

**State of Alaska  
Department of Health and Social Services  
Division of Behavioral Health**

---

**Comprehensive Behavioral Health  
Treatment & Recovery (CBHTR) Grant Program**

**FY2012 CBHTR  
Performance Based Funding  
Summary  
FINAL  
June 24, 2011**



## Table of Contents

---

### FY2012 CBHTR Performance Based Funding Process ..... 1

#### Attachment A. FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Quarter

Attachment A.1: Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score <i>Grantee Performance – Standard Measure</i> .....	A.1-1
Attachment A.2: Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey <i>Grantee Performance – Standard Measure</i> .....	A.2-1
Attachment A.3: Data Completeness and Compliance <i>Grantee Performance – Standard Measure</i> .....	A.3-1
Attachment A.4: Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate <i>Grantee Performance - Advisory Measure</i> .....	A.4-1
Attachment A.5: Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate <i>Grantee Performance - Advisory Measure</i> .....	A.5-1
Attachment A.6: Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion <i>Grantee Performance - Advisory Measure</i> .....	A.6-1

#### Attachment B. CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card: FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures

Access Alaska .....	B-1
Akeela, Inc (Gateway Center for Human Services - Ketchikan) .....	B-2
Akeela, Inc (Co-ed) .....	B-3
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children).....	B-4
Alaska Children's Services .....	B-5
Alaska Family Services .....	B-6

---

Alaska Island Community Services .....	B-7
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc. ....	B-8
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services .....	B-9
Arc of Anchorage .....	B-10
Assets Inc .....	B-11
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation .....	B-12
Central Peninsula General Hospital .....	B-13
Community Connections .....	B-14
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse .....	B-15
Cook Inlet Tribal Council .....	B-16
Copper River Native Association .....	B-17
Daybreak CMI .....	B-18
Denali Family Services .....	B-19
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc .....	B-20
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center .....	B-21
Fairbanks Native Association .....	B-22
Family Centered Services of Alaska .....	B-23
Gastineau Human Services .....	B-24
Gateway Center for Human Services – Ketchikan (see Akeela, Inc.)	
Hope Community Resources Inc. ....	B-25
Interior AIDS Association .....	B-26
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc .....	B-27
Juneau Youth Services .....	B-28

---

Kenai Peninsula Care Center .....	B-29
Kenaitze Indian Tribe .....	B-30
Ketchikan Indian Community .....	B-31
Lynn Canal Human Resources .....	B-32
Maniilaq Behavioral Health .....	B-33
Mat-Su Health Services Inc. ....	B-34
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc. ....	B-35
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services .....	B-36
Norton Sound Health Corporation .....	B-37
Nugen's Ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services) .....	B-38
Peninsula Community Health Centers .....	B-39
Petersburg Mental Health Services .....	B-40
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center .....	B-41
Providence Valdez Counseling Center .....	B-42
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions .....	B-43
Rainforest Recovery Center .....	B-44
S.E.A.R.H.C. (SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium) .....	B-45
Salvation Army Booth Memorial .....	B-46
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center .....	B-47
Seaview Community Services .....	B-48
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services .....	B-49
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center .....	B-50
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc .....	B-51

---

Southcentral Foundation – Anchorage .....	B-52
Southcentral Foundation – McGrath .....	B-53
Tanana Chiefs Conference .....	B-54
Tok Area Counseling Center .....	B-55
Volunteers of America .....	B-56
Youth Advocates of Sitka .....	B-57
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation .....	B-58

**Attachment C: FY2011 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services - Grant Review  
and Progress Report**

Access Alaska .....	C-1
Akeela, Inc (Gateway Center for Human Services - Ketchikan) .....	C-6
Akeela, Inc (Co-ed) .....	C-11
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children).....	C-16
Alaska Children's Services .....	C-21
Alaska Family Services .....	C-26
Alaska Island Community Services .....	C-31
Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association Inc. ....	C-36
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services .....	C-41
Arc of Anchorage .....	C-46
Assets Inc .....	C-51
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation .....	C-56
Central Peninsula General Hospital .....	C-61

---

Community Connections .....	C-66
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse .....	C-71
Cook Inlet Tribal Council .....	C-76
Copper River Native Association .....	C-81
Daybreak CMI .....	C-86
Denali Family Services .....	C-91
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc .....	C-96
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center .....	C-101
Fairbanks Native Association .....	C-106
Family Centered Services of Alaska .....	C-111
Gastineau Human Services .....	C-116
Gateway Center for Human Services – Ketchikan (see Akeela, Inc.)	
Hope Community Resources Inc. ....	C-121
Interior AIDS Association .....	C-126
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc .....	C-131
Juneau Youth Services .....	C-136
Kenai Peninsula Care Center .....	C-141
Kenaitze Indian Tribe .....	C-146
Ketchikan Indian Community .....	C-151
Lynn Canal Human Resources .....	C-156
Maniilaq Behavioral Health .....	C-161
Mat-Su Health Services Inc. ....	C-166
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc. ....	C-171

---

North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services .....	C-176
Norton Sound Health Corporation .....	C-181
Nugen's Ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services) .....	C-186
Peninsula Community Health Centers .....	C-191
Petersburg Mental Health Services .....	C-196
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center .....	C-201
Providence Valdez Counseling Center .....	C-206
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions .....	C-211
Rainforest Recovery Center .....	C-216
S.E.A.R.H.C. (SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium) .....	C-221
Salvation Army Booth Memorial .....	C-226
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center .....	C-231
Seaview Community Services .....	C-236
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services .....	C-241
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center .....	C-246
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc .....	C-251
Southcentral Foundation – Anchorage .....	C-256
Southcentral Foundation – McGrath .....	C-261
Tanana Chiefs Conference .....	C-266
Tok Area Counseling Center .....	C-271
Volunteers of America .....	C-276
Youth Advocates of Sitka .....	C-281
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation .....	C-286

**Attachment D: FY2010 and FY2011 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services -  
Grant Review and Progress Report *Template***

2010 Template ..... D-1

2011 Template ..... D-5

---

## FY2012 CBHTR Performance Based Funding Process

---

### FY2012 Performance Based Funding Process: Summary

The FY2012 CBHTR grant awards reflect a performance based funding (PBF) process in which the Division reviewed FY2011 grantee performance, but made no PBF adjustments to the FY2012 grant awards. The decision to limit the FY2012 PBF process to a “performance review function” was made based on the following:

1. FY2011 was a major transition year in implementing modifications to the Client Status Review (CSR) outcomes instrument. The CSR was modified during FY 2010, and implemented in the 2<sup>nd</sup> quarter of FY 2011. This resulted in a significant change of agency practice and impacted the availability of data to measure a client’s change over time for this PBF cycle.
2. There were no new funds to distribute to grantees with “high performance.”

The performance review included comparing grantee performance during the first three quarters of FY2010 to the first three quarters of FY2011 for the following set of standard and advisory measures:

#### Standard Measures:

1. Grant Review and Progress Report: DBH Staff Score.
2. Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey.
3. Data Completeness and Compliance: Percent compliance for completing the Alaska Screening Tool (AST) and Client Status Review (CSR).

#### Advisory Measures:

Three measures are being presented in an “advisory” capacity. Although the reports used to generate these measures need some additional refinement, the report results are being presented to help grantees identify where there may be performance and/or data related issues that need to be addressed.

1. Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate.
2. Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate.
3. Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of clients with a “Successful Completion” reason for disenrollment.

Performance summary tables are provided in Attachment A - “FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter.” Individual grantee performance report cards are provided in Attachment B - “CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card: FY2010 and FY2011 Performance – Standard and Advisory Measures.”

In addition, the FY2011 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services – Grant Review and Progress Report for each individual grantee is provided in Attachment C. The FY2010 and FY2011 Grant Review and Progress Report templates are provided in Attachment D.

## **Standard and Advisory Performance Measures: Descriptions**

### **Standard Measures:**

1. **Grant Review and Progress Report: DBH Staff Score.** DBH staff evaluated grantee performance based on information provided in the required quarterly reports. An overall score was determined for each grantee based on:
  - Community Planning & Interagency Coordination that Improves Services
  - Use of the Logic Model to Make Program Improvements
  - Expenditure of Grant Funds to Provide Planned Services
  - Accurate and Complete AKAIMS Reporting
  - Timeliness of Reporting

Note: In FY11, the Staff Score was determined based on a higher standard than in FY10.

2. **Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey (BHCS).** DBH uses the BHCS to obtain information on client evaluation of care. This information is utilized by both DBH and grantees to help guide service delivery improvements. Grantees are required to participate in administering the BHCS, including distributing the BHCS to clients and communicating to DBH the number of surveys distributed.
3. **Data Completeness and Compliance.** Grantees are required to complete an Alaska Screening Tool (AST) and an intake Client Status Review (CSR)<sup>1</sup> for each client as part of the pre-admission/admission process. Thus, each client with an admission record should also have a completed AST and CSR<sup>1</sup>. The “Data Completeness and Compliance” measure looks at the ratio of ASTs to admission records and the ratio of CSRs to admission records to calculate a percent compliance for completing ASTs and CSRs.

