

**State of Alaska
Department of Health and Social Services
Division of Behavioral Health
Comprehensive Behavioral Health Treatment &
Recovery (CBHTR) Grant Program**

SFY09 CBHTR Funding Summary



September 2008

Introduction

September 2, 2008

The Alaska state legislature authorizes the Division of Behavioral Health (DBH) to implement the Comprehensive Behavioral Health Treatment (CBHTR) grant program. The program funds more than sixty agencies statewide to provide mental health and substance abuse treatment services to qualified Alaskans.

The legislature has specifically directed the Division to base awards on grantee performance. This intent is clearly stated on page 19 of Chapter 27, SLA2008 (<http://www.legis.state.ak.us/PDF/25/Bills/HB0310Z.PDF>).

It is the intent of the legislature that the department continue developing policies and procedures surrounding the awarding of recurring grants to assure that applicants are regularly evaluated on their performance in achieving outcomes consistent with the expectations and missions of the Department related to their specific grant. The recipient's specific performance should be measured and incorporated into the decision whether to continue awarding grants.

DBH included the following performance-based criteria into CBHTR grant funding decisions for SFY2009 (July 1, 2008 through June 30, 2009).

- DBH staff scores
- Cost-per-participant
- Substance Abuse residential bed utilization rates
- Behavioral Health Consumer Survey (BHCS) administration
- Record completeness
- Consumer Status Review (CSR) score and episode count

For SFY09, the legislature also appropriated additional funds for substance abuse (SA) treatment services. DBH distributed these funds as special increments to CBHTR grantees for SA outpatient services, SA residential services and SA discretionary adjustments.

The following summary describes how each of these components factored into SFY09 CBHTR grant awards.

Additionally, Attachment A includes detailed tables that include grantee financial and participant data. The tables also include the results of calculations, which supported performance-based funding decisions.

Attachment B includes DBH staff score sheets for individual grantees. As indicated above, staff scores factored into DBH's performance-based funding process.

The Alaska State Legislature and the Division are committed to performance-based funding. Not only is the process an equitable way to distribute resources. But over time, performance-based funding helps ensure that Alaskans have access to the best available behavioral health services.



Melissa Witzler Stone, Director
Division of Behavioral Health

Table of Contents

Introduction	i
Table of Contents	iii
Summary of CBHTR Performance-Based Funding factors	1
Appendix A: Performance-Based Funding Tables	
Table A: Grantee Data	A-1
Table B: Staff Score Adjustment	A-3
Table C1: Cost Per Client by Provider Group	A -5
Table C2: Cost per Client Adjustment	A-6
Table D: Substance Abuse (SA) Residential Bed Utilization Rate Adjustment	A-8
Table E: BHCS Administration Adjustment	A-10
Table F: Record Completeness Adjustment	A-12
Table G: CSR Score/Episode Count Adjustment	A-14
Table H: SFY09 Calculated Base Award Summary	A-16
Table I: SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award Summary	A-18
Table J: SFY09 Final Funding Summary	A-20
Appendix B: Staff Score Sheets	
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services, Inc.	B-1
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc	B-5
Alaska Children's Services	B-9
Akeela, Inc	B-13
Akeela – AWRC	B-17

Alaska Family Services	B-21
Alaska Islands Community Service	B-25
Aleutian Pribilof Island Association, Inc	B-29
The ARC of Anchorage	B-33
Assets, Inc.	B-37
Booth Memorial Home (Salvation Army)	B-41
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	B-45
Central Peninsula Counseling Services	B-49
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	B-53
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	B-57
Communities Organized for Health Options	B-61
Community Connections	B-65
Central Peninsula General Hospital - Serenity House	B-69
Copper River Native Association	B-73
Daybreak	B-77
Denali Family Services (Alternative Community Mental Health Center)	B-81
Eastern Aleutian Tribes	B-85
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	B-89
Family Centered Services of Alaska	B-93
Fairbanks Native Association	B-97
Fairbanks Resource Agency	B-101
City of Galena	B-105

Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	B-109
Gateway Center for Human Services	B-113
Hoonah Indian Association	B-117
Hope Community Resources	B-121
Interior AIDS Association Project Special Delivery	B-125
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	B-129
Juneau Youth Service, Inc.	B-133
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	B-137
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	B-141
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	B-145
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	B-149
Maniilaq	B-153
Mat Su Health Services	B-156
Narcotic Drug Treatment Program - Center For Drug Problems	B-160
North Slope Borough	B-164
Norton Sound Health Corporation	B-168
Petersburg Mental Health	B-171
Providence Crisis Recovery Center	B-175
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	B-179
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	B-183
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	B-187
Rainforest Recovery Center	B-191
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	B-195

Southcentral Foundation	B-199
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	B-203
Seaview Community Services	B-207
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	B-211
Sound Alternatives	B-215
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services	B-219
Tanana Chiefs Conference	B-223
Tok Area Mental Health Center	B-226
Volunteers of America	B-230
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	B-234
Youth Advocates of Sitka	B-237

Summary of CBHTR Performance-Based Funding factors

This summary describes each of the factors used to determine SFY09 CBHTR grant awards. Lettered sections within the summary – example: A) SFY08 Grant Award – correspond to a corresponding table in Attachment A.

Table A: Grantee Data includes critical data related to a provider’s prior year (SFY08) grant award, including provider group, grant award, and unduplicated client count through the third quarter of SFY08. This table also calculates an average cost per client based on grant award and client count.

Table B: Staff Score Adjustment. DBH staff scored grantees based on the following factors:

- Has the grantee reported new partnership agreements signed during FY 08?
 - Did grantee report the dollar value of additional leveraged funding newly attained?
 - Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?
 - Have all required reports been submitted timely? Did required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal reports?
 - Are quarterly reports complete?
 - Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?
- If the Staff Score is < 75 %, a -3.5 % decrement is applied against the SFY08 grant award.
- If the Staff Score is >=90 %, a 3.5 % increment is applied against the SFY08 grant award.

Table C1: Cost-per-client by Provider Group. Providers are assigned to provider groupings by DBH staff. Groupings consist of urban providers, rural providers and substance abuse providers. An average cost-per-client is calculated for each group based on client counts and grant award amounts. For each provider group, a maximum cost-per-client is calculated based on 1.5 times the average cost-per-client. A maximum provider group cost-per-client is also calculated at 3 times the average cost-per-client.

Table C2: Cost-per-Client adjustment. A client is considered active if on the first day of a quarter, s/he is not discharged, is currently enrolled in a program, and has one or more treatment encounter notes in AKAIMS during the previous 90 days. Fiscal year to-date (FYTD) active caseload represents an unduplicated count of clients who were active on the first day of a quarter during the fiscal year in question. For the purposes of determining SFY09 funding, FYTD active caseload is an unduplicated count through March 31, 2008.

A provider cost-per-client is calculated using FYTD active clients through third quarter SFY2008 divided by three-quarters of the fiscal year grant award.

- If there is not sufficient grantee data to calculate an average cost-per-client, a -1.5 % decrement is applied.
- If the average cost-per-client exceeds the maximum cost-per-client but is less than the extreme cost-per-client, a -1.5 % decrement is applied.
- If the average cost-per-client exceeds the extreme cost-per-client, a -3.0 % decrement is applied.

Table D: Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate adjustment. A SA residential bed utilization rate is calculated by dividing a provider's bed capacity (i.e. the actual number of contracted bed days) by the provider's bed utilization days (i.e. the count of days between a client's program enrollment date and disenrollment date).

- If the utilization rate is > 85%, a 1% increment is applied.

Table E: BHCS Administration adjustment. As a requirement of its grant agreement, a provider must distribute Behavioral Health Consumer Surveys (BHCS) to clients. Providers must also communicate to DBH the number of surveys distributed and a count of surveys returned to the provider as undeliverable.

- A -1.5 % decrement is applied if the provider did not participate in administering the BHCS as follows:
 - Completed and submitted the *FY2008 BHCS Distribution Form* to DBH, AND/OR,
 - Distributed BHCS surveys to consumers (generally this is reflected by DBH receiving completed consumer surveys).

Table F: Record Completeness adjustment. Generally, every client admission requires completion of two forms: an Alaska Screening Tool (AST) and an intake Client Status

Review (CSR). A completed admission can be expressed as two record ratios: an AST record ratio of 100% (1 AST/1 Admission record). A CSR record ratio of 100% (1 CSR/1 non-detox Admission record).

- If the AST/admission ratio is:
 - < 20 %, a -0.5 % decrement is applied
 - \geq 20 % and < 50%, a -0.3 % decrement is applied
 - \geq 50 % and < 85 %, no adjustment applied
 - > 85 %, a 0.3 % increment is applied

- If the CSR/non-detox admission ratio is:
 - < 20 %, a -0.5 % decrement is applied
 - \geq 20 % and < 50%, a -0.3 % decrement is applied
 - \geq 50 % and < 85 %, no adjustment applied
 - \geq 85 %, a 0.3 % increment is applied

- Adjustments for both the AST/admission and CSR/non-detox admission ratios are added together for the total Record Completeness Adjustment.

Table G: CSR Score / Episode Count Adjustment. The Client Status Review (CSR) form measures the quality-of-life of a consumer. All substance abuse and mental health providers are required to complete a CSR for consumers at intake and periodically throughout treatment. Scores for providers reflect the percentage of consumers whose quality-of-life has either improved or been maintained since the initial CSR intake assessment.

“Episode” counts reflect the number of instances when a CSR associated with a particular consumer is compared to that consumer’s intake CSR. The episode count will only include an unduplicated count of consumers, i.e. the count only includes a consumer’s most recent CSR compared to his/her intake CSR.

- If the CSR Score is < 50 %, a -1.0 % decrement is applied.

- If the CSR Score is \geq 75%, **and the number of Episode Counts** is > 10, a 1.5 % increment is applied.

Table H: SFY09 Calculated Base Award is derived by adjusting the SFY08 grant award for each of the factors above (as represented in tables B through G)

Table I: SFY09 Base Award adjusted to available CBHTR funding. The same proportional distribution calculated above for a provider’s SFY09 Calculated Base Award (see table H) is applied to the SFY09 CBHTR available funding to determine the provider’s SFY09 grant award adjusted to available funding.

For instance, the SFY09 calculated base award for Provider X is \$110,000, which represents 10% of the SFY09 calculated base awards for all providers. Total available CBHTR funding is \$1 million. The provider's SFY09 Base Award adjusted to Available CBHTR funding would be \$100,000 (10% x \$1,000,000).

Table J: SFY09 Final Funding Summary. Incorporated into the SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award (see table I) are special substance abuse increments approved by the Legislature. These include:

- A Substance Abuse Outpatient Increment reflects a base increase for all substance abuse outpatient programs.
- A Substance Abuse Residential Increment reflects a base increase for all substance abuse residential programs.
- A Substance Abuse Discretionary Adjustment reflects a discretionary adjustment of funding by DBH staff for substance abuse programs. Factors considered in this distribution of funds include (but are not limited to): ability of a provider to bill for Medicaid services; an increase in provider caseload; and wait list issues associated with provider programs.

The table also reflects CBHTR discretionary adjustments for two providers that adjusted their services and consequently declined a portion of their SFY09 grant award.

