

**State of Alaska  
Department of Health and Social Services  
Division of Behavioral Health**

---

**Comprehensive Behavioral Health Treatment &  
Recovery (CBHTR) Grant Program**

**FY2011 CBHTR  
Performance Based Funding  
Summary  
FINAL**



July 13, 2010

**Table of Contents**

---

**FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based Funding Process ..... 1**

**Attachment A. FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based Funding Summary Tables**

Table 1. FY2010 Grantee Performance Summary and FY2011 Increment Awards: *Sorted By PBF Net Score* ..... A-1

Table 2. FY2010 Grantee Performance Summary and FY2011 Increment Awards: *Sorted By Grantee* ..... A-3

Table 3. FY2010 Grantee Performance Summary and FY2011 Increment Awards: *Performance Points and Attainment Summary* ..... A-5

**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**

Table 1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score  
*Grantee Performance and PBF Points* ..... B-1

Table 2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey  
*Grantee Performance and PBF Points* ..... B-3

Table 3. Data Completeness and Compliance  
*Grantee Performance and PBF Points* ..... B-5

Table 4. Client Status Review Findings  
*Grantee Performance and PBF Points* ..... B-7

Table 5. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate  
*Advisory Measure* ..... B-10

Table 6. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate  
*Advisory Measure* ..... B-12

Table 7. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population  
*Advisory Measure* ..... B-14

**Attachment C. Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

---

Access Alaska .....	C-1
Akeela, Inc (Coed Residential) .....	C-2
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children – Stepping Stones) .....	C-3
Alaska Addictions Rehabilitation Services - Nugen's Ranch.....	C-4
Alaska Children's Services .....	C-5
Alaska Family Services .....	C-6
Alaska Island Community Services .....	C-7
Aleutian Pribilof Island Association, Inc .....	C-8
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services .....	C-9
Assets, Inc. ....	C-10
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation .....	C-11
Central Peninsula General Hospital .....	C-12
Community Connections .....	C-13
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse .....	C-14
Cook Inlet Tribal Council .....	C-15
Copper River Native Association .....	C-16
Daybreak CMI .....	C-17
Denali Family Services .....	C-18
Eastern Aleutian Tribes, Inc .....	C-19
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center .....	C-20
Fairbanks Native Association .....	C-21
Fairbanks Resource Agency .....	C-22
Family Centered Services of Alaska .....	C-23
Gastineau Human Services .....	C-24
Hope Community Resources .....	C-25

---

Interior AIDS Association .....	C-26
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc .....	C-27
Juneau Youth Service .....	C-28
Kenai Peninsula Care Center .....	C-29
Kenaitze Indian Tribe .....	C-30
Ketchikan Indian Community. ....	C-31
Lynn Canal Human Resources .....	C-32
Maniilaq Behavioral Health .....	C-33
Mat Su Health Services, Inc. ....	C-34
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center, Inc. ....	C-35
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services.....	C-36
Norton Sound Health Corporation .....	C-37
Peninsula Community Health Centers .....	C-38
Petersburg Mental Health Services.....	C-39
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center .....	C-40
Providence Valdez Behavioral Health .....	C-41
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions .....	C-42
Rainforest Recovery Center .....	C-43
Salvation Army Booth Memorial .....	C-44
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center .....	C-45
Seaview Community Services .....	C-46
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services .....	C-47
Sound Alternatives .....	C-48
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc .....	C-49
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage .....	C-50
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath .....	C-51

Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC) .....	C-52
Tanana Chiefs Conference .....	C-53
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA .....	C-54
The ARC of Anchorage .....	C-55
Tok Area Counseling Center .....	C-56
Volunteers of America.....	C-57
Youth Advocates of Sitka .....	C-58
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation .....	C-59

**Attachment D: Agency Grant Review and Progress Reports: FY2010 DBH Staff  
Score Review Sheets**

Access Alaska .....	D-1
Akeela Inc., Co-Ed .....	D-5
Akeela Inc., Women and Children .....	D-9
Alaska Addictions Rehabilitation Services .....	D-13
Alaska Children's Services .....	D-17
Alaska Family Services .....	D-21
Alaska Island Community Services.....	D-25
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association, Inc .....	D-29
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services .....	D-33
Assets, Inc. ....	D-37
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation .....	D-41
Central Peninsula General Hospital .....	D-45
Community Connections .....	D-49
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse .....	D-53
Cook Inlet Tribal Council .....	D-57

---

Copper River Native Association .....	D-61
Daybreak CMI .....	D-65
Denali Family Services .....	D-69
Eastern Aleutian Tribes, Inc .....	D-73
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center .....	D-77
Fairbanks Native Association .....	D-81
Fairbanks Resource Agency .....	D-85
Family Centered Services of Alaska .....	D-89
Gastineau Human Services .....	D-93
Hope Community Resources, Inc. ....	D-97
Interior AIDS Association .....	D-101
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health, Inc. ....	D-105
Juneau Youth Service .....	D-109
Kenai Peninsula Care Center .....	D-113
Kenaitze Indian Tribe .....	D-117
Ketchikan Indian Community. ....	D-121
Lynn Canal Human Resources .....	D-125
Maniilaq Behavioral Health .....	D-129
Mat Su Health Services, Inc. ....	D-133
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center, Inc. ....	D-137
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services .....	D-141
Norton Sound Health Corporation .....	D-145
Peninsula Community Health Centers .....	D-149
Petersburg Mental Health Services .....	D-153
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center .....	D-157

---

Providence Valdez Counseling Center .....	D-161
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions .....	D-165
Rainforest Recovery Center .....	D-169
Salvation Army Booth Memorial .....	D-173
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center .....	D-177
Seaview Community Services .....	D-181
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services .....	D-185
Sound Alternatives .....	D-189
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services, Inc. ....	D-193
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage .....	D-197
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath .....	D-201
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC) .....	D-205
Tanana Chiefs Conference .....	D-209
The ARC of Anchorage .....	D-213
Tok Area Counseling Center .....	D-217
Volunteers of America .....	D-221
Youth Advocates of Sitka .....	D-225
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation .....	D-229

## FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based Funding Process

---

### FY2011 Performance Based Funding Process: Summary

The FY2011 CBHTR grant awards were determined utilizing a performance based funding (PBF) process that reflected agency performance during the first three quarters of FY2010. Grantees were held accountable for four FY2010 performance measures:

1. Grant Review and Progress Report: DBH Staff Score.
2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey.
3. Data Completeness and Compliance: Percent compliance for completing the Alaska Screening Tool (AST) and Client Status Review (CSR).
4. Client Status Review Findings: Percent of clients with improved or maintained condition as reflected by the “Summary of 11 Life Domains” CSR score.

Performance thresholds and corresponding performance points were established for each PBF measure. A PBF net score was calculated for each grantee based on their performance points for all four measures. Attainment levels were then established for the PBF net score. The highest possible PBF net score was 4.25 points; the lowest possible PBF net score was -2.85 points. Attainment levels were set at -1, 0, 1, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, 3.0, 3.5, and 4.0. Grantees were assigned an attainment level based on their PBF net score. Grantees who achieved an attainment level of 2.5 or higher were considered to be high performers and were awarded a funding increment. Grantees who achieved an attainment level of less than 2.5 were not subject to a PBF increment or decrement. High performers received the following increments:

PBF Net Score Attainment Level	Increment Award (\$)
4.0	\$35,000
3.5	\$25,000
3.0	\$25,000
2.5	\$15,000

The eight grantees who participated in the Division’s AST/CSR Pilot Project were not held accountable for PBF measures #3 and #4 above. For measures #3 and #4, these grantees were assigned PBF points associated with a high performance threshold. All eight grantees had a PBF net score of 2.5 or higher and received an increment award.

See Attachment A. for a summary of grantee performance points, net scores, attainment levels, and increment awards.