### **Advisory Measures:**

1. **Substance Abuse (SA) Residential Bed Utilization Rate.** This measure applies only to SA residential grantees; detox beds are excluded from this measure. A SA residential bed utilization rate is calculated by dividing the grantee’s bed utilization days (i.e., the

---

<sup>1</sup> DBH policy does not require grantees to complete an intake, follow-up, or discharge CSR for clients receiving detoxification or psychiatric emergency services.

count of days between clients' program enrollment date and disenrollment date) by the grantee's bed capacity (i.e., the number of contracted bed days). **Note: currently, this measure is not available for EDI Agencies.**

2. **Follow-Up Client Status Review (CSR) Completion Rate.** This measure looks at clients with an open case (case was open at any time during the selected time period) and calculates the proportion who were "due" at least one follow-up CSR within the selected time period and had at least one follow-up CSR completed. **Note:** The count of clients "due" a follow-up CSR includes clients who are no longer receiving services but continue to have an open case (client may have stopped receiving services in prior year, but case is still open during selected time period). Detox and Psych Emergency clients are excluded from this measure. **Note: in the next version of this measure, waitlist only clients will also be excluded.**
  
3. **Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion.** This measure looks at the proportion of clients in substance abuse treatment facilities with a "Successful Completion" reason for disenrollment. This measure keys off of program disenrollment data, not at the point of discharge. **Note: currently, this measure is not available for EDI Agencies.**

# **Attachment A**

---

---

## **FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter**

## Attachment A.1 - FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr

### Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score: Grantee Performance - Standard Measure

<p><b>Description:</b> DBH staff evaluated grantee performance based on information provided in the required quarterly reports. An overall score was determined for each grantee based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>* Community Planning &amp; Interagency Coordination that Improves Services</li> <li>* Use of the Logic Model to Make Program Improvements</li> <li>* Expenditure of Grant Funds to Provide Planned Services</li> <li>* Accurate and Complete AKAIMS Reporting</li> <li>* Timeliness of Reporting</li> </ul> <p><b>Note:</b> In FY11, the Staff Score was determined based on a higher standard than in FY10.</p>						
<b>Staff Score -- Comparison Between FY10 and FY11</b>						
<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>
<b>Grantee</b>	<b>AKAIMS ID</b>	<b>FY10 Staff Score</b>			<b>FY11 Staff Score</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11</b>
Access Alaska	68	97%			100%	3
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	N/A			79%	
Akeela, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	100%			97%	-3
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	100%			97%	-3
Alaska Children's Services	59	100%			100%	0
Alaska Family Services	23	90%			79%	-11
Alaska Island Community Services	39	95%			83%	-12
Aleutian/Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	95%			92%	-3
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	100%			100%	0
Arc of Anchorage	46	100%			100%	0
Assets Inc.	21	95%			100%	5
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	95%			79%	-16
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	100%			100%	0
Community Connections	50	90%			75%	-15
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	100%			100%	0
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67	95%			100%	5
Copper River Native Association	48	78%			94%	16
Daybreak CMI	24	100%			92%	-8
Denali Family Services	75	95%			100%	5
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	100%			85%	-15
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76	100%			100%	0
Fairbanks Native Association	14	85%			92%	7
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10	100%			N/A	
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51	100%			100%	0

A	Staff Score -- Comparison Between FY10 and FY11					
	B	C	D	E	F	G
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10 Staff Score			FY11 Staff Score	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
Gastineau Human Services	7	100%			100%	0
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52	100%			100%	0
Interior AIDS Association	13	100%			100%	0
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32	100%			100%	0
Juneau Youth Services	72	100%			79%	-21
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30	100%			76%	-24
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	95%			83%	-12
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	38%			63%	25
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35	90%			100%	10
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55	90%			67%	-23
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73	100%			83%	-17
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25	100%			100%	0
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43	100%			92%	-8
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	90%			89%	-1
Nugen's ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services)	16	93%			86%	-7
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80	93%			100%	7
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	100%			100%	0
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	100%			96%	-4
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	100%			100%	0
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3	100%			100%	0
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	100%			100%	0
S.E.A.R.H.C.	36	95%			100%	5
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42	100%			74%	-26
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	93%			93%	0
Seaview Community Services	2	93%			92%	-1
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	100%			88%	-13
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center	38	95%			100%	5
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71	100%			100%	0
Southcentral Foundation - Anc	1047	100%			100%	0
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	100%			100%	0
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	100%			92%	-8
TCC-FNA	90	100%			N/A	
Tok Area Counseling Center	11	100%			100%	0
Volunteers of America	20	100%			100%	0
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	100%			85%	-15
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	100%			100%	0

Minimum, Maximum, and Average Scores				
	FY10 Staff Score		FY11 Staff Score	Percentage Point Change*
Minimum	38%		63%	-26
Maximum	100%		100%	25
Average	96%		93%	-3
*Minimum Percentage Point Change is the greatest negative point change; Maximum Percentage Point Change is the greatest positive point change; Average Percentage Point Change is the average point change.				

**Staff Score  
Summary Tables**

Attainment Range and Number of Grantees within each Range: FY10 and FY11				
Threshold	Staff Score: Attainment Range	FY10 # of Grantees	FY11 # of Grantees	
100	100%	37	30	
95	From 95% to 99%	10	3	
90	From 90% to 94%	9	8	
80	From 80% to 89%	1	8	
70	From 70% to 79%	1	7	
60	From 60% to 69%	0	2	
<60	Less than 60%	1	0	
		TOTALS	59	58

Percentage Point Change and Number & Percent of Grantees with Improved or Worse Score from FY10 to FY11				
Threshold	Staff Score: Percentage Point Change	# of Grantees	% of Grantees	
41	41 or more points	0	0%	
21	From 21 to 40 points	1	2%	
6	From 6 to 20 points	4	7%	
1	From 1 to 5 points	6	11%	
0	No Change	23	40%	
-1	From -1 to -5 points	6	11%	
-6	From -6 to -20 points	13	23%	
-21	From -21 to -40 points	4	7%	
-41	-41 or more points	0	0%	
		TOTALS	57	100%

## Attachment A.2 - FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr

### Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey: Grantee Performance - Standard Measure

<p><b>Description:</b> DBH uses the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey (BHCS) to obtain information on client evaluation of care. This information is utilized by both DBH and grantees to help guide service delivery improvements. Grantees are required to participate in administering the BHCS, including distributing the BHCS to clients and communicating to DBH the number of surveys distributed.</p>				
--	--	--	--	--

A Grantee	B AKAIMS ID	C FY11 Annual BHCS Administered (Yes/No)	D FY11 Discharge BHCS Administered (Yes/No)	E	For both FY10 and FY11, all Grantees participated in Administering the BHCS
Access Alaska	68	TRUE			
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	TRUE			
Akeela, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	TRUE			
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	TRUE			
Alaska Children's Services	59	TRUE			
Alaska Family Services	23	TRUE			
Alaska Island Community Services	39	TRUE			
Aleutian/Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	TRUE			
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	TRUE			
Arc of Anchorage	46	TRUE			
Assets Inc.	21	TRUE			
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	TRUE	TRUE		
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	TRUE	TRUE		
Community Connections	50	TRUE			
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	TRUE			
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67		TRUE		
Copper River Native Association	48	TRUE			
Daybreak CMI	24	TRUE			
Denali Family Services	75	TRUE			
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	TRUE			
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76	TRUE			
Fairbanks Native Association	14	TRUE	TRUE		
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10	N/A			
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51	TRUE			
Gastineau Human Services	7	TRUE			
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52	TRUE			

A	B	C	D	E	For both FY10 and FY11, all Grantees participated in Administering the BHCS
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY11 Annual BHCS Administered (Yes/No)	FY11 Discharge BHCS Administered (Yes/No)		
Interior AIDS Association	13	TRUE			
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32	TRUE			
Juneau Youth Services	72	TRUE	TRUE		
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30	TRUE			
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	TRUE	TRUE		
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	TRUE			
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35	TRUE			
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55	TRUE			
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73	TRUE			
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25	TRUE			
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43	TRUE			
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	TRUE			
Nugen's ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services)	16		TRUE		
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80	TRUE			
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	TRUE			
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	TRUE			
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	TRUE			
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3	TRUE			
Rainforest Recovery Center	78		TRUE		
S.E.A.R.H.C.	36	TRUE	TRUE		
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42	TRUE			
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	TRUE	TRUE		
Seaview Community Services	2	TRUE			
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	TRUE	TRUE		
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center	38	TRUE			
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71	TRUE			
Southcentral Foundation - Anc	1047	TRUE			
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	TRUE			
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	TRUE	TRUE		
TCC-FNA	90	N/A			
Tok Area Counseling Center	11	TRUE			
Volunteers of America	20	TRUE	TRUE		
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	TRUE			
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	TRUE	TRUE		

### Attachment A.3 - FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr

#### Data Completeness and Compliance: Grantee Performance - Standard Measure

**Description:** Grantees are required to complete an Alaska Screening Tool (AST) and an intake Client Status Review (CSR) for each client as part of the pre-admission/admission process. Thus, each client with an admission record should also have a completed AST and CSR.

The "Data Completeness and Compliance" measure looks at the ratio of ASTs to admission records and the ratio of CSRs to admission records to calculate a percent compliance for completing ASTs and CSRs. (Note: DBH policy does not require grantees to complete an intake, follow-up, or discharge CSR for clients receiving detoxification services or psychiatric emergency services.)

AST & CSR Data Completeness -- Comparison Between FY10 and FY11							
		AST			CSR		
A	B	C	D	E <sup>***</sup>	F	G	H <sup>***</sup>
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10 AST % Compliance	FY11 AST % Compliance	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11	FY10 CSR % Compliance	FY11 CSR % Compliance	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
Access Alaska	68	100%	100%	0	100%	100%	0
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	N/A	94%	N/A	N/A	91%	N/A
Akeela, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	95%	83%	-12	88%	79%	-9
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	95%	83%	-12	88%	79%	-9
Alaska Children's Services	59	90%	98%	8	88%	95%	7
Alaska Family Services	23	97%	98%	1	90%	98%	8
Alaska Island Community Services	39	100%	100%	0	99%	100%	1
Aleutian/Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	85%	75%	-10	93%	86%	-7
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	46%	94%	48	48%	91%	43
Arc of Anchorage	46	100%	100%	0	64%	86%	22
Assets Inc.	21	80%	100%	20	80%	89%	9
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	98%	80%	-18	87%	73%	-14
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	95%	97%	2	91%	93%	2
Community Connections	50	96%	68%	-28	92%	45%	-47
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	98%	99%	1	89%	84%	-5
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67	96%	94%	-2	98%	99%	1
Copper River Native Association	48	88%	98%	10	88%	94%	6
Daybreak CMI	24	100%	72%	-28	90%	72%	-18
Denali Family Services	75	96%	83%	-13	84%	84%	0
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	98%	90%	-8	90%	62%	-28
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76	95%	99%	4	90%	97%	7

