIC expended grant funds in a manner consistent with the RFP and Notice of Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All Reports were timely and met reporting requirements.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

All AKAIMS reports were timely and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

KIC utilized their logic model to reach out to the community to increase services to youth and to incorporate Traditional Tribal Values into Motivational Enhancement Therapy groups.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Kodiak Area Native Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-955**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 200,793**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 200,793.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 200,793.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, making the most of the opportunities to gain referral from community providers into the PLL program. They are reaching out to OCS and DJJ staff, schools in addition to the Coast Guard for PLL Referrals.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 100% of the grant funds for this project. FY14 is agency's second full year in providing Parenting with Love & Limits ("PLL") They are on track to meet the clinical minimum of 24 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 80%.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Lynn Canal Counseling Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-252**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 271,391**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/1/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 33,304.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
$ 95,484.00	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 56,580.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 86,023.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 271,391.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

LCCC participated in the Community Action Planning process on a regular basis to improve and coordinate services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

LCCC utilized all funding in a manner consistent with the Grant Award and RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports have been submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

The reports have been accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data submitted has bee accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

LCCC has effectively used their logic model to maintain individuals who are experiencing a psychiatric emergency in Haines. Consequently no clients have gone to BRH's psychiatric unit this year and only one client was held at the Haines Police Department for safety reasons. These reasons pertained to a domestic violence issue and not to psychiatric emergency.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Maniilaq Association**		
Grant No:	**602-14-001**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,362,023**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 297,084.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 70,092.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 70,225.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 924,622.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,362,023.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**63**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**95.45%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**6**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Required corrective actions (RCA) due with 3Q report were not provided with 3Q report. A reminder request was sent 5/2/2014 but the RCAs were not provided by the due date of this review (5/9/14).

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Mat-Su Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-261**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,721,903**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 255,970.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 568,788.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 897,145.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,721,903.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Metlakatla Indian Community - Wil la mootk Counseling Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-979**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 100.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Metlakatla Indian Community provides fee for services in an outpatient setting to SED Youth up to age 21 in Metlakatla. Services include outpatient mental health & substance abuse services in addition to therapeutic foster care services. This grant provides the avenue for them to bill Medicaid for Behavioral Services delivered. Agency earned CARF Accredidation in February 2014 for one year. They have become stable under new leadership and provdie a strong clinical focused service to youth and families in Metlakatla.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Narcotic Drug Treatment Center (NDTC)**		
Grant No:	**602-14-285**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 937,525**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Randall Burns**	**Date:**	**5/21/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 937,525.00	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 937,525.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**2**	**8**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**2**	**8**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**58**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.88%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**National Council on Alcohol and Drug Dependence**		
Grant No:	**602-14-290**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 50,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 50,000.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 50,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

NCADD is funded to provide Outpatient Substance Abuse Services to Youth and Families. They continue to work toward establishing a program that effectively serves youth with SUD. They are experiencing success in serving young adults with SUD referred by the court system and other community referral sources. FY14 was NCADD's first year as a treatment grantee under CBHTR.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project. Through 3 quarters agency has served over 125 Transitional Aged Youth under this grant program.

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**North Slope Borough**		
Grant No:	**602-14-274**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 764,354**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 564,067.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 10,464.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 45,438.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 144,385.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 764,354.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Norton Sound Health Corp**		
Grant No:	**602-14-002**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,182,546**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 152,568.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 32,993.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 33,001.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 963,984.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,182,546.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Norton Sound Health Corp**		
Grant No:	**602-14-009**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
$ 100,000.00	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					57
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	N/A	Weighted Score:	#VALUE!