## FY2010 Performance Measures

The FY2011 CBHTR performance based funding process involved evaluating grantee performance during the first three quarters of FY2010. Grantees were held accountable for four performance measures. These measures and their respective performance thresholds and points are described below. See the performance tables in Attachment B. for a listing of grantees and their performance results. Individual agency performance summary sheets are provided in Attachment C.

1. **Grant Review and Progress Report: DBH Staff Score.** DBH staff scored grantees on the following activities to determine an overall Staff Score for each grantee.

- Did grantee participate in community planning on a quarterly basis?
- Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?
- Did grantee submit all required reports (including narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal reports) in a timely manner?
- Did grantee submit complete quarterly reports?
- Did the grantee use the Logic Model to make program improvements?

### Staff Score: PBF Thresholds and Points

Staff Score Threshold Ranges	Staff Score Performance Points
>= 0% and < 75%	-1.00
>= 75% and < 95%	0.00
>= 95% and < 100%	0.50
= 100%	1.00

2. **Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey (BHCS).** DBH uses the BHCS to obtain information on client evaluation of care. This information is utilized by both DBH and grantees to help guide service delivery improvements. Grantees are required to participate in administering the BHCS, including distributing the BHCS to clients and communicating to DBH the number of surveys distributed.

### Administer BHCS: PBF Points

- If the grantee did not distribute the BHCS, -0.50 Points were applied.

3. **Data Completeness and Compliance.** Grantees are required to complete an Alaska Screening Tool (AST) and an intake Client Status Review (CSR)<sup>1</sup> for each client as part of the pre-admission/admission process. Thus, each client with an admission record should also have a completed AST and CSR<sup>1</sup>. The “Data Completeness and Compliance” measure looks at the ratio of ASTs to admission records and the ratio of CSRs to admission records to calculate a percent compliance for completing ASTs and CSRs.

#### Data Completeness and Compliance: PBF Thresholds and Points

For AST and CSR Each	
% Compliance Threshold Ranges	% Compliance Performance Points
>= 0% and < 60%	-0.30
>= 60% and < 85%	0.00
>= 85% and < 95%	0.30
>= 95%	0.50

4. **Client Status Review Findings.** DBH uses the CSR to monitor client functioning in major life domains over time. The CSR questions address 11 life domains. Grantees are required to complete a CSR<sup>1</sup> for clients at intake and periodically throughout treatment. A client’s most recent CSR during the reporting period is compared to his/her intake CSR to indicate if there is improved/maintained condition for the “summary of 11 life domains.” The “CSR Findings” measure looks at the percent of clients with improved/maintained condition (i.e., the CSR Score) for the “summary of 11 life domains.”

#### CSR Findings - Summary of 11 Life Domains Score (percent of clients with improved/maintained condition): PBF Thresholds and Points

CSR Findings Score Threshold Ranges	CSR Findings Score Performance Points
>= 0% and < 50%	-0.75
>= 50% and < 65%	0.00
>= 65% and < 75%	0.75
>= 75% and < 85%	1.50
>= 85%	2.25

<sup>1</sup> DBH policy does not require grantees to complete an intake, follow-up, or discharge CSR for clients receiving detoxification or psychiatric emergency services.

## FY2010 Advisory Measures

Three additional measures are being presented in an “advisory” capacity. Grantees are not being held accountable for these measures for the FY2011 PBF process; however, the Division intends to include them with new measures for the FY2012 PBF process. Although these reports need some additional refinement, the report results are being presented in Attachment B. to help grantees identify where there may be performance and/or data related issues that need to be addressed.

1. **Substance Abuse (SA) Residential Bed Utilization Rate.** This measure applies only to SA residential grantees; detox beds are excluded from this measure. A SA residential bed utilization rate is calculated by dividing the grantee’s bed utilization days (i.e., the count of days between clients’ program enrollment date and disenrollment date) by the grantee’s bed capacity (i.e., the number of contracted bed days).
2. **Follow-Up Client Status Review (CSR) Completion Rate.** This measure looks at clients with an open case (case was open at any time during the selected time period) and calculates the proportion who were “due” at least one follow-up CSR within the selected time period and had at least one follow-up CSR completed. **Note:** The count of clients "due" a follow-up CSR includes clients who are no longer receiving services but continue to have an open case (client may have stopped receiving services in prior year, but case is still open during selected time period). Detox, Psych Emergency, and Waitlist only clients are excluded from this measure.
3. **Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population (SED, SMI, SUD).** This is a developmental measure focusing on priority clients who are eligible to receive more than one encounter.

**Attachment A**

---

---

**FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based  
Funding Summary Tables**

**Attachment A. FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based Funding (PBF) Summary Tables**

**Table 1. FY2010 Grantee Performance Summary and FY2011 Increment Awards: Sorted by PBF Net Score**

			Grantee FY2010 Performance Through 3rd Qtr					\$ 610,000
Grantee	DBH Region	AKAIMS ID	E	F	G	H	I	J
			PBF Net Score (4 Base Measures) (Sum F:I)	PBF Points: Staff Score	PBF Points: Admin BHCS	PBF Points: AST & CSR Data Compl	PBF Points: CSR Findings	FY11 Increment Award
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	ARO	25	4.25	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.25	\$ 35,000
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	NRO	3	4.25	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.25	\$ 35,000
Tok Area Counseling Center	NRO	11	4.05	1.00	0.00	0.80	2.25	\$ 35,000
Access Alaska	ARO	68	3.75	0.50	0.00	1.00	2.25	\$ 25,000
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	ARO	77	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Gastineau Human Services	SERO	7	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	SERO	32	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	SCRO	27	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Rainforest Recovery Center	SERO	78	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Daybreak CMI	SCRO	24	3.30	1.00	0.00	0.80	1.50	\$ 25,000
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	NRO	65	3.10	1.00	0.00	0.60	1.50	\$ 25,000
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	SCRO	67	3.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	SERO	36	3.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Hope Community Resources Inc.	ARO	52	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	SCRO	73	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	SERO	9	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Tanana Chiefs Conference	NRO	12	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	NRO	90	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Volunteers of America	ARO	20	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Sound Alternatives	SCRO	38	2.60	0.50	0.00	0.60	1.50	\$ 15,000
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	ARO	1045	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	ARO	1145	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	SCRO	31	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	SCRO	69	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Interior AIDS Association	NRO	13	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	SCRO	16	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Denali Family Services	ARO	75	2.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	1.50	\$ 15,000
Lynn Canal Human Resources	SERO	35	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Norton Sound Health Corporation	NRO	53	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Fairbanks Native Association	NRO	14	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Community Connections	SERO	50	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.80	1.50	
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	SCRO	54	2.30	0.50	0.00	0.30	1.50	
Alaska Island Community Services	SERO	39	2.25	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.75	
Family Centered Services of Alaska	NRO	51	2.25	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.75	
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	ARO	19	2.10	0.00	0.00	0.60	1.50	



**Attachment A. FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based Funding (PBF) Summary Tables****Table 2. FY2010 Grantee Performance Summary and FY2011 Increment Awards: Sorted by Grantee**