\*\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

		AST & CSR Data Completeness -- Comparison Between FY10 and FY11					
		AST			CSR		
A	B	C	D	E***	F	G	H***
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10 AST % Compliance	FY11 AST % Compliance	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11	FY10 CSR % Compliance	FY11 CSR % Compliance	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
Fairbanks Native Association (FNA)*	14	100%	99%	-1	100%	100%	0
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51	83%	76%	-7	95%	83%	-12
Gastineau Human Services	7	61%	97%	36	60%	95%	35
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52	100%	100%	0	100%	100%	0
Interior AIDS Association	13	100%	88%	-12	93%	100%	7
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32	61%	100%	39	61%	99%	38
Juneau Youth Services	72	97%	94%	-3	98%	79%	-19
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30	93%	84%	-9	93%	72%	-21
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	93%	92%	-1	81%	75%	-6
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	70%	64%	-6	67%	57%	-10
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35	57%	99%	42	57%	100%	43
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55	89%	66%	-23	59%	37%	-22
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73	100%	95%	-5	100%	100%	0
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25	100%	100%	0	100%	100%	0
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43	59%	70%	11	58%	69%	11
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	84%	99%	15	82%	98%	16
Nugen's ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services)	16	100%	100%	0	100%	100%	0
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80	93%	93%	0	91%	94%	3
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	100%	100%	0	100%	100%	0
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	84%	100%	16	92%	100%	8
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	74%	96%	22	70%	96%	26
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3	100%	100%	0	100%	100%	0
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	99%	91%	-8	76%	91%	15
S.E.A.R.H.C.	36	93%	93%	0	77%	90%	13
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42	83%	80%	-3	67%	77%	10
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	91%	96%	5	92%	99%	7
Seaview Community Services	2	97%	96%	-1	97%	96%	-1
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	97%	97%	0	96%	95%	-1
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center	38	87%	65%	-22	86%	51%	-35
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71	99%	99%	0	96%	99%	3

\*\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

		<b>AST &amp; CSR Data Completeness -- Comparison Between FY10 and FY11</b>					
		<b>AST</b>			<b>CSR</b>		
<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E***</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>H***</b>
<b>Grantee</b>	<b>AKAIMS ID</b>	<b>FY10 AST % Compliance</b>	<b>FY11 AST % Compliance</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11</b>	<b>FY10 CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>FY11 CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11</b>
Southcentral Foundation - Anc (Combined AKAIMS/EDI) **	1047	98%	80%	-18	97%	80%	-17
Southcentral Foundation - McG (Combined AKAIMS/EDI) **	1147	98%	80%	-18	97%	80%	-17
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	96%	94%	-2	97%	93%	-4
TCC-FNA	90	99%	N/A	N/A	98%	N/A	N/A
Tok Area Counseling Center	11	99%	93%	-6	94%	93%	-1
Volunteers of America	20	97%	100%	3	98%	95%	-3
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	84%	95%	11	68%	89%	21
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	90%	87%	-3	88%	88%	0
<b>* FNA performance in FY11 includes data entered under TCC-FNA</b>							
<b>** Southcentral Foundation performance in FY11 reflects transition to EDI (combined AKAIMS &amp; EDI)</b>							
<b>Pink cells = agency participated in FY10 AST-CSR Pilot Pro</b>							

\*\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**AST & CSR  
Data Completeness and Compliance  
Summary Tables**

Minimum, Maximum, and Average Scores						
	AST % Compliant			CSR % Compliant		
	FY10 AST	FY11 AST	Percentage Point Change*	FY10 CSR	FY11 CSR	Percentage Point Change*
<b>Minimum</b>	46%	64%	-28	48%	37%	-47
<b>Maximum</b>	100%	100%	48	100%	100%	43
<b>Average</b>	91%	91%	0	87%	87%	1

\*Minimum Percentage Point Change is the greatest negative point change;  
Maximum Percentage Point Change is the greatest positive point change;  
Average Percentage Point Change is the average point change.

Attainment Range and Number of Grantees within each Range: FY10 and FY11			
Threshold	AST % Compliant: Attainment Range	FY10 # of Grantees	FY11 # of Grantees
<b>100</b>	100%	12	12
<b>95</b>	From 95% to 99%	22	17
<b>90</b>	From 90% to 94%	7	11
<b>80</b>	From 80% to 89%	10	10
<b>70</b>	From 70% to 79%	2	4
<b>60</b>	From 60% to 69%	2	4
<b>&lt;60</b>	Less than 60%	3	0
	<i>TOTALS</i>	58	58

**AST % Compliant**

Percentage Point Change and Number & Percent of Grantees with Improved or Worse Score from FY10 to FY11			
Threshold	AST % Compliant: Percentage Point Change	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
<b>41</b>	41 or more points	2	4%
<b>21</b>	From 21 to 40 points	3	5%
<b>6</b>	From 6 to 20 points	7	12%
<b>1</b>	From 1 to 5 points	6	11%
<b>0</b>	No Change	12	21%
<b>-1</b>	From -1 to -5 points	9	16%
<b>-6</b>	From -6 to -20 points	14	25%
<b>-21</b>	From -21 to -40 points	4	7%
<b>-41</b>	-41 or more points	0	0%
	<i>TOTALS</i>	57	100%

Attainment Range and Number of Grantees within each Range: FY10 and FY11			
Threshold	CSR % Compliant: Attainment Range	FY10 # of Grantees	FY11 # of Grantees
100	100%	8	12
95	From 95% to 99%	12	13
90	From 90% to 94%	13	9
80	From 80% to 89%	12	10
70	From 70% to 79%	3	8
60	From 60% to 69%	6	2
<60	Less than 60%	4	4
		<i>TOTALS</i>	58

**CSR % Compliant**

Percentage Point Change and Number & Percent of Grantees with Improved or Worse Score from FY10 to FY11			
Threshold	CSR % Compliant: Percentage Point Change	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
41	41 or more points	2	4%
21	From 21 to 40 points	5	9%
6	From 6 to 20 points	13	23%
1	From 1 to 5 points	5	9%
0	No Change	10	18%
-1	From -1 to -5 points	6	11%
-6	From -6 to -20 points	11	19%
-21	From -21 to -40 points	4	7%
-41	-41 or more points	1	2%
		<i>TOTALS</i>	57



A Grantee	B AKAIMS ID	FY11 Utilization Rate				Comparison: FY10 and FY11		
		C Bed Count	D Bed Days Available thru 3rd Qtr	E Bed Days Utilized thru 3rd Qtr	F Utilization Rate E / D	G FY10 Utilization Rate	H FY11 Utilization Rate	I** Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52							
Interior AIDS Association	13							
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32							
Juneau Youth Services	72							
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30							
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54							
Ketchikan Indian Community	44							
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35							
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55							
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73							
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25							
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43							
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53							
Nugen's ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services)	16	25	6,850	6,297	92%	89%	92%	3
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80							
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40							
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27							
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5							
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3							
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	14	3,836	3,259	85%	76%	85%	9
S.E.A.R.H.C.	36	10	1,970	1,349	68%	80%	68%	-11
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42							
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	46	12,604	8,798	70%	98%	70%	-28
Seaview Community Services	2							
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	7	1,918	1,634	85%	81%	85%	4
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center	38							
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71							
Southcentral Foundation - ANC (AKAIMS only; No EDI)	1047	14	3,836	2,476	65%	72%	65%	-7
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147							
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	10	2,740	3,322	121%	140%	121%	-18
TCC-FNA	90	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85%	N/A	N/A
Tok Area Counseling Center	11							
Volunteers of America	20	24	6,576	4,853	74%	92%	74%	-18

\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

A	B	FY11 Utilization Rate				Comparison: FY10 and FY11		
		C	D	E	F	G	H	I**
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Bed Count	Bed Days Available thru 3rd Qtr	Bed Days Utilized thru 3rd Qtr	Utilization Rate E / D	FY10 Utilization Rate	FY11 Utilization Rate	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8							
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	16	4,384	5,079	116%	100%	116%	16
* FNA performance in FY11 includes data entered under TCC-FNA								

\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Substance Abuse  
Residential Bed Utilization Rate  
Summary Tables**

Minimum, Maximum, and Average Scores			
	FY10 Utiliz Rate	FY11 Utiliz Rate	Percentage Point Chg*
<b>Minimum</b>	69%	39%	<b>-28</b>
<b>Maximum</b>	166%	162%	34
<b>Average</b>	99%	96%	<b>0</b>

\*Minimum Percentage Point Change is the greatest negative point change;  
Maximum Percentage Point Change is the greatest positive point change;  
Average Percentage Point Change is the average point change.