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

This grant has no clients. Therefore, there is nothing to enter in AKAIMS. Therefore, this is N/A.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Norton Sound Health Corporation**		
Grant No:	**602-14-958**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 265,000**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 265,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 265,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Norton Sound ("NSHC") was one of two new grantees in FY14 awarded with SAMHSA funds to implement TIP and PLL; their project specifically targeting families in Nome and surrounding villages. They will also implement the Matrix Model in FY15. Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, making the most of the opportunities to gain referral from community providers into the PLL and TIP programs. Part of the funding is designated to assist in the development of a training hub for all PLL and TIP Programs around the state.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 80% of the grant funds for this project; however, they only received funds for 6 months during FY14 . FY14 is agency's first year in providing Parenting with Love & Limits ("PLL") and Transition to Independence Process ("TIP"). They are on track to meet the pro-rated clinical minimum of 12 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 80%. In addition they are on track to serve 20 youth in the TIP Model.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**AARS / Nugens Ranch**		
Grant No:	**602-14-280**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 879,549**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 879,549.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 879,549.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Nugens is a very active participant in the Mat Su BHIT, and the group has made some significant strides this fiscal year.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Nugens has a consistently high utilization rate, an admirable completion rate, and no consumer complaints received.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports have been submitted well before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries are timely, complete and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Nugen's Logic Model is well written, specific and relevant to the program. Quarterly reports contain updates re: progress on proposed outcome indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Peninsula Community Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-262**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 518,708**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 194,826.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 129,185.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 194,697.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 518,708.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Peninsula Community Health Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-976**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 199,333**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 199,333.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 199,333.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, making the most of the opportunities to gain referral from community providers into the PLL program. They are reaching out to OCS and DJJ staff, schools in addition to their internal behavioral health system for PLL Referrals. Grantee is experiencing success with the PLL model to the degree that they are on the verge of imitating a wait list process for serving families under the model They have requested funds for a second team.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 100% of the grant funds for this project. FY14 is agency's fourth full year in providing Parenting with Love & Limits ("PLL") They are on track to surpass the clinical minimum of 24 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 85%. National graduation rate is 70%. They are requesting funds to support a second PLL team given the need for establishing a waitlist at this time. If it is found that under the DBH/PLL Contract there are funds to support a second team on the Kenai Peninsula such a recommendation will be made given grantee's performance in FY14 and established need for an additional team to effectively serve the amount of referrals they are receiving.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: **3** **x Score** **3** **Weighted Score:** **9**

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight: **4** **x Score** **3** **Weighted Score:** **12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight: **3** **x Score** **3** **Weighted Score:** **9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: **4** **x Score** **3** **Weighted Score:** **12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Petersburg Mental Health Services, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-253**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 358,024**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/6/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 185,541.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 15,085.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 31,758.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 125,640.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 358,024.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

PMHS actively participated in the Community Action Planning process and utilized that process to better coordinate and improve services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and Notice of Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarter reports were well written, complete and accurate.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

All AKAIMS reports were accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

PMHS use of their logic model resulted in increased promptness of care, increased efficiency of discharges, improved coordination with primary care, reduced number of MC -100 petitions filed and an increase in medical staff's willingness to handle psychiatric emergencies locally.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Polaris House**		
Grant No:	**602-14-601**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 179,505**
Grant Type:	**Supported Employment**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/1/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 179,505.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 179,505.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					57
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Polaris House has been actively engaged in implementing improved services by consistently attending Juneau Community Action Planning meetings and coordinating services with other community agencies.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Grant funds were efficiently utilized in assisting SMI clients to find and maintain employment.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Polaris House has submitted Supported Employment reports in advance of deadlines to assist the Division in meeting Block Grant reporting requirements.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All Quarterly reports were complete and accurate.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**N/A**	**Weighted Score:**	**#VALUE!**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Because of their unique position as a Clubhouse Model Program, Polaris House has not used AKAIMS for this population.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Polaris House has effectively used its logic model to increase the number of Clubhouse members employed and to help members attain employment related goals.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Polaris House**		
Grant No:	**602-14-921**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100**
Grant Type:	**Independent Case Management and Flexible Supports**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 100.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**1**	**4**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**0**	**0**	0
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					57
Weighted Score Total					**49**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**85.96%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Polaris house has actively participated in the Juneau CAP team and has used information from those meetings to coordinate and improve services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**1**	**Weighted Score:**	**4**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

The purpose of this grant is to expand services to SMI clients through billable services. Polaris House has made great progress toward billing Medicaid. They have developed clinical files, sought consultation and found a billing agent. Once they begin to bill and expand services, they will have fully expended grant funds in a manner consistent with the RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	N/A	Weighted Score:	#VALUE!