			Grantee FY2010 Performance Through 3rd Qtr					\$ 610,000
			E	F	G	H	I	J
Grantee	DBH Region	AKAIMS ID	PBF Net Score (4 Base Measures) (Sum F:I)	PBF Points: Staff Score	PBF Points: Admin BHCS	PBF Points: AST & CSR Data Compl	PBF Points: CSR Findings	FY11 Increment Award
Access Alaska	ARO	68	3.75	0.50	0.00	1.00	2.25	\$ 25,000
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	ARO	1045	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	ARO	1145	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	SCRO	16	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Alaska Children's Services	ARO	59	1.60	1.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	
Alaska Family Services	SCRO	23	1.55	0.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	
Alaska Island Community Services	SERO	39	2.25	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.75	
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	SCRO	15	1.10	0.50	0.00	0.60	0.00	
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	ARO	77	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Assets Inc.	ARO	21	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	SCRO	74	2.05	0.50	0.00	0.80	0.75	
Central Peninsula General Hospital	SCRO	66	1.80	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	
Community Connections	SERO	50	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.80	1.50	
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	SCRO	31	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	SCRO	67	3.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Copper River Native Association	SCRO	48	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	
Daybreak CMI	SCRO	24	3.30	1.00	0.00	0.80	1.50	\$ 25,000
Denali Family Services	ARO	75	2.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	1.50	\$ 15,000
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	SCRO	69	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	NRO	76	1.80	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.00	
Fairbanks Native Association	NRO	14	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Fairbanks Resource Agency	NRO	10	1.25	1.00	0.00	1.00	(0.75)	
Family Centered Services of Alaska	NRO	51	2.25	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.75	
Gastineau Human Services	SERO	7	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Hope Community Resources Inc.	ARO	52	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Interior AIDS Association	NRO	13	2.55	1.00	0.00	0.80	0.75	\$ 15,000
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	SERO	32	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Juneau Youth Services	SERO	72	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	SCRO	30	0.85	1.00	0.00	0.60	(0.75)	
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	SCRO	54	2.30	0.50	0.00	0.30	1.50	
Ketchikan Indian Community	SERO	44	(1.00)	(1.00)	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Lynn Canal Human Resources	SERO	35	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	NRO	55	(0.75)	0.00	0.00	0.00	(0.75)	
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	SCRO	73	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	ARO	25	4.25	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.25	\$ 35,000

**Attachment A. FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based Funding (PBF) Summary Tables**

**Table 2. FY2010 Grantee Performance Summary and FY2011 Increment Awards: Sorted by Grantee**

			Grantee FY2010 Performance Through 3rd Qtr					\$ 610,000
			E	F	G	H	I	J
Grantee	DBH Region	AKAIMS ID	PBF Net Score (4 Base Measures) (Sum F:I)	PBF Points: Staff Score	PBF Points: Admin BHCS	PBF Points: AST & CSR Data Compl	PBF Points: CSR Findings	FY11 Increment Award
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	NRO	43	1.15	1.00	0.00	(0.60)	0.75	
Norton Sound Health Corporation	NRO	53	2.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 15,000
Peninsula Community Health Centers	SCRO	80	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.60	0.00	
Petersburg Mental Health Services	SERO	40	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	SCRO	27	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Providence Valdez Behavioral Health	SCRO	5	1.75	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.75	
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	NRO	3	4.25	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.25	\$ 35,000
Rainforest Recovery Center	SERO	78	3.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	ARO	42	0.25	1.00	0.00	0.00	(0.75)	
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	ARO	19	2.10	0.00	0.00	0.60	1.50	
Seaview Community Services	SCRO	2	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	SERO	9	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Sound Alternatives	SCRO	38	2.60	0.50	0.00	0.60	1.50	\$ 15,000
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	SCRO	71	1.25	1.00	0.00	1.00	(0.75)	
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage	ARO	1047	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath	NRO	1147	2.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	SERO	36	3.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.50	\$ 25,000
Tanana Chiefs Conference	NRO	12	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	NRO	90	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
The Arc of Anchorage	ARO	46	1.50	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	
Tok Area Counseling Center	NRO	11	4.05	1.00	0.00	0.80	2.25	\$ 35,000
Volunteers of America	ARO	20	2.75	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.75	\$ 15,000
Youth Advocates of Sitka	SERO	8	1.75	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.75	
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	NRO	65	3.10	1.00	0.00	0.60	1.50	\$ 25,000
(Participated in AST-CSR Pilot Project)								

<b>Minimum</b>	(1.00)	(1.00)	0.00	(0.60)	(0.75)
<b>Maximum</b>	4.25	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.25
<b>Average</b>	2.21	0.69	0.00	0.74	0.78

**Attachment A. FY2011 CBHTR Performance Based Funding (PBF) Summary Tables**

**Table 3. FY2010 Grantee Performance Summary and FY2011 Increment Awards:  
Performance Points and Attainment Summary**

Performance Points: Four Base Measures (See Attachment B. for the performance thresholds that correspond to each measure's performance points)					
Staff Score	Admin BHCS	AST/CSR Data Completeness		CSR Findings	Min & Max Net Score
-1.00	-0.50	-0.30	-0.30	-0.75	-2.85
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	
0.50		0.30	0.30	0.75	
1.00		0.50	0.50	1.50	
				2.25	4.25

Performance Attainment Summary			
# of Grantees	% of Grantees	PBF Net Score (Attainment Level)	FY11 Increment Award (\$)
3	5.08%	4.00	\$ 35,000
6	10.17%	3.50	\$ 25,000
4	6.78%	3.00	\$ 25,000
17	28.81%	2.50	\$ 15,000
10	16.95%	2.00	
7	11.86%	1.50	
5	8.47%	1.00	
5	8.47%	0.00	
2	3.39%	(1.00)	
59	100.00%		

**Attachment B**

---

---

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance  
Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr**

**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**

**Table 1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score: Grantee Performance and PBF Points**

<p><b>Description:</b> DBH staff scored grantees on the following activities to determine an overall Staff Score for each grantee:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Did grantee participate in community planning on a quarterly basis?</li> <li>* Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?</li> <li>* Did grantee submit all required reports (including narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal reports) in a timely manner?</li> <li>* Did grantee submit complete quarterly reports?</li> <li>* Did the grantee use the Logic Model to make program improvements?</li> </ul>	Range	Low	High	Performance Points
	1	≥ 0%	< 0%	0.00
	2	≥ 0%	< 0%	0.00
	3	≥ 0%	< 75%	(1.00)
	4	≥ 75%	< 95%	0.00
	5	≥ 95%	< 100%	0.50
	6	≥ 100%		1.00

A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score	Threshold Range	PBF Points
Access Alaska	68	97%	5	0.50
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	1045	100%	6	1.00
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	1145	100%	6	1.00
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	16	93%	4	0.00
Alaska Children's Services	59	100%	6	1.00
Alaska Family Services	23	90%	4	0.00
Alaska Island Community Services	39	95%	5	0.50
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	95%	5	0.50
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	100%	6	1.00
Assets Inc.	21	95%	5	0.50
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	95%	5	0.50
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	100%	6	1.00
Community Connections	50	90%	4	0.00
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	100%	6	1.00
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67	95%	5	0.50
Copper River Native Association	48	78%	4	0.00
Daybreak CMI	24	100%	6	1.00
Denali Family Services	75	95%	5	0.50
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	100%	6	1.00
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76	100%	6	1.00
Fairbanks Native Association	14	85%	4	0.00
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10	100%	6	1.00
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51	100%	6	1.00
Gastineau Human Services	7	100%	6	1.00
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52	100%	6	1.00
Interior AIDS Association	13	100%	6	1.00

A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score	Threshold Range	PBF Points
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32	100%	6	1.00
Juneau Youth Services	72	100%	6	1.00
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30	100%	6	1.00
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	95%	5	0.50
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	38%	3	(1.00)
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35	90%	4	0.00
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55	90%	4	0.00
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73	100%	6	1.00
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25	100%	6	1.00
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43	100%	6	1.00
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	90%	4	0.00
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80	93%	4	0.00
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	100%	6	1.00
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	100%	6	1.00
Providence Valdez Behavioral Health	5	100%	6	1.00
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3	100%	6	1.00
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	100%	6	1.00
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42	100%	6	1.00
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	93%	4	0.00
Seaview Community Services	2	93%	4	0.00
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	100%	6	1.00
Sound Alternatives	38	95%	5	0.50
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71	100%	6	1.00
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage	1047	100%	6	1.00
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath	1147	100%	6	1.00
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	36	95%	5	0.50
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	100%	6	1.00
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	90	100%	6	1.00
The Arc of Anchorage	46	100%	6	1.00
Tok Area Counseling Center	11	100%	6	1.00
Volunteers of America	20	100%	6	1.00
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	100%	6	1.00
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	100%	6	1.00