Attainment Range and Number of Grantees within each Range: FY10 and FY11			
Threshold	Utiliz Rate: Attainment Range	FY10 # of Grantees	FY11 # of Grantees
<b>100</b>	100% or more	5	6
<b>95</b>	From 95% to 99%	1	2
<b>90</b>	From 90% to 94%	1	1
<b>80</b>	From 80% to 89%	5	2
<b>70</b>	From 70% to 79%	3	2
<b>60</b>	From 60% to 69%	1	2
<b>&lt;60</b>	Less than 60%	0	1
	<i>TOTALS</i>	16	16

Percentage Point Change and Number & Percent of Grantees with Improved or Worse Score from FY10 to FY11			
Threshold	Utiliz Rate: Percentage Point Chg	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
<b>41</b>	41 or more points	0	0%
<b>21</b>	From 21 to 40 points	2	13%
<b>6</b>	From 6 to 20 points	4	27%
<b>1</b>	From 1 to 5 points	2	13%
<b>0</b>	No Change	0	0%
<b>-1</b>	From -1 to -5 points	1	7%
<b>-6</b>	From -6 to -20 points	5	33%
<b>-21</b>	From -21 to -40 points	1	7%
<b>-41</b>	-41 or more points	0	0%
	<i>TOTALS</i>	15	100%

**Attachment A.5 - FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**  
**Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate: Grantee Performance - Advisory Measure**

**Description:** The Follow-Up Client Status Review (CSR) Completion Rate is a measure of the following: for clients with an open case (case was open at any time during the selected time period), the proportion of clients who were due at least one follow-up CSR within the selected time period and had at least one follow-up CSR completed. Note: The count of clients "due" a follow-up CSR includes clients who were no longer receiving services but continued to have an open case (client may have stopped receiving services in prior year, but case was still open during selected time period). Detox and Psych Emergency clients are excluded from this measure.  
**(Note: In the next version of this measure, waitlist only clients will also be excluded).**

**.....Follow-up CSR Completion Rate !- Comparison Between : M/\$ UbX FY11**

A	B	C			G	H***
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10			FY11	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
		Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate)			Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate)	
Access Alaska	68	89%			94%	6
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	N/A			60%	N/A
Akeela, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	61%			42%	-19
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	61%			42%	-19
Alaska Children's Services	59	88%			98%	10
Alaska Family Services	23	57%			64%	6
Alaska Island Community Services	39	95%			91%	-4
Aleutian/Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	18%			26%	9
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	54%			57%	3
Arc of Anchorage	46	69%			83%	14
Assets Inc.	21	57%			71%	14
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	20%			13%	-6
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	32%			64%	32
Community Connections	50	76%			68%	-8
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	77%			90%	13
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67	100%			92%	-8
Copper River Native Association	48	44%			39%	-5
Daybreak CMI	24	78%			92%	14
Denali Family Services	75	61%			74%	13
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	38%			0%	-38
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76	35%			48%	13

\*\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

		*****Follow-up CSR Completion Rate -- Comparison Between : M/\$ 'UbX'FY11				
A	B	C			G	H***
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10			FY11	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
		Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate)			Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate)	
Fairbanks Native Association (FNA)*	14	8%			64%	56
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10	N/A			N/A	N/A
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51	84%			77%	-7
Gastineau Human Services	7	13%			61%	48
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52	79%			100%	21
Interior AIDS Association	13	89%			93%	4
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32	76%			82%	6
Juneau Youth Services	72	71%			39%	-32
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30	0%			29%	29
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	5%			5%	0
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	5%			16%	11
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35	76%			86%	11
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55	4%			15%	11
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73	85%			68%	-18
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25	91%			93%	2
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43	33%			13%	-20
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	5%			38%	34
Nugen's ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services)	16	53%			65%	11
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80	14%			15%	1
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	49%			95%	46
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	25%			49%	24
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	7%			17%	10
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3	6%			13%	7
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	27%			0%	-27
S.E.A.R.H.C.	36	26%			78%	52
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42	100%			25%	-75
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	31%			58%	27
Seaview Community Services	2	22%			13%	-9
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	21%			25%	3
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center	38	45%			50%	5
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71	32%			71%	39

\*\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

		<b>Follow-up CSR Completion Rate -- Comparison Between FY10 and FY11</b>				
<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>			<b>G</b>	<b>H***</b>
<b>Grantee</b>	<b>AKAIMS ID</b>	<b>FY10</b>			<b>FY11</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11</b>
		<b>Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate)</b>			<b>Episode % Complete (CSR Completion Rate)</b>	
Southcentral Foundation - Anc (Combined AKAIMS/EDI) **	1047	16%			5%	-10
Southcentral Foundation - McG (Combined AKAIMS/EDI) **	1147	16%			5%	-10
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	31%			71%	40
TCC-FNA	90	100%			N/A	N/A
Tok Area Counseling Center	11	99%			97%	-2
Volunteers of America	20	48%			49%	1
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	57%			79%	22
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	24%			9%	-15
<b>* FNA performance in FY11 includes data entered under TCC-FNA</b>						
<b>** Southcentral Foundation performance in FY11 reflects transition to EDI (combined AKAIMS and EDI data)</b>						
<b>Pink cells = agency participated in FY10 AST-CSR Pilot Project.</b>						

\*\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

Minimum, Maximum, and Average Scores					
	FY10 Follow-up CSR Compl Rate			FY11 Follow-up CSR Compl Rate	Percentage Point Chg*
<b>Minimum</b>	<b>0%</b>			<b>0%</b>	<b>-75</b>
<b>Maximum</b>	<b>100%</b>			<b>100%</b>	56
<b>Average</b>	<b>48%</b>			<b>53%</b>	6
*Minimum Percentage Point Change is the greatest negative point change; Maximum Percentage Point Change is the greatest positive point change; Average Percentage Point Change is the average point change.					

**Follow-up CSR Completion Rate  
Summary Tables**

Attainment Range and Number of Grantees within each Range: FY10 and FY11			
Threshold	Follow-up CSR Compl Rate: Attainment Range	FY10 # of Grantees	FY11 # of Grantees
<b>100</b>	100%	3	1
<b>95</b>	From 95% to 99%	2	3
<b>90</b>	From 90% to 94%	1	7
<b>80</b>	From 80% to 89%	5	3
<b>70</b>	From 70% to 79%	7	7
<b>60</b>	From 60% to 69%	4	8
<b>40</b>	From 40% to 59%	9	8
<b>20</b>	From 20% to 39%	14	7
<b>&lt;20</b>	Less than 20%	13	14
	<i>TOTALS</i>	58	58

Percentage Point Change and Number & Percent of Grantees with Improved or Worse Score from FY10 to FY11			
Threshold	Follow-up CSR Compl Rate: Percentage Point Chg	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
<b>41</b>	41 or more points	4	7%
<b>21</b>	From 21 to 40 points	9	16%
<b>6</b>	From 6 to 20 points	17	30%
<b>1</b>	From 1 to 5 points	7	12%
<b>0</b>	No Change	1	2%
<b>-1</b>	From -1 to -5 points	3	5%
<b>-6</b>	From -6 to -20 points	12	21%
<b>-21</b>	From -21 to -40 points	3	5%
<b>-41</b>	-41 or more points	1	2%
	<i>TOTALS</i>	57	100%

**Attachment A.6 - FY2011 CBHTR Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr**  
**Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Grantee Performance - Advisory Measure**

**Description:**  
This measure looks at the proportion of clients in substance abuse treatment facilities with a "Successful Completion" reason for disenrollment. This measure keys off of program disenrollment data, not at the point of discharge.  
**Note: Currently, this measure is not available for EDI Agencies.**

<i>Successful Treatment Completion - Comparison Between FY10 and FY11</i>				
A	B	C	D	E**
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	FY10 % with Successful Completion	FY11 % with Successful Completion	Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11
Access Alaska	68			
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	56	49%	30%	-19
Akeela, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	52%	52%	0
Akeela, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	32%	23%	-9
Alaska Children's Services	59			
Alaska Family Services	23	29%	34%	5
Alaska Island Community Services	39	N/A (EDI)*		
Aleutian/Pribilof Islands Association Inc.	15	56%	55%	0
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77			
Arc of Anchorage	46			
Assets Inc.	21			
Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation	74	60%	41%	-19
Central Peninsula General Hospital	66	26%	47%	21
Community Connections	50			
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	31	64%	73%	9
Cook Inlet Tribal Council	67	69%	66%	-3
Copper River Native Association	48	46%	55%	9
Daybreak CMI	24			
Denali Family Services	75			
Eastern Aleutian Tribes Inc	69	75%	43%	-32
Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	76			
Fairbanks Native Association *	14	73%	80%	6
Fairbanks Resource Agency	10			
Family Centered Services of Alaska	51			
Gastineau Human Services	7	38%	42%	4
Hope Community Resources Inc.	52			

\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

<b>Successful Treatment Completion - Comparison Between FY10 and FY11</b>				
<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E**</b>
<b>Grantee</b>	<b>AKAIMS ID</b>	<b>FY10 % with Successful Completion</b>	<b>FY11 % with Successful Completion</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11</b>
Interior AIDS Association	13	0%	5%	5
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc	32			
Juneau Youth Services	72	N/A (EDI)*		
Kenai Peninsula Care Center	30			
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	41%	30%	-11
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	14%	24%	10
Lynn Canal Human Resources	35	20%	40%	20
Maniilaq Behavioral Health	55	11%	27%	16
Mat-Su Health Services Inc.	73			
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center Inc.	25	12%	5%	-7
North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services	43	25%	27%	2
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	12%	13%	1
Nugen's ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services)	16	27%	20%	-7
Peninsula Community Health Centers	80			
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	33%	33%	0
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	30%	45%	15
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	55%	77%	22
Railbelt Mental Health & Addictions	3	22%	50%	28
Rainforest Recovery Center	78	51%	40%	-10
S.E.A.R.H.C.	36	56%	54%	-2
Salvation Army Booth Memorial	42	10%	43%	33
Salvation Army Clitheroe Center	19	43%	34%	-9
Seaview Community Services	2	40%	33%	-7
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	36%	40%	4
Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center	38	44%	46%	2
South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services Inc	71			
Southcentral Foundation (Adult) - McG (AKAIMS only)	1147	0%	13%	13
Southcentral Foundation (W&C) - ANC (AKAIMS only)	1047	46%	31%	-15
Tanana Chiefs Conference	12	72%	63%	-9
TCC-FNA	90	68%	N/A	N/A
Tok Area Counseling Center	11			
Volunteers of America	20	58%	42%	-16
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8			

\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

<b>Successful Treatment Completion - Comparison Between FY10 and FY11</b>				
<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E**</b>
<b>Grantee</b>	<b>AKAIMS ID</b>	<b>FY10 % with Successful Completion</b>	<b>FY11 % with Successful Completion</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change From FY10 to FY11</b>
Yukon-Kuskokwim Health Corporation	65	38%	26%	-12
* FNA performance in FY11 includes data entered under TCC-FNA				

\*\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Substance Abuse  
Successful Treatment Completion  
Summary Tables**

Minimum, Maximum, and Average Scores			
	FY10 % Successful Completion	FY11 % Successful Completion	Percentage Point Change*
Minimum	0%	5%	-32
Maximum	75%	80%	33
Average	39%	39%	1

\*Minimum Percentage Point Change is the greatest negative point change;  
Maximum Percentage Point Change is the greatest positive point change;  
Average Percentage Point Change is the average point change.