Attachment A

Performance-Based Funding Tables

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise

Table A: Grantee Data

		TOTALS		\$ 41,419,068	7,185	721	2,273	2,028	2,800	469	15,476	\$ 2,007		
AKAIMS ID	SFY08 Grant #	Provider Name	A		B	C						C		
			Provider Group			FY08 Grant Award	FYTD Unduplicated Count of Active Clients *						Average Cost Per Client ** ([.75 x B] / C Total)	
			Urban or Rural	SA Res			Mental Health				SA			Total Count of Active Clients
SMI Adults	Non SMI Adults	SED Youth			Non SED Youth		Outpatient	Residential						
68	602-08-202	Access Alaska	U		\$ 988	40					-	40	\$ 18.53	
45	602-08-230		U	Yes	\$ 1,466,075		-			44	50	94	\$ 11,697.41	
16	602-08-280	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	U	Yes	\$ 744,977						42	42	\$ 13,303.16	
59	602-08-203	Alaska Children's Services	U		\$ 140,358			126	103			229	\$ 459.69	
23	602-08-260	Alaska Family Services	U		\$ 500,281	4	1	7	11	215		238	\$ 1,576.52	
39	602-08-254	Alaska Islands Community Services	R		\$ 327,566			64		2		66	\$ 3,722.34	
15	602-08-268	Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	R		\$ 284,563	11	3	4	3	82		103	\$ 2,072.06	
77	602-08-204	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	U		\$ 4,472,357	2,045		194	66			2,305	\$ 1,455.21	
46	602-08-210	ARC of Anchorage	U		\$ 336,199	31	1	23		5		78	\$ 3,232.68	
21	602-08-205	Assets	U		\$ 798,814	68	1	7	7			83	\$ 7,218.20	
74	602-08-281	Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	R	Yes	\$ 877,137	33	4	19		18	21	44	\$ 4,732.75	
66	602-08-282	Central Peninsula General Hospital	R	Yes	\$ 9,883					33	19	52	\$ 142.54	
80	602-08-262	Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	U		\$ 516,331	417	6	254	189			866	\$ 447.17	
17	602-08-220	City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	R		\$ 266,293	3	2		5	28		38	\$ 5,255.78	
34	602-08-240	Communities Organized for Health Options	R		\$ 334,466	19	3	21	17	34		94	\$ 2,668.61	
50	602-08-250	Community Connections	U		\$ 120,065			86	90			176	\$ 511.64	
31	602-08-232	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	R		\$ 447,867					278		278	\$ 1,208.27	
67	602-08-233	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	U	Yes	\$ 767,519						24	24	\$ 23,984.97	
48	602-08-283	Copper River Native Association	R		\$ 234,919	14	1	6	4	34	7	66	\$ 2,669.53	
38	602-08-288	Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	R		\$ 335,061	10		2	3	32		47	\$ 5,346.72	
24	602-08-263	Daybreak, Inc	U		\$ 95,608	66						66	\$ 1,086.45	
75	602-08-201	Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	U		\$ 106,736							-		
69	602-08-264	Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	R		\$ 283,806	1	10	4	5	32		52	\$ 4,093.36	
76	602-08-270	Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	U		\$ 2,602,628	1,381	37	251	248			1,917	\$ 1,018.24	
14	602-08-271	Fairbanks Native Association	U	Yes	\$ 1,156,895					32	23	55	\$ 15,775.84	
10	602-08-272	Fairbanks Resource Agency	U		\$ 49,916							-		
51	602-08-273	Family Centered Services of Alaska	U		\$ 555,385							-		
7	602-08-241	Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	U		\$ 301,737					153		153	\$ 1,479.10	
56	602-08-246	Gateway Center for Human Services	U	Yes	\$ 1,018,463	71	6	13	26	90	13	219	\$ 3,487.89	
22	602-08-242	Hoonah Indian Association	R		\$ 32,748							-		
52	602-08-206	Hope Community Resources, Inc.	U		\$ 75,600	2		24	12			38	\$ 1,492.11	
13	602-08-284	Interior Aids Association	U		\$ 109,555					37		37	\$ 2,220.71	
32	602-08-251	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	U		\$ 1,272,624	269	5					274	\$ 3,483.46	
72	602-08-244	Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	U		\$ 850,047			420		45		465	\$ 1,371.04	
30	602-08-266	Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	U		\$ 14,247			2	26			28	\$ 381.62	
54	602-08-267	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	U		\$ 66,585	8	2	32	14	103		159	\$ 314.08	
44	602-08-245	Ketchikan Indian Corp.	U		\$ 100							-		
35	602-08-252	Lynn Canal Counseling Center	R		\$ 234,919	50		15	19	16		100	\$ 1,761.89	
55	605-08-001-05	Maniilaq Association	R	Yes	\$ 1,254,413	77	8	21	30	35	25	196	\$ 4,800.05	
73	602-08-261	Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	U		\$ 1,671,023	557		174				731	\$ 1,714.46	
25	602-08-285	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	U		\$ 713,506					122		122	\$ 4,386.31	
43	602-08-274	North Slope Borough	R		\$ 772,914	103	3	4	14	67		191	\$ 3,035.00	
53	605-08-002-05	Norton Sound Health Corporation	R		\$ 979,633	72	68	31	56	223		450	\$ 1,632.72	
40	602-08-253	Petersburg Mental Health Services	R		\$ 331,849	80	23	39	75	50		267	\$ 932.16	
81	602-08-056	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center)	U		\$ 1,123,401	235	289					524	\$ 1,607.92	
27	602-08-286	Providence Kodiak Health System	R		\$ 762,891	97	21	30	45	131		324	\$ 1,765.95	
5	602-08-289	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	R		\$ 313,761	85	31	27	46	97		286	\$ 822.80	
3	602-08-275	Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	R		\$ 287,241					2		2	\$ 107,715.38	
78	602-08-243	Rainforest Recovery Center	U	Yes	\$ 613,833							-		
42	602-08-234	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	U		\$ 53,764							-		
19	602-08-235	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	U	Yes	\$ 2,925,112					292	114	406	\$ 5,403.53	
2	602-08-287	Seaview Community Services	R		\$ 336,694	82	3	39	42	104		270	\$ 935.26	
9	602-08-247	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	R	Yes	\$ 813,538	5		1		111	13	130	\$ 4,693.49	

Attachment A: Performance-Based Funding Tables

AKAIMS ID	SFY08 Grant #	Provider Name	A		B	C						C	
			Provider Group		FY08 Grant Award	FYTD Unduplicated Count of Active Clients ¹						Average Cost Per Client ^{**} ([.75 x B] / C Total)	
			Urban or Rural	SA Res		Mental Health				SA			Total Count of Active Clients
						SMI Adults	Non SMI Adults	SED Youth	Non SED Youth	Outpatient	Residential		
71	602-08-207	South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	R		\$ 345,822	379	11	157	152			699	\$ 371.05
47	602-08-208	Southcentral Foundation	U	Yes	\$ 651,742	784	130	109	605	65	29	1,722	\$ 283.86
36	605-08-003-02	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	R	Yes	\$ 571,928	19	14	5	7	64		109	\$ 3,935.28
12	605-08-004-02	Tanana Chiefs Conference	R	Yes	\$ 1,229,847	62	38	-	13	21	29	163	\$ 5,658.81
11	602-08-276	Tok Area Counseling Center	R		\$ 233,300	5						5	\$ 34,995.00
20	602-08-236	Volunteers of America of Alaska	U	Yes	\$ 893,478					100	37	137	\$ 4,891.30
8	602-08-255	Youth Advocates of Sitka	R		\$ 988			62	59			121	\$ 6.12
65	605-08-005-04	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	R	Yes	\$ 2,729,072						-	-	

* A client is considered active if on the first day of a quarter, s/he is not discharged, is currently enrolled in a program, and has one or more treatment encounter notes in the previous 90 days. FYTD active caseload represents an unduplicated count of clients who were active on the first day of a quarter during the fiscal year in question. For the purposes of this exercise, FYTD active caseload is an unduplicated count through the third quarter.

** Cost per client calculated using FYTD Active Clients through third quarter SFY2008. As such, calculation for cost-per-client uses only three-quarters of the fiscal year grant award.

**DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table B: Staff Score Adjustment**

<p>Logic: DBH staff review and score each grantee based on a number of factors (i.e. timely submission of grant reports, completeness of grant reports, etc.) The spreadsheet user can define ranges associated with staff scores under the column headed "low". Example: 0% to 70%, 70% to 90%, etc. The user then assigns an adjustment factor to each defined range under the column headed "Adjustment Factor." A provider's grant award will be adjusted by multiplying the SFY08 grant award with the adjustment factor of the range into which its score falls.</p>	Range	Low	High	Adjustment factor
	1	>= 0%	< 0%	0.0%
	2	>= 0%	< 0%	0.0%
	3	>= 0%	< 0%	0.0%
	4	>= 0%	< 75%	-3.5%
	5	>= 75%	< 90%	0.0%
	6	>= 90%		3.5%
TOTALS		41,419,068	495,918	
A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	SFY08 Grant Award	Staff Score	Range	B x Adj. Factor
Access Alaska	988	85%	5	0
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	609,397	81%	5	0
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	744,977	96%	6	26,074
Alaska Children's Services	140,358	90%	6	4,913
Alaska Family Services	500,281	96%	6	17,510
Alaska Islands Community Services	327,566	96%	6	11,465
Alaska Women's Resource Center (dba Akeela)	856,678	92%	6	29,984
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	284,563	81%	5	0
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	4,472,357	96%	6	156,532
ARC of Anchorage	336,199	90%	6	11,767
Assets	798,814	96%	6	27,958
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	877,137	77%	5	0
Central Peninsula General Hospital	9,883	96%	6	346
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	516,331	94%	6	18,072
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	266,293	67%	4	(9,320)
Communities Organized for Health Options	334,466	88%	5	0
Community Connections	120,065	81%	5	0
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	447,867	94%	6	15,675
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	767,519	94%	6	26,863
Copper River Native Association	234,919	62%	4	(8,222)
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	335,061	92%	6	11,727
Daybreak, Inc	95,608	96%	6	3,346
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	106,736	98%	6	3,736
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	283,806	77%	5	0
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	2,602,628	83%	5	0
Fairbanks Native Association	1,156,895	67%	4	(40,491)
Fairbanks Resource Agency	49,916	83%	5	0
Family Centered Services of Alaska	555,385	98%	6	19,438
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	301,737	96%	6	10,561
Gateway Center for Human Services	1,018,463	73%	4	(35,646)

TOTALS		41,419,068	495,918		
A	B	C	D	E	
Grantee	SFY08 Grant Award	Staff Score	Range	B x Adj. Factor	
Hoonah Indian Association	32,748	73%	4	(1,146)	
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	75,600	79%	5	0	
Interior Aids Association	109,555	94%	6	3,834	
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	1,272,624	96%	6	44,542	
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	850,047	96%	6	29,752	
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	14,247	96%	6	499	
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	66,585	81%	5	0	
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	100	79%	5	0	
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	234,919	96%	6	8,222	
Maniilaq Association	1,254,413	94%	6	43,904	
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	1,671,023	96%	6	58,486	
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	713,506	96%	6	24,973	
North Slope Borough	772,914	81%	5	0	
Norton Sound Health Corporation	979,633	69%	4	(34,287)	
Petersburg Mental Health Services	331,849	81%	5	0	
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center)	1,123,401	81%	5	0	
Providence Kodiak Health System	762,891	96%	6	26,701	
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	313,761	88%	5	0	
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	287,241	85%	5	0	
Rainforest Recovery Center	613,833	81%	5	0	
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	53,764	83%	5	0	
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	2,925,112	81%	5	0	
Seaview Community Services	336,694	96%	6	11,784	
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	813,538	100%	6	28,474	
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	345,822	96%	6	12,104	
Southcentral Foundation	651,742	85%	5	0	
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	571,928	85%	5	0	
Tanana Chiefs Conference	1,229,847	81%	5	0	
Tok Area Counseling Center	233,300	81%	5	0	
Volunteers of America of Alaska	893,478	100%	6	31,272	
Youth Advocates of Sitka	988	100%	6	35	
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	2,729,072	65%	4	(95,518)	
0	0		4		
0	0		4		
0	0		4		
0	0		4		
0	0		4		
0	0		4		
0	0		4		

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table C1: Cost Per Client by Provider Group

Enter a number in cell H5 by which the average cost per client (col. C) will be multiplied to calculate the maximum cost per client (col. D).	AA)		Factor to Calculate Maximum Cost Per Client:		1.5
	BB)		Factor to Calculate Extreme Cost Per Client:		3.0
	A	B	C	D	E
Provider Group	SFY08 Grant Award	FYTD Unduplicated Active Clients *	Average Cost per Client ** (.75 xA) / B)	Maximum Cost Per Client (C x AA)	Extreme Cost Per Client (C x BB)
Rural	7,114,553	3,459	\$ 1,542.62	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85
Urban	15,781,954	8,529	\$ 1,387.79	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37
SA Residential	14,381,007	3,488	\$ 3,092.25	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74
TOTALS	37,277,514	15,476			

* A client is considered active if on the first day of a quarter, s/he is not discharged, is currently enrolled in a program, and has one or more treatment encounter notes in the previous 90 days. FYTD active caseload represents an unduplicated count of clients who were active on the first day of a quarter during the fiscal year in question. For the purposes of this exercise, FYTD active caseload is an unduplicated count through the third quarter.

* * Cost per client calculated using FYTD Active Clients through third quarter SFY2008. As such, calculation for cost-per-client uses only three-quarters of the fiscal year grant award.

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table C2: Cost Per Client Adjustment

Logic: A grantee is assigned to one or more Provider Groups under Column B (U = urban, R = rural, and SA Res = substance abuse residential). The grantee's average cost per client is compared against the maximum and extreme cost per client of its Provider Group.

If the grantee average exceeds the Provider Group maximum but is less than the Provider Group extreme -- or if a grantee's average cost cannot be calculated because no active caseload is reported -- the grantee's SFY08 grant award is multiplied by the maximum cost adjustment factor.

If the grantee average exceeds the Provider group extreme cost the grantee's SFY08 grant award is multiplied by the extreme cost adjustment factor.

Maximum Cost Adjustment Factor: -1.50%

Extreme Cost Adjustment Factor: -3.00%

				TOTALS				41,419,068			(440,197)
A	B			C	D	E	F	G	H		
	Provider Group										
Grantee	Urban or Rural	SA Res		SFY08 Grant Award	Average Cost Per Client	Group Maximum Cost per Client	Group Extreme Cost per Client	Adjustment (C x Adj. Factor)	CSR Score		
Access Alaska	U		U	988	\$ 18.53	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		80%		
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	U	Yes	SA	1,466,075	\$ 11,697.41	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(43,982)	50%		
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	U	Yes	SA	744,977	\$ 13,303.16	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(22,349)	79%		
Alaska Children's Services	U		U	140,358	\$ 459.69	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		57%		
Alaska Family Services	U		U	500,281	\$ 1,576.52	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		70%		
Alaska Islands Community Services	R		R	327,566	\$ 3,722.34	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(4,913)	67%		
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	R		R	284,563	\$ 2,072.06	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		63%		
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	U		U	4,472,357	\$ 1,455.21	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		65%		
ARC of Anchorage	U		U	336,199	\$ 3,232.68	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(5,043)	70%		
Assets	U		U	798,814	\$ 7,218.20	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(23,964)	0%		
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	R	Yes	SA	877,137	\$ 4,732.75	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(13,157)	100%		
Central Peninsula General Hospital	R	Yes	SA	9,883	\$ 142.54	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74		79%		
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	U		U	516,331	\$ 447.17	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		56%		
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	R		R	266,293	\$ 5,255.78	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(7,989)	50%		
Communities Organized for Health Options	R		R	334,466	\$ 2,668.61	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(5,017)	100%		
Community Connections	U		U	120,065	\$ 511.64	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		72%		
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	R		R	447,867	\$ 1,208.27	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		70%		
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	U	Yes	SA	767,519	\$ 23,984.97	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(23,026)	79%		
Copper River Native Association	R		R	234,919	\$ 2,669.53	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(3,524)	67%		
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	R		R	335,061	\$ 5,346.72	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(10,052)	83%		
Daybreak, Inc	U		U	95,608	\$ 1,086.45	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		61%		
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	U		U	106,736		\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(1,601)	0%		
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	R		R	283,806	\$ 4,093.36	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(4,257)	33%		
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	U		U	2,602,628	\$ 1,018.24	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		100%		
Fairbanks Native Association	U	Yes	SA	1,156,895	\$ 15,775.84	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(34,707)	72%		
Fairbanks Resource Agency	U		U	49,916		\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(749)	0%		
Family Centered Services of Alaska	U		U	555,385		\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(8,331)	69%		
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	U		U	301,737	\$ 1,479.10	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		81%		
Gateway Center for Human Services	U	Yes	SA	1,018,463	\$ 3,487.89	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74		67%		
Hoonah Indian Association	R		R	32,748		\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(491)	0%		
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	U		U	75,600	\$ 1,492.11	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		63%		
Interior Aids Association	U		U	109,555	\$ 2,220.71	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(1,643)	68%		
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	U		U	1,272,624	\$ 3,483.46	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(19,089)	71%		
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	U		U	850,047	\$ 1,371.04	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		0%		
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	U		U	14,247	\$ 381.62	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		0%		
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	U		U	66,585	\$ 314.08	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		59%		