**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**

**Table 2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey:  
Grantee Performance and PBF Points**

<p><b>Description:</b> DBH uses the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey (BHCS) to obtain information on client evaluation of care. This information is utilized by both DBH and grantees to help guide service delivery improvements. Grantees are required to participate in administering the BHCS, including distributing the BHCS to clients and communicating to DBH the number of surveys distributed. If the grantee did not distribute the BHCS, negative points are applied.</p>	<p><b>Performance Points: (0.50)</b></p>

A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Annual BHCS Administered (Yes/No)	Discharge BHCS Administered (Yes/No)	PBF Points
Access Alaska	68	yes		0.00
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	1045	yes	Yes	0.00
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	1145	yes	Yes	0.00
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	16		Yes	0.00
Alaska Children's Services	59	yes		0.00
Alaska Family Services	23	yes		0.00
Alaska Island Community Services	39	yes		0.00
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	yes		0.00
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	yes		0.00
Assets Inc.	21	yes		0.00
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	yes	Yes	0.00
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66		Yes	0.00
Community Connections	50	yes		0.00
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	yes		0.00
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67		Yes	0.00
Copper River Native Association	48	yes		0.00
Daybreak CMI	24	yes		0.00
Denali Family Services	75	yes		0.00
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	yes		0.00
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76	yes		0.00
Fairbanks Native Association	14		Yes	0.00
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10			0.00
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51	yes		0.00
Gastineau Human Services	7	yes		0.00
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52	yes		0.00
Interior AIDS Association	13	yes		0.00
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32	yes		0.00
Juneau Youth Services	72	yes		0.00



**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**

**Table 3. Data Completeness and Compliance: Grantee Performance and PBF Points**

<p><b>Description:</b> Grantees are required to complete an Alaska Screening Tool (AST) and an intake Client Status Review (CSR) for each client as part of the pre-admission/admission process. Thus, each client with an admission record should also have a completed AST and CSR.</p> <p>The "Data Completeness and Compliance" measure looks at the ratio of ASTs to admission records and the ratio of CSRs to admission records to calculate a percent compliance for completing ASTs and CSRs. (Note: DBH policy does not require grantees to complete an intake, follow-up, or discharge CSR for clients receiving detoxification services or psychiatric emergency services.)</p>	<b>Range</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Performance Points</b>
	1	≥ 0%	< 0%	0.00
	2	≥ 0%	< 0%	0.00
	3	≥ 0%	< 60%	0.30
	4	≥ 60%	< 85%	0.00
	5	≥ 85%	< 95%	0.30
	6	≥ 95%		0.50

Grantees who participated in the AST/CSR Pilot Project are automatically assigned the PBF Points associated with "Range 6" in the table above (for each AST and CSR).

A	B	C	AST				CSR				L
			D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	TOTAL Admissions (Not displayed per HIPAA)	AST Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	% Compliance	Threshold Range	PBF Points	CSR Done/Not Required (Not displayed per HIPAA)	% Compliance	Threshold Range	PBF Points	AST & CSR Combined PBF Points
Access Alaska	68			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	1045			95%	6	0.50		88%	5	0.30	0.80
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	1145			95%	6	0.50		88%	5	0.30	0.80
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	16			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
Alaska Children's Services	59			90%	5	0.30		88%	5	0.30	0.60
Alaska Family Services	23			97%	6	0.50		90%	5	0.30	0.80
Alaska Island Community Services	39			100%	6	0.50		99%	6	0.50	1.00
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15			85%	5	0.30		93%	5	0.30	0.60
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77			46%	3	0.50		48%	3	0.50	1.00
Assets Inc.	21			80%	4	0.00		80%	4	0.00	0.00
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74			98%	6	0.50		87%	5	0.30	0.80
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66			95%	6	0.50		91%	5	0.30	0.80
Community Connections	50			96%	6	0.50		92%	5	0.30	0.80
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31			98%	6	0.50		89%	5	0.30	0.80
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67			96%	6	0.50		98%	6	0.50	1.00
Copper River Native Association	48			88%	5	0.30		88%	5	0.30	0.60
Daybreak CMI	24			100%	6	0.50		90%	5	0.30	0.80
Denali Family Services	75			96%	6	0.50		84%	4	0.00	0.50
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69			98%	6	0.50		90%	5	0.30	0.80
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76			95%	6	0.50		90%	5	0.30	0.80
Fairbanks Native Association	14			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10					0.50				0.50	1.00
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51			83%	4	0.00		95%	6	0.50	0.50
Gastineau Human Services	7			61%	4	0.50		60%	4	0.50	1.00
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
Interior AIDS Association	13			100%	6	0.50		93%	5	0.30	0.80
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32			61%	4	0.50		61%	4	0.50	1.00

A	B	C	AST				CSR				L
			D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	TOTAL Admissions (Not displayed per HIPAA)	AST Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	% Compliance	Threshold Range	PBF Points	CSR Done/Not Required (Not displayed per HIPAA)	% Compliance	Threshold Range	PBF Points	AST & CSR Combined PBF Points
Juneau Youth Services	72			97%	6	0.50		98%	6	0.50	1.00
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30			93%	5	0.30		93%	5	0.30	0.60
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54			93%	5	0.30		81%	4	0.00	0.30
Ketchikan Indian Community	44			70%	4	0.00		67%	4	0.00	0.00
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35			57%	3	0.50		57%	3	0.50	1.00
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55			89%	5	0.30		59%	3	0.30	0.00
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43			59%	3	0.30		58%	3	0.30	0.60
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53			84%	4	0.50		82%	4	0.50	1.00
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80			93%	5	0.30		91%	5	0.30	0.60
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27			84%	4	0.50		92%	5	0.50	1.00
Providence Valdez Behavioral Health	5			74%	4	0.00		70%	4	0.00	0.00
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3			100%	6	0.50		100%	6	0.50	1.00
Rainforest Recovery Center	78			99%	6	0.50		76%	4	0.50	1.00
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42			83%	4	0.00		67%	4	0.00	0.00
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19			91%	5	0.30		92%	5	0.30	0.60
Seaview Community Services	2			97%	6	0.50		97%	6	0.50	1.00
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9			97%	6	0.50		96%	6	0.50	1.00
Sound Alternatives	38			87%	5	0.30		86%	5	0.30	0.60
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71			99%	6	0.50		96%	6	0.50	1.00
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage	1047			98%	6	0.50		97%	6	0.50	1.00
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath	1147			98%	6	0.50		97%	6	0.50	1.00
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	36			93%	5	0.50		77%	4	0.50	1.00
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12			96%	6	0.50		97%	6	0.50	1.00
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	90			99%	6	0.50		98%	6	0.50	1.00
The Arc of Anchorage	46			100%	6	0.50		64%	4	0.00	0.50
Tok Area Counseling Center	11			99%	6	0.50		94%	5	0.30	0.80
Volunteers of America	20			97%	6	0.50		98%	6	0.50	1.00
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8			84%	4	0.00		68%	4	0.00	0.00
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65			90%	5	0.30		88%	5	0.30	0.60
(Participated in AST-CSR Pilot Project)											

**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**

**Table 4. Client Status Review Findings: Grantee Performance and PBF Points**

<p><b>Description:</b> DBH uses the Client Status Review (CSR) to monitor client functioning in 11 major life domains over time. Grantees are required to complete a CSR for clients at intake and periodically throughout treatment. A client's most recent CSR during the reporting year is compared to his/her intake CSR to indicate if there is improved/maintained condition for the "summary of 11 life domains."</p> <p>The "CSR Findings" measure looks at the percent of clients with improved/maintained condition (i.e., the CSR Score) for the "summary of 11 life domains."</p>	<b>Range</b>	<b>Low</b>	<b>High</b>	<b>Performance Points</b>
	1	≥ 0%	< 0%	0.00
	2	≥ 0%	< 50%	(0.75)
	3	≥ 50%	< 65%	0.00
	4	≥ 65%	< 75%	0.75
	5	≥ 75%	< 85%	1.50
	6	≥ 85%		2.25

Grantees who participated in the AST/CSR Pilot Project are automatically assigned the PBF Points associated with "Range 5" in the table above.