**General Note Regarding  
Successful Treatment Completion:**

In 2008, SAMHSA published a report "Treatment Episode Data Set (TEDS) 2005: Discharges from Substance Abuse Treatment Services." In their analysis of Year 2005 discharges (from States that submitted complete discharge data -- Alaska was not included in this analysis), SAMHSA found that of the discharges who reported a reason for discharge, 44% completed treatment.

Over the next several months, the Division will be conducting a comprehensive analysis of "Reason for Disenrollment by Type of Service."

Attainment Range and Number of Grantees within each Range: FY10 and FY11			
Threshold	% Successful Completion: Attainment Range	FY10 # of Grantees	FY11 # of Grantees
100	100%	0	0
95	From 95% to 99%	0	0
90	From 90% to 94%	0	0
80	From 80% to 89%	0	1
70	From 70% to 79%	3	2
60	From 60% to 69%	4	2
40	From 40% to 59%	13	16
20	From 20% to 39%	12	13
<20	Less than 20%	7	4
<i>TOTALS</i>		39	38

Percentage Point Change and Number & Percent of Grantees with Improved or Worse Score from FY10 to FY11			
Threshold	% Successful Completion: Percentage Pt Chg	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
41	41 or more points	0	0%
21	From 21 to 40 points	4	11%
6	From 6 to 20 points	8	21%
1	From 1 to 5 points	7	18%
0	No Change	3	8%
-1	From -1 to -5 points	2	5%
-6	From -6 to -20 points	13	34%
-21	From -21 to -40 points	1	3%
-41	-41 or more points	0	0%
<i>TOTALS</i>		38	100%

## **Attachment B**

---

---

### **CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card: FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

**Attachment B.****CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:****FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**GRANTEE: **ACCESS ALASKA**Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>97%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>89%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***AKEELA, INC - GATEWAY***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	N/A	79%	N/A
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	N/A	YES	N/A
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	94%	N/A
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	91%	N/A

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	N/A	39%	N/A
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	N/A	60%	N/A
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	V °	30%	N/A

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***AKEELA, INC (CO-ED)***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>		<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)		<b>100%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>-3</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)		<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>-12</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>-9</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>		<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)		<b>166%</b>	<b>162%</b>	<b>-4</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)		<b>61%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>-19</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)		<b>52%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***AKEELA, INC (WOMEN AND CHILDREN)***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>-3</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>-12</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>-9</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>76%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>61%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>-19</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>32%</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>-9</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: **ALASKA CHILDREN'S SERVICES**

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>8</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>7</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>88%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *ALASKA FAMILY SERVICES*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>90%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>-11</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>8</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>57%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>29%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>5</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *ALASKA ISLAND COMMUNITY SERVICES*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>-12</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>95%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>-4</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A (EDI)*</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *ALEUTIAN/PRIBILOF ISLANDS ASSOCIATION INC.*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>-3</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>-10</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>-7</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>18%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>56%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>48</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>43</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>54%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***ARC OF ANCHORAGE***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>86%</b>
			<b>0</b>
			<b>22</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>69%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: **ASSETS INC.**

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>20</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>9</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>57%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***BRISTOL BAY AREA HEALTH CORPORATION***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>-16</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>-18</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>-14</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>107%</b>	<b>113%</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>20%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>-6</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>60%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>-19</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>2</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>2</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>88%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>32%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>26%</b>	<b>47%</b>	<b>21</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: **COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS**

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>90%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>-15</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>-28</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>-47</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>76%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>-8</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

**GRANTEE:** *COOK INLET COUNCIL ON ALCOHOL AND DRUG ABUSE*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>1</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>-5</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>77%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>64%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>9</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: **COOK INLET TRIBAL COUNCIL**

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>-2</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>1</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>162%</b>	<b>142%</b>	<b>-20</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>100%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>-8</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>69%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>-3</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***COPPER RIVER NATIVE ASSOCIATION***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>78%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>16</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>10</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>6</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>44%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>-5</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>46%</b>	<b>55%</b>	<b>9</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: **DAYBREAK CMI**

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>-8</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>-28</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>-18</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>78%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***DENALI FAMILY SERVICES***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>-13</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>61%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***EASTERN ALEUTIAN TRIBES INC***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>-15</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>-8</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>-28</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>38%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>-38</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>75%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>-32</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***FAIRBANKS COMMUNITY BEHAVIORAL HEALTH CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>7</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>35%</b>	<b>48%</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***FAIRBANKS NATIVE ASSOCIATION***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>85%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>7</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>-1</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>-0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>69%</b>	<b>103%</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>8%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>56</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>73%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>6</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***FAMILY CENTERED SERVICES OF ALASKA***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>-7</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>-12</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>84%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>-7</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***GASTINEAU HUMAN SERVICES***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>36</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>35</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>13%</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>38%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>4</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *HOPE COMMUNITY RESOURCES INC.*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>79%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *INTERIOR AIDS ASSOCIATION*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>-12</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>7</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>89%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>0%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>5</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU ALLIANCE FOR MENTAL HEALTH INC*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>39</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>38</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>76%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU YOUTH SERVICES*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>-21</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>-3</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>-19</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>71%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>-32</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A (EDI)*</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***KENAI PENINSULA CARE CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>-24</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>-9</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>-21</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>0%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***KENAITZE INDIAN TRIBE***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>-12</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>-1</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>-6</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>5%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>-0</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>41%</b>	<b>30%</b>	<b>-11</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***KETCHIKAN INDIAN COMMUNITY***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>38%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>25</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>64%</b>	<b>-6</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>-10</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>5%</b>	<b>16%</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>14%</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>10</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *LYNN CANAL HUMAN RESOURCES*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>90%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>42</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>57%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>43</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>76%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>20%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>20</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: **MANILAQ BEHAVIORAL HEALTH**

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>90%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>-23</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>-23</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>37%</b>	<b>-22</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>4%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>11%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>16</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***MAT-SU HEALTH SERVICES INC.***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>-17</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>-5</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>-0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>85%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>-18</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***NARCOTIC DRUG TREATMENT CENTER INC.***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>91%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>12%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>-7</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***NORTH SLOPE BOROUGH DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & SOCIAL SERVICES***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>-8</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>59%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>69%</b>	<b>11</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>33%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>-20</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>25%</b>	<b>27%</b>	<b>2</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***NORTON SOUND HEALTH CORPORATION***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>90%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>-1</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>15</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>16</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>5%</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>12%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>1</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.****CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:****FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**GRANTEE: ***NUGEN'S RANCH (ALASKA ADDICTION REHABILITATION SERVICES)***Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>		<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)		<b>93%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>-7</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)		<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>		<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)		<b>89%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)		<b>53%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)		<b>27%</b>	<b>20%</b>	<b>-7</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *PENINSULA COMMUNITY HEALTH CENTERS*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>93%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>93%</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>94%</b>
			<b>-0</b>
			<b>3</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>14%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***PETERSBURG MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>49%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>33%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>0</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***PROVIDENCE KODIAK ISLAND COUNSELING CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>-4</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>8</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>25%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>30%</b>	<b>45%</b>	<b>15</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***PROVIDENCE VALDEZ COUNSELING CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>22</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>26</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>7%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>55%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>22</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***RAILBELT MENTAL HEALTH & ADDICTIONS***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>
			<b>0</b>
			<b>0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>6%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>22%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>28</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***RAINFOREST RECOVERY CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>-8</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>15</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>76%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>27%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>-27</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>51%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>-10</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***S.E.A.R.H.C.***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>		<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)		<b>95%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)		<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>13</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>		<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)		<b>80%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>-11</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)		<b>26%</b>	<b>78%</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)		<b>56%</b>	<b>54%</b>	<b>-2</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***SALVATION ARMY BOOTH MEMORIAL***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>-26</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>-3</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>10</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>100%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>-75</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>10%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>33</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***SALVATION ARMY CLITHEROE CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>93%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>5</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>7</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>98%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>-28</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>31%</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>43%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>-9</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *SEAVIEW COMMUNITY SERVICES*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>93%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>-1</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>-1</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>-1</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>22%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>-9</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>40%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>-7</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *SITKA COUNSELING AND PREVENTION SERVICES*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>-13</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>0</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>-1</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>81%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>21%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>36%</b>	<b>40%</b>	<b>4</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***SOUND ALTERNATIVES - CORDOVA COMMUNITY MEDICAL CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>95%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>-22</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>-35</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>45%</b>	<b>50%</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>44%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>2</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***SOUTH PENINSULA BEHAVIORAL HEALTH SERVICES INC***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>-0</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>3</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>32%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - Anchorage***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>-18</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>-17</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>72%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>-7</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>16%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>-10</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>46%</b>	<b>31%</b>	<b>-15</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - McGrath***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>-18</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>-17</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>16%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>-10</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>0%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>13</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: **TANANA CHIEFS CONFERENCE**

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>-8</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>-2</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>-4</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>140%</b>	<b>121%</b>	<b>-18</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>31%</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>72%</b>	<b>63%</b>	<b>-9</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***TOK AREA COUNSELING CENTER***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>-6</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>-1</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>99%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>-2</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>-3</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>92%</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>-18</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>48%</b>	<b>49%</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>58%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>-16</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: *YOUTH ADVOCATES OF SITKA*

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>-15</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>11</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>89%</b>	<b>21</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>57%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>	<b>N/A</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

**Attachment B.**  
**CBHTR Grantee Performance Report Card:**  
**FY2010 and FY2011 Performance - Standard and Advisory Measures**

---

GRANTEE: ***YUKON-KUSKOKWIM HEALTH CORPORATION***

Performance Through 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter (March 31<sup>st</sup>) of each year:

<b><u>Standard Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>	
<b>Grant Review and Progress Report – DBH Staff Score</b> (Attachment A.1)	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Participation in Administering the Behavioral Health Consumer Survey</b> (Attachment A.2)	<b>YES</b>	<b>YES</b>	<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Data Completeness and Compliance</b> (Attachment A.3)	<b>AST % Compliance</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>-3</b>
	<b>CSR % Compliance</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>-0</b>

<b><u>Advisory Measures:</u></b>	<b>FY2010</b>	<b>FY2011</b>	<b>Percentage Point Change* From FY10 to FY11</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Residential Bed Utilization Rate</b> (Attachment A.4)	<b>100%</b>	<b>116%</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Follow-Up Client Status Review Completion Rate</b> (Attachment A.5)	<b>24%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>-15</b>
<b>Substance Abuse Successful Treatment Completion: Proportion of Clients with “Successful Completion” reason for Disenrollment</b> (Attachment A.6)	<b>38%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>-12</b>

\* Percentage Point Change reflects a rounded value.