Attachment A: Performance-Based Funding Tables

				TOTALS				41,419,068			(440,197)	
A Grantee	B Provider Group			C SFY08 Grant Award	D Average Cost Per Client	E Group Maximum Cost per Client	F Group Extreme Cost per Client	G Adjustment (C x Adj. Factor)	H CSR Score			
	Urban or Rural	SA Res										
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	U		U	100		\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(2)	0%			
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	R		R	234,919	\$ 1,761.89	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		78%			
Manillaq Association	R	Yes	SA	1,254,413	\$ 4,800.05	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(18,816)	62%			
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	U		U	1,671,023	\$ 1,714.46	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		0%			
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	U		U	713,506	\$ 4,386.31	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(21,405)	81%			
North Slope Borough	R		R	772,914	\$ 3,035.00	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(11,594)	63%			
Norton Sound Health Corporation	R		R	979,633	\$ 1,632.72	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		75%			
Petersburg Mental Health Services	R		R	331,849	\$ 932.16	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		85%			
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cen	U		U	1,123,401	\$ 1,607.92	\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37		0%			
Providence Kodiak Health System	R		R	762,891	\$ 1,765.95	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		64%			
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	R		R	313,761	\$ 822.80	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		66%			
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	R		R	287,241	\$ 107,715.38	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(8,617)	75%			
Rainforest Recovery Center	U	Yes	SA	613,833		\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(9,207)	0%			
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	U		U	53,764		\$ 2,081.69	\$ 4,163.37	(806)	60%			
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	U	Yes	SA	2,925,112	\$ 5,403.53	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(43,877)	78%			
Seaview Community Services	R		R	336,694	\$ 935.26	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		59%			
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	R	Yes	SA	813,538	\$ 4,693.49	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(12,203)	69%			
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	R		R	345,822	\$ 371.05	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		55%			
Southcentral Foundation	U	Yes	SA	651,742	\$ 283.86	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74		59%			
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	R	Yes	SA	571,928	\$ 3,935.28	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74		97%			
Tanana Chiefs Conference	R	Yes	SA	1,229,847	\$ 5,658.81	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(18,448)	58%			
Tok Area Counseling Center	R		R	233,300	\$ 34,995.00	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85	(6,999)	89%			
Volunteers of America of Alaska	U	Yes	SA	893,478	\$ 4,891.30	\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(13,402)	60%			
Youth Advocates of Sitka	R		R	988	\$ 6.12	\$ 2,313.93	\$ 4,627.85		71%			
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	R	Yes	SA	2,729,072		\$ 4,638.37	\$ 9,276.74	(40,936)	0%			

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
 SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise

Table D: Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate Adjustment

Logic: SA Utilization scores are calculated based on the actual number of bed days purchased in a year. If a grantee's score is above the threshold score, an adjustment is calculated by multiplying the SFY08 grant award by the adjustment factor.		Adjustment Factor: 1.00%		
		Threshold Score: 85%		
TOTALS		41,419,068		123,376
A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	SFY08 Grant Award	Substance Abuse Residential Provider	Bed Utilization Rate	Adjustment (B x Adj. Factor)
Access Alaska	988			
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	1,466,075	Yes	98.6%	14,661
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	744,977	Yes	91.8%	7,450
Alaska Children's Services	140,358			
Alaska Family Services	500,281			
Alaska Islands Community Services	327,566			
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	284,563			
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	4,472,357			
ARC of Anchorage	336,199		168.4%	
Assets	798,814			
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	877,137	Yes	207.4%	8,771
Central Peninsula General Hospital	9,883	Yes	106.6%	99
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	516,331			
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	266,293			
Communities Organized for Health Options	334,466			
Community Connections	120,065			
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	447,867			
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	767,519	Yes	147.6%	7,675
Copper River Native Association	234,919			
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	335,061			
Daybreak, Inc	95,608			
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	106,736			
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	283,806			
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	2,602,628			
Fairbanks Native Association	1,156,895	Yes	99.1%	11,569
Fairbanks Resource Agency	49,916			
Family Centered Services of Alaska	555,385			
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	301,737			
Gateway Center for Human Services	1,018,463	Yes	86.4%	10,185
Hoonah Indian Association	32,748			

A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	SFY08 Grant Award	Substance Abuse Residential Provider	Bed Utilization Rate	Adjustment (B x Adj. Factor)
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	75,600			
Interior Aids Association	109,555			
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	1,272,624			
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	850,047			
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	14,247			
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	66,585			
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	100			
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	234,919			
Manilaq Association	1,254,413	Yes	147.4%	12,544
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	1,671,023			
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	713,506			
North Slope Borough	772,914			
Norton Sound Health Corporation	979,633			
Petersburg Mental Health Services	331,849			
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center)	1,123,401			
Providence Kodiak Health System	762,891			
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	313,761			
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	287,241			
Rainforest Recovery Center	613,833	Yes	0.0%	
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	53,764			
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	2,925,112	Yes	93.8%	29,251
Seaview Community Services	336,694			
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	813,538	Yes	79.1%	
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	345,822			
Southcentral Foundation	651,742	Yes	326.0%	6,517
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	571,928	Yes	87.8%	5,719
Tanana Chiefs Conference	1,229,847	Yes	0.0%	
Tok Area Counseling Center	233,300			
Volunteers of America of Alaska	893,478	Yes	90.2%	8,935
Youth Advocates of Sitka	988			
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	2,729,072	Yes	25.0%	
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			
0	0			

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table E: BHCS Administration Adjustment

Logic: Grantees are required to distribute the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey to consumers. An adjustment is made to base funding if a grantee does not submit a completed FY2008 BHCS Distribution Form to DBH and/or does not distributed BHCS surveys to consumers.		Adjustment Factor: -1.50%	
TOTALS		41,419,068	(26,156)
A	B	C	D
Grantee	SFY08 Grant Award	Staff Score	Adjustment (B x Adj. Factor)
Access Alaska	988	Y	
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	1,466,075	N	(21,991)
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	744,977	Y	
Alaska Children's Services	140,358	Y	
Alaska Family Services	500,281	Y	
Alaska Islands Community Services	327,566	Y	
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	284,563	Y	
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	4,472,357	Y	
ARC of Anchorage	336,199	Y	
Assets	798,814	Y	
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	877,137	Y	
Central Peninsula General Hospital	9,883	N	(148)
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	516,331	Y	
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	266,293	Y	
Communities Organized for Health Options	334,466	Y	
Community Connections	120,065	Y	
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	447,867	Y	
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	767,519	Y	
Copper River Native Association	234,919	N	(3,524)
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	335,061	Y	
Daybreak, Inc	95,608	Y	
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	106,736	Y	
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	283,806	Y	
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	2,602,628	Y	
Fairbanks Native Association	1,156,895	Y	
Fairbanks Resource Agency	49,916	Y	
Family Centered Services of Alaska	555,385	Y	
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	301,737	Y	
Gateway Center for Human Services	1,018,463	Y	
Hoonah Indian Association	32,748	N	(491)
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	75,600	Y	
Interior Aids Association	109,555	Y	

TOTALS			
	41,419,068		(26,156)
A	B	C	D
Grantee	SFY08 Grant Award	Staff Score	Adjustment (B x Adj. Factor)
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	1,272,624	Y	
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	850,047	Y	
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	14,247	Y	
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	66,585	Y	
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	100	N	(2)
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	234,919	Y	
Maniilaq Association	1,254,413	Y	
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	1,671,023	Y	
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	713,506	Y	
North Slope Borough	772,914	Y	
Norton Sound Health Corporation	979,633	Y	
Petersburg Mental Health Services	331,849	Y	
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center)	1,123,401	Y	
Providence Kodiak Health System	762,891	Y	
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	313,761	Y	
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	287,241	Y	
Rainforest Recovery Center	613,833	Y	
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	53,764	Y	
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	2,925,112	Y	
Seaview Community Services	336,694	Y	
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	813,538	Y	
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	345,822	Y	
Southcentral Foundation	651,742	Y	
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	571,928	Y	
Tanana Chiefs Conference	1,229,847	Y	
Tok Area Counseling Center	233,300	Y	
Volunteers of America of Alaska	893,478	Y	
Youth Advocates of Sitka	988	Y	
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	2,729,072	Y	
	0		
	0		
	0		
	0		
	0		
	0		
	0		
	0		
	0		

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise

Table F: Record Completeness Adjustment

<p>Logic: Generally, every client admission requires completion of two forms: an AST and an intake CSR. A completed admission can be expressed as two record ratios: an AST record ratio of 100% (1 AST/1 Admission record), and a CSR record ratio of 100% (1 Non-emergency CSR/1 Admission record). The spreadsheet user can define ranges associated with record ratios under the column headed "Low". Example: 0% to 75%; 75% to 150%, etc. The user then assigns an adjustment factor to each defined range under the column headed "Adjustment Factor." For each of the two ratios, a provider's grant award will be adjusted by multiplying the SFY08 grant award with the adjustment factor of the ranges into which its record ratios fall (columns H and L).</p>										Range	Low	High	Adjustment factor
1	>=	0%	<	0%	0.0%								
2	>=	0%	<	0%	0.0%								
3	>=	0%	<	20%	-0.5%								
4	>=	20%	<	50%	-0.3%								
5	>=	50%	<	85%	0.0%								
6	>=	85%			0.3%								
TOTALS		41,419,068	9,993		7,890	60,544	6,315		9,333	69,877			
		Admissions			AST				CSR				
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
Grantee	Current Year Grant Award	Non-Detox Admissions	Detox Admissions	TOTAL Admissions (C + D)	AST's	Record Ratio F / E	Range	B x Adj. Factor	Intake CSR's	Record Ratio J / C	Range	B x Adj. Factor	G + K
Access Alaska	988	13	0	13	12	92%	6	3	12	92%	6	3	6
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	1,466,075	89	0	89	99	111%	6	4,398	80	90%	6	4,398	8,796
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	744,977	73	0	73	35	48%	4	(2,235)	33	45%	4	(2,235)	(4,470)
Alaska Children's Services	140,358	71	0	71	65	92%	6	421	60	85%	5	0	421
Alaska Family Services	500,281	384	0	384	364	95%	6	1,501	212	55%	5	0	1,501
Alaska Islands Community Services	327,566	127	0	127	137	108%	6	983	4	3%	3	(1,638)	(655)
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	284,563	96	0	96	97	101%	6	854	91	95%	6	854	1,707
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	4,472,357	248	0	248	308	124%	6	13,417	252	102%	6	13,417	26,834
ARC of Anchorage	336,199	16	0	16	14	88%	6	1,009	12	75%	5	0	1,009
Assets	798,814	5	0	5	3	60%	5	0	2	40%	4	(2,396)	(2,396)
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	877,137	192	0	192	179	93%	6	2,631	137	71%	5	0	2,631
Central Peninsula General Hospital	9,883	82	0	82	75	91%	6	30	80	98%	6	30	59
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	516,331	314	0	314	34	11%	3	(2,582)	159	51%	5	0	(2,582)
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	266,293	55	0	55	35	64%	5	0	37	67%	5	0	0
Communities Organized for Health Options	334,466	75	0	75	67	89%	6	1,003	50	67%	5	0	1,003
Community Connections	120,065	75	0	75	64	85%	6	360	63	84%	5	0	360
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	447,867	194	0	194	168	87%	6	1,344	169	87%	6	1,344	2,687
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	767,519	68	83	151	21	14%	3	(3,838)	19	28%	4	(2,303)	(6,140)
Copper River Native Association	234,919	43	0	43	46	107%	6	705	41	95%	6	705	1,410
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	335,061	65	0	65	47	72%	5	0	61	94%	6	1,005	1,005
Daybreak, Inc	95,608	10	0	10	10	100%	6	287	10	100%	6	287	574
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	106,736	0	0	0	0		3	(534)	0		3	(534)	(1,067)
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	283,806	60	0	60	42	70%	5	0	42	70%	5	0	0
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	2,602,628	300	0	300	349	116%	6	7,808	245	82%	5	0	7,808
Fairbanks Native Association	1,156,895	142	850	992	101	10%	3	(5,784)	53	37%	4	(3,471)	(9,255)
Fairbanks Resource Agency	49,916	0	0	0	0		3	(250)	0		3	(250)	(499)
Family Centered Services of Alaska	555,385	84	0	84	48	57%	5	0	52	62%	5	0	0
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	301,737	176	0	176	163	93%	6	905	172	98%	6	905	1,810
Gateway Center for Human Services	1,018,463	358	55	413	340	82%	5	0	316	88%	6	3,055	3,055
Hoonah Indian Association	32,748	0	0	0	0		3	(164)	0		3	(164)	(327)
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	75,600	14	0	14	11	79%	5	0	13	93%	6	227	227
Interior Aids Association	109,555	14	0	14	8	57%	5	0	10	71%	5	0	0