A	B	C	D	E	F
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10 Episode Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	FY10 CSR "Summary of 11 Items" Score	Threshold Range	PBF Points
Access Alaska	68		88%	6	2.25
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	1045		72%	4	0.75
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	1145		72%	4	0.75
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	16		81%	5	1.50
Alaska Children's Services	59		61%	3	0.00
Alaska Family Services	23		72%	4	0.75
Alaska Island Community Services	39		70%	4	0.75
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15		50%	3	0.00
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77		67%	4	1.50
Assets Inc.	21		50%	3	0.00
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74		69%	4	0.75
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66		61%	3	0.00
Community Connections	50		75%	5	1.50
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31		65%	4	0.75
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67		82%	5	1.50
Copper River Native Association	48		50%	3	0.00
Daybreak CMI	24		80%	5	1.50
Denali Family Services	75		80%	5	1.50
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69		66%	4	0.75
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76		61%	3	0.00

A	B	C	D	E	F
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10 Episode Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	FY10 CSR "Summary of 11 Items" Score	Threshold Range	PBF Points
Fairbanks Native Association	14		75%	5	1.50
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10		0%	2	(0.75)
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51		71%	4	0.75
Gastineau Human Services	7		72%	4	1.50
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52		65%	4	0.75
Interior AIDS Association	13		73%	4	0.75
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32		70%	4	1.50
Juneau Youth Services	72		54%	3	0.00
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30		0%	2	(0.75)
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54		79%	5	1.50
Ketchikan Indian Community	44		64%	3	0.00
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35		62%	3	1.50
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55		40%	2	(0.75)
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73		70%	4	0.75
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25		87%	6	2.25
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43		73%	4	0.75
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53		50%	3	1.50
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80		53%	3	0.00
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40		60%	3	0.00
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27		67%	4	1.50
Providence Valdez Behavioral Health	5		67%	4	0.75
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3		86%	6	2.25
Rainforest Recovery Center	78		64%	3	1.50
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42		33%	2	(0.75)
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19		78%	5	1.50
Seaview Community Services	2		62%	3	0.00
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9		72%	4	0.75
Sound Alternatives	38		80%	5	1.50
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71		49%	2	(0.75)
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage	1047		60%	3	0.00
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath	1147		60%	3	0.00
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	36		78%	5	1.50
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12		66%	4	0.75
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	90		70%	4	0.75
The Arc of Anchorage	46		61%	3	0.00

A	B	C	D	E	F
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10 Episode Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	FY10 CSR "Summary of 11 Items" Score	Threshold Range	PBF Points
Tok Area Counseling Center	11		85%	6	2.25
Volunteers of America	20		65%	4	0.75
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8		72%	4	0.75
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65		77%	5	1.50
(Participated in AST-CSR Pilot Project)					

**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**

**Table 5. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: Advisory Measure**

<p><b>Description:</b> This measure applies only to substance abuse (SA) residential grantees; detox beds are excluded from this measure. A SA residential bed utilization rate is calculated by dividing the grantee's bed utilization days (i.e., the count of days between clients' program enrollment date and disenrollment date) by the grantee's bed capacity (i.e., the number of contracted bed days).</p>				

A	B	C	D	E	F
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Bed Count	Bed Days Available thru 3rd Qtr	Bed Days Utilized thru 3rd Qtr	Utilization Rate E / D
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	1045	20	5,480	9,087	165.8%
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	1145	14	3,836	2,897	75.5%
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	16	25	6,850	6,114	89.3%
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	12	3,288	3,514	106.9%
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	10	2,740	2,406	87.8%
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67	6	1,644	2,660	161.8%
Fairbanks Native Association	14	18	4,932	3,397	68.9%
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	12	3,288	2,496	75.9%
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	40	10,960	10,740	98.0%
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	7	1,918	1,552	80.9%
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage	1047	14	3,836	2,751	71.7%
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	36	10	1,960	1,567	79.9%
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	10	2,740	3,825	139.6%
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	90	10	2,740	2,317	84.6%
Volunteers of America	20	18	4,932	5,219	105.8%
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	16	4,384	4,373	99.7%
Access Alaska	68				
Alaska Children's Services	59				
Alaska Family Services	23				
Alaska Island Community Services	39				
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15				
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77				
Assets Inc.	21				
Community Connections	50				
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31				
Copper River Native Association	48				
Daybreak CMI	24				
Denali Family Services	75				
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69				



**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**  
**Table 6. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: Advisory Measure**

**Description:** The Follow-Up Client Status Review (CSR) Completion Rate is a measure of the following: for clients with an open case (case was open at any time during the selected time period), the proportion of clients who were due at least one follow-up CSR within the selected time period and had at least one follow-up CSR completed. Note: The count of clients "due" a follow-up CSR includes clients who were no longer receiving services but continued to have an open case (client may have stopped receiving services in prior year, but case was still open during selected time period). Detox, Psych Emergency, and Waitlist only clients are excluded from this measure.

A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Clients with an Episode Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	Clients Expected ('Due') to have Episode Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate) C / D
Access Alaska	68			89%
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	1045			61%
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	1145			61%
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	16			53%
Alaska Children's Services	59			88%
Alaska Family Services	23			57%
Alaska Island Community Services	39			95%
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15			18%
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77			54%
Assets Inc.	21			57%
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74			20%
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66			32%
Community Connections	50			76%
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31			77%
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67			100%
Copper River Native Association	48			44%
Daybreak CMI	24			78%
Denali Family Services	75			61%
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69			38%
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76			35%
Fairbanks Native Association	14			8%
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10			100%
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51			84%
Gastineau Human Services	7			13%
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52			79%

A	B	C	D	E
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Clients with an Episode Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	Clients Expected ('Due') to have Episode Count (Not displayed per HIPAA)	Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate) C / D
Interior AIDS Association	13			89%
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32			76%
Juneau Youth Services	72			71%
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30			0%
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54			5%
Ketchikan Indian Community	44			5%
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35			76%
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55			4%
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73			85%
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25			91%
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43			33%
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53			5%
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80			14%
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40			49%
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27			25%
Providence Valdez Behavioral Health	5			7%
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3			6%
Rainforest Recovery Center	78			27%
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42			100%
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19			31%
Seaview Community Services	2			22%
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9			21%
Sound Alternatives	38			45%
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71			32%
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage	1047			16%
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath	1147			16%
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	36			26%
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12			31%
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	90			100%
The Arc of Anchorage	46			69%
Tok Area Counseling Center	11			99%
Volunteers of America	20			48%
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8			57%
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65			24%
(Participated in AST-CSR Pilot Project)				

**Attachment B. FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**

**Table 7. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population (SED, SMI, SUD):  
Advisory Measure**

<b>Description:</b> The average number of services per client by priority population (SED, SMI, and SUD) is a developmental measure focusing on priority clients who are eligible to receive more than one encounter.				
A	B	C		
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Average # of Services per Client by Priority Population		
		SED	SMI	SUD
Access Alaska	68	-	30	-
Akeela Inc., Co-ed	1045	-	33	44
Akeela Inc., Women and Children	1145	-	33	44
Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services	16	-	-	288
Alaska Children's Services	59	163	-	-
Alaska Family Services	23	10	42	37
Alaska Island Community Services	39	33	45	10
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	2	9	6
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	43	17	-
Assets Inc.	21	397	512	-
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	4	19	22
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	10	-	54
Community Connections	50	101	-	-
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	-	-	12
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67	-	-	19
Copper River Native Association	48	10	6	16
Daybreak CMI	24	-	58	-
Denali Family Services	75	200	-	-
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	1	8	4
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76	63	31	-
Fairbanks Native Association	14	-	-	9
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10	-	276	-
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51	2	-	-
Gastineau Human Services	7	-	4	14
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52	331	12	-
Interior AIDS Association	13	-	-	44
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32	-	39	-
Juneau Youth Services	72	401	-	17