# **Attachment C**

---

---

## **FY2011 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services - Grant Review and Progress Report**

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text" value="26,005"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="26,005"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** AKEELA Treatment Services Inc. (Gateway)

**Grant No:** 602-11-237 **FY11 Funding:** \$1,057,638

**Reviewer:** Richard Nault **Date:** 5/18/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>39,368</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>16,916</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>365,571</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<b>311,656</b>	Youth Residential – SA	<b>152,238</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>171,889</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,057,638</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

There was an agreement that this agency would do ambulatory detox. This service was never provided - at this point Akeela has not been able to find a physician to provide the service. I would recmeending resucing funding and putting out an RFP for another Ketchikan provider to provide detox services. It is worthy of note that Akeela Gateway sought, and obtained program approval for substnace abuse services and rapidly and adequately completed their Plan of Improvement.

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

Quarterly reports reflected that meetings resulted in increased coordination with the Public Health Office, outreach to Ketchikan High School, sending a clinician to WISH and increased coordination with OCS and youth probation the first quarter. The second quarter, emergency services improved as a result of meetings, including better coordination and responsiveness with the hospital, police and court system. This improvement was verified by Randall Burns and I during a visit to Ketchikan. There was also an increase in services to SED youth in the second quarter. This trend continued in the third quarter - increased services to SED

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 0

0=NO                      3=YES

**Comments:**

Funds were not expended for ambulatory detox. Adult Residential Substance Abuse Treatment has been slow in getting started.

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**All reports were submitted in timely manner and were thorough.**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**This was rather difficult because the outcomes were not measurable (e.g. Get appropriate equipment installed, staff becomes competent in using the system). Without 'adequate' and 'competent' being described progress is hard to assess. Akeela did make substantial strides toward developing a medical record for programs that span a large geographical distance. However, their exact level of progress is difficult to ascertain.**

Grantee: **AKEELA Treatment Services Inc. (Gateway)**

Grant No: **602-11-237** FY11 funding: **\$1,057,638**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** Date: **5/18/2011**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	0	0	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>79.17%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text" value="696,225"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="696,225"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**Akeela is not entering Wait List data into AKAIMS.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					70
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					97.22%

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text" value="126,718"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text" value="785,237"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="911,955"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**Akeela did not enter Wait List data into AKAIMS.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Akeela, Inc. (Women and Children/Stepping Stones)**

Grant No: **602-11-231** FY11 funding: **\$911,955**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** Date: **5/16/2011**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>70</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>97.22%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children's Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="144,839"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="144,839"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text" value="180,058"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text" value="180,057"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text" value="180,057"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="540,172"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Although the Behavioral Health Integration Team has shown consistent participation, those efforts could be better focused to yield tangible changes and improved services.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**No AKAIMS or Logic Model was submitted with 3rd Quarter report.**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 8**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3rd Quarter Logic Model not submitted**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

3rd Quarter AKAIMS not submitted

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Alaska Family Services**

Grant No: **602-11-260** FY11 funding: **\$540,172**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** Date: **5/19/2011**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	2	8	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>79.17%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>200,635</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>46,465</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>132,724</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>37,846</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>417,670</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Good coordination with the school district, health services, health care services and participates in monthly meetings of the Health Wrangle Coalition. AICS has collaborated with local community members in planning for youth substance abuse and tobacco prevention. They also work with the Prince of Wales Health Network to better meet the needs of the Northern part of POW Island. AICS partnered with Petersburg Mental Health Services subsequent to a teen suicide.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

The agency continue to review various BH practice management packages and continues to review alignment of medical and behavioral health templates.

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	1	6	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					83.33%

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>68,780</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>88,178</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>104,105</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>41,584</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>302,647</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

Would like to see more follow up on original goals from Community Action Plan.

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

0=NO                      3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Aleutian Pribilof Island Association**

Grant No: **602-11-268** FY11 funding: **\$302,647**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>66</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>91.67%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Anchorage Community Mental Health Services

**Grant No:** 602-11-204 **FY11 Funding:** \$4,837,398

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves M.Ed. **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>3,530,525</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>1,306,873</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>4,837,398</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services**

Grant No: **602-11-204** FY11 funding: **\$4,837,398**

Reviewer: **Dave Reeves M.Ed.** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text" value="227,229"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text" value="41,253"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="74,148"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="342,630"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<b>787,707</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>787,707</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>192,852</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>148,193</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>394,877</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>201,740</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>937,662</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 0

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**BBAHC used funds from this grant to support Substance Use Disorder Partial Hospitalization (day treatment) although this was not a service included in the FY10 or FY11 grant applications.**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 0

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**FY11 Quarterly reports failed to accurately reflect all program types, services rendered and waitlists. DBH has completed BBAHC program re-alignment and provided agency staff with technical assistance both telephonically and onsite. BBAHC staff must complete AKAIMS corrective actions by 6/30/11 to avoid carrying FY11 errors into FY12.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation**

Grant No: **602-11-281** FY11 funding: **\$937,662**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells, CDCS** Date: **5/19/2011**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	0	0	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	0	0	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>79.17%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text" value="87,807"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="87,807"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="174,840"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="174,840"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Provides services to SED youth in Ketchikan and Southern Prince of Wales. More evidence of cooperation and resulting improvement to services with other Ketchikan and POW grantees would be helpful.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Describes partners and issues, but no changes in services More evidence of cooperation and resulting improvement to services with other Ketchikan and POW grantees would be helpful.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	0	0	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					54
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					75.00%

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text" value="626"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text" value="384,416"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text" value="116,435"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="501,477"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<b>1,132,237</b>	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>347,555</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,479,792</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>47,469</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>59,336</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>83,070</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>47,469</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>237,344</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 8

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

Required matching funds not reported in the 1st quarter of FY11.

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Copper River Native Association**

Grant No: **602-11-283** FY11 funding: **\$237,344**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells, CDCS** Date: **5/11/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	2	8	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>68</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>94.44%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**   
**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**   
**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<b>98,906</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>98,906</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Community Planning through the Behavioral Health Integration Team has shown consistent participation but those efforts could be better focused to consistently yield tangible changes and improved services.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:   
 Grant No:  FY11 funding:   
 Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>66</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>91.67%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="121,101"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="121,101"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Eastern Aleutian Tribes, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-11-264 **FY11 Funding:** \$306,551

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>137,188</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>22,750</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>126,613</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>20,000</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>306,551</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Narrative did not describe coordination efforts in 3rd Quarter.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

No AKAIMS report was submitted in Quarter 3

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Although Logic Model didn’t report results, Logic model goal (increase % receiving HIV education) increased to 71% of individuals discharging from SA treatment, as reported in AKAIMS.**

Grantee:   
 Grant No:  FY11 funding:   
 Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					61
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>84.72%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center

**Grant No:** 602-11-270 **FY11 Funding:** \$2,595,939

**Reviewer:** Sharon Walluk **Date:** 5/11/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>508,786</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>1,508,331</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>578,822</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>2,595,939</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**no comments**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Program demonstrated new agreements with other providers to increase and coordinate services to target populations**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**No comment**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**All reports were complete and sent in before due dates**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**no comments**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**Agency did not report Emergency services data until the 3rd quarter in AKAIMS but this was not a clear direction from the Division, they are now reporting correctly.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee met goals of their logic model**

**Grantee:** Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center

**Grant No:** 602-11-270 **FY11 funding:** \$2,595,939

**Reviewer:** Sharon Walluk **Date:** 5/11/2011

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Fairbanks Native Association

**Grant No:** 602-11-271 **FY11 Funding:** \$3,135,704

**Reviewer:** Lynn M. Eldridge, MEd **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<b>1,591,199</b>	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>126,896</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>839,000</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
<b>578,610</b>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>3,135,705</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**budget is \$1.00 over.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 0

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

All quarterly reports do not include any AKAIMS waitlist information for Ralph Perdue Center and the women’s and children’s program. The numbers of clients discharged and those clients who receive HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis C, and TB education are inconsistent.

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Fairbanks Native Association**

Grant No: **602-11-271** FY11 funding: **\$3,135,704**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge, MEd** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	0	0	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>66</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>91.67%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="562,672"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="562,672"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Grantee attended CAP meetings and worked to develop new agreements with other providers to increase coordination and improve services.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**No comment**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

All items required were received.

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

no comment

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

required to report only on SED youth services

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

no comment

Grantee: **Family Centered Services of Alaska**

Grant No: **602-11-273** FY11 funding: **\$562,672**

Reviewer: **Sharon Walluk** Date: **5/11/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text" value="362,962"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="362,962"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**All three quarters reported positive change as result of the community planning process.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="90,376"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="90,376"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text" value="237,015"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="237,015"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: Interior AIDS Association/Project Special Delivery

Grant No: 602-11-284 FY11 funding: \$237,015

Reviewer: Viki Wells, CDCS Date: 5/19/2011

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>193,836</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>1,429,236</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		<b>1,623,072</b> <b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**JAMHI coordinates well with other Juneau agency and deserves to be commended for its role in co-chairing the Juneau Suicide Postvention Committee. This has developed a comprehensive community response plan. There is evidence of active collaboration with UAS, Lemon Creek Correctional Center, the Juneau Housing Coalition, and the court system that has resulted in improved and responsive service.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

reports were detailed and accurate.