Attachment A: Performance-Based Funding Tables

		TOTALS	41,419,068	9,993		7,890	60,544			6,315	9,333			69,877
		Admissions			AST				CSR					
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	
Grantee	Current Year Grant Award	Non-Detox Admissions	Detox Admissions	TOTAL Admissions (C + D)	AST's	Record Ratio F/E	Range	B x Adj. Factor	Intake CSR's	Record Ratio J/C	Range	B x Adj. Factor	G + K	
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	1,272,624	122	0	122	116	95%	6	3,818	113	93%	6	3,818	7,636	
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	850,047	208	0	208	328	158%	6	2,550	0	0%	3	(4,250)	(1,700)	
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	14,247	27	0	27	27	100%	6	43	27	100%	6	43	85	
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	66,585	157	0	157	158	101%	6	200	71	45%	4	(200)	0	
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	100	5	0	5	6	120%	6	0	4	80%	5	0	0	
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	234,919	75	0	75	76	101%	6	705	73	97%	6	705	1,410	
Maniilaq Association	1,254,413	287	0	287	262	91%	6	3,763	171	60%	5	0	3,763	
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	1,671,023	386	0	386	268	69%	5	0	267	69%	5	0	0	
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	713,506	149	0	149	44	30%	4	(2,141)	47	32%	4	(2,141)	(4,281)	
North Slope Borough	772,914	203	0	203	171	84%	5	0	130	64%	5	0	0	
Norton Sound Health Corporation	979,633	377	0	377	329	87%	6	2,939	314	83%	5	0	2,939	
Petersburg Mental Health Services	331,849	146	0	146	138	95%	6	996	142	97%	6	996	1,991	
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cen	1,123,401	310	0	310	118	38%	4	(3,370)	1	0%	3	(5,617)	(8,987)	
Providence Kodiak Health System	762,891	312	0	312	222	71%	5	0	219	70%	5	0	0	
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	313,761	149	0	149	134	90%	6	941	140	94%	6	941	1,883	
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	287,241	20	0	20	38	190%	6	862	32	160%	6	862	1,723	
Rainforest Recovery Center	613,833	104	63	167	168	101%	6	1,841	0	0%	3	(3,069)	(1,228)	
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	53,764	12	0	12	9	75%	5	0	7	58%	5	0	0	
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	2,925,112	428	0	428	418	98%	6	8,775	331	77%	5	0	8,775	
Seaview Community Services	336,694	149	0	149	146	98%	6	1,010	131	88%	6	1,010	2,020	
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	813,538	226	0	226	193	85%	6	2,441	166	73%	5	0	2,441	
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	345,822	207	0	207	203	98%	6	1,037	199	96%	6	1,037	2,075	
Southcentral Foundation	651,742	823	0	823	808	98%	6	1,955	791	96%	6	1,955	3,910	
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	571,928	194	0	194	183	94%	6	1,716	144	74%	5	0	1,716	
Tanana Chiefs Conference	1,229,847	141	0	141	82	58%	5	0	86	61%	5	0	0	
Tok Area Counseling Center	233,300	53	0	53	38	72%	5	0	38	72%	5	0	0	
Volunteers of America of Alaska	893,478	140	0	140	106	76%	5	0	116	83%	5	0	0	
Youth Advocates of Sitka	988	44	0	44	39	89%	6	3	45	102%	6	3	6	
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	2,729,072	45	0	45	120	267%	6	8,187	23	51%	5	0	8,187	
0	0	0					3	0			3	0		
0	0	0					3	0			3	0		
0	0	0					3	0			3	0		
0	0	0					3	0			3	0		
0	0	0					3	0			3	0		
0	0	0					3	0			3	0		
0	0	0					3	0			3	0		

**DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table G: CSR Score / Episode Count Adjustment**

Logic: Agencies with a CSR Score of < 50 % will have a 1.0 % decrement applied. Agencies with a CSR Score of >= 75% and with an Episode Count of > 10 will have a 1.5 % increment applied.	Range	Low	High	Adjustment factor
	1	>= 0%	< 0%	0.0%
	2	>= 0%	< 0%	0.0%
	3	>= 0%	< 0%	0.0%
	4	>= 0%	< 50%	-1.0%
	5	>= 50%	< 75%	0.0%
	6	>= 75%		1.5%
TOTALS	41,419,068		21,325	

A	B	C	D	E	F
Grantee	SFY08 Year Grant Award	Episode Count	CSR Score	Range	E x Adj. Factor
Access Alaska	988	20	80%	6	15
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	1,466,075	10	50%	5	0
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	744,977	28	79%	6	11,175
Alaska Children's Services	140,358	30	57%	5	0
Alaska Family Services	500,281	155	70%	5	0
Alaska Islands Community Services	327,566	3	67%	5	0
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	284,563	32	63%	5	0
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	4,472,357	49	65%	5	0
ARC of Anchorage	336,199	20	70%	5	0
Assets	798,814	0	0%	4	(7,988)
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	877,137	2	100%	6	0
Central Peninsula General Hospital	9,883	56	79%	6	148
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	516,331	9	56%	5	0
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	266,293	8	50%	5	0
Communities Organized for Health Options	334,466	1	100%	6	0
Community Connections	120,065	65	72%	5	0
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	447,867	54	70%	5	0
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	767,519	14	79%	6	11,513
Copper River Native Association	234,919	6	67%	5	0
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	335,061	42	83%	6	5,026
Daybreak, Inc	95,608	31	61%	5	0
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	106,736	0	0%	4	(1,067)
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	283,806	9	33%	4	(2,838)
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	2,602,628	7	100%	6	0
Fairbanks Native Association	1,156,895	25	72%	5	0
Fairbanks Resource Agency	49,916	0	0%	4	(499)
Family Centered Services of Alaska	555,385	70	69%	5	0
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	301,737	36	81%	6	4,526
Gateway Center for Human Services	1,018,463	39	67%	5	0
Hoonah Indian Association	32,748	0	0%	4	(327)

TOTALS				21,325	
A	B	C	D	E	F
Grantee	SFY08 Year Grant Award	Episode Count	CSR Score	Range	E x Adj. Factor
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	75,600	16	63%	5	0
Interior Aids Association	109,555	19	68%	5	0
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	1,272,624	49	71%	5	0
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	850,047	0	0%	4	(8,500)
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	14,247	0	0%	4	(142)
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	66,585	37	59%	5	0
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	100	0	0%	4	(1)
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	234,919	50	78%	6	3,524
Maniilaq Association	1,254,413	13	62%	5	0
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	1,671,023	0	0%	4	(16,710)
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	713,506	32	81%	6	10,703
North Slope Borough	772,914	30	63%	5	0
Norton Sound Health Corporation	979,633	4	75%	6	0
Petersburg Mental Health Services	331,849	140	85%	6	4,978
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cente	1,123,401	0	0%	4	(11,234)
Providence Kodiak Health System	762,891	25	64%	5	0
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	313,761	85	66%	5	0
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	287,241	4	75%	6	0
Rainforest Recovery Center	613,833	0	0%	4	(6,138)
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	53,764	5	60%	5	0
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	2,925,112	202	78%	6	43,877
Seaview Community Services	336,694	82	59%	5	0
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	813,538	39	69%	5	0
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	345,822	60	55%	5	0
Southcentral Foundation	651,742	139	59%	5	0
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	571,928	38	97%	6	8,579
Tanana Chiefs Conference	1,229,847	52	58%	5	0
Tok Area Counseling Center	233,300	9	89%	6	0
Volunteers of America of Alaska	893,478	20	60%	5	0
Youth Advocates of Sitka	988	34	71%	5	0
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	2,729,072	0	0%	4	(27,291)
0	0			4	0
0	0			4	0
0	0			4	0
0	0			6	
0	0			6	
0	0			6	
0	0			6	

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
 SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding
 Exercise
**Table H: SFY09 Calculated Base
 Award Summary**

Provider Name	A	B	C									
	SFY09 Calculated Base Award	SFY08 Grant Award	Factor Summary									
			c1	c2	c3	c4	c5	c6	c7	c8	c9	c10
Staff Score	Cost Per Client	SA Utilization Rate	BHCS	Record Completeness	CSR Score	TOTAL Decrements	TOTAL Increments	Net Change (c7 + c8)	% Change to SFY08 (c9 / B)			
TOTALS	\$ 41,663,211	\$ 41,419,068	\$ 495,918	\$ (440,197)	\$ 123,376	\$ (26,156)	\$ 69,877	\$ 21,325	\$ (817,310)	\$ 1,061,452	\$ 244,143	0.59%
<i>Average (excluding \$0 values)</i>			\$ 13,050	\$ (13,756)	\$ 10,281	\$ (5,231)	\$ 1,426	\$ 927	\$ (20,433)	\$ 21,229	\$ 4,138	
<i>Standard Deviation (excluding \$0 values)</i>			\$ 36,023	\$ 12,695	\$ 7,028	\$ 9,480	\$ 5,259	\$ 13,086	\$ 29,147	\$ 30,205	\$ 36,459	
	B + (C1 to C6)											
Access Alaska	\$ 1,009	\$ 988	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6	\$ 15	\$ -	\$ 21	\$ 21	2.10%
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	\$ 1,453,543	\$ 1,466,075	\$ 29,984	\$ (43,982)	\$ 14,661	\$ (21,991)	\$ 8,796	\$ -	\$ (65,973)	\$ 53,441	\$ (12,532)	-0.85%
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	\$ 762,866	\$ 744,977	\$ 26,074	\$ (22,349)	\$ 7,450	\$ -	\$ (4,470)	\$ 11,175	\$ (26,819)	\$ 44,699	\$ 17,879	2.40%
Alaska Children's Services	\$ 145,692	\$ 140,358	\$ 4,913	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 421	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,334	\$ 5,334	3.80%
Alaska Family Services	\$ 519,292	\$ 500,281	\$ 17,510	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,501	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,011	\$ 19,011	3.80%
Alaska Islands Community Services	\$ 333,462	\$ 327,566	\$ 11,465	\$ (4,913)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (655)	\$ -	\$ (5,569)	\$ 11,465	\$ 5,896	1.80%
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	\$ 286,270	\$ 284,563	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,707	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,707	\$ 1,707	0.60%
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	\$ 4,655,724	\$ 4,472,357	\$ 156,532	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26,834	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 183,367	\$ 183,367	4.10%
ARC of Anchorage	\$ 343,932	\$ 336,199	\$ 11,767	\$ (5,043)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,009	\$ -	\$ (5,043)	\$ 12,776	\$ 7,733	2.30%
Assets	\$ 792,423	\$ 798,814	\$ 27,958	\$ (23,964)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,396)	\$ (7,988)	\$ (34,349)	\$ 27,958	\$ (6,391)	-0.80%
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	\$ 875,383	\$ 877,137	\$ -	\$ (13,157)	\$ 8,771	\$ -	\$ 2,631	\$ -	\$ (13,157)	\$ 11,403	\$ (1,754)	-0.20%
Central Peninsula General Hospital	\$ 10,387	\$ 9,883	\$ 346	\$ -	\$ 99	\$ (148)	\$ 59	\$ 148	\$ (148)	\$ 652	\$ 504	5.10%
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	\$ 531,821	\$ 516,331	\$ 18,072	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,582)	\$ -	\$ (2,582)	\$ 18,072	\$ 15,490	3.00%
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	\$ 248,984	\$ 266,293	\$ (9,320)	\$ (7,989)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (17,309)	\$ -	\$ (17,309)	-6.50%
Communities Organized for Health Options	\$ 330,452	\$ 334,466	\$ -	\$ (5,017)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,003	\$ -	\$ (5,017)	\$ 1,003	\$ (4,014)	-1.20%
Community Connections	\$ 120,425	\$ 120,065	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 360	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 360	\$ 360	0.30%
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	\$ 466,230	\$ 447,867	\$ 15,675	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,687	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,363	\$ 18,363	4.10%
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	\$ 784,404	\$ 767,519	\$ 26,863	\$ (23,026)	\$ 7,675	\$ -	\$ (6,140)	\$ 11,513	\$ (29,166)	\$ 46,051	\$ 16,885	2.20%
Copper River Native Association	\$ 221,059	\$ 234,919	\$ (8,222)	\$ (3,524)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,410	\$ -	\$ (15,270)	\$ 1,410	\$ (13,860)	-5.90%
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	\$ 342,767	\$ 335,061	\$ 11,727	\$ (10,052)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,005	\$ 5,026	\$ (10,052)	\$ 17,758	\$ 7,706	2.30%
Daybreak, Inc	\$ 99,528	\$ 95,608	\$ 3,346	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 574	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,920	\$ 3,920	4.10%
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	\$ 106,736	\$ 106,736	\$ 3,736	\$ (1,601)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,067)	\$ (1,067)	\$ (3,736)	\$ 3,736	\$ -	0.00%
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	\$ 276,711	\$ 283,806	\$ -	\$ (4,257)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,838)	\$ (7,095)	\$ -	\$ (7,095)	-2.50%
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	\$ 2,610,436	\$ 2,602,628	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,808	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,808	\$ 7,808	0.30%
Fairbanks Native Association	\$ 1,084,011	\$ 1,156,895	\$ (40,491)	\$ (34,707)	\$ 11,569	\$ -	\$ (9,255)	\$ -	\$ (84,453)	\$ 11,569	\$ (72,884)	-6.30%
Fairbanks Resource Agency	\$ 48,169	\$ 49,916	\$ -	\$ (749)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (499)	\$ (499)	\$ (1,747)	\$ -	\$ (1,747)	-3.50%
Family Centered Services of Alaska	\$ 566,493	\$ 555,385	\$ 19,438	\$ (8,331)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (8,331)	\$ 19,438	\$ 11,108	2.00%
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	\$ 318,634	\$ 301,737	\$ 10,561	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,810	\$ 4,526	\$ -	\$ 16,897	\$ 16,897	5.60%
Gateway Center for Human Services	\$ 996,057	\$ 1,018,463	\$ (35,646)	\$ -	\$ 10,185	\$ -	\$ 3,055	\$ -	\$ (35,646)	\$ 13,240	\$ (22,406)	-2.20%
Hoonah Indian Association	\$ 29,964	\$ 32,748	\$ (1,146)	\$ (491)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (327)	\$ (327)	\$ (2,784)	\$ -	\$ (2,784)	-8.50%
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	\$ 75,827	\$ 75,600	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 227	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 227	\$ 227	0.30%
Interior Aids Association	\$ 111,746	\$ 109,555	\$ 3,834	\$ (1,643)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,643)	\$ 3,834	\$ 2,191	2.00%
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	\$ 1,305,712	\$ 1,272,624	\$ 44,542	\$ (19,089)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,636	\$ -	\$ (19,089)	\$ 52,178	\$ 33,088	2.60%
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	\$ 869,598	\$ 850,047	\$ 29,752	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,700)	\$ (8,500)	\$ (10,201)	\$ 29,752	\$ 19,551	2.30%
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	\$ 14,689	\$ 14,247	\$ 499	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 85	\$ (142)	\$ (142)	\$ 584	\$ 442	3.10%
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	\$ 66,585	\$ 66,585	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	0.00%
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	\$ 96	\$ 100	\$ -	\$ (2)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2)	\$ 0	\$ (1)	\$ 0	\$ (4)	-3.70%
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	\$ 248,074	\$ 234,919	\$ 8,222	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,410	\$ 3,524	\$ -	\$ 13,155	\$ 13,155	5.60%
Manilaq Association	\$ 1,295,809	\$ 1,254,413	\$ 43,904	\$ (18,816)	\$ 12,544	\$ -	\$ 3,763	\$ -	\$ (18,816)	\$ 60,212	\$ 41,396	3.30%
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	\$ 1,712,799	\$ 1,671,023	\$ 58,486	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (16,710)	\$ (16,710)	\$ 58,486	\$ 41,776	2.50%
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	\$ 723,495	\$ 713,506	\$ 24,973	\$ (21,405)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (4,281)	\$ 10,703	\$ (25,686)	\$ 35,675	\$ 9,989	1.40%
North Slope Borough	\$ 761,320	\$ 772,914	\$ -	\$ (11,594)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (11,594)	\$ -	\$ (11,594)	-1.50%
Norton Sound Health Corporation	\$ 948,285	\$ 979,633	\$ (34,287)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,939	\$ -	\$ (34,287)	\$ 2,939	\$ (31,348)	-3.20%
Petersburg Mental Health Services	\$ 338,818	\$ 331,849	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,991	\$ 4,978	\$ -	\$ 6,969	\$ 6,969	2.10%
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center)	\$ 1,103,180	\$ 1,123,401	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (8,987)	\$ (11,234)	\$ (20,221)	\$ -	\$ (20,221)	-1.80%
Providence Kodiak Health System	\$ 789,592	\$ 762,891	\$ 26,701	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26,701	\$ 26,701	3.50%
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	\$ 315,644	\$ 313,761	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,883	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,883	\$ 1,883	0.60%
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	\$ 280,347	\$ 287,241	\$ -	\$ (8,617)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,723	\$ -	\$ (8,617)	\$ 1,723	\$ (6,894)	-2.40%
Rainforest Recovery Center	\$ 597,260	\$ 613,833	\$ -	\$ (9,207)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,228)	\$ (6,138)	\$ (16,573)	\$ -	\$ (16,573)	-2.70%
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	\$ 52,958	\$ 53,764	\$ -	\$ (806)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (806)	-1.50%
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	\$ 2,963,138	\$ 2,925,112	\$ -	\$ (43,877)	\$ 29,251	\$ -	\$ 8,775	\$ 43,877	\$ (43,877)	\$ 81,903	\$ 38,026	1.30%