A  Grantee	B  AKAIMS ID	C  Average # of Services per Client by Priority Population		
		SED	SMI	SUD
		Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30	25
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	44	8	11
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	-	-	10
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35	18	17	8
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55	4	6	15
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73	23	15	-
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25	-	-	43
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43	8	7	10
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	4	4	7
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80	64	77	-
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	21	41	11
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	7	26	8
Providence Valdez Behavioral Health	5	6	7	5
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3	16	8	13
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	-	-	52
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42	3	-	5
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	-	-	51
Seaview Community Services	2	120	147	7
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	111	21	9
Sound Alternatives	38	5	10	6
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71	63	42	-
Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage	1047	44	11	73
Southcentral Foundation - McGrath	1147	44	11	73
SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)	36	-	7	9
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	-	143	28
Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA	90	-	-	19
The Arc of Anchorage	46	118	115	162
Tok Area Counseling Center	11	8	11	-
Volunteers of America	20	1	-	37
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	120	16	-
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	2	2	2

**Attachment C**

---

---

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR  
Grantee Performance and FY2011  
Increment Award**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Access Alaska***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>88%</b>	PBF Points: <b>2.25</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 89%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **30**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Akeela Inc., Co-ed***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>95%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>88%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>72%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.55</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 166%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 61%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **33**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **44**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Akeela Inc., Women and Children***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>95%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>88%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>72%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.55</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 76%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 61%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **33**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **44**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>81%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 89%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 53%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **288**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Alaska Children's Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>88%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>61%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.60</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 88%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **163**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Alaska Family Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>72%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.55</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 57%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **10**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **42**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **37**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Alaska Island Community Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: 95%	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>99%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>70%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.25</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 95%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **33**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **45**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **10**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc.***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: 95%	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>85%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>50%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.10</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 18%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **2**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **9**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **6**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Anchorage Community Mental Health Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>46%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>48%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>67%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 54%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **43**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **17**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Assets Inc.***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: 95%	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>80%</b>	PBF Points: 0.00
CSR % Compliance: <b>80%</b>	PBF Points: 0.00
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>50%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>0.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 57%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **397**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **512**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: 95%	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>87%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>69%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.05</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 107%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 20%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **4**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **19**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **22**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Central Peninsula General Hospital***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>95%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>91%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>61%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.80</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 88%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 32%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **10**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **54**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Community Connections***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>96%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>92%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>75%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.30</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 76%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **101**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>89%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>65%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.55</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 77%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **12**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Cook Inlet Tribal Council***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>95%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>96%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>82%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 162%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 100%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **19**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Copper River Native Association***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>78%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>88%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>88%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>50%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>0.60</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 44%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **10**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **6**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **16**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Daybreak CMI***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>80%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.30</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 78%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **58**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Denali Family Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>95%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>96%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>84%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>80%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 61%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **200**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>66%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.55</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 38%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **1**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **8**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **4**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>95%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>61%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.80</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 35%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **63**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **31**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Fairbanks Native Association***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>85%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>75%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 69%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 8%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **9**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Fairbanks Resource Agency***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: -	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: -	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>0%</b>	PBF Points: <b>-0.75</b>
---	--------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.25</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 100%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED):
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **276**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Family Centered Services of Alaska***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>83%</b>	PBF Points: 0.00
CSR % Compliance: <b>95%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>71%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.25</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 84%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **2**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Gastineau Human Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>61%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>60%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>72%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 13%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **4**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **14**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Hope Community Resources Inc.***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>65%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 79%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **331**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **12**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Interior AIDS Association***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>73%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.55</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 89%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **44**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>61%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>61%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>70%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 76%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **39**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Juneau Youth Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>54%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 71%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **401**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **17**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Kenai Peninsula Care Center***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>0%</b>	PBF Points: <b>-0.75</b>
---	--------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>0.85</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 0%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **25**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Kenaitze Indian Tribe***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: 95%	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>81%</b>	PBF Points: 0.00
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>79%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.30</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 5%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **44**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **8**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **11**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Ketchikan Indian Community***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>38%</b>	PBF Points: <b>-1.00</b>
-------------------------	--------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>70%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>67%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>64%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>-1.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 5%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **10**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Lynn Canal Human Resources***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>57%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>57%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>62%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 76%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **18**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **17**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **8**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Maniilaq Behavioral Health***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>89%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>59%</b>	PBF Points: <b>-0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>40%</b>	PBF Points: <b>-0.75</b>
--	--------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>-0.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 4%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **4**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **6**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **15**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Mat-Su Health Services Inc.***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>70%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 85%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **23**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **15**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>87%</b>	PBF Points: <b>2.25</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>4.25</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 35,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 91%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **43**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>59%</b>	PBF Points: -0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>58%</b>	PBF Points: -0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>-0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>73%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.15</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 33%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **8**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **7**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **10**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Norton Sound Health Corporation***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>84%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>82%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>50%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 5%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **4**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **4**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **7**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Peninsula Community Health Centers***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>91%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>53%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>0.60</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 14%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **64**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **77**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Petersburg Mental Health Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>60%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 49%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **21**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **41**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **11**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>84%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>92%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>67%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 25%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **7**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **26**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **8**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Providence Valdez Behavioral Health***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>74%</b>	PBF Points: 0.00
CSR % Compliance: <b>70%</b>	PBF Points: 0.00
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>67%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 7%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **6**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **7**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **5**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>86%</b>	PBF Points: <b>2.25</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>4.25</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 35,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 6%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **16**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **8**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **13**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Rainforest Recovery Center***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>99%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>76%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>64%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 76%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 27%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **52**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Salvation Army Booth Memorial***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>83%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>67%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>33%</b>	PBF Points: <b>-0.75</b>
--	--------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>0.25</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 100%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **3**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **5**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Salvation Army Clitheroe Center***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>91%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>92%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>78%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.10</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 98%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 31%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **51**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Seaview Community Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
-------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>62%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 22%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **120**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **147**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **7**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>96%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>72%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 81%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 21%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **111**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **21**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **9**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Sound Alternatives***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: 95%	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>87%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>86%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>80%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.60</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 45%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **5**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **10**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **6**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>99%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>96%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>49%</b>	PBF Points: <b>-0.75</b>
--	--------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.25</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 32%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **63**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **42**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>60%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 72%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 16%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **44**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **11**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **73**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Southcentral Foundation - McGrath***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>60%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 16%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **44**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **11**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **73**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC)***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: 95%	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>93%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>77%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>78%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.00</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 80%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 26%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **7**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **9**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Tanana Chiefs Conference***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>96%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>66%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 140%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 31%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED):
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **143**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **28**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Tanana Chiefs Conference - FNA***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>99%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>70%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 85%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 100%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED):

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **19**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *The Arc of Anchorage***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>64%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>61%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.50</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 69%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **118**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **115**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **162**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Tok Area Counseling Center***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>99%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.50</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>94%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.30</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.80</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>85%</b>	PBF Points: <b>2.25</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>4.05</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 35,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 99%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **8**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **11**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Volunteers of America***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>97%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
CSR % Compliance: <b>98%</b>	PBF Points: 0.50
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>65%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>2.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 15,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 106%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 48%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **1**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI):

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **37**

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Youth Advocates of Sitka***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>84%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
CSR % Compliance: <b>68%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>72%</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.75</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>1.75</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate:**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 57%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **120**

Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **16**

Average # of Services Per Client (SUD):

**Attachment C.**

**Agency Summaries: FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance and FY2011 Increment Award**

**Provider: *Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation***

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr, Performance Based Funding (PBF) Points, and FY2011 Increment Award:**

**1. Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score**

Staff Score: <b>100%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.00</b>
--------------------------	-------------------------

**2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey**

(Yes/No): <b>Yes</b>	PBF Points: <b>0.00</b>
----------------------	-------------------------

**3. Data Completeness and Compliance**

AST % Compliance: <b>90%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
CSR % Compliance: <b>88%</b>	PBF Points: 0.30
SubTotal AST & CSR PBF Points: <b>0.60</b>	

**4. Client Status Review Findings**

CSR Summary of 11 Life Domains Score: <b>77%</b>	PBF Points: <b>1.50</b>
--	-------------------------

<b>PBF Net Score (Sum of PBF Points for Performance Measures 1-4)</b>	<b>3.10</b>
<b>FY2011 Increment Award</b>	<b>\$ 25,000</b>

**FY2010 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Qtr – Advisory Measures:**

**1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate: 100%**

**2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: 24%**

**3. Average Number of Services Per Client by Priority Population**

- Average # of Services Per Client (SED): **2**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SMI): **2**
- Average # of Services Per Client (SUD): **2**

## **Attachment D**

---

# **Agency Grant Review and Progress Reports: FY2010 DBH Staff Score Review Sheets**

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Access Alaska, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-202 **FY10 funding:** \$1,005

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves, M.Ed.