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

	<b>3</b>
--	----------

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

<b>Logic Model was measurable and meaningful in terms of improved services to clients. Measurable progress was recorded each quarter.</b>	
---	--

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>57,700</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>114,431</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
<b>53,790</b>	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>654,297</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>880,218</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 0

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Juneau Youth Services receives Psychiatric Emergency Services, but has an administrative, rather than a clinical on call rotation. This is in conflict with an amendment indicating that all agencies that receive Psychiatric Emergency Service Funds have a 24 / 7 clinical on call rotation.**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Progress is difficult to ascertain in many areas. For example, there is a suggestion box and website, the number of suggestions are tracked, but there is not way of knowing whether these actually improved anything. Another example, "Data was used to inform decisions in four PQI action plans two work groups, four PQI committees and 3 interagency efforts." This does not provide a clear picture that data is making a difference in services to clients.**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	0	0	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					57
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>79.17%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="14,593"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="14,593"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

No 3rd Quarter AKAIMS report submitted.

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**No 3rd Quarter AKAIMS reports were submitted.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Indicators reported are not consistent with original Logic Model which focused on increasing families' engagement in treatment.**

Grantee: **Kenai Peninsula Care Center**

Grant No: **602-11-266** FY11 funding: **\$14,593**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** Date: **5/19/2011**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	1	6	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					55
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>76.39%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text" value="14,221"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text" value="22,623"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text" value="19,574"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="24,491"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="80,909"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 8

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	2	8	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					60
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					83.33%

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text" value="14,734"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="14,734"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**KIC has used the community action planning process to structure services in alto meet clients' identified psycho social needs in manner that would help ward off the need for emergency room visits and encounters with law enforcement. As of the third quarter report anecdotal reports indicate a slight reduction in the number of KIC members needing emergency room services.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

First and third quarterly reports were timely. Second quarter was not.

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 8

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

The first and third quarter reports were thorough and gave me a clear picture of what is happening in the organization and KIC's role in the treatment community. The second quarter report was accurate and well written. However, because it was late ( filed with the third quarter report) it was not available for me to use to gain an idea of how the agency was doing during the second quarter.

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

The second quarter being late resulted in it not be useful to ascertain what was happening that quarter.

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 0

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Outcome measures were meaningful and progress occurred. Completion of treatment plans and notes, increased collaboration with billing and increased client progress as reflected by the CSR were the goals and progress was made in all areas.

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:** 
**FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:** 
**Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	2	8	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	0	0	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					45
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>62.50%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>8,019</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>137,715</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>70,434</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>61,541</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>277,709</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Collaboration with Haines and Skageay schools, police, SEARHC has resulted in improved services as evidenced by use of police for transporting involuntary clients, increased responsiveness to schools to stabilize youth in classrooms and sharing of staff training opportunities.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

The provision of the Executive Director's and Program Director's report has been very helpful to me in gaining a solid perspective on the clinical and operational status of this agency.

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**This agency targeted clinical goals to improve services to SED youth and their families and made substantial progress toward those goals. They have trained staff in trauma informed care and worked with the school to increase their awareness of the effects of trauma on children and ways of assisting affected children to succeed. The agency also increased the involvement of family members in the treatment of Seed youth. As a result there has been a huge documented increase in family therapy and family skill development.**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Maniilaq Behavioral Health

**Grant No:** 602-11-001 **FY11 Funding:** \$1,363,670

**Reviewer:** Sharon Walluk **Date:** 5/11/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>279,410</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>80,102</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>924,057</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>80,101</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,363,670</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**No comment**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Grantee is the only DBH grantee in the region and worked mainly with their Board of Directors for planning. The Board represents all communities in the Maniilaq service area. There have been complaints from referral sources, however, which complained about lack of coordination and services. Some documentation during the 2nd and 3rd quarters**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**no comment**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 3**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

Parts of 2 quarter reports were submitted late,

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 8**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

T&R report did not indicate CAP activity, only inhouse activities. Logic Model report did not give outcomes only brief statements, CFR was complete

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**Agency has made progress with reporting accuracy for HIV, Hep C and TB documentation, but still needs to improve.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Logic model reports were factual but did not demonstrate improvement in goal area**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	1	3	9
	2	4	2	8	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					48
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>66.67%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-11-261 **FY11 Funding:** \$1,717,095

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>270,361</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>887,322</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>559,412</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,717,095</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Although the Behavioral Health Integration Team has shown consistent participation, those efforts could be better focused to consistently yield tangible changes and improved services.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6 **x Score**  **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Effort using Logic Model was reported but progress on indicators not accomplished.**

Grantee: **Mat-Su Health Services, Inc.**

Grant No: **602-11-261** FY11 funding: **\$1,717,095**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** Date: **5/19/2011**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>83.33%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text" value="985,301"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="985,301"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Narcotic Drug Treatment Center/Center For Drug Problems**

Grant No: **602-11-285** FY11 funding: **\$985,301**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells, CDCS** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services

**Grant No:** 602-11-274 **FY11 Funding:** \$777,285

**Reviewer:** Lynn M. Eldridge, MEd **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>484,738</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>118,346</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>162,839</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>11,362</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>777,285</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**No progress or evaluation was reported on proposed outcome indicators in the 3rd quarter report.**

Grantee: **North Slope Borough Department of Health & Social Services**

Grant No: **602-11-274** FY11 funding: **\$777,285**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge, MEd** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>66</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>91.67%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Norton Sound Health Corporation

**Grant No:** 602-11-002 **FY11 Funding:** \$1,302,492

**Reviewer:** Sharon Walluk **Date:** 5/11/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>154,561</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>34,352</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>979,228</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA	<b>100,000</b>	Other
<b>34,351</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,302,492</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Agency plans with their Board of Directors who represent all communities of the service area including the City of Nome. Agency has worked to develop and improve coordination with referral sources over the past year. They do support a quarterly agency meeting for problem solving but it is sparsely attended due to all agencies' limited staffing and availability of time. Agency has been instructed to work to improve their support of this activity.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**No comment**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 3**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2 CFR's were sent on time, other reports were missing or late one time.**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**1 quarter Logic Model was late, but information was complete and informative**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**Akaims documentation has improved this past FY**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Discussions demonstrated work and progress toward goals and improvement in identified areas.**

Grantee: **Norton Sound Health Corporation**

Grant No: **602-11-002** FY11 funding: **\$1,302,492**

Reviewer: **Sharon Walluk** Date: **5/11/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	1	3	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>64</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>88.89%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Nugen's Ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services, Inc.)

**Grant No:** 602-11-280 **FY11 Funding:** \$878,829

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, CDCS **Date:** 5/13/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>878,829</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>878,829</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Longterm residential services for individuals with chronic substance use disorders. Not able at this time to admit individuals with moderate or severe co-occurring disorders.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 2

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

Waiting list data was not consistently entered until the third quarter of FY11.

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Nugen's Ranch (Alaska Addiction Rehabilitation Services, Inc.)**

Grant No: **602-11-280** FY11 funding: **\$878,829**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells, CDCS** Date: **5/13/2011**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	1	2	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>62</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>86.11%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Peninsula Community Health Centers of Alaska, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-11-262 **FY11 Funding:** \$528,657

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>195,087</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>200,109</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>133,461</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>528,657</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:   
 Grant No:  FY11 funding:   
 Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>183,731</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>31,088</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>123,983</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>14,696</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>353,498</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

PMHS coordinated with multiple agencies including, Akeela, Petersburg Native Association, Petersburg Physician's Clinic, Petersburg Medical Center / Emergency Room, Vocational Rehabilitation, Petersburg Police department, Bartlett Hospital, Petersburg Schools, , WAVE, Petersburg Assisted Living facility, OCS, Probation, Alaska Island's community Services, City of Petersburg, :Petersburg Ministerial Association, and Petersburg Community coalition and there is ample evidence that coordination with these agencies have had a substantial positive impact on services.

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

Reports are accurate and very informative.

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Substantial progress in all areas was reported. The agency clearly used the logic model to improve services and administrative processes.**

**Grantee:** Petersburg Mental Health Services

**Grant No:** 602-11-253 **FY11 funding:** \$353,498

**Reviewer:** Richard L. Nault **Date:** 5/19/2011

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center

**Grant No:** 602-11-286 **FY11 Funding:** \$830,208

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, CDCS **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>127,429</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>81,619</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>252,524</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>149,058</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>219,578</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>830,208</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

AKAIMS Quarterly report received May 5, 2011 (due 4/30/11)

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center**

Grant No: **602-11-286** FY11 funding: **\$830,208**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells, CDCS** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>69</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>95.83%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>104,253</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>47,979</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>64,317</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>47,946</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>64,737</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>329,232</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Providence Valdez Counseling Center**

Grant No: **602-11-289** FY11 funding: **\$329,232**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells, CDCS** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>248,123</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>82,708</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>330,831</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Rainforest Recovery Center - Bartlett Hospital

**Grant No:** 602-11-243 **FY11 Funding:** \$675,414

**Reviewer:** Richard Nault **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<b>73,617</b>	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>601,797</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>675,414</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**Rainforest actively participates in the community planning process, as indicated by their reports and observation. They have been active in the Suicide Prevention Task Force and Community counselor Training Committee. This has resulted in decreased barriers to treatment by developing a joint release on information form used by participating agencies. Rainforest has been active in leading efforts to integrate behavioral health and primary care.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Rainforest provided detox to 222 patients and residential substance abuse services to 251 patients during the first three quarters of FY'11.**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

Reports have been very thorough.

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**Rainforest has submitted accurate data to AKAIMS. They have been remodeling the facility and for three quarters their number of available beds has been decreased, as they have remodeled on room at a time, rendering that room unavailable for treatment during the period of renovation. Matt Felix has kept me informed of the adjust number of bed days during this process and has contacted AKAIMS staff regarding the renovations.3**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Rainforest Recovery Center has used to logic model to substantially increase the use of available treatment beds. Their utilization rate has been between 80 - 85%, when adjusted for unusable beds due to remodeling.**

Grantee: **Rainforest Recovery Center - Bartlett Hospital**

Grant No: **602-11-243** FY11 funding: **\$675,414**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** SEARHC (SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium)

**Grant No:** 602-11-209 **FY11 Funding:** \$931,802

**Reviewer:** Marilee Fletcher **Date:** May 26,2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>36,440</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>370,500</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<b>203,010</b>	Youth Residential – SA	<b>321,852</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>931,802</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 12**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **SEARHC (SouthEast Alaska Regional Health Consortium)**

Grant No: **602-11-209** FY11 funding: **\$931,802**

Reviewer: **Marilee Fletcher** Date: **May 26,2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text" value="53,606"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="53,606"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**In Quarter 1, the Anchorage Regional Behavioral Health Coalition is the primary avenue for community coordination, however there were no Booth representatives at any of the BHRS Meetings during that quarter.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 3

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Due to staff turnover / lack of qualified staff, Booth was unable to provide the services described in the RFP, during the first 2 quarters of FY 11.**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**Booth's Quarter 1 Narrative Report was submitted late.**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 8**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**The 1st Quarter reports were not accurate and complete, due to the Clinical Director having just been hired and the absence of an Executive Director.**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	2	12	18
	2	3	1	3	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	2	8	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					53
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>73.61%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<b>219,000</b>	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>639,207</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	<b>554,065</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>1,237,204</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
<b>973,896</b>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>3,623,372</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 6**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**The Crossroads and Public Detox Units were not fully functioning during the first quarter of FY11, due to lack of adequate/qualified staffing.**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**Clitheroe had not done an AKAIMS realignment at the beginning of FY11, thus Public Detox is not present in the first quarter at all, and other changes needed to be made in order for the Qtly Summaries to be complete and accurate.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	2	6	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					67
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>93.06%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>72,229</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>138,437</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>154,527</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>365,193</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Mixed results on indicators in Logic Model. SA program completion rates have decreased since the beginning of the year.**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>72</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>66</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>91.67%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services

**Grant No:** 602-11-247 **FY11 Funding:** \$911,707

**Reviewer:** Marilee Fletcher **Date:** 6/3/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>134,444</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<b>63,205</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>95,091</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>69,658</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	<b>41,693</b>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>354,182</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>153,434</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>911,707</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

The first quarter CFR was submitted four days late.

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					63
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					87.50%

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center

**Grant No:** 602-11-288 **FY11 Funding:** \$372,605

**Reviewer:** Viki Wells, CDCS **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>66,225</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>93,329</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>103,226</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>109,825</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>372,605</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Sound Alternatives - Cordova Community Medical Center**

Grant No: **602-11-288** FY11 funding: **\$372,605**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells, CDCS** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** South Peninsula Behavioral Health Sevices, Inc.

**Grant No:** 602-11-207 **FY11 Funding:** \$358,357

**Reviewer:** Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>120,737</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>151,010</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>86,610</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>358,357</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **South Peninsula Behavioral Health Sevices, Inc.**

Grant No: **602-11-207** FY11 funding: **\$358,357**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** Date: **5/19/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage

**Grant No:** 602-11-208 **FY11 Funding:** \$393,746

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves M.Ed. **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
<b>279,560</b>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>114,186</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>393,746</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

The \$98,437 for PES is the match from their BURT Team. The \$32,812 for Women & Children - OP/SA is the match from DAC. LIBR completed Feb. 2011 to reflect this change.

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Southcentral Foundation - McGrath

**Grant No:** 602-11-200 **FY11 Funding:** \$309,371

**Reviewer:** Dave Reeves M.Ed. **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>90,388</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>140,772</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>78,211</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>309,371</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

The \$103,124 for PES is the match from their BURT Team. LIBR completed Feb. 2011 to reflect this change.

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Tanana Chiefs Conference

**Grant No:** 602-11-211 **FY11 Funding:** \$1,238,195

**Reviewer:** Lynn M. Eldridge, MEd **Date:** 5/19/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>329,095</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>181,594</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>307,774</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
<b>419,732</b>	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>1,238,195</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 0

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

All quarterly reports do not include any AKAIMS waitlist information for Old Minto Recovery Camp. The numbers of clients discharged and those clients who receive HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis C, and TB education are inconsistent.

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	0	0	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					66
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>91.67%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text" value="259,823"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="259,823"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		<b>271,755</b>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA			SMI – Outpatient – MH
<b>726,697</b>	Youth Residential – SA			Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH			Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA			Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA			Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH			Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA			Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA			Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH			
			<b>998,452</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee: **Volunteers of America**

Grant No: **602-11-236** FY11 funding: **\$998,452**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** Date: **5/16/2011**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	<input type="text"/>	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	<input type="text"/>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Employment Services
<input type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<input type="text"/>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	<input type="text"/>	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	<input type="text"/>	Pilots – MH and SA
<input type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	<input type="text"/>	Other
<input type="text" value="1,022"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input type="text" value="1,022"/>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**This agency only receives grant funds to treat SED youth.**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**There are clear indications that working with a wide variety agencies has improved services to children and families for each of three quarters.**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**3**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 9

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 18

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**The logic model focused upon improving cooperating and collaboration between SCAPS and YAS. As a result of working on the logic model, it is clear that there has been increased cooperation between the Boards, Executive Directors and staff of both agencies. Staff from each agency have attended shared trainings and the agencies are collaborating of attaining CARF accreditation.**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	3	9	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	3	6	6
	4	6	3	18	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>100.00%</b>

### FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:** Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation

**Grant No:** 602-11-005 **FY11 Funding:** \$2,673,838

**Reviewer:** Sharon Walluk **Date:** 5/11/2011

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<b>693,845</b>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	<b>262,017</b>	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	<b>193,826</b>	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<b>869,150</b>	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	<b>272,000</b>	Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
<b>383,000</b>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<b>2,673,838</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**They provide a therapeutic court program**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 18**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**This agency provides for planning through their Board of Directors which represents all communities in the service area as well as the City of Bethel. They do attend and sometimes lead the monthly community planning meetings held in Bethel as well. Focus for the region as well as for Bethel was Suicide prevention and intervention since this is such a large problem for the region**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 9**

**0=NO                      3=YES**

**Comments:**

**No comment**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight:** 3    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 6

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

The first quarter narrative report was late.

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 4    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

No comment

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight:** 2    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 4

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**HIV, Hep C and TB numbers are out of line with numbers discharged, they have demonstrated some improvement but they still need to work for more accuracy.**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight:** 6    **x Score**     **Weighted Score:** 12

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

**Logic model was used for SBIRT project and demonstrates that agency increased usage of the instrument. There is no document in changes in services or client care occurred.**

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	3	18	18
	2	3	3	9	9
II	1	3	2	6	9
	2	4	3	12	12
	3	2	2	4	6
	4	6	2	12	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					61
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>84.72%</b>

# **Attachment D**

---

---

## **FY2010 and FY2011 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services - Grant Review and Progress Report *Template***

## FY10 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY10 funding:**

**Reviewer:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

-	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	-	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
-	Detoxification – SA	-	SMI – Outpatient – MH
-	Youth Residential – SA	-	Adult – Outpatient – SA
-	Youth Residential – MH	-	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
-	Adult Residential – SA	-	Employment Services
-	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	-	Disability Justice – MH and SA
-	Adult Residential – MH	-	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
-	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	-	Pilots – MH and SA
-	Recovery Camps – SA	-	Other
-	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	-	<b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning quarterly?**

Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 0

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?**

Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 0

0=NO

3=YES

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required reports include narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending..." Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Are quarterly reports complete? (*"Complete" means that all questions on the Quantitative and Narrative report are answered in sufficient detail to judge progress and CFR is filled out correctly. This excludes Logic Model and AKAIMS reports.*)**

**Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter's reports have been submitted complete
- 2 = 2 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter's reports have been submitted complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements?**

Factor Weight: **6** x Score  Weighted Score: **0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process. (output accomplished or revision of the plan)
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY10 funding:

Reviewer:

Final Scoring Table					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	4	0	0	12
	2	3	0	0	9
II	1	3	0	0	9
	2	4	0	0	12
	3	6	0	0	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					<b>60</b>
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					<b>0</b>
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>0.00%</b>

## FY11 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

**Grantee:**

**Grant No:**  **FY11 Funding:**

**Reviewer:**  **Date:**

**Program Types** (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Detoxification – SA	SMI – Outpatient – MH
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Youth Residential – SA	Adult – Outpatient – SA
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Youth Residential – MH	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Adult Residential – SA	Employment Services
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Women and Children’s Residential – SA	Disability Justice – MH and SA
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Adult Residential – MH	Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	Pilots – MH and SA
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	Recovery Camps – SA	Other
<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 95%; height: 15px;" type="text"/>	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	<input style="width: 80px; height: 15px;" type="text"/> - <b>TOTAL</b>

**Comments:**

**Section I: Fidelity**

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

**1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
- 2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
- 3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

**Comments:**

**2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?**

**Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**0=NO**

**3=YES**

**Comments:**

**Section II: Agency Performance**

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

**1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. *Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), “the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending...” Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score  Weighted Score: 0

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
- 3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

**Comments:**

**2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

Factor Weight: 4 x Score  Weighted Score: 0

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? (“Complete” means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)**

**Factor Weight: 2 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = 1 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 2 = 2 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.
- 3 = 3 quarter’s reports were submitted accurate and complete.

**Comments:**

**4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)**

**Factor Weight: 6 x Score  Weighted Score: 0**

**Anchor Points:**

- 1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
- 2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
- 3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

**Comments:**

Grantee:

Grant No:  FY11 funding:

Reviewer:  Date:

<b>Final Scoring Table</b>					
<i>Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet</i>					
Section	Item #	Factor Weight	Score	Weighted Score	Total Possible Score
I	1	6	0	0	18
	2	3	0	0	9
II	1	3	0	0	9
	2	4	0	0	12
	3	2	0	0	6
	4	6	0	0	18
<b>Total Possible Score</b>					72
<b>Weighted Score Total</b>					0
<b>Weighted Score divided by Total Possible</b>					
<b>FINAL SCORE</b>					<b>0.00%</b>