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
 SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table H: SFY09 Calculated Base Award Summary

		A	B	C									
		SFY09 Calculated Base Award	SFY08 Grant Award	Factor Summary									
TOTALS		\$ 41,663,211	\$ 41,419,068	\$ 495,918	\$ (440,197)	\$ 123,376	\$ (26,156)	\$ 69,877	\$ 21,325	\$ (817,310)	\$ 1,061,452	\$ 244,143	0.59%
Average (excluding \$0 values)		\$ 13,050	\$ (13,756)	\$ 10,281	\$ (5,231)	\$ 1,426	\$ 927	\$ (20,433)	\$ 21,229	\$ 4,138			
Standard Deviation (excluding \$0 values)		\$ 36,023	\$ 12,695	\$ 7,028	\$ 9,480	\$ 5,259	\$ 13,086	\$ 29,147	\$ 30,205	\$ 36,459			
Provider Name	B + (C1 to C6)			c1	c2	c3	c4	c5	c6	c7	c8	c9	c10
				Staff Score	Cost Per Client	SA Utilization Rate	BHCS	Record Completeness	CSR Score	TOTAL Decrements	TOTAL Increments	Net Change (c7 + c8)	% Change to SFY08 (c9 / B)
Seaview Community Services	\$ 350,498	\$ 336,694	\$ 11,784					\$ 2,020	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13,804	\$ 13,804	4.10%
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	\$ 832,249	\$ 813,538	\$ 28,474	\$ (12,203)				\$ 2,441	\$ -	\$ (12,203)	\$ 30,914	\$ 18,711	2.30%
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	\$ 360,001	\$ 345,822	\$ 12,104					\$ 2,075	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,179	\$ 14,179	4.10%
Southcentral Foundation	\$ 662,170	\$ 651,742	\$ -			\$ 6,517		\$ 3,910	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,428	\$ 10,428	1.60%
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	\$ 587,942	\$ 571,928	\$ -			\$ 5,719		\$ 1,716	\$ 8,579	\$ -	\$ 16,014	\$ 16,014	2.80%
Tanana Chiefs Conference	\$ 1,211,399	\$ 1,229,847	\$ -	\$ (18,448)				\$ -	\$ (18,448)	\$ -	\$ (18,448)	\$ -	-1.50%
Tok Area Counseling Center	\$ 226,301	\$ 233,300	\$ -	\$ (6,999)				\$ -	\$ (6,999)	\$ -	\$ (6,999)	\$ -	-3.00%
Volunteers of America of Alaska	\$ 920,282	\$ 893,478	\$ 31,272	\$ (13,402)	\$ 8,935			\$ -	\$ (13,402)	\$ 40,207	\$ 26,804	\$ 26,804	3.00%
Youth Advocates of Sitka	\$ 1,029	\$ 988	\$ 35					\$ 6	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 41	\$ 41	4.10%
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	\$ 2,573,515	\$ 2,729,072	\$ (95,518)	\$ (40,936)				\$ 8,187	\$ (27,291)	\$ (163,744)	\$ 8,187	\$ (155,557)	-5.70%
	\$ -							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	\$ -							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	\$ -							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	\$ -							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	\$ -							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	\$ -							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
	\$ -							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table I: SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award Summary

(a) SFY09 Available Funding for Comprehensive \$ **41,419,200**
 (b) SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award Total \$ **41,419,200**

		A			B	
		SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award			SFY09 Calculated Base Awards	
TOTALS		\$ 41,419,200	\$ 132	0.0%	\$ 41,663,211	100.0%
Provider Name	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	
	SFY09 Available Funding (B2 x a)	\$ Change from SFY08 Award	% Change from SFY08 Award	(SFY09 Base Funding Summary, Column A)	Percent of Whole	
Access Alaska	\$ 1,003	\$ 15	1.5%	\$ 1,009	0.0%	
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	\$ 1,445,030	\$ (21,045)	-1.4%	\$ 1,453,543	3.5%	
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	\$ 758,389	\$ 13,412	1.8%	\$ 762,856	1.8%	
Alaska Children's Services	\$ 144,838	\$ 4,480	3.2%	\$ 145,692	0.3%	
Alaska Family Services	\$ 516,250	\$ 15,969	3.2%	\$ 519,292	1.2%	
Alaska Islands Community Services	\$ 331,509	\$ 3,943	1.2%	\$ 333,462	0.8%	
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	\$ 284,594	\$ 31	0.0%	\$ 286,270	0.7%	
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	\$ 4,628,456	\$ 156,099	3.5%	\$ 4,655,724	11.2%	
ARC of Anchorage	\$ 341,917	\$ 5,718	1.7%	\$ 343,932	0.8%	
Assets	\$ 787,782	\$ (11,032)	-1.4%	\$ 792,423	1.9%	
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	\$ 870,256	\$ (6,881)	-0.8%	\$ 875,383	2.1%	
Central Peninsula General Hospital	\$ 10,326	\$ 443	4.5%	\$ 10,387	0.0%	
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	\$ 528,706	\$ 12,375	2.4%	\$ 531,821	1.3%	
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	\$ 247,526	\$ (18,767)	-7.0%	\$ 248,984	0.6%	
Communities Organized for Health Options	\$ 328,517	\$ (5,949)	-1.8%	\$ 330,452	0.8%	
Community Connections	\$ 119,720	\$ (345)	-0.3%	\$ 120,425	0.3%	
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	\$ 463,499	\$ 15,632	3.5%	\$ 466,230	1.1%	
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	\$ 779,810	\$ 12,291	1.6%	\$ 784,404	1.9%	
Copper River Native Association	\$ 219,764	\$ (15,155)	-6.5%	\$ 221,059	0.5%	
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	\$ 340,760	\$ 5,699	1.7%	\$ 342,767	0.8%	
Daybreak, Inc	\$ 98,945	\$ 3,337	3.5%	\$ 99,528	0.2%	
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	\$ 106,111	\$ (625)	-0.6%	\$ 106,736	0.3%	
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	\$ 275,090	\$ (8,716)	-3.1%	\$ 276,711	0.7%	
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	\$ 2,595,147	\$ (7,481)	-0.3%	\$ 2,610,436	6.3%	
Fairbanks Native Association	\$ 1,077,662	\$ (79,233)	-6.8%	\$ 1,084,011	2.6%	
Fairbanks Resource Agency	\$ 47,887	\$ (2,029)	-4.1%	\$ 48,169	0.1%	
Family Centered Services of Alaska	\$ 563,175	\$ 7,790	1.4%	\$ 566,493	1.4%	
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	\$ 316,768	\$ 15,031	5.0%	\$ 318,634	0.8%	
Gateway Center for Human Services	\$ 990,223	\$ (28,240)	-2.8%	\$ 996,057	2.4%	
Hoonah Indian Association	\$ 29,789	\$ (2,959)	-9.0%	\$ 29,964	0.1%	
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	\$ 75,383	\$ (217)	-0.3%	\$ 75,827	0.2%	
Interior Aids Association	\$ 111,092	\$ 1,537	1.4%	\$ 111,746	0.3%	
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	\$ 1,298,065	\$ 25,441	2.0%	\$ 1,305,712	3.1%	

	A			B	
	SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award			SFY09 Calculated Base Awards	
(a) SFY09 Available Funding for Comprehensive	\$	41,419,200			
(b) SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award Total	\$	41,419,200			
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	\$	864,505	\$ 14,458	1.7%	\$ 869,598 2.1%
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	\$	14,603	\$ 356	2.5%	\$ 14,689 0.0%
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	\$	66,195	\$ (390)	-0.6%	\$ 66,585 0.2%
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	\$	96	\$ (4)	-4.3%	\$ 96 0.0%
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	\$	246,622	\$ 11,703	5.0%	\$ 248,074 0.6%
Maniilaq Association	\$	1,288,219	\$ 33,806	2.7%	\$ 1,295,809 3.1%
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	\$	1,702,767	\$ 31,744	1.9%	\$ 1,712,799 4.1%
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	\$	719,258	\$ 5,752	0.8%	\$ 723,495 1.7%
North Slope Borough	\$	756,861	\$ (16,053)	-2.1%	\$ 761,320 1.8%
Norton Sound Health Corporation	\$	942,731	\$ (36,902)	-3.8%	\$ 948,285 2.3%
Petersburg Mental Health Services	\$	336,833	\$ 4,984	1.5%	\$ 338,818 0.8%
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center)	\$	1,096,719	\$ (26,682)	-2.4%	\$ 1,103,180 2.6%
Providence Kodiak Health System	\$	784,968	\$ 22,077	2.9%	\$ 789,592 1.9%
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	\$	313,795	\$ 34	0.0%	\$ 315,644 0.8%
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	\$	278,705	\$ (8,536)	-3.0%	\$ 280,347 0.7%
Rainforest Recovery Center	\$	593,762	\$ (20,071)	-3.3%	\$ 597,260 1.4%
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	\$	52,647	\$ (1,117)	-2.1%	\$ 52,958 0.1%
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	\$	2,945,784	\$ 20,672	0.7%	\$ 2,963,138 7.1%
Seaview Community Services	\$	348,446	\$ 11,752	3.5%	\$ 350,498 0.8%
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	\$	827,375	\$ 13,837	1.7%	\$ 832,249 2.0%
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	\$	357,892	\$ 12,070	3.5%	\$ 360,001 0.9%
Southcentral Foundation	\$	658,292	\$ 6,550	1.0%	\$ 662,170 1.6%
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	\$	584,499	\$ 12,571	2.2%	\$ 587,942 1.4%
Tanana Chiefs Conference	\$	1,204,304	\$ (25,543)	-2.1%	\$ 1,211,399 2.9%
Tok Area Counseling Center	\$	224,976	\$ (8,324)	-3.6%	\$ 226,301 0.5%
Volunteers of America of Alaska	\$	914,892	\$ 21,414	2.4%	\$ 920,282 2.2%
Youth Advocates of Sitka	\$	1,022	\$ 34	3.5%	\$ 1,029 0.0%
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	\$	2,558,442	\$ (170,630)	-6.3%	\$ 2,573,515 6.2%
	\$	-			\$ - 0.0%