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Polaris House was not obligated to utilize AKAIMS for FY'14. They will, however, be expected to utilize AKAIMs in FY'15 for those clients receiving intensive case management services.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Polaris House utilized their logic model to improve services.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Presbyterian Hospitality House**		
Grant No:	**602-14-956**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 100,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 100,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 100,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Presbyterian Hospitality House provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing the TIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Fairbanks and the MatSu. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within the PHH continuum of care, including Residential Treatment, Therapeutic Foster Care and Outpatient services. PHH is currenjtly in teh process of idenitfying a site based trainer to assist with further movement of the project toward sustainability.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project. Through 3 quarters agency has served 39 Transitional Aged Youth under this grant program.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Presbyterian Hospitality House**		
Grant No:	**602-14-957**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 300,000**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 300,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 300,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**2**	**8**	12
	2	4	**1**	**4**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**54**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**81.82%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Presbyterian Hospitality House (PHH) is funded to provide Parenting with Love and Limits ® (PLL), an evidence-based program combining a 6-week parent education and group therapy program with 4 or more individual "coaching" (family therapy) sessions for adolescents and their parents; Target Population being SED Youth placed in Level II and III Residential Behavioral Rehabilitation Services Agencies in Fairbanks. They are funded for two teams in FY14. These residential and outpatient services are designed for youth between 10 and 18 with extreme emotional or behavioral problems (running away, extreme disrespect, chronic truancy, depression, drug or alcohol abuse, etc.); either to divert children from the Fairbanks Area who are at risk of entering residential psychiatric treatment center (RPTC) care or to children who have been in RPTC or in-state residential care and are returning to their families or community. Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, however, there is minimal evidence of success in gaining referral and engaging families for PLL services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**1**	**Weighted Score:**	**4**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 100% of the grant funds for this project. FY14 is agency's third full year in providing Parenting with Love & Limits ("PLL") in Fairbanks. Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, however, there is minimal evidence of success in gaining referral and engaging families for PLL services. Their projected number of families that will be served in FY14 is far below the clinical minimum of 24 families served (per team) in PLL. Agency is on track to provide PLL to 24 families in FY14. Their graduation rate year to date is 62%. National graduation rate is 70%. The agency will need to serve at least 15 families during the 1st 2 quarters of FY15 in order for the grant to continue into quarter 3.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.

2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Providence Crisis Recovery Center - Adult "Compass" Program**		
Grant No:	**602-14-055**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 811,611**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Randall Burns**	**Date:**	**5/21/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 811,611.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 811,611.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**2**	**8**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**62**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**93.94%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Providence Crisis Recovery Center - Adolescent "Directions" Program**		
Grant No:	**602-14-056**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 371,219**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Randall Burns**	**Date:**	**5/21/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 371,219.00	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 371,219.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**2**	**8**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**62**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**93.94%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center**		
Grant No:	**602-14-286**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 756,849**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Randall Burns**	**Date:**	**5/21/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 97,704.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 118,928.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 418,095.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 122,122.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 756,849.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**2**	**8**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**2**	**8**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**58**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.88%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	2	Weighted Score:	8

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Providence Valdez Counseling Center**		
Grant No:	**602-14-289**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 331,562**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Randall Burns**	**Date:**	**5/19/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 93,754.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 38,985.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 69,338.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 129,485.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 331,562.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**2**	**8**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**1**	**4**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**54**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**81.82%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	2	Weighted Score:	8

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**1**	**Weighted Score:**	**4**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions**		
Grant No:	**602-14-275**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 248,516**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 200,424.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 48,092.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 248,516.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Rainforest Recovery Center**		
Grant No:	**602-14-243**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 684,550**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/1/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
$ 76,000.00	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 589,349.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 19,201.00	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 684,550.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Rainforest Recovery Center was represented at all Juneau CAP meetings and used those meetings to improve their services and coordinate with other agencies.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAINS data was accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

RRC used their logic model to increase the number of detox patients who enrolled in treatment and to increase residential substance abuse bed utilization.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Residential Youth Care**		
Grant No:	**602-14-963**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 45,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 45,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 45,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

RYC actively participated and displayed leadership in CAP meetings. The Ketchikan CAP team developed a matrix to better coordinate with other community agencies. RYC has used the CAP team to improve services, particularly in the area of providing services to youth experiencing a psychiatric emergency.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

RYC expended all grant funds in a manner consistent with the RFP and Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were complete, accurate and informative.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS submissions were complete and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

RYC diligently utilized the logic model to increase services to youth over 18 years of age. During the course of the year, they have made adjustments to the logic model to ensure its usefulness in guiding services.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Residential Youth care**		
Grant No:	**9602-14-964**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 147,274**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/2/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 147,274.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 147,274.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee actively participated and utilized the Cap process to better coordinate and improve services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

All grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with RFP and grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

All AKAIMS were accurate and complete. RYC has been very conscientious about the integrity of data.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

RYC utilized the logic model to teach parents more creative and effective ways of changing problem behaviors.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Salvation Army Clitheroe Center**		
Grant No:	**602-14-235**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 3,314,922**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 3,000,000.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 314,922.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 3,314,922.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**0**	**0**	12
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**48**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**72.73%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Clitheroe consistently participated in ARBHC Meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	0	Weighted Score:	0