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	-	Detoxification – SA	<b>1,005</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
	-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
	-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
	-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
		SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,005</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Akeela, Inc. - Coed Res</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>602-10-230</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$896,955</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Joan Houlihan</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	<b>126,718</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
<b>770,237</b>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>896,955</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Akeela, Inc. - Stepping Stones

**Grant No:** 602-10-231 **FY10 funding:** \$681,225

**Reviewer:** Joan Houlihan

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>681,225</b>	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>681,225</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services/Nugen's Ranch</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>602-10-280</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$878,829</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Viki Wells</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>878,829</b>	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>878,829</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Alaska Rehabilitation Services/Nugen's Ranch is a long-term (6 to 24 months) residential program for chronic alcoholics/addicts with/without psychosis, public inebriants, and individuals with Axis I and Axis II mental illness. Due to IMD exclusion they are unable to bill Medicaid. AARS is DBH approved and CARF accredited.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 8

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	2	8	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					56
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					93.33%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Alaska Children's Services

**Grant No:** 602-10-203 **FY10 funding:** \$144,839

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves, M.Ed.

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
		Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
		Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
		Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
		Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
		Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
		Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
		Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
		Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>144,839</b>		SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>144,839</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Alaska Family Services, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-260 **FY10 funding:** \$540,172

**Reviewer:** Joan Houlihan

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>180,058</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>180,057</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	<b>180,057</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>540,172</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 3**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**Quarter 1 and Quarter 2 Narrative Reports were submitted late.**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? ("Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	1	3	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					54
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>90.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Alaska Island Counseling Services

**Grant No:** 602-10-254 **FY10 funding:** \$417,670

**Reviewer:** Sheri Alexandar Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>200,634</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>46,466</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>132,724</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>37,846</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>417,670</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Aleutian Pribilof Island Association, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-268 **FY10 funding:** \$302,647

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>68,780</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>41,584</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>88,178</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>104,105</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>302,647</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**First Quarter Narrative was received on November 2nd**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Anchorage Community Mental Health Services</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>602-10-204</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$4,785,398</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Dave Reeves, M.Ed.</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>48,000</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>3,280,525</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	<b>150,000</b>	Other
<b>1,306,873</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>4,785,398</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Assets, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-205 **FY10 funding:** \$787,707

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves, M.Ed.

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-		Detoxification – SA	<b>787,707</b>		SMI – Outpatient – MH
-		Youth Residential – SA	-		Adult – Outpatient – SA
-		Youth Residential – MH	-		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-		Adult Residential – SA	-		Employment Services
-		Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-		Disability Justice – MH and SA
-		Adult Residential – MH	-		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-		Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-		Pilots – MH and SA
-		Recovery Camps – SA	-		Other
-		SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>787,707</b>		<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO

3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation

**Grant No:** 606-10-281 **FY10 funding:** \$937,662

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>264,042</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>94,615</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>215,888</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>323,833</b>	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>39,284</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>937,662</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

First Quarter CFR was received on 11/6/2009

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? ("Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Central Peninsula General Hospital - Serenity House

**Grant No:** 602-10-282 **FY10 funding:** \$87,807

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
<b>87,807</b>	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>87,807</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Serenity House is approved by DBH and accredited by the Joint Commission to provide Adult residential and outpatient substance use disorder treatment.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO                      3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Community Connections

**Grant No:** 602-10-250 **FY10 funding:** \$174,840

**Reviewer:** Sheri Alexandar Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>174,840</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>174,840</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					54
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>90.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Cook Inlet Council on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse

**Grant No:** 602-10-232 **FY10 funding:** \$486,477

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
Detoxification – SA	SMI – Outpatient – MH
Youth Residential – SA	<b>486,477</b>
Youth Residential – MH	Adult – Outpatient – SA
Adult Residential – SA	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
Women and Children’s Residential – SA	Employment Services
Adult Residential – MH	Disability Justice – MH and SA
Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
Recovery Camps – SA	Pilots – MH and SA
SED Youth Outpatient – MH	Other
	<b>486,477 TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**CICADA is DBH approved and CARF accredited.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-233 **FY10 funding:** \$1,454,792

**Reviewer:** Joan Houlihan

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
1,132,237	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
322,555	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	1,454,792	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**1st Quarter Narrative Report was submitted late.**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (“Complete” means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Copper River Native Association

**Grant No:** 602-10-283 **FY10 funding:** \$237,344

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>47,469</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>59,336</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>83,070</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>47,469</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>237,344</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**CRNA is approved by DBH to provide adult outpatient substance use disorder treatment.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 8

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

No participation reported in 1st quarter

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO                      3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**1st Quarter Narrative Report received 11/19/09 (due 10/30/09)**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (“Complete” means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

No Logic Model report in 1st Quarter

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	2	8	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					47
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					78.33%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Daybreak

**Grant No:** 602-10-263 **FY10 funding:** \$98,906

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>98,906</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>98,906</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>



**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO

3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? ("Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Eastern Aleutian Tribes, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-264 **FY10 funding:** \$291,551

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>137,188</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>15,250</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>126,613</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>12,500</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>291,551</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>602-10-270</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$2,595,939</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Sharon Walluk</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>515,193</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>1,496,939</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>583,807</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>2,595,939</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Fairbanks Native Association

**Grant No:** 602-10-271 **FY10 funding:** \$2,154,808

**Reviewer:** Lynn Eldridge

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<b>1,587,420</b>	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
<b>567,388</b>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>2,154,808</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Logic model for the 3rd Quarterly report on the Detox was not submitted by the time of this scoring.

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					51
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>85.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Fairbanks Resource Agency

**Grant No:** 602-10-272 **FY10 funding:** \$47,811

**Reviewer:** Sharon Walluk

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>47,811</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>47,811</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Family Centered Services of Alaska</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>602-10-273</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$562,672</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Sharon Walluk</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>562,672</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>562,672</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Gastineau Human Services Corporation

**Grant No:** 602-10-241 **FY10 funding:** \$337,962

**Reviewer:** Marilee Fletcher Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	-	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>337,962</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	-	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	-	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	-	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	-	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
		SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>337,962</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**As of the third quarter CFR the grantee indicates very limited expenditure of their required match.**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Hope Community Resources, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-206 **FY10 funding:** \$75,376

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves, M.Ed.

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
		Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
		Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
		Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
		Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
		Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
		Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
		Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
		Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>75,376</b>		SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>75,376</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Interior AIDS Association/Project Special Delivery

**Grant No:** 602-10-284 **FY10 funding:** \$162,015

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<b>162,015</b>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>162,015</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**DBH approval for Outpatient and Detoxification: Opioid Treatment Programs (adult) 5/1/2010 through 5/31/2012**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Juneau Alliance for Mental Health

**Grant No:** 602-10-251 **FY10 funding:** \$1,448,072

**Reviewer:** Marilee Fletcher Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>321,975</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>1,126,097</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,448,072</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Juneau Youth Services

**Grant No:** 602-10-244 **FY10 funding:** \$880,217

**Reviewer:** Sheri Alexandar Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>57,700</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>114,430</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<b>53,790</b>	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>654,297</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>880,217</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center

**Grant No:** 602-10-266 **FY10 funding:** \$14,593

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>14,593</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>14,593</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Kenaitze Indian Tribe/Nakenu Family Center

**Grant No:** 602-10-267 **FY10 funding:** \$80,909

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	<b>15,341</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>10,070</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
Detoxification – SA	<b>16,721</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
Youth Residential – SA		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
Youth Residential – MH		Employment Services
Adult Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
Adult Residential – MH		Pilots – MH and SA
Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Other
Recovery Camps – SA		
<b>38,777</b>		<b>80,909</b> <b>TOTAL</b>
SED Youth Outpatient – MH		

**Comments:**

**Components of service do not include indirect of 24.73%**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**Narrative report submitted 2/05/10 (due 1/30/10).**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (“Complete” means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Kethikan Indian Community</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>602-10-245</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$14,734</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Sheri Alexandar Program Specialist</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>14,734</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>14,734</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 4**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	1	4	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	0	0	9
	2	4	1	4	12
	3	6	1	6	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					23
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					38.33%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Lynn Canal Counseling Center

**Grant No:** 602-10-252 **FY10 funding:** \$262,709

**Reviewer:** Sheri Alexandar Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>34,089</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>107,218</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>58,460</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>62,942</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>262,709</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? ("Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**



## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Maniilaq Association</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>605-10-001</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$1,363,670</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Sharon Walluk</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>297,410</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>302,115</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>462,030</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>302,115</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,363,670</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 3**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	1	3	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					54
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>90.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Mat-Su Health Services

**Grant No:** 602-10-261 **FY10 funding:** \$1,702,095

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>255,361</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>887,322</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>559,412</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,702,095</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Decreased no-show rate.

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Narcotic Drug Treatment Center/Center for Drug Problems

**Grant No:** 602-10-285 **FY10 funding:** \$850,301

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<b>850,301</b>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>850,301</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**DBH approval for Outpatient and Detoxification: Opioid Treatment Programs (adult) 5/1/2010 through 3/31/2012**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** North Slope Borough

**Grant No:** 602-10-274 **FY10 funding:** \$777,285

**Reviewer:** Lynn Eldridge

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>366,958</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>77,598</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>323,339</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>9,390</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>777,285</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Norton Sound Health Corporation

**Grant No:** 602-10-002 **FY10 funding:** \$1,187,492

**Reviewer:** Sharon Walluk

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>245,622</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>198,713</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>33,881</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>428,461</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	<b>246,933</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>33,882</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>\$ 1,187,492</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 3**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	1	3	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					54
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>90.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Peninsula Community Health Services

**Grant No:** 602-10-262 **FY10 funding:** \$528,656

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>195,087</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>200,109</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>133,460</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>528,656</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 8

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO

3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	2	8	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					56
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					93.33%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Petersburg Mental Health

**Grant No:** 602-10-253 **FY10 funding:** \$353,498

**Reviewer:** Sheri Alexandar - Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>183,731</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>31,088</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>123,983</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>14,696</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>353,498</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO                      3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center

**Grant No:** 602-10-286 **FY10 funding:** \$805,208

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>105,876</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>130,815</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>238,037</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>144,190</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>186,290</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>805,208</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Providence Valdez Counseling Center

**Grant No:** 602-10-289 **FY10 funding:** \$329,232

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>88,129</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>38,211</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>90,420</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>52,235</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>60,237</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>329,232</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions

**Grant No:** 602-10-275 **FY10 funding:** \$295,831

**Reviewer:** Lynn Eldridge

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>109,339</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>186,492</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>295,831</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Rainforest Recovery Center

**Grant No:** 602-10-243 **FY10 funding:** \$650,414

**Reviewer:** Sheri Alexandar Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<b>73,645</b>	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<b>576,769</b>	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>650,414</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO

3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Salvation Army Booth Memorial

**Grant No:** 602-10-234 **FY10 funding:** \$53,606

**Reviewer:** Joan Houlihan

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>53,606</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>53,606</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Salvation Army Clitheroe Center - CBH

**Grant No:** 602-10-235 **FY10 funding:** \$3,623,372

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, Behavioral Health Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<b>219,000</b>	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>647,305</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	<b>407,917</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>1,544,931</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
<b>804,219</b>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>3,623,372</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Seaview Community Services

**Grant No:** 602-10-287 **FY10 funding:** \$365,193

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>98,264</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>138,437</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>128,492</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>365,193</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 8

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	2	8	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					56
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					93.33%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Sitka Counseling and Prevention

**Grant No:** 602-10-247 **FY10 funding:** \$896,707

**Reviewer:** Marilee Fletcher Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>123,039</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>88,967</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>105,893</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>75,623</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	<b>51,825</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>300,000</b>	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>151,360</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>896,707</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Sound Alternatives at Cordova Community Medical Clinic

**Grant No:** 602-10-288 **FY10 funding:** \$357,605

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>57,238</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>72,632</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>135,887</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>91,848</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>357,605</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

The First Quarter Narrative was received on 11/13/2009.

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? ("Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** South Peninsula Behavioral Health Center

**Grant No:** 606-10-207 **FY10 funding:** \$358,359

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>120,737</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>152,622</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>85,000</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>358,359</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage

**Grant No:** 602-10-208 **FY10 funding:** \$393,746

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves, M.Ed.

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>44,926</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	<b>151,947</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
<b>151,947</b>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>44,926</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>393,746</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Southcentral Foundation - McGrath

**Grant No:** 602-10-200 **FY10 funding:** \$309,371

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves, M.Ed.

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>89,576</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>186,392</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>20,811</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>12,592</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>309,371</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Southeast Alaska Regional Health

**Grant No:** 602-10-209 **FY10 funding:** \$895,566

**Reviewer:** Marilee Fletcher Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>292,852</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<b>197,510</b>	Youth Residential – SA	<b>405,204</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>895,566</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**PBF summary spreadsheet includes an annualized amount for services in Hoonah the difference is \$11,236. In FY10 SEARHC only provided services in Hoonah for 10 months.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Tanana Chiefs Conference

**Grant No:** 602-10-211 **FY10 funding:** \$2,174,091

**Reviewer:** Lynn Eldridge

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>402,068</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>175,329</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>336,223</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>839,000</b>	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
<b>421,471</b>	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>2,174,091</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** The Arc of Anchorage

**Grant No:** 602-10-210 **FY10 funding:** \$342,630

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves, M.Ed.

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>218,496</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>41,253</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>82,881</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>342,630</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Tok Area Mental Health Council, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-10-276 **FY10 funding:** \$233,630

**Reviewer:** Lynn Eldridge

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>233,630</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>233,630</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Volunteers of America Alaska

**Grant No:** 602-10-236 **FY10 funding:** \$983,352

**Reviewer:** Joan Houlihan

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>271,755</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<b>711,597</b>	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>983,352</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**



## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Youth Advocates of Sitka

**Grant No:** 602-10-255 **FY10 funding:** \$1,022

**Reviewer:** Sheri Alexandar Program Specialist

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
		Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
		Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
		Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
		Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
		Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
		Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
		Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
		Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>1,022</b>		SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,022</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

<b>Grantee:</b>	<b>Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation</b>		
<b>Grant No:</b>	<b>602-10-005</b>	<b>FY10 funding:</b>	<b>\$2,648,838</b>
<b>Reviewer:</b>	<b>Sharon Walluk</b>		

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>669,173</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	<b>262,017</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	<b>193,827</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>869,155</b>	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<b>271,666</b>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
<b>383,000</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>2,648,838</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency’s overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

**Factor Weight:** 6 x **Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	3	12	12
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					100.00%