DHSS, Division of Behavioral Health
 SFY09 Grantee Performance Based Funding Exercise
Table J: SFY09 Final Funding Summary

A		\$	41,419,200	a	b	c	d	e	f				
B	SFY09 Available Funding for Comprehensive Behavioral Health Treatment & Recovery (CBHTR)			SFY09 Final Award	SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Awards	SFY09 CBHTR Discretionary Adjustments *	SFY09 SA Outpatient Increment	SFY09 SA Residential Increment	SFY09 SA Rate Increment				
C	SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award Total	\$	41,419,200										
D	SA Outpatient Increment	\$	504,805										
E	SA Residential Increment	\$	245,195										
F	SA Rate Increment	\$	750,000										
G	Total Final Available Funding	\$	42,919,200										
F - a		\$	291,000										
TOTALS		\$	42,628,200	\$	41,419,200	\$	(291,000)	\$	504,805	\$	245,195	\$	750,000
Provider Name	A1	B	C	D	E	F							
Access Alaska	\$ 1,003	\$ 1,003											
Akeela Treatment Services, Inc.	\$ 1,548,876	\$ 1,445,030		\$ 14,423	\$ 14,423	\$ 75,000							
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	\$ 862,235	\$ 758,389			\$ 28,846	\$ 75,000							
Alaska Children's Services	\$ 144,838	\$ 144,838											
Alaska Family Services	\$ 530,673	\$ 516,250		\$ 14,423									
Alaska Islands Community Services	\$ 345,932	\$ 331,509		\$ 14,423									
Aleutian/Pribilof Island Assoc.	\$ 299,017	\$ 284,594		\$ 14,423									
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc.	\$ 4,337,456	\$ 4,628,456	\$ (291,000)										
ARC of Anchorage	\$ 341,917	\$ 341,917											
Assets	\$ 787,782	\$ 787,782											
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	\$ 930,135	\$ 870,256		\$ 14,423	\$ 14,423	\$ 31,033							
Central Peninsula General Hospital	\$ 86,815	\$ 10,326			\$ 14,423	\$ 62,066							
Central Peninsula Mental Health Association, Inc.	\$ 528,706	\$ 528,706											
City of Galena (Yukon Koyukuk MH & Alcohol Program)	\$ 261,949	\$ 247,526		\$ 14,423									
Communities Organized for Health Options	\$ 342,940	\$ 328,517		\$ 14,423									
Community Connections	\$ 119,720	\$ 119,720											
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	\$ 477,922	\$ 463,499		\$ 14,423									
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.	\$ 825,266	\$ 779,810			\$ 14,423	\$ 31,033							
Copper River Native Association	\$ 234,187	\$ 219,764		\$ 14,423									
Cordova Comm Med Clinic - dba - Sound Alternatives	\$ 355,183	\$ 340,760		\$ 14,423									
Daybreak, Inc	\$ 98,945	\$ 98,945											
Denali Family Services (Alternatives CMHC)	\$ 106,111	\$ 106,111											
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	\$ 289,513	\$ 275,090		\$ 14,423									
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	\$ 2,595,147	\$ 2,595,147											
Fairbanks Native Association	\$ 1,126,508	\$ 1,077,662		\$ 14,423	\$ 14,423	\$ 20,000							
Fairbanks Resource Agency	\$ 47,887	\$ 47,887											
Family Centered Services of Alaska	\$ 563,175	\$ 563,175											
Gastineau Human Services, Corp.	\$ 331,191	\$ 316,768		\$ 14,423									
Gateway Center for Human Services	\$ 1,050,102	\$ 990,223		\$ 14,423	\$ 14,423	\$ 31,033							
Hoonah Indian Association	\$ 44,212	\$ 29,789		\$ 14,423									
Hope Community Resources, Inc.	\$ 75,383	\$ 75,383											
Interior Aids Association	\$ 159,182	\$ 111,092		\$ 14,423									\$ 33,667
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.	\$ 1,298,065	\$ 1,298,065											
Juneau Youth Services, Inc.	\$ 878,928	\$ 864,505		\$ 14,423									
Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	\$ 14,603	\$ 14,603											
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	\$ 80,618	\$ 66,195		\$ 14,423									
Ketchikan Indian Corp.	\$ 14,519	\$ 96		\$ 14,423									
Lynn Canal Counseling Center	\$ 261,045	\$ 246,622		\$ 14,423									
Manilaq Association	\$ 1,348,098	\$ 1,288,219		\$ 14,423	\$ 14,423	\$ 31,033							
Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.	\$ 1,702,767	\$ 1,702,767											
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	\$ 834,680	\$ 719,258		\$ 14,423									\$ 100,999
North Slope Borough	\$ 771,284	\$ 756,861		\$ 14,423									
Norton Sound Health Corporation	\$ 957,154	\$ 942,731		\$ 14,423									
Petersburg Mental Health Services	\$ 351,256	\$ 336,833		\$ 14,423									
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center)	\$ 1,096,719	\$ 1,096,719											

B	SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Award Total	\$	41,419,200	SFY09 Final Award	SFY09 CBHTR Adjusted Awards	SFY09 CBHTR Discretionary Adjustments *	SFY09 SA Outpatient Increment	SFY09 SA Residential Increment	SFY09 SA Rate Increment	
C	SA Outpatient Increment	\$	504,805							
D	SA Residential Increment	\$	245,195							
E	SA Rate Increment	\$	750,000							
F	Total Final Available Funding	\$	42,919,200							
G	F - a	\$	291,000							
	Providence Kodiak Health System	\$	799,391	\$	784,968		\$	14,423	\$	-
	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	\$	328,218	\$	313,795		\$	14,423	\$	-
	Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	\$	293,128	\$	278,705		\$	14,423	\$	-
	Rainforest Recovery Center	\$	639,218	\$	593,762		\$	-	\$	14,423
	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	\$	52,647	\$	52,647		\$	-	\$	-
	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	\$	3,049,638	\$	2,945,784		\$	14,423	\$	14,427
	Seaview Community Services	\$	362,869	\$	348,446		\$	14,423	\$	-
	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	\$	887,254	\$	827,375		\$	14,423	\$	14,423
	South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc.	\$	357,892	\$	357,892		\$	-	\$	-
	Southcentral Foundation	\$	692,715	\$	658,292		\$	-	\$	14,423
	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	\$	633,345	\$	584,499		\$	14,423	\$	14,423
	Tanana Chiefs Conference	\$	1,264,183	\$	1,204,304		\$	14,423	\$	14,423
	Tok Area Counseling Center	\$	224,976	\$	224,976		\$	-	\$	-
	Volunteers of America of Alaska	\$	963,738	\$	914,892		\$	14,423	\$	14,423
	Youth Advocates of Sitka	\$	1,022	\$	1,022		\$	-	\$	-
	Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	\$	2,235,071	\$	2,558,442	\$	(383,250)	\$	14,423	\$
	Unnamed Provider (Residential Treatment Services, Bethel)	\$	383,250	\$		\$	383,250			

* Discretionary Funding Adjustments:

Move funds from YKHC to Unnamed Residential Treatment Services Provider in Bethel :

YKHC is closing their RDT program for kids, which was funded at \$511,000. As such, the following adjustments were made to YKHC's SFY09 Award amounts: \$383,250 of the YKHC CBHTR Adjusted Award was moved to the new provider in Bethel; \$127,750 will remain with YKHC for ongoing Outpatient SED Services.

Move funds out of ACMHC:

ACMHC has proposed a cut to the population it will serve in SFY09. Based on ACMHC's average-cost-per-client, a projected \$291,000 may be directed to other providers

Attachment B

Staff Score Sheets

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services, Inc.		
Grant No:	602-08-280	FY08 funding:	\$744,977
Reviewer(s):	Viki Wells		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Alaska Rehabilitation Services/Nugen's Ranch is a long-term (6 to 24 months) residential program for chronic alcoholics/addicts with/without psychosis, public inebriants, and individuals with Axis I and Axis II mental illness. Due to IMD exclusion they are unable to bill Medicaid. AARS is DBH approved and CARF accredited.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the (Matsu Valley) Behavioral Health Integration Team as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports food donations in both their FY08 and FY09 Continuation Application (budget narrative).

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Anchorage Community Mental Health Services, Inc

Grant No: 602-08-204 **FY08 funding:** \$4,497,357

Reviewer(s): John Bajowski

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

The grantee is funded through this grant for Adult SMI and SED Youth, both outpatient. As noted in the grantee's application, they have a contractual agreement with Providence Alaska Medical Center to provide psychiatric emergency services. It is further noted that this grantee serves as the Anchorage community coordinating provider for disaster planning.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports within their continuation application obtaining well in excess of \$1.25 million dollars of additional funding during FY08.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

It is noted that the grantee informed the division during 3rd quarter FY08 that there were insufficient funds to cover the cost of serving unresourced SMI Adults.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Agency specific special condition was to revise budget to reflect updated Federally Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Alaska Children's Services

Grant No: 602-08-203 **FY08 funding:** \$140,358

Reviewer(s): John Bajowski

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports having been awarded approximately \$350,000 during this fiscal year. Noteworthy is the grantee being awarded a grant from the U.S. DHHS, ACF for Increasing Individual and Trauma-Informed Care.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the grantee submitted their second quarter narrative one day late. All other reports have been submitted in a timely manner.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

The grantee was prompt to provide total project cost, as well as responding to other special conditions.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					47
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					90.38%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Akeela, Inc

Grant No: 602-08-230 **FY08 funding:** \$ 609,397.00

Reviewer(s): Steven B. King

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** 1 **Weighted Score:** 2

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	1	2	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Akeela - AWRC		
Grant No:	602-08-231	FY08 funding:	\$ 856,678.00
Reviewer(s):	Marilee Fletcher		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: **2** x Score Weighted Score: **10**
 1=NO **5=YES**

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: **2** x Score Weighted Score: **10**
 1=NO **5=YES**

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					48
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					92.31%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Alaska Family Services

Grant No: 602-08-260 **FY08 funding:** \$500,281

Reviewer(s): D. Weber

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

Two (2) : Mat Su Integration team (interagency agreement) and Denali Family Services (agreement for psychiatric services).

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$205,243 BTKH grant and \$100 SED medicaid grant.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Alaska Islands Community Service

Grant No: 602-08-254 **FY08 funding:** \$ 327,566.00

Reviewer(s): Gregory Trollan

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Aleutian Pribilof Island Association, Inc		
Grant No:	602-08-268	FY08 funding:	\$284,563
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

New Director has worked conscientiously to improve performance, including: submitting reports timely, hiring quality clinical staff, training staff on AKAIMS, developing new partnership agreement with IFHS on psych emergency services, developing new and improved CAP and continuum of care description. This is grantee's first year developing a mental health program (previously an SA grantee).

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

APIAI worked with reluctant local clinic to agree on psych emergency response protocols (first such agreement in many years). DET process in Unalaska has improved as a result.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

None reported.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Agency needs technical assistance to maximize 5% set asides.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

As noted above, the grantee originally was funded to provide Adult Residential - SA service among other program types. The grantee's funding was reduced during the fiscal year to reflect the aforementioned service closure.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the grantee submitted their first quarter CFR 57 days late. All other reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Grantee had extensive special conditions predominantly associated with their Adult Residential - SA program. The grantee based upon Board of Director direction closed the aforementioned service by close of first quarter FY08.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					47
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					90.38%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Assets, Inc.		
Grant No:	602-08-205	FY08 funding:	\$798,814
Reviewer(s):	John Bajowski		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight:	2	x Score	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text" value="5"/>	Weighted Score:	10
	1=NO		5=YES		

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports greater than 3.0 million additional funding obtained in their quarterly reports. The grantee also provided verification of additional FY08 funding provided by the Alaska Mental Health Trust Authority in their FY09 Continuation Application.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports all quarterly reports were submitted within the required time frame.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Salvation Army Booth Home

Grant No: 602-08-234 **FY08 funding:** \$ 53,764.00

Reviewer(s): Steven B. King

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
Detoxification – SA	SMI – Outpatient – MH
Youth Residential – SA	Adult – Outpatient – SA
Youth Residential – MH	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
Adult Residential – SA	Employment Services
Women and Children’s Residential – SA	Disability Justice – MH and SA
Adult Residential – MH	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	Pilots – MH and SA
Recovery Camps – SA	Other
SED Youth Outpatient – MH	

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 1 **Weighted Score:** 2

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

The first quarter CFR was submitted on 10/31/2007 (one day late).