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

At times, utilization rates have been very low, both at Outpatient and Residential. There have been complaints from recipients of service about inconsistencies in rules, staff behavior, and lack of effective Leadership. Neither Outpatient Continuing Care nor Dual Diagnosis Outpatient have been available for a period of time. There are ongoing problems with staff recruitment and retention.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	1	Weighted Score:	3

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

1st Qtr Reports were a day late, parts of the 2nd Qtr Reports were late, 3rd Qtr Reports were on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly Reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries were accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model is well written, and relevant to the programs. Quarterly reports provide updates on proposed outcome indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Salvation Army Clitheroe Center**		
Grant No:	**602-14-500**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 450,000**
Grant Type:	**Therapeutic Court**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
$ 450,000.00	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 450,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**1**	**4**	12
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**52**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**78.79%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Clitheroe consistently participated in ARBHC Meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	1	Weighted Score:	4

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

The Court System has had many complaints about the services or lack of services received by Therapeutic Court clients.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	1	Weighted Score:	3

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

1st Qtr Reports were 1 day late, parts of 2nd Qtr reports were late, 3rd Qtr Reports were on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Summary Reports were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model is well written and specific to this program. Qtly reports provide updates on progress on prposed outcome indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Seaview Community Services**		
Grant No:	**602-14-287**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 363,369**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 55,824.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 146,885.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 160,660.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 363,369.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Set Free Alaska**		
Grant No:	**602-14-008**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 50,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 50,000.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 50,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Set Free Alaska participated in all but one meeting of the Mat Su Valley BHIT, as well as developing numerous other collaborations.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Set Free Alaska has been expanding and upgrading their services consistently.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reoprts have been submitted by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Qurterly Reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model is relevant to the program and quarterly reports contain progress on proposed outcome indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Sitka Counseling and Prevention**		
Grant No:	**602-14-247**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,046,561**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/19/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 124,250.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 334,142.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
$ 50,000.00	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 307,150.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 50,000.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 105,329.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 75,690.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,046,561.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SCAPS) actively participated in the CAP process and attended all meetings

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS reports were accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Scaps utilized their logic model to increase staff's ability to engage and retain clients in treatment, and decrease the amount of time between initial contact and enrollment.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Soteria**		
Grant No:	**602-14-021**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 375,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
$ 375,000.00	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 375,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Cordova Community Medical Center - Sound Alternatives**		
Grant No:	**602-14-288**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 358,568**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 63,711.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 105,990.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 90,518.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 98,349.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 358,568.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**South Peninsula Behavioral Health**		
Grant No:	**602-14-207**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 366,409**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Jim McLaughlin**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 186,823.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 10,311.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 169,275.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 366,409.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Southcentral Foundation**		
Grant No:	**602-14-200**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 300,598**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 74,521.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 29,354.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 163,903.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 32,820.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 300,598.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Southcentral Foundation**		
Grant No:	**602-14-208**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 853,930**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 39,008.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
$ 337,949.00	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 40,540.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 37,490.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 275,254.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
$ 123,689.00	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 853,930.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Southeast Regional Health Consortium**		
Grant No:	**602-14-209**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 902,721**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Richard Nault**	**Date:**	**5/5/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 36,487.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
$ 175,151.00	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 359,905.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 331,178.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 902,721.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 75% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee consistently participated.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

SEARHC actively participated in CAP groups in Sitka and Prince of Wales Island. Services are also coordinated in Haines. Participation has been instrumental in improving services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	4	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	12

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

All grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the RFP and Notification of Grant Award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	3	x Score	3	Weighted Score:	9

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS reports were accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

SEARHC utilized their logic model to decrease the number of days from screening to first service, decreased the number of one cases that have not been served for more than 135 days and improve clients functioning in life domains..

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Tanana Chiefs Conference**		
Grant No:	**602-14-211**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,211,413**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 419,865.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 418,881.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 172,667.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 200,000.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,211,413.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Tok Area Mental Health Council, Inc.**		
Grant No:	**602-14-276**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 221,166**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**George Girod**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 221,166.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 221,166.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**2**	**8**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**62**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**93.94%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**2**	**Weighted Score:**	**8**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee documented monthly community activity on CAP but provided no meeting minutes.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Grants and Contracts reports all reports timely and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee was troubled at start of fy14. Has reported improvement through Q3.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Volunteers of America Alaska**		
Grant No:	**602-14-236**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 1,371,584**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Joan Houlihan**	**Date:**	**5/10/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
$ 690,584.00	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
$ 681,000.00	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 1,371,584.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

VOA is a consistently, very active participant in ARBHC Meetings, development of the CAP, and other collaborations.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

VOA satisfactorily provides all of the services committed to in their Grant Agreement.