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	1	2	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					43
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					82.69%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation		
Grant No:	602-08-281	FY08 funding:	\$877,137
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Grantee lost several key supervisory staff in children's outpatient and adult residential programs and had difficulty filling positions. Nonetheless, Bristol Bay did change from a closed cohort to an open enrollment model to be in compliance with DBH requirements. Bristol Bay also worked closely with DBH to train staff to do minimal data set input for AKAIMS.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					40
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					76.92%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Central Peninsula Counseling Services		
Grant No:	602-08-262	FY08 funding:	\$516,331
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

New leadership has implemented many positive changes at CPCS. These include: cooperative agreement with local housing authority to own and manage SMI housing, more clinical supervisors, revamped QA processes, resolution of longstanding issues with some staff, and compliance with DBH requirements to submit data to AKAIMS. CPCS staff have also been driving force behind Kenai CAP group, providing administrative support. Staff are to be commended for their strenuous efforts.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

Six new agreements.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$119,800 in new funding.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Steady expenditure of SED and SMI 5% set aside funds.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2nd quarter narrative report 3 days late.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Extensions were provided so that new Director and clinical supervisors had time to complete revisions.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					49
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					94.23%

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Kenai Peninsula Behavioral Health Collaborative as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports \$10,000 additional funding provided by the United Way in their FY09 Continuation Application and Quarterly Reports.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the second quarter report narrative report submitted 15 days late (2/14/07).

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					49
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					94.23%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Cook Inlet Tribal Council

Grant No: 602-08-233 **FY08 funding:** \$ 767,519.00

Reviewer(s): Steven B. King

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

There is no evidence of egregious acts of financial mismanagement. However, the funds appear to be significantly under spent. According to the CFR at the end of the 3rd quarter only 42% of the total grant awards was expended. (42% of Personal Services; 50% of Facility; 30% of Supplies; 53% of Other; 42% of Indirect).

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

The second quarter CFR was due on 1/30/08 but was not submitted until 2/14/08.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					49
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					94.23%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Communities Organized for Health Options

Grant No: 602-08-240 **FY08 funding:** \$ 334,466.00

Reviewer(s): Marilee Fletcher

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

X	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	X	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	X	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
X	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: **2** x Score Weighted Score: **10**
 1=NO **5=YES**

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: **2** x Score Weighted Score: **10**
 1=NO **5=YES**

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	2	2	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					46
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					88.46%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Community Connections

Grant No: 602-08-250 **FY08 funding:** \$ 120,065.00

Reviewer(s): Gregory Trollan

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Central Peninsula General Hospital - Serenity House		
Grant No:	602-08-282	FY08 funding:	\$9,883
Reviewer(s):	Viki Wells		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Central Peninsula General Hospital/Serenity House provides adult residential substance disorder treatment to residents of the Kenai Peninsula. Serenity House is DHSS/BH approved and Joint Commission accredited to provide substance disorder Outpatient and residential treatment services. Serenity House consistently operates at capacity and maintains a waiting list.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Kenai Peninsula Behavioral Health Collaborative as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports \$35,000 additional funding for capital projects provided by the DHSS/BH and \$486,750 provided by the Denaku Cimmission for residential treatment expansion in their FY09 Continuation Application and Quarterly Reports.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Copper River Native Association		
Grant No:	602-08-280	FY08 funding:	\$234,919
Reviewer(s):	Viki Wells		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

CRNA's Behavioral Health Director resigned in October and their new director started the end of December. Services significantly declined in the first two quarters and AKAIMS data entries were minimal. The new director received TA on grant reporting requirements and AKAIMS. As of 5/09/08 DBH had not received CRNA's 3rd Quarterly Narrative and Progress Reports (AKAIMS), therefore we are unable to determine if their has been a significant improvement in service delivery.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

API Telemedicine

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports receiving: \$348,539 for Behavioral Health Services provided by IHS; \$97,445 for Behavioral Health Aides provided by IHS; \$222,100 Community Block Grant provided by DHSS; \$105,532 for Rural Behavioral Health Aides provided by DHSS/BH; \$252,728 for Hudson Lake Healing Camp (HLHC); \$50,000 in Capital Funds for HLHC provided by State of Alaska and \$50,000 for HLHC Designated Legislative Grant Program provided by Dept. of Commerce.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

CRNA did not report use of the 5% set a side funds in the 2nd quarter.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

1st Quarter CFR received 11/2/07, Narrative received 11/13/07. 2nd Quarter Narrative received 3/28/08; 3rd Quarter Narritive report had not been received as of 5/9/08.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

First quarter reports were received complete.

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

The grantee has 3 special conditions for FY08, #1 and 3 have been partially completed and #2 has been completed.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	1	2	10
III	1	1	2	2	4
	2	2	2	4	8
	3	2	2	4	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					32
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					61.54%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Daybreak		
Grant No:	602-08-263	FY08 funding:	\$95,608
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	x	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Daybreak seeks out collaborative working relationships with other agencies to improve service coordination, including: Court, Mat Su Health Services, Alaska Family Services, DOC, Access Alaska, UAA, and others. Daybreak also contracted for psychiatric hours to provide staff supervision, in compliance with State CMHC regs.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

At least 4 new agreements reported.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$61,783 in new funding.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Consistent use of 5% SMI set aside. All funds spent appropriately.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Alternative Community Mental Health Center, dba Denali Family Services

Grant No: 602-08-201 **FY08 funding:** \$106,736

Reviewer(s): John Bajowski

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee was awarded two Bring The Kids Home grants during FY08.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the grantee's first quarter CFR (49 days) & Narrative (4 days) was submitted late.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					51
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					98.08%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Eastern Aleutian Tribes		
Grant No:	602-08-264	FY08 funding:	\$283,805
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

EAT staff worked hard to switch to AKAIMS (with clinical record) and improve clinical documentation.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 1 **Weighted Score:** 2

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

Agency reports "N/A."

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$37,500 additional obtained.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	1	2	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					40
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					76.92%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center

Grant No: 602-08-270 **FY08 funding:** \$ 2,602,628.00

Reviewer(s): LE & SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – MH		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Adult Residential – MH		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Recovery Camps – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

no new funding reported on quarterly reports

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Overspent in some categories at the end of the 3rd quarter.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2nd quarter was submitted on 1/31/08

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

Narrative reports are not sufficient to judge progress, there is little information provided by this agency. This should be a TA issue in FY09.

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					43
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					82.69%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Family Centered Services of Alaska

Grant No: 602-08-273 **FY08 funding:** \$ 555,385.00

Reviewer(s): LE & SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Overspent in some categories at the end of the 3rd quarter.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

3rd quarter was submitted on 5/1/08

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

Narrative reports are not sufficient to judge progress, there is little information provided by this agency. This should be a TA issue in FY09.

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					51
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					98.08%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Fairbanks Native Association

Grant No: 602-08-271 **FY08 funding:** \$ 1,156,895.00

Reviewer(s): LE & SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

Quarterly reports indicated new working relationships and agreements with TCC, FCBHC and Hope Counseling.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

no new funding reported

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2nd quarter report was received 13 days late

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Completed B: 1st quarter report included revised goals and obj. for WICCH program; D was late but completed, in 2nd quarter report included revised goals and objectives for Detox; A,C and E not completed. Section 2 of special conditions were not completed.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	1	2	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					35
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					67.31%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Fairbanks Resource Agency

Grant No: 602-08-272 **FY08 funding:** \$ 49,916.00

Reviewer(s): LE & SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/> Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/> Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> SED Youth Outpatient – MH	

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

They did not report any new partnerships.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

no new funding reported

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Overspent in some categories at the end of the 3rd quarter.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

1st quarter was received on 11/08/07

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

Narrative reports are not sufficient to judge progress, there is little information provided by this agency. This should be a TA issue in FY09.

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					43
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					82.69%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	City of Galena		
Grant No:	602-08-220	FY08 funding:	\$ 266,293.00
Reviewer(s):	LE & SW		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight:	2	x Score	<input style="width: 40px;" type="text" value="5"/>	Weighted Score:	10
	1=NO		5=YES		

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

no new funding reported

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

1st quarter received on 10/31/07

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

#1 In the first quarter measurable outcomes were to be reported but were not submitted. #2 In the first quarter goals and objectives were not submitted; #3 In the first quarter, P& P's were not submitted.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	1	2	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					35
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					67.31%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Gastineau Human Services, Corp.

Grant No: 602-08-241 **FY08 funding:** \$ 297,157.00

Reviewer(s): Marilee Fletcher

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/> Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/> Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> SED Youth Outpatient – MH	

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Gateway Center for Human Services

Grant No: 602-08-246 **FY08 funding:** \$ 1,018,463.00

Reviewer(s): Marilee Fletcher

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 1 **Weighted Score:** 2

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

During the second quarter, they reduced the number of residential SA beds from 12 to 6 because of a decrease in staff. It is unclear whether they reinstated the use of those beds given that reports indicated an increase in staff but no increase in bed utilization.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	1	2	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	2	4	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					38
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					73.08%

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	2	2	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					38
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					73.08%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Hope Community Resources

Grant No: 602-08-206 **FY08 funding:** \$75,600

Reviewer(s): John Bajowski

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Review of quarterly reports reveals there is no additional funding reported.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports that the grantee submitted their second quarter CFR 22 days late.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					41
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					78.85%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Interior AIDS Association Project Special Delivery		
Grant No:	602-08-284	FY08 funding:	\$109,555
Reviewer(s):	Viki Wells		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
X	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

The Interior AIDS Association/Project Special Delivery (PSD) is an Opioid Treatment Program (OTP) providing Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) utilizing Methadone. PSD offers short (30 days) and long (180 days) detoxification, methadone maintenance and medical maintenance. PSD operated at capacity for the majority of FY08 and has been able to provide treatment on demand for most patients. PSD is DHSS/BH approved and CARF accredited to operate an Opioid Treatment Program.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Fairbanks Behavioral Health Planning Group as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports \$50,000 additional funding provided by the Fairbanks North Star Borough in their FY09 Continuation Application and Quarterly Reports.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the second quarter report narrative report submitted two days late (2/1/07).

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					49
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					94.23%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc.

Grant No: 602-08-251 **FY08 funding:** \$ 1,272,623.00

Reviewer(s): Gregory Trollan

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Juneau Youth Service, Inc.		
Grant No:	602-08-244	FY08 funding:	\$ 850,047.00
Reviewer(s):	Gregory Trollan		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. **Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?**

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Ketchikan Indian Corp.

Grant No: 602-08-245 **FY08 funding:** \$ 100.00

Reviewer(s): Marilee Fletcher

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/> Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/> Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/> Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/> Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/> Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> SED Youth Outpatient – MH	

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

- Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?**

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	3	3	4
	2	2	3	6	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					41
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					78.85%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Kenaitze Indian Tribe		
Grant No:	602-08-267	FY08 funding:	\$66,585
Reviewer(s):	Viki Wells		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	X	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	X	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	x	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	x	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
x	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Nakenu Family Center is an integrated Behavioral Health outpatient facility providing culturally relevant and evidenced based counseling services to local tribal members, Alaska Natives, and the overall community. Nakenu Family Center is DBH approved to provide outpatient substance disorder treatment.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Kenai Peninsula Behavioral Health Collaborative as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reported in their FY09 Continuation Application and Quarterly Reports the following additional funding: \$9,630 OCS/Family Support; \$25,878 OCS/Family Preservation; \$34,602; OCS Time Limited Family Reunification; \$54,134 BIA/ICWA; \$299,061 DOJ/Tribal Juvenile Accountability; \$12,000 SOA/RHS; \$100,000 SCF/Family Social Services; \$15,420 CITC/Cherish the Children; \$72,570 OVW/Stop Violence Against Indian Women; \$398,755 from OVW/Tribal Government Grant

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the first and second quarter report CFR reports were submitted late (11/1/07 and 2/1/07).

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

The grantee completed two of three Special Grant Conditions on time. Special Condition #1 had not been submitted at the time of the performance review (due 8/15/07).

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	2	2	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	1	2	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center		
Grant No:	602-08-266	FY08 funding:	\$14,247
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
x	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

KPCCC struggled to get their AKAIMS data submission current by end of third quarter. Staff used DBH technical assistance and worked very hard to be compliant with AKAIMS requirements.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Five (5) agreements reported.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$4,000 in additional funding reported.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Lynn Canal Counseling Center		
Grant No:	602-08-252	FY08 funding:	\$ 234,919.00
Reviewer(s):	Gregory Trollan		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – MH		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Adult Residential – MH		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Recovery Camps – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08
DBH Treatment and Recovery Services
Grant Review and Progress Report

Grantee Agency: ManiilaqGrant No: 602-08-978 FY08 funding level: \$237,008Completed by: SW**Section I: Program Types** (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth and Family Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Detoxification – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | SMI – Outpatient – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Adult – Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children – Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Adult Residential – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Employment Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children’s Residential – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Disability Justice – MH and SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Adult Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Pilots – MH and SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Recovery Camps – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | SED Youth Outpatient – MH | | |

Comments: _____

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee reported new partnership agreements signed during FY 08?Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 = Weighted Score 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments: Maniilaq has organized a multi agency planning committee who have agreed to meet for the purpose of reducing barriers in the service system and to celebrate positive steps toward building a healthier community. And they have signed a new MOA with Alaska Youth and Family Network.

2. Did grantee report the dollar value of additional leveraged funding newly attained?Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 = Weighted Score 10

1=NO 5=YES

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?Factor Weight: 2 x Score 4 = Weighted Score 8

1	2	3	4	5
---	---	---	---	---

Anchor Points:

1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.

2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.

3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.

4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.

5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments: The grantee did not receive the award and funding for this program until the middle of October which means that they were not able to complete special conditions as listed. Because of this I am giving them a 4 since they met all special conditions in the 2nd quarter report.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Transfer scores from pages to table</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	x Score	= Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	x 5	= 10	10
	2	2	x 5	= 10	10
	3	2	x 5	= 10	10
III	1	1	x 3	= 3	4
	2	2	x 4	= 8	8
	3	2	x 4	= 8	10
Weighted Score Total →				49	
Total Possible Score (All items) →					52
Weighted Score Total 0 divided by 52= FINAL SCORE →					.94

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Mat Su Health Services		
Grant No:	602-08-261	FY08 funding:	\$1,671,023
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Agency has worked hard to transmit AKAIMS data via EDI, start new rehab program at a Valley assisted living program, and has participated actively in Mat Su agency integration team (community planning group for behavioral health).

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

Three (3) new partnership agreements reported.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$12,000 in additional funds reported.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Narcotic Drug Treatment Program - Center For Drug Problems		
Grant No:	602-08-285	FY08 funding:	\$713,506
Reviewer(s):	Viki Wells		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

The Narcotic Drug Treatment Center/Center for Drug Problems (NDTC) is an Opioid Treatment Program (OTP) providing Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT) utilizing Methadone. NDTC offers short (30 days) and long (180 days) term detoxification, methadone maintenance and medical maintenance. NDTC operated above capacity through out FY07 and FY08 and maintains a lengthy waiting list, currently they are only able to admit pregnant women. NDTC is DHSS/BH approved and CARF accredited to operate an Opioid Treatment Program.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reaffirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports \$18,50 additional funding provided by the United Way in their FY09 Continuation Application and Quarterly Reports.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the second quarter report narrative report submitted two days late (2/1/07).

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: North Slope Borough

Grant No: 602-08-274 **FY08 funding:** \$ 772,914.00

Reviewer(s): LE & SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. **Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?**

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Reported agreement with Lakeside-Milan

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

no new funding reported

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08
DBH Treatment and Recovery Services
Grant Review and Progress Report

Grantee Agency: NSHC

Grant No 605-08-002 FY08 funding level:
Completed by: SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Youth and Family Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Detoxification – SA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | SMI – Outpatient – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – SA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Adult – Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children – Outpatient – SA |
| | Adult Residential – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Employment Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children’s Residential – SA | | Disability Justice – MH and SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Adult Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Pilots – MH and SA |
| | Recovery Camps – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | SED Youth Outpatient – MH | | |

Comments: _____

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee reported new partnership agreements signed during FY 08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 = Weighted Score 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments: FCSA has signed a new MOA with Alaska Family Services in Palmer and Wasilla

2. Did grantee report the dollar value of additional leveraged funding newly attained?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 1 = Weighted Score 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments: None

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

1 2 3 4 5

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Transfer scores from pages to table</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	x Score	= Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	x 5	= 10	10
	2	2	x 1	= 2	10
	3	2	x 5	= 10	10
III	1	1	x 2	= 2	4
	2	2	x 4	= 8	8
	3	2	x 2	= 4	10
Weighted Score Total →				36	
Total Possible Score (All items) →					52
Weighted Score Total 0 divided by 52= FINAL SCORE →					.69

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Petersburg Mental Health		
Grant No:	602-08-253	FY08 funding:	\$ 331,849.00
Reviewer(s):	Gregory Trollan		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 2

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	1	2	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Providence Crisis Recovery Center		
Grant No:	602-08-056	FY08 funding:	\$1,123,401
Reviewer(s):	John Bajowski		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Eight sub-acute beds for adults in psychiatric crisis. The department is in the process of amending this grant to add eight sub-acute beds for youth in psychiatric crisis. Youth expansion funding will be through OCS BRS, Daily Core Rate, and reimburseable clinic services.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee affirmed their active membership of the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition as evidenced by a signed Memorandum of Agreement.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports additional funds in first & second quarters. Also, as noted in Section I Comments, the Department is in the process of amending the existing grant to utilize 8 beds for youth. Start up funding will be from BTKH with sustained funding from DHSS/OCS Behavioral Rehabilitation Service (Medicaid) and daily Core.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

The grantee was challenged to meet the required 25% match during the first & second quarters. The grantee formally requested and was granted a match waiver to 10% for third & fourth quarters of this fiscal year only.

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

This reviewer notes that the grantee has not been timely in resolution of FF&E funds.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	1	2	8
	3	2	3	6	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center		
Grant No:	602-08-286	FY08 funding:	\$500,281
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Director and staff have worked successfully to bring PKICC current with DBH AKAIMS data submission requirements, integrate mh and sa services to identify co-occurring disorders, develop closer working relationship with local Court, increase youth sa treatment admissions, and improve business practices. Director also proactive about asking for technical assistance when needed. PKICC's staff are to be commended for their hard work in FY08.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Four (4) agreements reported.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$2,000 in additional funds reported.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Providence Valdez Counseling Center

Grant No: 602-08-289 **FY08 funding:** \$313,761

Reviewer(s): Viki Wells

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

X	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	x	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	X	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	X	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
X	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee reports receiving: \$9,000 for Community Based Batterer's Intervention Program provided by Dept. of Public Safety Council on Domestic Violence and \$5,000 for ASIST Training Support from Valdez United Way.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

The grantee had 5 special conditions for FY08, all have been completed. Special condition #3 was submitted on 11/06/07 (due 7/30/07).

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	2	4	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					46
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					88.46%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions

Grant No: 602-08-275 **FY08 funding:** \$ 287,241.00

Reviewer(s): LE & SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

They did not report any new partnerships.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

no new funding reported

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Overspent in some categories at the end of the 3rd quarter.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

Narrative reports are not sufficient to judge progress, there is little information provided by this agency. This should be a TA issue in FY09.

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					44
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					84.62%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Rainforest Recovery Center

Grant No: 602-08-243 **FY08 funding:** \$ 613,833.00

Reviewer(s): Marilee Fletcher

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Salvation Army Clitheroe Center

Grant No: 602-08-235 **FY08 funding:** \$ 2,925,112.00

Reviewer(s): Steven B. King

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Adult Residential - SA and Adult Outpatient - SA also include two separate Dual Diagnosis programs.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The amount of money expended for detoxification services during the 2nd quarter was in excess of previously agreed upon rates; SACC had difficulty justifying the over expenditure. The 3rd quarter CFR shows that they have spent 83% of their total grant award. The following categories have spent 100% of the award: Travel, Facility, Supplies, Other, and Indirect. Equipment is 95% expended while Personnel Services is 77% expended.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	1	2	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Southcentral Foundation		
Grant No:	602-08-208	FY08 funding:	\$651,742
Reviewer(s):	John Bajowski		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH			Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA			Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA			Employment Services
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA			Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH			Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA			Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA			Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH			

Comments:

This grantee provides services in Anchorage as well as McGrath and surrounding communities. It is noteworthy that Anchorage program types funded include: Psychiatric Emergency Services; Women & Children's Residential & Outpatient - SA; and SED Youth Outpatient - MH. However, the grantee has long provided services to SMI Adults in Anchorage without the benefit of state grant dollars.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee continued to host the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition during FY08. Moreover, with the SAMHSA Access to Recovery/Voucher grant award, the grantee has established numerous partnership agreements associated with implementing the aforementioned.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

The grantee obtained and reported in excess of \$5.0 million dollars in additional funding during FY08. Most noteworthy is the award of a U.S. DHHS, SAMHSA Access to Recovery/Voucher grant.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10
1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

It is noteworthy that the grantee met with division leadership early in the fiscal year to discuss challenges associated with staff recruitment & retention for the McGrath sub-region. It is further noted that the state grant funds represent a small fraction of the organization's overall budget and commitment to delivering behavioral health care.

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 2

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports the first quarter CFR being submitted two days late; with second quarter CFR & narrative being submitted one day late.

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

The grantee responded to all special conditions of grant award in a timely fashion with exception of #4 submission of an updated CAP for the McGrath service area. It is noted that senior SCF staff met with the division to discuss challenges associated with area service delivery, possible courses of action, however did not meet the required special condition.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	2	2	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	2	4	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					44
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					84.62%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium

Grant No: 605-08-003 **FY08 funding:** \$ 572,028.00

Reviewer(s):

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 0

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 0
 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 0
 1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 0

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 0

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 0

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	0	0	10
	2	2	0	0	10
	3	2	0	0	10
III	1	1	0	0	4
	2	2	0	0	8
	3	2	0	0	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					0
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					0.00%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Seaview Community Services		
Grant No:	602-08-287	FY08 funding:	\$336,695
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH			Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH			Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA			Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA			Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH			Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA			Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA			Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH			

Comments:

Grantee had a significant number of unfilled executive, supervisory, and direct service staff positions in FY08. Nonetheless, FY08 client enrollment numbers are comparable to FY07 for mental health (and higher for substance abuse) so availability of services was not affected by staffing shortages. AKAIMS data submission is superior, in spite of staff changes.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

Six (6) new agreements reported.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$804 in additional funding reported.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services

Grant No: 602-08-247 **FY08 funding:** \$ 813,536.00

Reviewer(s): Marilee Fletcher

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					52
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					100.00%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	Sound Alternatives		
Grant No:	602-08-288	FY08 funding:	\$335,061
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		
	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – MH		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Adult Residential – MH		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Recovery Camps – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other

Comments:

Sound Alternatives had significant staff turnover in FY08, including losing a director. However, the agency improved in many areas, including: becoming compliant with AKAIMS minimal data set entry, improving clinical documentation, reviving ties with key community partners, and developing a new contract for sa services not covered by this grant.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Grantee reports nineteen (19) new agreements.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Grantee reported \$2,396 in additional funding.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 6

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3rd quarter q and n form inadvertently not submitted.

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	3	6	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					48
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					92.31%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services		
Grant No:	602-08-207	FY08 funding:	\$345,822
Reviewer(s):	D. Weber		

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Grantee continues to improve program efficiency and reduce costs, as well as maintain compliance with AKAIMS data submission and provide quality client care. In FY 08, grantee also requested DBH technical assistance to develop a new housing contract and solve problems with transport to API. South Peninsula staff are to be commended for their hard work and their proactive approach to solving problems.

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Two (2) are reported.

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

\$2,500 additional funding reported.

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Grantee joined Kenai Peninsula planning group and submitted revised CAP with that group.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					50
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					96.15%

FY08
DBH Treatment and Recovery Services
Grant Review and Progress Report

Grantee Agency: **Tanana Chiefs Conference**

Grant No **605-08-004** FY08 funding level: **2,059,802**

Completed by: **SW**

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth and Family Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Detoxification – SA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | SMI – Outpatient – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – SA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Adult – Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children – Outpatient – SA |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Adult Residential – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Employment Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children’s Residential – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Disability Justice – MH and SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Adult Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Pilots – MH and SA |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Recovery Camps – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other |
| | SED Youth Outpatient – MH | | |

Comments: _____

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee reported new partnership agreements signed during FY 08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 1 = Weighted Score 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments: FCSA has signed a new MOA with Alaska Family Services in Palmer and Wasilla

2. Did grantee report the dollar value of additional leveraged funding newly attained?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 5 = Weighted Score 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments: None

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Tok Area Mental Health Center

Grant No: 602-08-276 **FY08 funding:** \$ 233,300.00

Reviewer(s): LE & SW

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

no new funding reported

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Both Special Condition were completed and completed on time.

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	1	2	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	4	8	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					42
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					80.77%

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Volunteers of America

Grant No: 602-08-236 **FY08 funding:** \$ 893,478.00

Reviewer(s): Steven B. King

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*“Complete” means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** **Weighted Score:** 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					52
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					100.00%

FY08
DBH Treatment and Recovery Services
Grant Review and Progress Report

Grantee Agency: YKHCGrant No 605-08-005 FY08 funding level: 4,952,578Completed by: SW**Section I: Program Types** (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth and Family Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Detoxification – SA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | SMI – Outpatient – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – SA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Adult – Outpatient – SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Youth Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children – Outpatient – SA |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Adult Residential – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Employment Services |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Women and Children’s Residential – SA | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Disability Justice – MH and SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Adult Residential – MH | <input type="checkbox"/> | Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Pilots – MH and SA |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Recovery Camps – SA | <input type="checkbox"/> | Other |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | SED Youth Outpatient – MH | | |

Comments: _____

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee reported new partnership agreements signed during FY 08?Factor Weight: 2 x Score 1 = Weighted Score 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments: FCSA has signed a new MOA with Alaska Family Services in Palmer and Wasilla

2. Did grantee report the dollar value of additional leveraged funding newly attained?Factor Weight: 2 x Score 1 = Weighted Score 2

1=NO 5=YES

Comments: None

FY08 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: Youth Advocates of Sitka

Grant No: 602-08-255 **FY08 funding:** \$ 988.00

Reviewer(s): Gregory Trollan

Section I: Program Types (please check all that apply to this grantee agency):

<input type="checkbox"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Employment Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="checkbox"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="checkbox"/>	Other
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

Comments:

Section II: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

1. Has the grantee entered into and reported any new partnership agreement(s) during FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 **x Score** 5 **Weighted Score:** 10

1=NO

5=YES

Comments:

2. Did the grantee obtain and report any additional funding (grants, contracts, donations, etc) in FY08?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

3. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 10

1=NO 5=YES

Comments:

Section III: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 4. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, progress (statistical), and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.*

Factor Weight: 1 x Score Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports submitted to Grants and Contracts were received after deadline.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on narrative are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress, all progress/statistical boxes are filled in correctly, CFR is filled out correctly submitted to eGrants.*)

Factor Weight: 2 x Score Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:

- 1 = All reports have been submitted incomplete or no reports were submitted.
- 2 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 3 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 4 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

Comments:

3. Did grantee fulfill special conditions of grant award sufficiently and on time?

Factor Weight: 2 x **Score** **Weighted Score:** 10

Anchor Points:

- 1 = No special conditions of grant award were completed as required.
- 2 = Special conditions have been completed, however some were completed late.
- 3 = All special conditions have been completed on time.
- 4 = Special conditions have been completed on time and without prompts from DBH or Grants and Contracts staff.
- 5 = Grantee did not have special conditions.

Comments:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
II	1	2	5	10	10
	2	2	5	10	10
	3	2	5	10	10
III	1	1	4	4	4
	2	2	4	8	8
	3	2	5	10	10
Total Possible Score					52
Weighted Score Total					52
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					100.00%