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were received by or before the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model is well written, specific and relevant to these programs. The quarterly reports contain progress on proposed outcome indicators.

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Volunteers of America**		
Grant No:	**602-14-954**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 419,000**
Grant Type:	**Bring The Kids Home**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 419,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 419,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Volunteers of America ("VOA") was one of two new grantees in FY14 awarded with SAMHSA funds to implement TIP and PLL, with their targeted service delivery being families in Anchorage and the MatSu. They will also implement the Matrix Model in FY15. Grantee has evidenced participation in community planning, making the most of the opportunities to gain referral from community providers into the PLL and TIP programs. Part of the funding is designated to assist in the development of a training hub for all PLL and TIP Programs around the state.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend 65% of the grant funds for this project; however, they only received funds for 6 months during FY14 . FY14 is agency's first year in providing Parenting with Love & Limits ("PLL") and Transition to Independence Process ("TIP"). They are on track to meet the pro-rated clinical minimum of 12 families served in PLL with a graduation rate of over 80%. In addition they are on track to serve 40 youth in the TIP Model.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 3 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 3 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 4 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Youth Advocates of Sitka**		
Grant No:	**602-14-255**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 200,000**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/15/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 200,000.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 200,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Youth Advocates of Sitka ("YAS") provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing the TIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Sitka. This project is collaborating with other DBH Grantees and community stakeholders to ensure a larger scale approach than simply YAS. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within the YAS continuum of care. YAS is one of three TIP sites who have established a site based trainer to assist with further movement toward sustainability. This grant also funds their Community Mental Health Program, mostly focused on services prescribed in the school based setting.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Agency is on track to expend close to 100% of the grant funds for this project. Through 3 quarters agency has served 96 Transitional Aged Youth under this grant program in addition to another 63 through the Community Mental Health Program.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 3 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 3 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 9

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 4 **x Score** 3 **Weighted Score:** 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation**		
Grant No:	**602-14-005**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 2,619,907**
Grant Type:	**CBHTR**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

$ 665,779.00	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
$ 855,375.00	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
$ 375,822.00	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
$ 256,506.00	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
$ 466,425.00	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 2,619,907.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation**		
Grant No:	**602-14-499**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 272,000**
Grant Type:	**Therapeutic Court**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
$ 272,000.00	16 - Therapeutic Court
	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 272,000.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

<u>Section II: Agency Performance</u>

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FY14 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Staff Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation**		
Grant No:	**602-14-502**	**FY14 Award:**	**$ 821,340**
Grant Type:	**Bethel Community Service Patrol and Sobering Center**		
Reviewer:	**Holly Byrnes**	**Date:**	**5/9/2014**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	01 - Psychiatric Emergency Services
	02 - Detoxification Services
	03 - Youth Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	04 - Residential Services for Youth with Serious Emotional Disturbances
	05 - Adult Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment Services
	06 - Adult Residential and Housing Services for Seriously Mentally Ill Adults
	07 - Women and Children Residential Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	08 - Outpatient Opioid Treatment Services
	09 - Outpatient Services for High Risk Children or Serious Emotional Disturbance (SED) and Their Families
	10 - Youth and Family Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	11 - Outpatient Treatment for Adults with Serious Mental Illness
	12 - Adult Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	13 - Women and Children Outpatient Substance Use Disorder Treatment
	14 - MH Other Direct Services
	15 - Peer and Consumer Supports
	16 - Therapeutic Court
$ 821,340.00	17 - SA Other Direct Services
	18 - MH not Direct Service
	19 - SA not Direct Service
$ 821,340.00	**TOTAL**

-

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	4	**3**	**12**	12
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	3	**3**	**9**	9
	4	4	**3**	**12**	12
Total Possible Score					66
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" is defined as attending 70% or more of scheduled meetings. If grantee serves multiple service areas, score should be based on the average score from those areas. If multiple service areas, detail the scores from each area.

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:

1 = Grantee participated in less than 70% of scheduled meetings.

2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.

3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY14 - FY16 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

0 = No **1 = Partial (comment required)** **3 = Yes**

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:

1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? *("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)*

Factor Weight:	**3**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**9**

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight:	**4**	**x Score**	**3**	**Weighted Score:**	**12**

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments: