State of Alaska
Department of Health and Social Services
Division of Behavioral Health

Comprehensive Behavioral Health
Treatment & Recovery (CBHTR) Grant Program

FY2014 CBHTR Performance Based Funding Summary

FINAL
June 28, 2013

Table of Contents

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Process 1

Attachment A. FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary A-1

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process ... A-16

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process A-26

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process ... A-41

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process A-56

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process A-71

Attachment A.7: FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr: PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups A-81

Attachment A.8: FY2014 CBHTR PEC Summary Score and PEC Points A-90

Attachment B. FY2014 CBHTR PEC Score - Summary Spreadsheet B-1

Attachment C. FY2013 CBHTR Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) - Summary by PBF Measure

Attachment C.1: Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score C-1

Attachment C.2: Average Number of Days from Screening (AST) to First Treatment Service C-4

Attachment C.3: Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment..... C-7

Attachment C.4: Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days C-10

Attachment C.5: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SMI Population C-13

Attachment C.6: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SED Population..... C-15

Attachment C.7: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Adult Population C-17

Attachment C.8: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Youth Population C-20

Attachment C.9: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SMI Population..... C-22

Attachment C.10: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SED Population..... C-24

Attachment C.11: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Adult Population..... C-26

Attachment C.12: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Youth Population..... C-29

Attachment C.13: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SMI Population..... C-31

Attachment C.14: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SED Population..... C-33

Attachment C.15: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Adult Population C-35

Attachment C.16: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Youth Population C-38

Attachment C.17: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services:
Improved Quality of Life - SMI Population C-40

Attachment C.18: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services:
Improved Quality of Life - SED Population C-42

Attachment C.19: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services:
Improved Quality of Life - SA Adult Population C-44

Attachment C.20: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services:
Improved Quality of Life - SA Youth Population ... C-47

Attachment D. FY2013 CBHTR Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) - Grantee Report Card

Access Alaska D-1

AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed) D-2

AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children) D-3

Akeela, Inc - Gateway D-4

Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women) D-5

Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch D-6

Alaska Children's Services D-7

Alaska Family Services, Inc D-8

Alaska Island Counseling Services D-9

Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web D-10

Alaska Youth and Family Network (#987 & #988) D-11

Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (APIA) D-12

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (#204) D-13

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (#980, Bridge) D-14

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (#968, SOAR) D-15

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (#970, SED Youth & Family Transitional) D-16

Arc of Anchorage D-17

Assets D-18

Boys and Girls Home of Alaska D-19

Bristol Bay Area Health Corp. D-20

Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood) D-21

Central Peninsula General Hospital (#282) D-22

Central Peninsula General Hospital (#550, SA Treatment, Pregnant Women) D-23

Central Peninsula General Hospital (#974) D-24

Choices, Inc. (#923) D-25

Choices, Inc. (#924) D-26

Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support) D-27

Community Connections D-28

Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse D-29

Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc D-30

Copper River Native Association D-31

Cordova Community Medical Clinic, Sound Alternatives D-32

Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments D-33

Daybreak D-34

Denali Family Services (#201) D-35

Denali Family Services (#975, SED Youth & Family Transitional) D-36

Denali Family Services (#978, SED Youth & Family Transitional) D-37

Eastern Aleutian Tribes D-38

Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center (FCBHC) D-39

Fairbanks Native Association D-40

Family Centered Services of Alaska (#273) D-41

Family Centered Services of Alaska (#965) D-42

Gastineau Human Services D-43

Hope Community Resources D-44

Interior Aids Association D-45

Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. D-46

Juneau Youth Services (#244) D-47

Juneau Youth Services (#977, SED Youth & Family Transitional) ... D-48

Juneau Youth Services (#952, SED Youth & Family Early Childhood) D-49

Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center D-50

Kenaitze Indian Tribe D-51

Ketchikan Indian Community D-52

Lynn Canal Counseling D-53

Maniilaq Association D-54

Mat-Su Health Services D-55

Metlakatla Indian Community D-56

Narcotic Drug Treatment Center D-57

North Slope Borough D-58

Norton Sound Health Corporation D-59

Peninsula Community Health Services D-60

Petersburg Mental Health Services D-61

Presbyterian Hospitality House (#956, SED Youth & Family Transitional) D-62

Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult D-63

Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth D-64

Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center D-65

Providence Valdez Counseling Center D-66

Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions D-67

Rainforest Recovery Center D-68

Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women) D-69

Residential Youth Care D-70

Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center D-71

Seaview Community Services D-72

Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (#247) D-73

Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (#982, SED Youth & Family) D-74

South Peninsula Behavioral Health D-75

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage (#208) D-76

Southcentral Foundation - McGrath (#200) D-77

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage (#350, IOP SA Tx) D-78

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage (#920) D-79

Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (#209) D-80

Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium - Juneau Youth Services (#057) D-81

Soteria House D-82

Tanana Chiefs Conference D-83

Tok Area Mental Health Council D-84

Volunteers of America Alaska (#236) D-85

Volunteers of America Alaska (#983) D-86

Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation (YKHC) D-87

Youth Advocates of Sitka (#981, SED Youth & Family Transitional) D-88

Attachment E: FY2013 CBHTR Grant Review and Progress Report (through 3rd quarter)

Access Alaska E-1

AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed) E-6

AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children) E-11

Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women) E-16

Akeela, Inc - Gateway E-21

Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch E-26

Alaska Children's Services E-31

Alaska Family Services, Inc (grant #602-13-260) E-36

Alaska Island Counseling Services E-41

Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web E-46

Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support, grant #602-13-987) E-51

Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support, grant #602-13-988) E-56

Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (APIA) E-61

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services E-66

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge) E-71

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR) E-76

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional) E-81

Arc of Anchorage E-86

Assets E-91

Boys and Girls Home of Alaska E-96

Bristol Bay Area Health Corp. E-101

Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood) E-106

Central Peninsula General Hospital (grant #602-13-282) E-111

Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women, grant #602-13-550) E-116

Central Peninsula General Hospital (grant #602-13-974) E-121

Choices, Inc. - Independent Case Management E-126

Choices, Inc. - Evidence Based Practices (Consumer Operated Services) E-131

Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Management Support) ... E-136

Community Connections E-141

Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse E-146

Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc E-151

Copper River Native Association E-156

Cordova Community Medical Clinic - Sound Alternatives E-161

Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments E-166

Daybreak E-171

Denali Family Services (grant #602-13-201) E-176

Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional, grant #602-13-975) E-181

Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional, grant #602-13-978) E-186

Eastern Aleutian Tribes E-191

Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center (FCBHC) E-196

Fairbanks Native Association (FNA) E-201

Family Centered Services of Alaska (grant #602-13-273) E-206

Family Centered Services of Alaska (grant #602-13-965) E-211

Gastineau Human Services E-216

Hope Community Resources E-221

Interior Aids Association E-226

Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc. E-231

Juneau Youth Services (grant #602-13-244) E-236

Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional, grant #602-13-977) E-241

Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood, grant #602-13-952) E-246

Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center E-251

Kenaitze Indian Tribe E-256

Ketchikan Indian Community E-261

Lynn Canal Counseling E-266

Maniilaq Association E-271

Mat-Su Health Services E-276

Metlakatla Indian Community E-281

Narcotic Drug Treatment Center E-286

North Slope Borough E-291

Norton Sound Health Corporation E-296

Peninsula Community Health Services E-301

Petersburg Mental Health Services E-306

Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional) .. E-311

Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center, grant #602-13-055) - Adult E-316

Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center, grant #602-13-056) - Youth E-321

Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center E-326

Providence Valdez Counseling Center E-331

Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions E-336

Rainforest Recovery Center E-341

Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women) E-346

Residential Youth Care E-351

Salvation Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (grant #602-13-235) E-356

Seaview Community Services E-361

Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (grant #602-13-247) E-366

Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Family, grant #602-13-982) E-371

South Peninsula Behavioral Health E-376

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage (grant #602-13-208) E-381

Southcentral Foundation - McGrath (grant #602-13-200) E-386

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage (grant #602-13-350) E-391

Southcentral Foundation - Anchorage (grant #602-13-920) E-396

Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC, grant #602-13-209) E-401

Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium (SEARHC & Juneau Youth Services - Montana Creek Residential) E-406

Soteria House E-411

Tanana Cheifs Conference E-416

Tok Area Mental Health Council E-421

Volunteers of America Alaska (grant #602-13-236) E-426

Volunteers of America Alaska (grant #602-13-983) E-431

Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional) E-436

Yukon Kuskokwim Health Corporation (YKHC) E-441

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Process

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Process: Summary

The FY2014 CBHTR grant awards were based on a competitive process that reflected grantee performance during the first three quarters of FY2013 and, where applicable, the Proposal Evaluation Committee (PEC) score assigned to the grantee's FY2014 application. There were no new funds to distribute to grantees, so existing CBHTR funds were allocated based on a redistribution process reflecting grantee performance. This process was accomplished through a funding allocation model that incorporated grantee FY2013 funding, FY2013 performance, and FY2014 PEC score.

The funding allocation model aligned performance measures with five competitive funding groups reflecting specific populations and services. The competitive funding groups, and the performance measures that are applied to each group, are listed in Table 1 below. In addition, for those grantees with a PEC score, if the PEC recommended to fund services, then the grantee's PEC Summary Score was aligned with a competitive funding group (based on the program service type) and incorporated into the funding model.

Grantees with FY2013 funding in a specific competitive funding group competed with one another (based on performance and PEC score) for the available FY2014 CBHTR funds for that specific group. In the model, grantees started out with their FY2013 CBHTR final award amount for each competitive funding group (i.e., where applicable). Performance points and PEC points were applied as adjustments to grantee funding that resulted in a new proportional distribution of funds within each competitive funding group. For each group, the total CBHTR funding remained the same, but each grantee's proportion of the total changed – thus reflecting the competitive aspect of this process. Each grantee's change in their proportion of the total funds for the funding group reflects how well they did in comparison to other grantees. For some grant funds, performance-based funding (PBF) was not applicable, and in these instances, the grant funds were fixed and not adjusted in the model.

Table 1. Competitive Funding Groups and Performance Measures Applied.

'Non-Treatment' Competitive Funding Groups and Performance Measure Applied	
Competitive Funding Group: 1. Psych Emergency Services (PES)	Compliance Measure: i. Grant Review & Progress Report - DBH Staff Score
'Treatment' Competitive Funding Groups and Performance Measures Applied	
Competitive Funding Group: 2. Serious Mental Illness (SMI): Adults 3. Severe Emotional Disturbance (SED): Children/Youth 4. Substance Abuse (SA): Adults (note: PBF was not applicable for FY2014 Detox funding) 5. Substance Abuse (SA): Youth	Compliance Measure: i. Grant Review & Progress Report - DBH Staff Score Efficiency Measures: ii. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service iii. % of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment iv. % of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days Outcomes/Effectiveness Measures (based on Client Status Review (CSR)): v. CSR – Health Domains: % Who Improved or Stayed the Same vi. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % Who Improved or Stayed the Same vii. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % Satisfied with Quality of Services viii. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life

FY2014 Performance Based Funding (PBF) Measures and PBF Points

The FY2014 CBHTR funding allocation process included evaluating grantee FY2013 performance (through the 3rd quarter) for the eight performance measures listed in Table 1 above. Performance thresholds and corresponding performance points were established for each measure. Descriptions of the PBF measures and assignment of PBF points are provided below.

1. **Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score.** DBH staff scored grantees on the following activities to determine an overall Staff Score for each grantee:

   a. Did grantee participate in community planning on a quarterly basis?
   b. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?
   c. Did grantee submit all required reports (including narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal reports) in a timely manner?
   d. Did grantee submit complete quarterly reports?
   e. Did the grantee use the Logic Model to make program improvements?

   Possible PBF Points = -0.75, -0.50, 0.00, +0.25, +0.50, +0.75, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Staff Score	PBF Points
<= 64%	-0.75
>= 65% and <= 74%	-0.50
>= 75% and <= 84%	0.00
>= 85% and <= 89%	+0.25
>= 90% and <= 94%	+0.50
>= 95% and <= 99%	+0.75
= 100%	+1.00

2. **Average Number of Days from Screening (AST) to First Treatment Service.** This measure reports the elapsed period of time from the completion of the Alaska Screening Tool (AST) to the date of the first treatment service. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

   Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.25, +0.50, +0.75, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Avg # of Days	PBF Points
>= 36	-0.25
>= 29 and <= 35	0.00
>= 22 and <= 28	+0.25
>= 15 and <= 21	+0.50
>= 8 and <= 14	+0.75
<= 0 and <= 7	+1.00

3. **Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment.** This measure reports the percentage of an agency's clients who received a treatment service within 30 days of being enrolled into a program for treatment services. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

   Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.25, +0.50, +0.75, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: % Served within 30 Days	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 59%	0.00
>= 60% and <= 69%	+0.25
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80% and <= 89%	+0.75
>= 90%	+1.00

4. **Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days.** This measure identifies those clients enrolled into treatment services who have not received any services within 135 days or greater. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to engagement and retention of clients in services and "capacity management" of treatment services.

   Possible PBF Points = -0.75, -0.50, -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: % Not Served in 135 Days	PBF Points
>= 51%	-0.75
>= 31% and <= 50%	-0.50
>= 21% and <= 30%	-0.25
>= 11% and <= 20%	0.00
>= 6% and <= 10%	+0.50
>= 0% and <= 5%	+1.00

5. **Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Health Domain.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR health domain question(s). This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   a. For the SMI and SED populations, this measure is based on client response to:
      - CSR Question #2: How many days in the past 30 days was your mental health (including depression and/or problems with emotions, behavior, or thinking) not good?

b. For the SA populations (adult & youth), this measure is based on client responses to:
   - CSR Question #5: How many days during the past 30 days have you used alcohol?
   - CSR Question #6: How many days during the past 30 days have you used illegal drugs (including medications not as prescribed/ directed)?

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Health Domain - % Improved or Same	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

6. **Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   For each of the four target populations (SMI, SED, SA Adult, and SA Youth), this measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

   - Your housing?
   - Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
   - Your safety in your home or where you sleep?
   - Your safety outside your home?
   - How much people in your life support you?
   - Your friendships?
   - Your family situation?
   - Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
   - Your life in general?

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Quality of Life Domains - % Improved or Same	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

7. **Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   For each of the four target populations (SMI, SED, SA Adult, and SA Youth), this measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

   - I was treated with respect.
   - I was able to get all the services I needed.

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Quality of Services - % Satisfied	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

8. **Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life.** This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

   For each of the four target populations (SMI, SED, SA Adult, and SA Youth), this measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

   - The services improved the quality of my life.

Possible PBF Points = -0.25, 0.00, +0.50, +1.00

Threshold Ranges: Improved Quality of Life - % Satisfied	PBF Points
>= 0% and <= 49%	-0.25
>= 50% and <= 69%	0.00
>= 70% and <= 79%	+0.50
>= 80%	+1.00

FY2014 Proposal Evaluation Committee (PEC) Summary Score and PEC Points

For grantees who had a PEC Summary Score incorporated into the funding model for one or more specific competitive funding groups, the grantee's PEC Summary Score was compared to the average score for the funding group. PEC points were assigned based on the degree of variation from the average score (i.e., standard deviation (StdDev) from the mean). Thresholds and corresponding PEC points are provided below.

Threshold Ranges: PEC Summary Score	PEC Points
Below the mean by more than 1 StdDev	-1.00
Below the mean by more than ½ StdDev, but within 1 StdDev	-0.50
Below the mean, but within ½ StdDev	-0.25
Above the mean, but within ½ StdDev	+0.25
Above the mean by more than ½ StdDev, but within 1 StdDev	+0.50
Above the mean by more than 1 StdDev	+1.00

FY2014 Grant Awards: Overall Impact of Performance on Redistribution of Grant Funds

Table 2 below provides an overall summary of the impact of grantee performance (including PBF measures and the PEC summary score (i.e., where applicable)) on the redistribution of grant funds. There were 61 grantees with funding included in this competitive process; of these, 30 (49%) had an increase in funding and 31 (51%) had a decrease in funding.

Table 2. FY2014 Grant Awards: Overall Impact of Performance

FY2014 Grant Awards: Overall Impact of Performance on Redistribution of Grant Funds (Summary of Change Due to PBF Measures and PEC Summary Score)		
	Increased Funding (30 of 61 Grantees = 49%)	Decreased Funding (31 of 61 Grantees = 51%)
Min $ Change	$ 89	$ (17)
Max $ Change	$ 70,080	$ (55,445)
Average $ Change	$ 9,255	$ (8,956)
Min % Change	0.1%	0.0%
Max % Change	3.5%	-4.3%
Average % Change	1.6%	-1.4%

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Process: Description of Attachments

- Attachment A: FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model
  - Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary. Provides a summary (listed by grantee) of adjusted funding across the five competitive funding groups; includes total change across all groups and the FY2014 award amount.
  - Attachments A.2 - A.6: FY2014 funding allocation process detail for each of the five competitive funding groups; shows funding adjustments (based on PBF points and PEC points) for each grantee within each group.
  - Attachment A.7: Summary of grantee PBF points for each measure and the net PBF points (i.e., for compliance/efficiency measures and outcome/effectiveness measures) used in the funding allocation process.
  - Attachment A.8: Summary of grantee PEC points for grantees who had a PEC Summary Score incorporated into the funding model.
- Attachment B: FY2014 CBHTR PEC Score - Summary Spreadsheet; PEC summary information for each competitive grant application, grouped by agency.
- Attachment C: FY2013 CBHTR Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) Summaries by PBF Measure – lists performance by all grantees for each PBF measure.
- Attachment D: FY2013 CBHTR Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) - Grantee Report Cards; individual grantee performance summary sheets.
- Attachment E: FY2013 CBHTR Grant Review and Progress Report (through 3rd quarter) for each grantee.

Attachment A

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 43,154,920	$ 6,260,569	$ 6,260,569	$ -	$ -	$ 11,392,414	$ 11,392,414	$ 5,977,570	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 Detox Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 Detox Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ 681,225	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ 599,555	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ 981,098	$ 36,397	$ 36,484	$ -	-	$ 513,914	$ 513,630	$ 78,873	$ 79,990
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ 878,829	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ 144,839	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ 144,839	$ 147,105
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ 540,172	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 216,069	$ 212,758	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ 417,670	$ 200,634	$ 201,114	$ -	-	$ 46,466	$ 45,877	$ 37,846	$ 38,290
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
STATEV	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ 302,647	$ 68,780	$ 69,458	$ -	-	$ 88,178	$ 88,785	$ 41,584	$ 40,438
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ 4,335,398	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 3,028,525	$ 3,060,860	$ 1,306,873	$ 1,344,618
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ 342,630	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 227,229	$ 220,552	$ 74,148	$ 74,110
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ 787,707	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 787,707	$ 757,321	$ -	$ -
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ 937,662	$ 61,784	$ 62,393	$ -	-	$ 288,196	$ 293,350	$ 198,182	$ 197,779
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	$ 17,812,177	$ 1,712,190	$ 1,712,190	$ 43,154,920	100.0%	$ 43,154,920	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2	FY13 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O+Q) (Same as F)	FY13 PBF Start % of Total $ (S / Total S)	FY14 PBF / PEC End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P+R)	FY14 PBF / PEC End % of Total $ (U / Total U)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ 681,225	$ 692,409	$ -	-	$ 681,225	1.6%	$ 692,409	1.6%
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ 599,555	$ 611,675	$ -	-	$ 599,555	1.4%	$ 611,675	1.4%
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ 336,928	$ 335,689	$ 14,986	$ 15,062	$ 981,098	2.3%	$ 980,855	2.3%
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	$ 98,912	$ -	-	$ 100,000	0.2%	$ 98,912	0.2%
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ 878,829	$ 879,549	$ -	-	$ 878,829	2.0%	$ 879,549	2.0%
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 144,839	0.3%	$ 147,105	0.3%
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ 189,060	$ 191,497	$ 135,043	$ 135,729	$ 540,172	1.3%	$ 539,984	1.3%
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ 132,724	$ 129,671	$ -	-	$ 417,670	1.0%	$ 414,953	1.0%
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ 104,105	$ 103,730	$ -	-	$ 302,647	0.7%	$ 302,411	0.7%
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 4,335,398	10.0%	$ 4,405,478	10.2%
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ 41,253	$ 41,332	$ -	-	$ 342,630	0.8%	$ 335,994	0.8%
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 787,707	1.8%	$ 757,321	1.8%
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ 389,500	$ 393,289	$ -	-	$ 937,662	2.2%	$ 946,811	2.2%
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,997,226	$ 53,152,146
A	B	C	D	E	W	X	Y	Z	AA
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (U-S)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (W/S)		FY14 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY14 Award Reflecting PBF/PEC with Set Aside $ Added Back (U + Z)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ 11,184	1.6%		$ -	$ 692,409
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ 12,120	2.0%		$ 297,400	$ 909,075
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ (243)	0.0%		$ -	$ 980,855
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ (1,088)	-1.1%		$ -	$ 98,912
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ 720	0.1%		$ -	$ 879,549
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ 2,266	1.6%		$ -	$ 147,105
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ (188)	0.0%		$ -	$ 539,984
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -			$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ (2,717)	-0.7%		$ -	$ 414,953
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -			$ 149,094	$ 149,094
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -			$ 765,000	$ 765,000
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ (236)	-0.1%		$ -	$ 302,411
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ 70,080	1.6%		$ 460,000	$ 4,865,478
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -			$ 750,000	$ 750,000
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -			$ 18,850	$ 18,850
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -			$ 200,000	$ 200,000
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ (6,636)	-1.9%		$ -	$ 335,994
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ (30,386)	-3.9%		$ -	$ 757,321
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ 9,149	1.0%		$ -	$ 946,811
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -			$ 125,000	$ 125,000

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 43,154,920	$ 6,260,569	$ 6,260,569	$ -	$ -	$ 11,392,414	$ 11,392,414	$ 5,977,570	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 Detox Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 Detox Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ 87,807	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ 249,998	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ 174,840	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ 174,840	$ 175,175
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ 486,477	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ 457,358	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ 237,344	$ 47,469	$ 47,760	$ -	-	$ 59,336	$ 58,294	$ 47,470	$ 47,561
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ 357,605	$ 63,559	$ 63,711	$ -	-	$ 89,573	$ 90,518	$ 105,403	$ 105,990
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ 98,906	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 98,906	$ 101,332	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ 106,101	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ 106,101	$ 107,840
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ 291,551	$ 43,733	$ 43,511	$ -	-	$ 29,155	$ 29,425	$ 58,310	$ 57,712
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ 2,595,939	$ 542,848	$ 540,095	$ -	-	$ 1,486,956	$ 1,453,904	$ 566,135	$ 546,496
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ 1,420,976	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ 562,672	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ 562,672	$ 550,638
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ 337,962	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	$ 17,812,177	$ 1,712,190	$ 1,712,190	$ 43,154,920	100.0%	$ 43,154,920	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2	FY13 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O+Q) (Same as F)	FY13 PBF Start % of Total $ (S / Total S)	FY14 PBF / PEC End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P+R)	FY14 PBF / PEC End % of Total $ (U / Total U)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ 87,807	$ 90,812	$ -	-	$ 87,807	0.2%	$ 90,812	0.2%
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ 249,998	$ 258,553	$ -	-	$ 249,998	0.6%	$ 258,553	0.6%
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 174,840	0.4%	$ 175,175	0.4%
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ 468,711	$ 481,535	$ 17,766	$ 18,179	$ 486,477	1.1%	$ 499,714	1.2%
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ 457,358	$ 473,301	$ -	-	$ 457,358	1.1%	$ 473,301	1.1%
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ 83,069	$ 82,367	$ -	-	$ 237,344	0.5%	$ 235,982	0.5%
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ 99,070	$ 98,349	$ -	-	$ 357,605	0.8%	$ 358,568	0.8%
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 98,906	0.2%	$ 101,332	0.2%
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 106,101	0.2%	$ 107,840	0.2%
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ 160,353	$ 151,279	$ -	-	$ 291,551	0.7%	$ 281,927	0.7%
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 2,595,939	6.0%	$ 2,540,494	5.9%
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ 1,420,976	$ 1,379,830	$ -	-	$ 1,420,976	3.3%	$ 1,379,830	3.2%
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 562,672	1.3%	$ 550,638	1.3%
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ 337,962	$ 345,583	$ -	-	$ 337,962	0.8%	$ 345,583	0.8%

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,997,226	$ 53,152,146
A	B	C	D	E	W	X	Y	Z	AA
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (U-S)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (W/S)		FY14 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY14 Award Reflecting PBF/PEC with Set Aside $ Added Back (U + Z)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ 3,005	3.4%		$ -	$ 90,812
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ 8,555	3.4%		$ -	$ 258,553
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -			$ 145,000	$ 145,000
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -			$ 62,217	$ 62,217
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -			$ 300,000	$ 300,000
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ 335	0.2%		$ -	$ 175,175
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ 13,237	2.7%		$ -	$ 499,714
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ 15,943	3.5%		$ 1,598,700	$ 2,072,001
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ (1,362)	-0.6%		$ -	$ 235,982
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ 963	0.3%		$ -	$ 358,568
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ 2,426	2.5%		$ -	$ 101,332
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ 1,739	1.6%		$ -	$ 107,840
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -			$ 200,000	$ 200,000
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -			$ 200,000	$ 200,000
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ (9,624)	-3.3%		$ -	$ 281,927
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ (55,445)	-2.1%		$ -	$ 2,540,494
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ (41,146)	-2.9%		$ 2,131,600	$ 3,511,430
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ (12,034)	-2.1%		$ -	$ 550,638
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -			$ 200,000	$ 200,000
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ 7,621	2.3%		$ -	$ 345,583

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 43,154,920	$ 6,260,569	$ 6,260,569	$ -	$ -	$ 11,392,414	$ 11,392,414	$ 5,977,570	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 Detox Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 Detox Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ 75,376	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ 75,376	$ 77,920
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ 222,015	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ 1,298,072	$ 142,179	$ 143,580	$ -	-	$ 1,155,893	$ 1,183,502	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ 880,217	$ 57,700	$ 58,268	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ 708,087	$ 698,847
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ 14,593	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ 14,593	$ 14,682
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ 80,909	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ 15,699	$ 15,165	$ 25,010	$ 24,717
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ 14,734	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ 262,709	$ 32,979	$ 33,304	$ -	-	$ 91,702	$ 95,484	$ 54,341	$ 56,580
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ 1,363,670	$ 294,186	$ 297,084	$ -	-	$ 70,000	$ 70,225	$ 70,386	$ 70,092
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ 1,702,095	$ 255,360	$ 255,970	$ -	-	$ 887,323	$ 897,145	$ 559,412	$ 568,788
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ 950,301	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ 777,286	$ 566,942	$ 564,067	$ -	-	$ 47,817	$ 45,438	$ 11,238	$ 10,464
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ 1,187,492	$ 151,079	$ 152,568	$ -	-	$ 33,882	$ 33,001	$ 33,881	$ 32,993
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ 528,656	$ 195,087	$ 194,826	$ -	-	$ 200,109	$ 194,697	$ 133,460	$ 129,185
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ 353,498	$ 183,731	$ 185,541	$ -	-	$ 31,088	$ 31,758	$ 14,696	$ 15,085
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ 812,700	$ 812,700	$ 811,611	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ 284,000	$ 284,000	$ 283,619	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 805,208	$ 97,835	$ 97,704	$ -	-	$ 413,730	$ 418,095	$ 119,146	$ 118,928

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	$ 17,812,177	$ 1,712,190	$ 1,712,190	$ 43,154,920	100.0%	$ 43,154,920	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2	FY13 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O+Q) (Same as F)	FY13 PBF Start % of Total $ (S / Total S)	FY14 PBF / PEC End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P+R)	FY14 PBF / PEC End % of Total $ (U / Total U)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 75,376	0.2%	$ 77,920	0.2%
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ 222,015	$ 221,998	$ -	-	$ 222,015	0.5%	$ 221,998	0.5%
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 1,298,072	3.0%	$ 1,327,082	3.1%
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ -	$ -	$ 114,430	$ 112,799	$ 880,217	2.0%	$ 869,915	2.0%
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 14,593	0.0%	$ 14,682	0.0%
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ 21,581	$ 20,113	$ 18,619	$ 17,443	$ 80,909	0.2%	$ 77,438	0.2%
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ 14,734	$ 14,219	$ -	-	$ 14,734	0.0%	$ 14,219	0.0%
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ 83,687	$ 86,023	$ -	-	$ 262,709	0.6%	$ 271,391	0.6%
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ 929,098	$ 924,622	$ -	-	$ 1,363,670	3.2%	$ 1,362,024	3.2%
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 1,702,095	3.9%	$ 1,721,903	4.0%
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ 950,301	$ 937,525	$ -	-	$ 950,301	2.2%	$ 937,525	2.2%
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ 151,289	$ 144,385	$ -	-	$ 777,286	1.8%	$ 764,354	1.8%
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ 968,650	$ 963,984	$ -	-	$ 1,187,492	2.8%	$ 1,182,546	2.7%
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 528,656	1.2%	$ 518,708	1.2%
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ 123,983	$ 125,640	$ -	-	$ 353,498	0.8%	$ 358,024	0.8%
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 812,700	1.9%	$ 811,611	1.9%
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 284,000	0.7%	$ 283,619	0.7%
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 73,092	$ 73,094	$ 101,405	$ 100,331	$ 805,208	1.9%	$ 808,152	1.9%

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,997,226	$ 53,152,146
A	B	C	D	E	W	X	Y	Z	AA
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (U-S)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (W/S)		FY14 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY14 Award Reflecting PBF/PEC with Set Aside $ Added Back (U + Z)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ 2,544	3.4%		$ -	$ 77,920
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ (17)	0.0%		$ -	$ 221,998
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ 29,010	2.2%		$ 300,000	$ 1,627,082
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ (10,302)	-1.2%		$ -	$ 869,915
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -			$ 100,000	$ 100,000
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -			$ 80,000	$ 80,000
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ 89	0.6%		$ -	$ 14,682
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ (3,471)	-4.3%		$ -	$ 77,438
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ (515)	-3.5%		$ -	$ 14,219
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ 8,682	3.3%		$ -	$ 271,391
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ (1,646)	-0.1%		$ -	$ 1,362,024
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ 19,808	1.2%		$ -	$ 1,721,903
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ (12,776)	-1.3%		$ -	$ 937,525
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ (12,932)	-1.7%		$ -	$ 764,354
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ (4,946)	-0.4%		$ 100,000	$ 1,282,546
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ (9,948)	-1.9%		$ -	$ 518,708
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ 4,526	1.3%		$ -	$ 358,024
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -			$ 100,000	$ 100,000
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ (1,089)	-0.1%		$ -	$ 811,611
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ (381)	-0.1%		$ 87,600	$ 371,219
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 2,944	0.4%		$ -	$ 808,152

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 43,154,920	$ 6,260,569	$ 6,260,569	$ -	$ -	$ 11,392,414	$ 11,392,414	$ 5,977,570	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 Detox Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 Detox Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 329,232	$ 93,184	$ 93,754	$ -	-	$ 67,954	$ 69,338	$ 38,864	$ 38,985
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ 295,831	$ 201,446	$ 200,424	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ 577,735	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ 159,530	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ 3,305,214	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ 365,193	$ 55,279	$ 55,824	$ -	-	$ 146,437	$ 146,885	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ 896,707	$ 123,038	$ 124,250	$ -	-	$ 105,894	$ 105,329	$ 151,360	$ 150,535
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Family	$ 156,797	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ 358,359	$ 185,000	$ 186,823	$ -	-	$ 163,359	$ 169,275	$ 10,000	$ 10,311
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ 393,746	$ 38,627	$ 39,008	$ -	-	$ 38,629	$ 37,490	$ 40,969	$ 40,540
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ 309,371	$ 75,753	$ 74,521	$ -	-	$ 169,713	$ 163,903	$ 30,475	$ 29,354
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ 335,493	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ 906,802	$ 36,400	$ 36,487	$ -	-	$ 360,500	$ 359,905	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ 1,208,195	$ 418,864	$ 419,865	$ -	-	$ 170,458	$ 172,667	$ -	$ -
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ 224,823	$ 224,823	$ 221,166	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	$ 17,812,177	$ 1,712,190	$ 1,712,190	$ 43,154,920	100.0%	$ 43,154,920	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2	FY13 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O+Q) (Same as F)	FY13 PBF Start % of Total $ (S / Total S)	FY14 PBF / PEC End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P+R)	FY14 PBF / PEC End % of Total $ (U / Total U)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 84,658	$ 85,278	$ 44,572	$ 44,207	$ 329,232	0.8%	$ 331,562	0.8%
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ 94,385	$ 92,557	$ -	-	$ 295,831	0.7%	$ 292,982	0.7%
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ 577,735	$ 592,521	$ -	-	$ 577,735	1.3%	$ 592,521	1.4%
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ 159,530	$ 162,447	$ -	-	$ 159,530	0.4%	$ 162,447	0.4%
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ 3,305,214	$ 3,314,922	$ -	-	$ 3,305,214	7.7%	$ 3,314,922	7.7%
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ 163,477	$ 160,660	$ -	-	$ 365,193	0.8%	$ 363,369	0.8%
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ 427,448	$ 422,798	$ 88,967	$ 86,852	$ 896,707	2.1%	$ 889,765	2.1%
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Family	$ 156,797	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 358,359	0.8%	$ 366,408	0.8%
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ 275,521	$ 275,254	$ -	-	$ 393,746	0.9%	$ 392,291	0.9%
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ 33,430	$ 32,820	$ -	-	$ 309,371	0.7%	$ 300,598	0.7%
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ 335,493	$ 337,949	$ -	-	$ 335,493	0.8%	$ 337,949	0.8%
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ 316,852	$ 315,326	$ 193,050	$ 191,004	$ 906,802	2.1%	$ 902,721	2.1%
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ 618,873	$ 618,881	$ -	-	$ 1,208,195	2.8%	$ 1,211,414	2.8%
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ 224,823	0.5%	$ 221,166	0.5%

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,997,226	$ 53,152,146
A	B	C	D	E	W	X	Y	Z	AA
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (U-S)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (W/S)		FY14 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY14 Award Reflecting PBF/PEC with Set Aside $ Added Back (U + Z)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 2,330	0.7%		$ -	$ 331,562
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ (2,849)	-1.0%		$ -	$ 292,982
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ 14,786	2.6%		$ 72,679	$ 665,200
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ 2,917	1.8%		$ -	$ 162,447
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -			$ 45,000	$ 45,000
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -			$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ 9,708	0.3%		$ -	$ 3,314,922
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -			$ -	$ -
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ (1,824)	-0.5%		$ -	$ 363,369
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ (6,942)	-0.8%		$ -	$ 889,765
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Family	$ 156,797	$ -			$ 156,797	$ 156,797
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ 8,049	2.2%		$ -	$ 366,408
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ (1,455)	-0.4%		$ -	$ 392,291
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ (8,773)	-2.8%		$ -	$ 300,598
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ 2,456	0.7%		$ -	$ 337,949
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -			$ 123,689	$ 123,689
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ (4,081)	-0.5%		$ -	$ 902,721
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -			$ 100	$ 100
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -			$ 375,000	$ 375,000
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -			$ -	$ -
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ 3,219	0.3%		$ -	$ 1,211,414
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ (3,657)	-1.6%		$ -	$ 221,166

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 43,154,920	$ 6,260,569	$ 6,260,569	$ -	$ -	$ 11,392,414	$ 11,392,414	$ 5,977,570	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 Total $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 PES Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 Detox Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 Detox Adj $ Reflecting Staff Score	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SMI Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SED Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ 983,352	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ 2,376,838	$ 669,173	$ 665,779	$ -	-	$ 262,017	$ 256,506	$ 383,000	$ 375,822
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
				$ 53,152,146	$ 43,154,920	$ 6,260,569		$ -		$ 11,392,414		$ 5,977,570	

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	$ 17,812,177	$ 1,712,190	$ 1,712,190	$ 43,154,920	100.0%	$ 43,154,920	100.0%
A	B	C	D	E	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Adult Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2 & PEC	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY14 SA Yth Adj $ Reflecting PBF L1-L2	FY13 PBF Start Total $ (G+I+K+M+O+Q) (Same as F)	FY13 PBF Start % of Total $ (S / Total S)	FY14 PBF / PEC End Adj Total $ (H+J+L+N+P+R)	FY14 PBF / PEC End % of Total $ (U / Total U)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ -	$ -	$ 983,352	$ 990,584	$ 983,352	2.3%	$ 990,584	2.3%
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ 1,062,648	$ 1,049,800	$ -	-	$ 2,376,838	5.5%	$ 2,347,908	5.4%
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	-	$ -	-	$ -	-
				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177		$ 1,712,190		$ 43,154,920			

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.1: FY2014 CBHTR Competitive Funding Groups - Summary				$ 53,152,146	$ 0	0.0%		$ 9,997,226	$ 53,152,146
A	B	C	D	E	W	X	Y	Z	AA
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (U-S)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 Due to PBF / PEC (W/S)		FY14 Set Asisdes (Fixed $) to Add Back	FY14 Award Reflecting PBF/PEC with Set Aside $ Added Back (U + Z)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ 7,232	0.7%		$ -	$ 990,584
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -			$ 381,000	$ 381,000
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ (28,930)	-1.2%		$ 272,000	$ 2,619,908
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -			$ 200,000	$ 200,000
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -			$ -	$ -
				$ 53,152,146				$ 9,997,226	$ 53,152,146

$ (55,445)	-4.3%	Min
$ 70,080	3.5%	Max
$ 0	0.1%	Avg

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 6,260,569	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 31,911	$ 6,292,480	100.0%	$ 6,260,569
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 PES Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ 36,397	0.6%	0.50	0.75%	$ 273	$ 36,670	0.6%	$ 36,484
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ 200,634	3.2%	0.50	0.75%	$ 1,505	$ 202,139	3.2%	$ 201,114
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ 68,780	1.1%	1.00	1.50%	$ 1,032	$ 69,812	1.1%	$ 69,458
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ 61,784	1.0%	1.00	1.50%	$ 927	$ 62,711	1.0%	$ 62,393
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	**FY13-14 Grant Number**	**AKAIMS ID**	**Agency Name**	**FY13 (FY14) BaseAward**	**FY14 Change in % Distribution From FY13 (L-G)**	**FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (M-F)**	**FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (O/F)**
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	0.00%	$ 87	0.24%
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	0.01%	$ 480	0.24%
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	0.01%	$ 678	0.99%
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	0.01%	$ 609	0.99%
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 6,260,569	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 31,911	$ 6,292,480	100.0%	$ 6,260,569
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 PES Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ 47,469	0.8%	0.75	1.13%	$ 534	$ 48,003	0.8%	$ 47,760
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ 63,559	1.0%	0.50	0.75%	$ 477	$ 64,036	1.0%	$ 63,711
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ 43,733	0.7%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 43,733	0.7%	$ 43,511
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ 542,848	8.7%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 542,848	8.6%	$ 540,095
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change in % Distribution From FY13 (L-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (M-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (O/F)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	0.00%	$ 291	0.61%
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	0.00%	$ 152	0.24%
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	0.00%	$ (222)	-0.51%
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	-0.04%	$ (2,753)	-0.51%
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	-	-	-

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 6,260,569	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 31,911	$ 6,292,480	100.0%	$ 6,260,569
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 PES Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ 142,179	2.3%	1.00	1.50%	$ 2,133	$ 144,312	2.3%	$ 143,580
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ 57,700	0.9%	1.00	1.50%	$ 866	$ 58,566	0.9%	$ 58,268
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ 32,979	0.5%	1.00	1.50%	$ 495	$ 33,474	0.5%	$ 33,304
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ 294,186	4.7%	1.00	1.50%	$ 4,413	$ 298,599	4.7%	$ 297,084
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ 255,360	4.1%	0.50	0.75%	$ 1,915	$ 257,275	4.1%	$ 255,970
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ 566,942	9.1%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 566,942	9.0%	$ 564,067
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ 151,079	2.4%	1.00	1.50%	$ 2,266	$ 153,345	2.4%	$ 152,568
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ 195,087	3.1%	0.25	0.38%	$ 732	$ 195,819	3.1%	$ 194,826
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ 183,731	2.9%	1.00	1.50%	$ 2,756	$ 186,487	3.0%	$ 185,541
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ 812,700	13.0%	0.25	0.38%	$ 3,048	$ 815,748	13.0%	$ 811,611
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ 284,000	4.5%	0.25	0.38%	$ 1,065	$ 285,065	4.5%	$ 283,619
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 97,835	1.6%	0.25	0.38%	$ 367	$ 98,202	1.6%	$ 97,704

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change in % Distribution From FY13 (L-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (M-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (O/F)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	0.02%	$ 1,401	0.99%
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	0.01%	$ 568	0.99%
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	0.01%	$ 325	0.99%
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	0.05%	$ 2,898	0.99%
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	0.01%	$ 610	0.24%
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	-0.05%	$ (2,875)	-0.51%
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	0.02%	$ 1,489	0.99%
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	0.00%	$ (261)	-0.13%
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	0.03%	$ 1,810	0.99%
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-0.02%	$ (1,089)	-0.13%
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-0.01%	$ (381)	-0.13%
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	0.00%	$ (131)	-0.13%

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 6,260,569	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 31,911	$ 6,292,480	100.0%	$ 6,260,569
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 PES Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 93,184	1.5%	0.75	1.13%	$ 1,048	$ 94,232	1.5%	$ 93,754
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ 201,446	3.2%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 201,446	3.2%	$ 200,424
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ 55,279	0.9%	1.00	1.50%	$ 829	$ 56,108	0.9%	$ 55,824
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ 123,038	2.0%	1.00	1.50%	$ 1,846	$ 124,884	2.0%	$ 124,250
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ 185,000	3.0%	1.00	1.50%	$ 2,775	$ 187,775	3.0%	$ 186,823
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ 38,627	0.6%	1.00	1.50%	$ 579	$ 39,206	0.6%	$ 39,008
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ 75,753	1.2%	(0.75)	-1.13%	$ (852)	$ 74,901	1.2%	$ 74,521
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ 36,400	0.6%	0.50	0.75%	$ 273	$ 36,673	0.6%	$ 36,487
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ 418,864	6.7%	0.50	0.75%	$ 3,141	$ 422,005	6.7%	$ 419,865
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ 224,823	3.6%	(0.75)	-1.13%	$ (2,529)	$ 222,294	3.5%	$ 221,166

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY14 Change in % Distribution From FY13 (L-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (M-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (O/F)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	0.01%	$ 570	0.61%
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	-0.02%	$ (1,022)	-0.51%
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	0.01%	$ 545	0.99%
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	0.02%	$ 1,212	0.99%
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	0.03%	$ 1,823	0.99%
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	0.01%	$ 381	0.99%
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	-0.02%	$ (1,232)	-1.63%
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	0.00%	$ 87	0.24%
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	0.02%	$ 1,001	0.24%
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-0.06%	$ (3,657)	-1.63%

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 6,260,569	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 31,911	$ 6,292,480	100.0%	$ 6,260,569
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 PES Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 PES Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF Compliance - Staff Score PBF Points	PBF Staff Score PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	PES Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	PES Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	PES Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 PES Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ 669,173	10.7%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 669,173	10.6%	$ 665,779
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
					$ 6,260,569							

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.2: FY2014 PES Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P
DBH Region	**FY13-14 Grant Number**	**AKAIMS ID**	**Agency Name**	**FY13 (FY14) BaseAward**	**FY14 Change in % Distribution From FY13 (L-G)**	**FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (M-F)**	**FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (O/F)**
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	-0.05%	$ (3,394)	-0.51%
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-

-0.06%	$ (3,657)	-1.63%	Min
0.05%	$ 2,898	0.99%	Max
0.00%	$ 0	0.26%	Avg

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 11,392,414	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 404,373	$ 11,796,787	100.0%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ 513,914	4.5%	2.50	3.75%	$ 19,272	$ 533,186	4.5%	$ 514,909
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ 216,069	1.9%	2.50	3.75%	$ 8,103	$ 224,172	1.9%	$ 216,487
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ 46,466	0.4%	2.00	3.00%	$ 1,394	$ 47,860	0.4%	$ 46,219
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ 88,178	0.8%	2.00	3.00%	$ 2,645	$ 90,823	0.8%	$ 87,710
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ 3,028,525	26.6%	3.50	5.25%	$ 158,998	$ 3,187,523	27.0%	$ 3,078,260
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ 227,229	2.0%	1.75	2.63%	$ 5,965	$ 233,194	2.0%	$ 225,200
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ 787,707	6.9%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 787,707	6.7%	$ 760,706
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ 288,196	2.5%	2.75	4.13%	$ 11,888	$ 300,084	2.5%	$ 289,798
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 199,689	$ 11,592,103	100.0%	$ 11,392,414	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	1.50	1.5%	$ 7,724	$ 522,633	4.5%	$ 513,630	0.00%	$ (284)	-0.06%	
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	0.00	0.0%	$ -	$ 216,487	1.9%	$ 212,758	-0.03%	$ (3,311)	-1.53%	
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	1.00	1.0%	$ 462	$ 46,682	0.4%	$ 45,877	-0.01%	$ (589)	-1.27%	
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	3.00	3.0%	$ 2,631	$ 90,341	0.8%	$ 88,785	0.01%	$ 607	0.69%	
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	1.00	1.0%	$ 30,783	$ 3,109,042	26.8%	$ 3,055,485	0.24%	$ 26,960	0.89%	
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	1.75	1.8%	$ 3,941	$ 229,141	2.0%	$ 225,194	-0.02%	$ (2,035)	-0.90%	
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	1.50	1.5%	$ 11,411	$ 772,116	6.7%	$ 758,816	-0.25%	$ (28,891)	-3.67%	
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	3.00	3.0%	$ 8,694	$ 298,492	2.6%	$ 293,350	0.05%	$ 5,154	1.79%	
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 29,170	$ 5,119,033	100.0%	$ 5,089,864	$ 5,089,864	$ 0	0.00%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SMI PEC Points	SMI PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SMI PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SMI PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SMI PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SMI Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SMI Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SMI PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 513,630
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 212,758
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 45,877
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 88,785
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	0.50	0.8%	$ 22,916	$ 3,078,401	60.1%	$ 3,055,485	$ 3,060,860	$ 5,374	0.18%	$ 3,060,860
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	(1.00)	-1.5%	$ (3,378)	$ 221,816	4.3%	$ 225,194	$ 220,552	$ (4,642)	-2.06%	$ 220,552
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	0.25	0.4%	$ 2,846	$ 761,661	14.9%	$ 758,816	$ 757,321	$ (1,495)	-0.20%	$ 757,321
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 293,350
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 11,392,414	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 404,373	$ 11,796,787	100.0%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ 59,336	0.5%	2.00	3.00%	$ 1,780	$ 61,116	0.5%	$ 59,021
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ 89,573	0.8%	2.25	3.38%	$ 3,023	$ 92,596	0.8%	$ 89,422
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ 98,906	0.9%	3.25	4.88%	$ 4,822	$ 103,728	0.9%	$ 100,172
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ 29,155	0.3%	1.50	2.25%	$ 656	$ 29,811	0.3%	$ 28,789
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ 1,486,956	13.1%	1.00	1.50%	$ 22,304	$ 1,509,260	12.8%	$ 1,457,526
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 199,689	$ 11,592,103	100.0%	$ 11,392,414	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	0.50	0.5%	$ 295	$ 59,316	0.5%	$ 58,294	-0.01%	$ (1,042)	-1.76%	
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	3.00	3.0%	$ 2,683	$ 92,105	0.8%	$ 90,518	0.01%	$ 945	1.06%	
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	2.75	2.8%	$ 2,755	$ 102,927	0.9%	$ 101,154	0.02%	$ 2,248	2.27%	
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	4.00	4.0%	$ 1,152	$ 29,941	0.3%	$ 29,425	0.00%	$ 270	0.93%	
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	1.50	1.5%	$ 21,863	$ 1,479,388	12.8%	$ 1,453,904	-0.29%	$ (33,052)	-2.22%	
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 29,170	$ 5,119,033	100.0%	$ 5,089,864	$ 5,089,864	$ 0	0.00%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SMI PEC Points	SMI PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SMI PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SMI PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SMI PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SMI Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SMI Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SMI PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 58,294
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 90,518
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	0.50	0.8%	$ 759	$ 101,912	2.0%	$ 101,154	$ 101,332	$ 178	0.18%	$ 101,332
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 29,425
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 1,453,904
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 11,392,414	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 404,373	$ 11,796,787	100.0%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ 1,155,893	10.1%	3.50	5.25%	$ 60,684	$ 1,216,577	10.3%	$ 1,174,875
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ 15,699	0.1%	1.75	2.63%	$ 412	$ 16,111	0.1%	$ 15,559
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ 91,702	0.8%	4.00	6.00%	$ 5,502	$ 97,204	0.8%	$ 93,872
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ 70,000	0.6%	1.75	2.63%	$ 1,838	$ 71,838	0.6%	$ 69,375
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ 887,323	7.8%	2.50	3.75%	$ 33,275	$ 920,598	7.8%	$ 889,041
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ 47,817	0.4%	(0.25)	-0.38%	$ (179)	$ 47,638	0.4%	$ 46,005
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ 33,882	0.3%	1.75	2.63%	$ 889	$ 34,771	0.3%	$ 33,579
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ 200,109	1.8%	1.00	1.50%	$ 3,002	$ 203,111	1.7%	$ 196,148
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ 31,088	0.3%	3.00	4.50%	$ 1,399	$ 32,487	0.3%	$ 31,373
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 413,730	3.6%	2.25	3.38%	$ 13,963	$ 427,693	3.6%	$ 413,033

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 199,689	$ 11,592,103	100.0%	$ 11,392,414	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	2.50	2.5%	$ 29,372	$ 1,204,247	10.4%	$ 1,183,502	0.24%	$ 27,609	2.39%	
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	0.50	0.5%	$ 78	$ 15,637	0.1%	$ 15,367	0.00%	$ (332)	-2.11%	
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	3.50	3.5%	$ 3,286	$ 97,158	0.8%	$ 95,484	0.03%	$ 3,782	4.12%	
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	3.00	3.0%	$ 2,081	$ 71,456	0.6%	$ 70,225	0.00%	$ 225	0.32%	
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	2.50	2.5%	$ 22,226	$ 911,267	7.9%	$ 895,569	0.07%	$ 8,246	0.93%	
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	0.50	0.5%	$ 230	$ 46,235	0.4%	$ 45,438	-0.02%	$ (2,379)	-4.97%	
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	0.00	0.0%	$ -	$ 33,579	0.3%	$ 33,001	-0.01%	$ (881)	-2.60%	
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	1.00	1.0%	$ 1,961	$ 198,110	1.7%	$ 194,697	-0.05%	$ (5,412)	-2.70%	
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	3.00	3.0%	$ 941	$ 32,315	0.3%	$ 31,758	0.01%	$ 670	2.15%	
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	3.00	3.0%	$ 12,391	$ 425,424	3.7%	$ 418,095	0.04%	$ 4,365	1.06%	

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 29,170	$ 5,119,033	100.0%	$ 5,089,864	$ 5,089,864	$ 0	0.00%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SMI PEC Points	SMI PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SMI PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SMI PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SMI PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SMI Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SMI Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SMI PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 1,183,502
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	(0.50)	-0.8%	$ (115)	$ 15,252	0.3%	$ 15,367	$ 15,165	$ (202)	-1.32%	$ 15,165
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 95,484
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 70,225
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	0.50	0.8%	$ 6,717	$ 902,286	17.6%	$ 895,569	$ 897,145	$ 1,575	0.18%	$ 897,145
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 45,438
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 33,001
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 194,697
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 31,758
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 418,095

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 11,392,414	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 404,373	$ 11,796,787	100.0%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 67,954	0.6%	2.25	3.38%	$ 2,293	$ 70,247	0.6%	$ 67,839
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ 146,437	1.3%	2.75	4.13%	$ 6,041	$ 152,478	1.3%	$ 147,251
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ 105,894	0.9%	2.00	3.00%	$ 3,177	$ 109,071	0.9%	$ 105,332
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ 163,359	1.4%	4.00	6.00%	$ 9,802	$ 173,161	1.5%	$ 167,225
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ 38,629	0.3%	2.25	3.38%	$ 1,304	$ 39,933	0.3%	$ 38,564
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ 169,713	1.5%	0.50	0.75%	$ 1,273	$ 170,986	1.4%	$ 165,125
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ 360,500	3.2%	1.75	2.63%	$ 9,463	$ 369,963	3.1%	$ 357,281
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ 170,458	1.5%	1.75	2.63%	$ 4,475	$ 174,933	1.5%	$ 168,936
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 199,689	$ 11,592,103	100.0%	$ 11,392,414	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	4.00	4.0%	$ 2,714	$ 70,553	0.6%	$ 69,338	0.01%	$ 1,384	2.04%	
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	1.50	1.5%	$ 2,209	$ 149,460	1.3%	$ 146,885	0.00%	$ 448	0.31%	
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	1.75	1.8%	$ 1,843	$ 107,175	0.9%	$ 105,329	0.00%	$ (565)	-0.53%	
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	3.00	3.0%	$ 5,017	$ 172,242	1.5%	$ 169,275	0.05%	$ 5,916	3.62%	
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	1.00	1.0%	$ 386	$ 38,950	0.3%	$ 38,279	0.00%	$ (350)	-0.91%	
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	1.00	1.0%	$ 1,651	$ 166,776	1.4%	$ 163,903	-0.05%	$ (5,810)	-3.42%	
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	2.50	2.5%	$ 8,932	$ 366,213	3.2%	$ 359,905	-0.01%	$ (595)	-0.17%	
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	4.00	4.0%	$ 6,757	$ 175,694	1.5%	$ 172,667	0.02%	$ 2,209	1.30%	
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 29,170	$ 5,119,033	100.0%	$ 5,089,864	$ 5,089,864	$ 0	0.00%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SMI PEC Points	SMI PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SMI PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SMI PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SMI PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SMI Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SMI Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SMI PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 69,338
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 146,885
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 105,329
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 169,275
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	(1.00)	-1.5%	$ (574)	$ 37,704	0.7%	$ 38,279	$ 37,490	$ (789)	-2.06%	$ 37,490
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 163,903
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 359,905
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 172,667
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 11,392,414	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 404,373	$ 11,796,787	100.0%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SMI Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SMI Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SMI L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SMI L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SMI L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SMI L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ 262,017	2.3%	1.25	1.88%	$ 4,913	$ 266,930	2.3%	$ 257,780
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
					$ 11,392,414							

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 199,689	$ 11,592,103	100.0%	$ 11,392,414	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SMI L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SMI L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SMI L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SMI L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	1.25	1.3%	$ 3,222	$ 261,002	2.3%	$ 256,506	-0.05%	$ (5,511)	-2.10%	
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

-0.29%	$ (33,052)	-4.97%	Min
0.24%	$ 27,609	4.12%	Max
0.00%	$ 0	-0.16%	Avg

Attachment A.3: FY2014 SMI Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 29,170	$ 5,119,033	100.0%	$ 5,089,864	$ 5,089,864	$ 0	0.00%	$ 11,392,414
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SMI PEC Points	SMI PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SMI PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SMI PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SMI PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SMI L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SMI PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SMI Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SMI Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SMI PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 256,506
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 5,977,570	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 200,377	$ 6,177,947	100.0%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ 78,873	1.3%	2.50	3.75%	$ 2,958	$ 81,831	1.3%	$ 79,177
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ 144,839	2.4%	1.75	2.63%	$ 3,802	$ 148,641	2.4%	$ 143,820
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ 37,846	0.6%	2.00	3.00%	$ 1,135	$ 38,981	0.6%	$ 37,717
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ 41,584	0.7%	2.00	3.00%	$ 1,248	$ 42,832	0.7%	$ 41,442
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ 1,306,873	21.9%	3.50	5.25%	$ 68,611	$ 1,375,484	22.3%	$ 1,330,871
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ 74,148	1.2%	1.75	2.63%	$ 1,946	$ 76,094	1.2%	$ 73,626
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ 198,182	3.3%	2.75	4.13%	$ 8,175	$ 206,357	3.3%	$ 199,664
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 87,147	$ 6,064,717	100.0%	$ 5,977,570	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	2.50	2.5%	$ 1,979	$ 81,156	1.3%	$ 79,990	0.02%	$ 1,117	1.42%	
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	2.50	2.5%	$ 3,595	$ 147,415	2.4%	$ 145,297	0.01%	$ 458	0.32%	
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	3.00	3.0%	$ 1,132	$ 38,849	0.6%	$ 38,290	0.01%	$ 444	1.17%	
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	(1.00)	-1.0%	$ (414)	$ 41,028	0.7%	$ 40,438	-0.02%	$ (1,146)	-2.76%	
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	2.00	2.0%	$ 26,617	$ 1,357,488	22.4%	$ 1,337,982	0.52%	$ 31,109	2.38%	
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	2.00	2.0%	$ 1,473	$ 75,099	1.2%	$ 74,020	0.00%	$ (128)	-0.17%	
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	0.50	0.5%	$ 998	$ 200,662	3.3%	$ 197,779	-0.01%	$ (403)	-0.20%	
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 8,840	$ 3,506,293	100.0%	$ 3,497,453	$ 3,497,453	$ 0	0.00%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SED PEC Points	SED PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SED PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SED PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SED PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SED Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SED Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SED PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 79,990
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	1.00	1.5%	$ 2,179	$ 147,477	4.2%	$ 145,297	$ 147,105	$ 1,808	1.24%	$ 147,105
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 38,290
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 40,438
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	0.50	0.8%	$ 10,035	$ 1,348,017	38.4%	$ 1,337,982	$ 1,344,618	$ 6,636	0.50%	$ 1,344,618
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	0.25	0.4%	$ 278	$ 74,297	2.1%	$ 74,020	$ 74,110	$ 90	0.12%	$ 74,110
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 197,779
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 5,977,570	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 200,377	$ 6,177,947	100.0%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ 174,840	2.9%	2.00	3.00%	$ 5,245	$ 180,085	2.9%	$ 174,244
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ 47,470	0.8%	2.00	3.00%	$ 1,424	$ 48,894	0.8%	$ 47,308
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ 105,403	1.8%	2.25	3.38%	$ 3,557	$ 108,960	1.8%	$ 105,426
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ 106,101	1.8%	3.25	4.88%	$ 5,172	$ 111,273	1.8%	$ 107,664
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ 58,310	1.0%	1.50	2.25%	$ 1,312	$ 59,622	1.0%	$ 57,688
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ 566,135	9.5%	1.00	1.50%	$ 8,492	$ 574,627	9.3%	$ 555,989
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ 562,672	9.4%	0.75	1.13%	$ 6,330	$ 569,002	9.2%	$ 550,547
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 87,147	$ 6,064,717	100.0%	$ 5,977,570	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	2.00	2.0%	$ 3,485	$ 177,729	2.9%	$ 175,175	0.01%	$ 335	0.19%	
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	2.00	2.0%	$ 946	$ 48,254	0.8%	$ 47,561	0.00%	$ 91	0.19%	
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	2.00	2.0%	$ 2,109	$ 107,535	1.8%	$ 105,990	0.01%	$ 587	0.56%	
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	1.50	1.5%	$ 1,615	$ 109,279	1.8%	$ 107,709	0.03%	$ 1,608	1.52%	
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	1.50	1.5%	$ 865	$ 58,554	1.0%	$ 57,712	-0.01%	$ (598)	-1.03%	
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	1.50	1.5%	$ 8,340	$ 564,329	9.3%	$ 556,220	-0.17%	$ (9,915)	-1.75%	
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	2.50	2.5%	$ 13,764	$ 564,311	9.3%	$ 556,202	-0.11%	$ (6,470)	-1.15%	
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 8,840	$ 3,506,293	100.0%	$ 3,497,453	$ 3,497,453	$ 0	0.00%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SED PEC Points	SED PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SED PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SED PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SED PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SED Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SED Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SED PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 175,175
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 47,561
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 105,990
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	0.25	0.4%	$ 404	$ 108,113	3.1%	$ 107,709	$ 107,840	$ 131	0.12%	$ 107,840
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 57,712
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	(1.00)	-1.5%	$ (8,343)	$ 547,877	15.6%	$ 556,220	$ 546,496	$ (9,725)	-1.75%	$ 546,496
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	(0.50)	-0.8%	$ (4,172)	$ 552,030	15.7%	$ 556,202	$ 550,638	$ (5,563)	-1.00%	$ 550,638
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 5,977,570	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 200,377	$ 6,177,947	100.0%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ 75,376	1.3%	3.75	5.63%	$ 4,240	$ 79,616	1.3%	$ 77,034
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ 708,087	11.8%	2.50	3.75%	$ 26,553	$ 734,640	11.9%	$ 710,813
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ 14,593	0.2%	3.00	4.50%	$ 657	$ 15,250	0.2%	$ 14,755
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ 25,010	0.4%	1.75	2.63%	$ 657	$ 25,667	0.4%	$ 24,834
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ 54,341	0.9%	4.00	6.00%	$ 3,260	$ 57,601	0.9%	$ 55,733
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ 70,386	1.2%	1.75	2.63%	$ 1,848	$ 72,234	1.2%	$ 69,891
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ 559,412	9.4%	2.50	3.75%	$ 20,978	$ 580,390	9.4%	$ 561,565
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ 11,238	0.2%	(0.25)	-0.38%	$ (42)	$ 11,196	0.2%	$ 10,833
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ 33,881	0.6%	1.75	2.63%	$ 889	$ 34,770	0.6%	$ 33,643
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ 133,460	2.2%	1.00	1.50%	$ 2,002	$ 135,462	2.2%	$ 131,068
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ 14,696	0.2%	3.00	4.50%	$ 661	$ 15,357	0.2%	$ 14,859
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 119,146	2.0%	2.25	3.38%	$ 4,021	$ 123,167	2.0%	$ 119,172

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 87,147	$ 6,064,717	100.0%	$ 5,977,570	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	2.50	2.5%	$ 1,926	$ 78,959	1.3%	$ 77,825	0.04%	$ 2,449	3.25%	
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	(0.25)	-0.3%	$ (1,777)	$ 709,036	11.7%	$ 698,847	-0.15%	$ (9,240)	-1.30%	
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	2.75	2.8%	$ 406	$ 15,161	0.2%	$ 14,943	0.01%	$ 350	2.40%	
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	2.00	2.0%	$ 497	$ 25,331	0.4%	$ 24,967	0.00%	$ (43)	-0.17%	
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	3.00	3.0%	$ 1,672	$ 57,405	0.9%	$ 56,580	0.04%	$ 2,239	4.12%	
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	1.75	1.8%	$ 1,223	$ 71,114	1.2%	$ 70,092	0.00%	$ (294)	-0.42%	
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	1.50	1.5%	$ 8,423	$ 569,989	9.4%	$ 561,798	0.04%	$ 2,386	0.43%	
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	(2.00)	-2.0%	$ (217)	$ 10,616	0.2%	$ 10,464	-0.01%	$ (774)	-6.89%	
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	(0.50)	-0.5%	$ (168)	$ 33,474	0.6%	$ 32,993	-0.01%	$ (888)	-2.62%	
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	0.00	0.0%	$ -	$ 131,068	2.2%	$ 129,185	-0.07%	$ (4,275)	-3.20%	
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	3.00	3.0%	$ 446	$ 15,305	0.3%	$ 15,085	0.01%	$ 389	2.65%	
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	1.25	1.3%	$ 1,490	$ 120,662	2.0%	$ 118,928	0.00%	$ (218)	-0.18%	

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 8,840	$ 3,506,293	100.0%	$ 3,497,453	$ 3,497,453	$ 0	0.00%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SED PEC Points	SED PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SED PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SED PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SED PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SED Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SED Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SED PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	0.25	0.4%	$ 292	$ 78,117	2.2%	$ 77,825	$ 77,920	$ 95	0.12%	$ 77,920
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 698,847
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	(1.00)	-1.5%	$ (224)	$ 14,719	0.4%	$ 14,943	$ 14,682	$ (261)	-1.75%	$ 14,682
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	(0.50)	-0.8%	$ (187)	$ 24,779	0.7%	$ 24,967	$ 24,717	$ (250)	-1.00%	$ 24,717
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 56,580
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 70,092
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	1.00	1.5%	$ 8,427	$ 570,225	16.3%	$ 561,798	$ 568,788	$ 6,989	1.24%	$ 568,788
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 10,464
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 32,993
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 129,185
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 15,085
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 118,928

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 5,977,570	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 200,377	$ 6,177,947	100.0%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 38,864	0.7%	2.25	3.38%	$ 1,312	$ 40,176	0.7%	$ 38,873
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ 151,360	2.5%	2.00	3.00%	$ 4,541	$ 155,901	2.5%	$ 150,844
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ 10,000	0.2%	4.00	6.00%	$ 600	$ 10,600	0.2%	$ 10,256
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ 40,969	0.7%	2.25	3.38%	$ 1,383	$ 42,352	0.7%	$ 40,978
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ 30,475	0.5%	0.50	0.75%	$ 229	$ 30,704	0.5%	$ 29,708
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 87,147	$ 6,064,717	100.0%	$ 5,977,570	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	1.75	1.8%	$ 680	$ 39,553	0.7%	$ 38,985	0.00%	$ 121	0.31%	
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	1.25	1.3%	$ 1,886	$ 152,730	2.5%	$ 150,535	-0.01%	$ (825)	-0.54%	
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	2.00	2.0%	$ 205	$ 10,461	0.2%	$ 10,311	0.01%	$ 311	3.11%	
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	0.25	0.3%	$ 102	$ 41,081	0.7%	$ 40,490	-0.01%	$ (479)	-1.17%	
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	0.25	0.3%	$ 74	$ 29,782	0.5%	$ 29,354	-0.02%	$ (1,121)	-3.68%	
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 8,840	$ 3,506,293	100.0%	$ 3,497,453	$ 3,497,453	$ 0	0.00%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SED PEC Points	SED PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SED PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SED PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SED PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SED Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SED Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SED PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 38,985
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 150,535
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 10,311
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	0.25	0.4%	$ 152	$ 40,642	1.2%	$ 40,490	$ 40,540	$ 49	0.12%	$ 40,540
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 29,354
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 5,977,570	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 200,377	$ 6,177,947	100.0%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SED Group $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SED Group % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SED L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SED L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SED L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SED L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SED L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ 383,000	6.4%	1.25	1.88%	$ 7,181	$ 390,181	6.3%	$ 377,526
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
					$ 5,977,570							

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 87,147	$ 6,064,717	100.0%	$ 5,977,570	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SED L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SED L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SED L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SED L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	1.00	1.0%	$ 3,775	$ 381,301	6.3%	$ 375,822	-0.12%	$ (7,178)	-1.87%	
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

-0.17%	$ (9,915)	-6.89%	Min
0.52%	$ 31,109	4.12%	Max
0.00%	$ 0	-0.16%	Avg

Attachment A.4: FY2014 SED Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 8,840	$ 3,506,293	100.0%	$ 3,497,453	$ 3,497,453	$ 0	0.00%	$ 5,977,570
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SED PEC Points	SED PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SED PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SED PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SED PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SED L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SED PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SED Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SED Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SED PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 375,822
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 674,407	$ 18,486,584	100.0%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ 681,225	3.8%	3.00	4.50%	$ 30,655	$ 711,880	3.9%	$ 685,910
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ 599,555	3.4%	3.00	4.50%	$ 26,980	$ 626,535	3.4%	$ 603,678
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ 336,928	1.9%	2.50	3.75%	$ 12,635	$ 349,563	1.9%	$ 336,810
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ 100,000	0.6%	2.00	3.00%	$ 3,000	$ 103,000	0.6%	$ 99,242
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ 878,829	4.9%	3.50	5.25%	$ 46,139	$ 924,968	5.0%	$ 891,224
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ 189,060	1.1%	2.50	3.75%	$ 7,090	$ 196,150	1.1%	$ 188,994
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ 132,724	0.7%	2.00	3.00%	$ 3,982	$ 136,706	0.7%	$ 131,719
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ 104,105	0.6%	2.00	3.00%	$ 3,123	$ 107,228	0.6%	$ 103,316
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ 41,253	0.2%	1.75	2.63%	$ 1,083	$ 42,336	0.2%	$ 40,791
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ 389,500	2.2%	2.75	4.13%	$ 16,067	$ 405,567	2.2%	$ 390,771
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 416,914	$ 18,229,091	100.0%	$ 17,812,177	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	3.00	3.0%	$ 20,577	$ 706,487	3.9%	$ 690,330	0.05%	$ 9,105	1.34%	
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	3.00	3.0%	$ 18,110	$ 621,789	3.4%	$ 607,568	0.04%	$ 8,013	1.34%	
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	2.00	2.0%	$ 6,736	$ 343,547	1.9%	$ 335,689	-0.01%	$ (1,239)	-0.37%	
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	2.00	2.0%	$ 1,985	$ 101,227	0.6%	$ 98,912	-0.01%	$ (1,088)	-1.09%	
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	1.00	1.0%	$ 8,912	$ 900,136	4.9%	$ 879,549	0.00%	$ 720	0.08%	
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	3.00	3.0%	$ 5,670	$ 194,664	1.1%	$ 190,212	0.01%	$ 1,152	0.61%	
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	0.75	0.8%	$ 988	$ 132,706	0.7%	$ 129,671	-0.02%	$ (3,053)	-2.30%	
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	2.75	2.8%	$ 2,841	$ 106,158	0.6%	$ 103,730	0.00%	$ (375)	-0.36%	
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	3.00	3.0%	$ 1,224	$ 42,015	0.2%	$ 41,054	0.00%	$ (199)	-0.48%	
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	3.00	3.0%	$ 11,723	$ 402,495	2.2%	$ 393,289	0.02%	$ 3,789	0.97%	
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 5,758	$ 7,833,208	100.0%	$ 7,827,450	$ 7,827,450	$ (0)	0.00%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SA Adult PEC Points	SA Adult PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SA Adult PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SA Adult PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SA Adult PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SA Adult PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	0.25	0.4%	$ 2,589	$ 692,918	8.8%	$ 690,330	$ 692,409	$ 2,079	0.30%	$ 692,409
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	0.50	0.8%	$ 4,557	$ 612,125	7.8%	$ 607,568	$ 611,675	$ 4,107	0.68%	$ 611,675
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 335,689
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 98,912
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 879,549
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	0.50	0.8%	$ 1,427	$ 191,638	2.4%	$ 190,212	$ 191,497	$ 1,286	0.68%	$ 191,497
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 129,671
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 103,730
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	0.50	0.8%	$ 308	$ 41,362	0.5%	$ 41,054	$ 41,332	$ 278	0.68%	$ 41,332
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 393,289
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 674,407	$ 18,486,584	100.0%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ 87,807	0.5%	3.75	5.63%	$ 4,939	$ 92,746	0.5%	$ 89,363
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ 249,998	1.4%	3.75	5.63%	$ 14,062	$ 264,060	1.4%	$ 254,427
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ 468,711	2.6%	3.75	5.63%	$ 26,365	$ 495,076	2.7%	$ 477,015
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ 457,358	2.6%	4.00	6.00%	$ 27,441	$ 484,799	2.6%	$ 467,114
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ 83,069	0.5%	2.00	3.00%	$ 2,492	$ 85,561	0.5%	$ 82,440
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ 99,070	0.6%	2.25	3.38%	$ 3,344	$ 102,414	0.6%	$ 98,677
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ 160,353	0.9%	1.50	2.25%	$ 3,608	$ 163,961	0.9%	$ 157,980
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ 1,420,976	8.0%	2.50	3.75%	$ 53,287	$ 1,474,263	8.0%	$ 1,420,480
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ 337,962	1.9%	3.50	5.25%	$ 17,743	$ 355,705	1.9%	$ 342,729

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 416,914	$ 18,229,091	100.0%	$ 17,812,177	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	4.00	4.0%	$ 3,575	$ 92,937	0.5%	$ 90,812	0.02%	$ 3,005	3.42%	
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	4.00	4.0%	$ 10,177	$ 264,604	1.5%	$ 258,553	0.05%	$ 8,555	3.42%	
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	3.00	3.0%	$ 14,310	$ 491,326	2.7%	$ 480,089	0.06%	$ 11,378	2.43%	
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	3.00	3.0%	$ 14,013	$ 481,127	2.6%	$ 470,123	0.07%	$ 12,765	2.79%	
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	2.25	2.3%	$ 1,855	$ 84,295	0.5%	$ 82,367	0.00%	$ (702)	-0.85%	
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	2.00	2.0%	$ 1,974	$ 100,651	0.6%	$ 98,349	0.00%	$ (721)	-0.73%	
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	(2.00)	-2.0%	$ (3,160)	$ 154,820	0.8%	$ 151,279	-0.05%	$ (9,074)	-5.66%	
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	1.00	1.0%	$ 14,205	$ 1,434,685	7.9%	$ 1,401,873	-0.11%	$ (19,103)	-1.34%	
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	2.50	2.5%	$ 8,568	$ 351,297	1.9%	$ 343,262	0.03%	$ 5,300	1.57%	

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 5,758	$ 7,833,208	100.0%	$ 7,827,450	$ 7,827,450	$ (0)	0.00%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SA Adult PEC Points	SA Adult PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SA Adult PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SA Adult PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SA Adult PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SA Adult PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 90,812
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 258,553
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	0.25	0.4%	$ 1,800	$ 481,889	6.2%	$ 480,089	$ 481,535	$ 1,446	0.30%	$ 481,535
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	0.50	0.8%	$ 3,526	$ 473,649	6.0%	$ 470,123	$ 473,301	$ 3,178	0.68%	$ 473,301
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 82,367
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 98,349
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 151,279
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	(1.00)	-1.5%	$ (21,028)	$ 1,380,845	17.6%	$ 1,401,873	$ 1,379,830	$ (22,043)	-1.57%	$ 1,379,830
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	0.50	0.8%	$ 2,574	$ 345,837	4.4%	$ 343,262	$ 345,583	$ 2,320	0.68%	$ 345,583

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 674,407	$ 18,486,584	100.0%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ 222,015	1.2%	2.75	4.13%	$ 9,158	$ 231,173	1.3%	$ 222,740
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ 21,581	0.1%	1.75	2.63%	$ 567	$ 22,148	0.1%	$ 21,340
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ 14,734	0.1%	0.00	0.00%	$ -	$ 14,734	0.1%	$ 14,196
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ 83,687	0.5%	4.00	6.00%	$ 5,021	$ 88,708	0.5%	$ 85,472
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ 929,098	5.2%	1.75	2.63%	$ 24,389	$ 953,487	5.2%	$ 918,703
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ 950,301	5.3%	2.50	3.75%	$ 35,636	$ 985,937	5.3%	$ 949,969
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ 151,289	0.8%	(0.25)	-0.38%	$ (567)	$ 150,722	0.8%	$ 145,223
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ 968,650	5.4%	1.75	2.63%	$ 25,427	$ 994,077	5.4%	$ 957,812
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ 123,983	0.7%	3.00	4.50%	$ 5,579	$ 129,562	0.7%	$ 124,836
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 73,092	0.4%	2.25	3.38%	$ 2,467	$ 75,559	0.4%	$ 72,802

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 416,914	$ 18,229,091	100.0%	$ 17,812,177	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	2.00	2.0%	$ 4,455	$ 227,195	1.2%	$ 221,998	0.00%	$ (17)	-0.01%	
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	(2.00)	-2.0%	$ (427)	$ 20,913	0.1%	$ 20,434	-0.01%	$ (1,147)	-5.31%	
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	2.50	2.5%	$ 355	$ 14,551	0.1%	$ 14,219	0.00%	$ (515)	-3.50%	
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	3.00	3.0%	$ 2,564	$ 88,036	0.5%	$ 86,023	0.01%	$ 2,336	2.79%	
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	3.00	3.0%	$ 27,561	$ 946,264	5.2%	$ 924,622	-0.03%	$ (4,476)	-0.48%	
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	1.00	1.0%	$ 9,500	$ 959,469	5.3%	$ 937,525	-0.07%	$ (12,776)	-1.34%	
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	1.75	1.8%	$ 2,541	$ 147,765	0.8%	$ 144,385	-0.04%	$ (6,904)	-4.56%	
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	3.00	3.0%	$ 28,734	$ 986,547	5.4%	$ 963,984	-0.03%	$ (4,666)	-0.48%	
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	3.00	3.0%	$ 3,745	$ 128,581	0.7%	$ 125,640	0.01%	$ 1,657	1.34%	
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	2.75	2.8%	$ 2,002	$ 74,804	0.4%	$ 73,094	0.00%	$ 2	0.00%	

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 5,758	$ 7,833,208	100.0%	$ 7,827,450	$ 7,827,450	$ (0)	0.00%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SA Adult PEC Points	SA Adult PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SA Adult PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SA Adult PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SA Adult PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SA Adult PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 221,998
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	(1.00)	-1.5%	$ (307)	$ 20,128	0.3%	$ 20,434	$ 20,113	$ (321)	-1.57%	$ 20,113
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 14,219
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 86,023
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 924,622
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 937,525
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 144,385
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 963,984
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 125,640
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 73,094

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 674,407	$ 18,486,584	100.0%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 84,658	0.5%	2.25	3.38%	$ 2,857	$ 87,515	0.5%	$ 84,323
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ 94,385	0.5%	0.75	1.13%	$ 1,062	$ 95,447	0.5%	$ 91,965
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ 577,735	3.2%	3.50	5.25%	$ 30,331	$ 608,066	3.3%	$ 585,883
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ 159,530	0.9%	3.00	4.50%	$ 7,179	$ 166,709	0.9%	$ 160,627
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ 3,305,214	18.6%	2.75	4.13%	$ 136,340	$ 3,441,554	18.6%	$ 3,316,003
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ 163,477	0.9%	2.75	4.13%	$ 6,743	$ 170,220	0.9%	$ 164,011
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ 427,448	2.4%	2.00	3.00%	$ 12,823	$ 440,271	2.4%	$ 424,210
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ 275,521	1.5%	2.25	3.38%	$ 9,299	$ 284,820	1.5%	$ 274,429
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ 33,430	0.2%	0.50	0.75%	$ 251	$ 33,681	0.2%	$ 32,452
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ 335,493	1.9%	2.25	3.38%	$ 11,323	$ 346,816	1.9%	$ 334,164
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ 316,852	1.8%	1.75	2.63%	$ 8,317	$ 325,169	1.8%	$ 313,307
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ 618,873	3.5%	1.75	2.63%	$ 16,245	$ 635,118	3.4%	$ 611,949
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 416,914	$ 18,229,091	100.0%	$ 17,812,177	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	3.50	3.5%	$ 2,951	$ 87,274	0.5%	$ 85,278	0.00%	$ 620	0.73%	
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	3.00	3.0%	$ 2,759	$ 94,724	0.5%	$ 92,557	-0.01%	$ (1,828)	-1.94%	
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	3.50	3.5%	$ 20,506	$ 606,389	3.3%	$ 592,521	0.08%	$ 14,786	2.56%	
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	3.50	3.5%	$ 5,622	$ 166,249	0.9%	$ 162,447	0.02%	$ 2,917	1.83%	
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	2.00	2.0%	$ 66,320	$ 3,382,323	18.6%	$ 3,304,967	0.00%	$ (247)	-0.01%	
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	0.25	0.3%	$ 410	$ 164,421	0.9%	$ 160,660	-0.02%	$ (2,817)	-1.72%	
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	2.00	2.0%	$ 8,484	$ 432,694	2.4%	$ 422,798	-0.03%	$ (4,650)	-1.09%	
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	3.50	3.5%	$ 9,605	$ 284,034	1.6%	$ 277,538	0.01%	$ 2,017	0.73%	
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	3.50	3.5%	$ 1,136	$ 33,588	0.2%	$ 32,820	0.00%	$ (610)	-1.83%	
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	3.50	3.5%	$ 11,696	$ 345,859	1.9%	$ 337,949	0.01%	$ 2,456	0.73%	
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	3.00	3.0%	$ 9,399	$ 322,706	1.8%	$ 315,326	-0.01%	$ (1,526)	-0.48%	
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	3.50	3.5%	$ 21,418	$ 633,367	3.5%	$ 618,881	0.00%	$ 8	0.00%	
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 5,758	$ 7,833,208	100.0%	$ 7,827,450	$ 7,827,450	$ (0)	0.00%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SA Adult PEC Points	SA Adult PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SA Adult PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SA Adult PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SA Adult PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SA Adult PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 85,278
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 92,557
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 592,521
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 162,447
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	0.25	0.4%	$ 12,394	$ 3,317,360	42.3%	$ 3,304,967	$ 3,314,922	$ 9,955	0.30%	$ 3,314,922
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 160,660
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 422,798
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	(0.50)	-0.8%	$ (2,082)	$ 275,457	3.5%	$ 277,538	$ 275,254	$ (2,284)	-0.82%	$ 275,254
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 32,820
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 337,949
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 315,326
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 618,881
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 17,812,177	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 674,407	$ 18,486,584	100.0%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Adult Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Adult Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Adult L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Adult L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Adult L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Adult L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ 1,062,648	6.0%	1.25	1.88%	$ 19,925	$ 1,082,573	5.9%	$ 1,043,079
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
					$ 17,812,177							

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 416,914	$ 18,229,091	100.0%	$ 17,812,177	0.00%	$ (0)	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Adult L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Adult L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Adult L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Adult L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	3.00	3.0%	$ 31,292	$ 1,074,372	5.9%	$ 1,049,800	-0.07%	$ (12,848)	-1.21%	
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
											-0.11%	$ (19,103)	-5.66%	Min
											0.08%	$ 14,786	3.42%	Max
											0.00%	$ (0)	-0.20%	Avg

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.5: FY2014 SA Adult Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PEC Mult=	1.5	$ 5,758	$ 7,833,208	100.0%	$ 7,827,450	$ 7,827,450	$ (0)	0.00%	$ 17,812,177
A	B	C	D	E	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD	AE	AF	AG
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	SA Adult PEC Points	SA Adult PEC Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Adult PEC Synthetic $ PEC Factor Adjustment (S*Y)	SA Adult PEC Total Synthetic $ (S+Z)	SA Adult PEC Synthetic % Distribution (AA/Total AA)	FY14 SA Adult L2 Adj $ (For Grants Subject to PEC) (S)	FY14 SA Adult PEC Adj $ (AB*Total AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change ($) Due to PEC (AD-AC)	FY14 SA Adult Change (%) Due to PEC (AE/AC)	FY14 SA Adult PBF / PEC Adj $ (S + AE)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ 1,049,800
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	$ -

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 1,712,190	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 75,695	$ 1,787,885	100.0%	$ 1,712,190
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	$ 14,986	0.9%	2.50	3.75%	$ 562	$ 15,548	0.9%	$ 14,890
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	$ 135,043	7.9%	2.50	3.75%	$ 5,064	$ 140,107	7.8%	$ 134,175
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 48,107	$ 1,760,297	100.0%	$ 1,712,190	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)
ARO	602-13-202	0	Access Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	$ 681,225	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	$ 896,955	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	$ 981,098	4.00	4.0%	$ 596	$ 15,485	0.9%	$ 15,062	0.00%	$ 76	0.51%
SERO	602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	$ 878,829	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	$ 144,839	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ 540,172	4.00	4.0%	$ 5,367	$ 139,542	7.9%	$ 135,729	0.04%	$ 686	0.51%
SCRO	602-14-971	0	Alaska Family Services, Inc	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	$ 417,670	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-918	0	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	$ 149,094	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
STATEW	602-14-987 602-14-988	0	Alaska Youth and Family Network (Peer & Consumer Support)	$ 765,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	$ 302,647	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	$ 4,795,398	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-980	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (Bridge)	$ 750,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-968	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SOAR)	$ 18,850	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-970	0	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	$ 342,630	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-205	21	Assets	$ 787,707	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-953	0	Boys and Girls Home of Alaska	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	$ 937,662	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-973	0	Catholic Community Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 125,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 1,712,190	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 75,695	$ 1,787,885	100.0%	$ 1,712,190
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	$ 17,766	1.0%	3.75	5.63%	$ 999	$ 18,765	1.0%	$ 17,971
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 48,107	$ 1,760,297	100.0%	$ 1,712,190	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)
SCRO	602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 87,807	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 249,998	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-974	0	Central Peninsula General Hospital	$ 145,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-923	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-924	0	Choices, Inc.	$ 62,217	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-14-999	0	Co-Occurring Disorders Institute, Inc (Case Mgmt Support)	$ 300,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-250	50	Community Connections	$ 174,840	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	$ 486,477	4.00	4.0%	$ 719	$ 18,690	1.1%	$ 18,179	0.02%	$ 413	2.32%
ARO	602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	$ 2,056,058	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	$ 237,344	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	$ 357,605	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-007	0	Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-263	24	Daybreak	$ 98,906	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	$ 106,101	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-975	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-978	0	Denali Family Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	$ 291,551	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	$ 2,595,939	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	$ 3,552,576	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	$ 562,672	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-14-965	0	Family Centered Services of Alaska	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	$ 337,962	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 1,712,190	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 75,695	$ 1,787,885	100.0%	$ 1,712,190
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	$ 114,430	6.7%	2.50	3.75%	$ 4,291	$ 118,721	6.6%	$ 113,695
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	$ 18,619	1.1%	1.75	2.63%	$ 489	$ 19,108	1.1%	$ 18,299
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	$ 101,405	5.9%	2.25	3.38%	$ 3,422	$ 104,827	5.9%	$ 100,389

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 48,107	$ 1,760,297	100.0%	$ 1,712,190	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)
ARO	602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	$ 75,376	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	$ 222,015	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	$ 1,598,072	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	$ 880,217	2.00	2.0%	$ 2,274	$ 115,969	6.6%	$ 112,799	-0.10%	$ (1,631)	-1.43%
SERO	602-14-977	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-952	0	Juneau Youth Services (SED Youth & Family Early Childhood)	$ 80,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	$ 14,593	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	$ 80,909	(2.00)	-2.0%	$ (366)	$ 17,933	1.0%	$ 17,443	-0.07%	$ (1,176)	-6.32%
SERO	602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	$ 14,734	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	$ 262,709	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	$ 1,363,670	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	$ 1,702,095	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-979	0	Metlakatla Indian Community	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	$ 950,301	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	$ 777,286	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	$ 1,287,492	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	$ 528,656	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	$ 353,498	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-956	0	Presbyterian Hospitality House (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 100,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	$ 812,700	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	$ 371,600	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	$ 805,208	2.75	2.8%	$ 2,761	$ 103,150	5.9%	$ 100,331	-0.06%	$ (1,074)	-1.06%

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 1,712,190	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 75,695	$ 1,787,885	100.0%	$ 1,712,190
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	$ 44,572	2.6%	2.25	3.38%	$ 1,504	$ 46,076	2.6%	$ 44,126
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	$ 88,967	5.2%	2.00	3.00%	$ 2,669	$ 91,636	5.1%	$ 87,756
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	$ 193,050	11.3%	1.75	2.63%	$ 5,068	$ 198,118	11.1%	$ 189,730
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier=	1	$ 48,107	$ 1,760,297	100.0%	$ 1,712,190	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)
SCRO	602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	$ 329,232	3.00	3.0%	$ 1,324	$ 45,449	2.6%	$ 44,207	-0.02%	$ (365)	-0.82%
NRO	602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	$ 295,831	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	$ 650,414	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	$ 159,530	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-14-964	0	Residential Youth Care	$ 45,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-234	0	Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	$ 3,305,214	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-010	0	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center (Specialized Detox & Res Tx)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	$ 365,193	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	$ 896,707	1.75	1.8%	$ 1,536	$ 89,292	5.1%	$ 86,852	-0.12%	$ (2,115)	-2.38%
SERO	602-14-982	0	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services (SED Youth & Fa	$ 156,797	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SCRO	602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	$ 358,359	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 393,746	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	$ 309,371	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	$ 335,493	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-13-920	0	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	$ 123,689	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
SERO	602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	$ 906,802	3.50	3.5%	$ 6,641	$ 196,370	11.2%	$ 191,004	-0.12%	$ (2,046)	-1.06%
SERO	602-13-057	0	SEARHC (JYS - Montanna Creek)	$ 100	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-021	0	Soteria House	$ 375,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
ARO	602-14-986	0	Special Education Service Agency (Case Mgmt Support - School Based)	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	$ 1,208,195	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-
NRO	602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	$ 224,823	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	$ 1,712,190	100.0%	PBF Multiplier=	1.5	$ 75,695	$ 1,787,885	100.0%	$ 1,712,190
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	FY13 SA Youth Grp $ Subject to PBF	FY13 SA Youth Grp % Distribution (F/Total F)	PBF SA Yth L1 Compliance/ Efficiency Net PBF Points	PBF SA Yth L1 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L1 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (F*I)	SA Youth L1 Total Synthetic $ (F+J)	SA Youth L1 Synthetic % Distribution (K/Total K)	FY14 SA Youth L1 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*L)
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	$ 983,352	57.4%	3.50	5.25%	$ 51,626	$ 1,034,978	57.9%	$ 991,160
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	$ -	-	-	-	-	$ -	-	-
					$ 1,712,190							

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.6: FY2014 SA Youth Group - Funding Allocation Process				$ 53,152,146	PBF Multiplier= 1		$ 48,107	$ 1,760,297	100.0%	$ 1,712,190	0.00%	$ 0	0.00%	
A	B	C	D	E	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	
DBH Region	FY13-14 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	FY13 (FY14) BaseAward	PBF SA Youth L2 Outcomes/ Effectiveness Net PBF Points	PBF SA Youth L2 Net PBF Points Weighted % Factor Adjustment	SA Youth L2 Synthetic $ PBF Factor Adjustment (M*O)	SA Youth L2 Total Synthetic $ (M+P)	SA Youth L2 Synthetic % Distribution (Q/Total Q)	FY14 SA Youth L2 Adj $ Due to PBF (Total F*R)	FY14 Change in % Distrib From FY13 (R-G)	FY14 Change ($) From FY13 (S-F)	FY14 Change (%) From FY13 (U/F)	
ARO	602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 983,352	2.75	2.8%	$ 27,257	$ 1,018,416	57.9%	$ 990,584	0.42%	$ 7,232	0.74%	
ARO	602-13-983	0	Volunteers of America Alaska	$ 381,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
NRO	605-13-005	65	YKHC	$ 2,648,838	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-14-981	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka (SED Youth & Family Transitional)	$ 200,000	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
SERO	602-13-255	0	Youth Advocates of Sitka	$ -	-	-	-	$ -	-	-	-	-	-	
											-0.12%	$ (2,115)	-6.32%	Min
											0.42%	$ 7,232	2.32%	Max
											0.00%	$ 0	-0.90%	Avg

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7:
FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr:
PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Net PBF Points for Competitive Funding Groups							
		C - 2	D	E	F - 5	G - 6	H - 7	I - 8	J - 9
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	PES $ Compliance Points (K)			SMI, SED, & SA $ Compliance & Efficiency Net Points (Sum K thru N)	SMI $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum O thru R)	SED $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum S thru V)	Adult SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum W thru Z)	Youth SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum AA thru AD)
Access Alaska	68	-			-	-	-	-	-
AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	1.00			3.00	-	-	3.00	-
AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	1.00			3.00	-	-	3.00	-
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	1056	0.50			2.50	1.50	2.50	2.00	4.00
Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	1156	0.00			2.00	-	-	2.00	-
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	16	0.50			3.50	-	-	1.00	-
Alaska Children's Services	59	1.00			1.75	-	2.50	-	-
Alaska Family Services, Inc	23	0.50			2.50	0.00	-	3.00	4.00
Alaska Island Counseling Services	39	0.50			2.00	1.00	3.00	0.75	-
Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	15	1.00			2.00	3.00	(1.00)	2.75	-
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	1.00			3.50	1.00	2.00	-	-
Arc of Anchorage	46	0.50			1.75	1.75	2.00	3.00	-
Assets	21	1.00			0.00	1.50	-	-	-
Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	74	1.00			2.75	3.00	0.50	3.00	-
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1066	1.00			3.75	-	-	4.00	-
Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	1166	1.00			3.75	-	-	4.00	-
Community Connections	50	0.00			2.00	-	2.00	-	-
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	31	1.00			3.75	-	-	3.00	4.00
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	67	1.00			4.00	-	-	3.00	-
Copper River Native Association	48	0.75			2.00	0.50	2.00	2.25	-
Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	38	0.50			2.25	3.00	2.00	2.00	-
Daybreak	24	0.25			3.25	2.75	-	-	-
Denali Family Services	75	0.75			3.25	-	1.50	-	-
Eastern Aleutian Tribes	69	0.00			1.50	4.00	1.50	(2.00)	-
Fairbanks CBHC	88	0.00			1.00	1.50	1.50	-	-
Fairbanks Native Association	14	0.00			2.50	-	-	1.00	-
Family Centered Services	51	0.00			0.75	-	2.50	-	-
Gastineau Human Services	7	1.00			3.50	-	-	2.50	-
Hope Community Resources	52	1.00			3.75	-	2.50	-	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7:
FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr:
PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Compliance	and Efficiency Measures			Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score (Compliance Score)	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	% of Clients Served within 30 Days of Enrollment	% of Clients Not Served for 135 Days	All SMI Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SMI Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Access Alaska	68												
AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00								
AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00								
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	1056	0.50	0.75	0.75	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	1156	0.00	0.75	0.75	0.50								
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	16	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Alaska Children's Services	59	1.00	0.25	1.00	(0.50)					0.50	0.50	1.00	0.50
Alaska Family Services, Inc	23	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Alaska Island Counseling Services	39	0.50	1.00	1.00	(0.50)	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00
Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	15	1.00	1.00	0.75	(0.75)	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50	1.00
Arc of Anchorage	46	0.50	0.75	1.00	(0.50)	1.00	0.00	1.00	(0.25)	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Assets	21	1.00	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.50)	(0.25)	(0.25)	1.00	1.00				
Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	74	1.00	0.25	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1066	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00								
Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	1166	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00								
Community Connections	50	0.00	0.75	0.75	0.50					0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	31	1.00	0.75	1.00	1.00								
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	67	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Copper River Native Association	48	0.75	1.00	0.75	(0.50)	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	38	0.50	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Daybreak	24	0.25	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00				
Denali Family Services	75	0.75	1.00	1.00	0.50					0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50
Eastern Aleutian Tribes	69	0.00	1.00	1.00	(0.50)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50
Fairbanks CBHC	88	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.50
Fairbanks Native Association	14	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50								
Family Centered Services	51	0.00	0.50	0.75	(0.50)					0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00
Gastineau Human Services	7	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50								
Hope Community Resources	52	1.00	1.00	0.75	1.00					0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7: FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr: PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Adult SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Access Alaska	68								
AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	1045	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	1145	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Akeela, Inc - Gateway	1056	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	1156	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.50				
Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	16	1.00	0.50	(0.25)	(0.25)				
Alaska Children's Services	59								
Alaska Family Services, Inc	23	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Alaska Island Counseling Services	39	1.00	(0.25)	0.00	0.00				
Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	15	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00				
Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	77								
Arc of Anchorage	46	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Assets	21								
Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	74	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Central Peninsula General Hospital	1066	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	1166	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Community Connections	50								
Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	31	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	67	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00				
Copper River Native Association	48	0.50	(0.25)	1.00	1.00				
Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	38	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.50				
Daybreak	24								
Denali Family Services	75								
Eastern Aleutian Tribes	69	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)				
Fairbanks CBHC	88								
Fairbanks Native Association	14	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Family Centered Services	51								
Gastineau Human Services	7	1.00	0.00	0.50	1.00				
Hope Community Resources	52								

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7:
FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr:
PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Net PBF Points for Competitive Funding Groups							
		C - 2	D	E	F - 5	G - 6	H - 7	I - 8	J - 9
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	PES $ Compliance Points (K)			SMI, SED, & SA $ Compliance & Efficiency Net Points (Sum K thru N)	SMI $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum O thru R)	SED $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum S thru V)	Adult SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum W thru Z)	Youth SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum AA thru AD)
Interior Aids Association	13	1.00			2.75	-	-	2.00	-
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	32	1.00			3.50	2.50	-	-	-
Juneau Youth Services	72	1.00			2.50	-	(0.25)	-	2.00
Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	30	0.50			3.00	-	2.75	-	-
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	1.00			1.75	0.50	2.00	(2.00)	(2.00)
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	(0.50)			0.00	-	-	2.50	-
Lynn Canal Counseling	35	1.00			4.00	3.50	3.00	3.00	-
Maniilaq Asso.	55	1.00			1.75	3.00	1.75	3.00	-
Mat-Su Health Svc.	73	0.50			2.50	2.50	1.50	-	-
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	25	0.50			2.50	-	-	1.00	-
North Slope Borough	43	0.00			(0.25)	0.50	(2.00)	1.75	-
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	1.00			1.75	0.00	(0.50)	3.00	-
Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	80	0.25			1.00	1.00	0.00	-	-
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	1.00			3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	-
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cent	1081	0.25			-	-	-	-	-
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cent	1181	0.25			-	-	-	-	-
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	0.25			2.25	3.00	1.25	2.75	2.75
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	0.75			2.25	4.00	1.75	3.50	3.00
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	3	0.00			0.75	-	-	3.00	-
Rainforest Recovery Center	1078	0.50			3.50	-	-	3.50	-
Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	1178	0.00			3.00	-	-	3.50	-
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	42	-			-	-	-	-	-
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	1019	0.00			2.75	-	-	2.00	-
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center(Specialized Detox & Res	1119	-			-	-	-	-	-
Seaview Comm. Svc.	2	1.00			2.75	1.50	-	0.25	-
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	1.00			2.00	1.75	1.25	2.00	1.75
South Penin. Behav. Health	71	1.00			4.00	3.00	2.00	-	-
Southcentral Foundation - ANC	1047	1.00			2.25	1.00	0.25	3.50	-
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	(0.75)			0.50	1.00	0.25	3.50	-

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7:
FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr:
PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Compliance	and Efficiency Measures			Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score (Compliance Score)	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	% of Clients Served within 30 Days of Enrollment	% of Clients Not Served for 135 Days	All SMI Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SMI Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Interior Aids Association	13	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00								
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	32	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50				
Juneau Youth Services	72	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.50)					0.00	(0.25)	0.00	0.00
Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	30	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.50					1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	1.00	1.00	0.25	(0.50)	0.00	1.00	(0.25)	(0.25)	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	(0.50)	1.00	0.25	(0.75)								
Lynn Canal Counseling	35	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00
Maniilaq Asso.	55	1.00	0.25	0.50	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	0.00
Mat-Su Health Svc.	73	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	25	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00								
North Slope Borough	43	0.00	(0.25)	0.50	(0.50)	0.00	0.00	0.50	0.00	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	1.00	0.75	0.50	(0.50)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	(0.25)	(0.25)	0.00	0.00
Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	80	0.25	1.00	0.50	(0.75)	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cent	1081	0.25											
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cent	1181	0.25											
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	0.25	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	(0.25)	0.50	0.50
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	0.75	0.75	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	3	0.00	0.75	0.00	0.00								
Rainforest Recovery Center	1078	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	1178	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00								
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	42												
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	1019	0.00	0.75	1.00	1.00								
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center(Specialized Detox & Res	1119												
Seaview Comm. Svc.	2	1.00	1.00	0.75	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.50				
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	1.00	0.25	0.75	0.00	1.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.50	0.50	(0.25)	0.50	0.50
South Penin. Behav. Health	71	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Southcentral Foundation - ANC	1047	1.00	1.00	0.75	(0.50)	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.00
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	(0.75)	1.00	0.75	(0.50)	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	0.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.00

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7: FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr: PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Adult SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Interior Aids Association	13	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50				
Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	32								
Juneau Youth Services	72					1.00	0.00	0.50	0.50
Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	30								
Kenaitze Indian Tribe	54	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)
Ketchikan Indian Community	44	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Lynn Canal Counseling	35	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Maniilaq Asso.	55	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Mat-Su Health Svc.	73								
Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	25	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
North Slope Borough	43	1.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.50				
Norton Sound Health Corporation	53	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	80								
Petersburg Mental Health Services	40	1.00	0.50	1.00	0.50				
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cent	1081								
Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Cent	1181								
Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	27	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00	1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
Providence Valdez Counseling Center	5	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	3	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Rainforest Recovery Center	1078	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	1178	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Salvation Army - Booth Memorial	42								
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	1019	1.00	0.50	0.00	0.50				
Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center(Specialized Detox & Res	1119								
Seaview Comm. Svc.	2	0.00	(0.25)	0.00	0.50				
Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	9	1.00	0.00	0.50	0.50	1.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.50
South Penin. Behav. Health	71								
Southcentral Foundation - ANC	1047	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Southcentral Foundation - McG	1147	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7: FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr: PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Net PBF Points for Competitive Funding Groups							
		C - 2	D	E	F - 5	G - 6	H - 7	I - 8	J - 9
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	PES $ Compliance Points (K)			SMI, SED, & SA $ Compliance & Efficiency Net Points (Sum K thru N)	SMI $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum O thru R)	SED $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum S thru V)	Adult SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum W thru Z)	Youth SA $ Outcomes - Improvement & Perception Net Points (Sum AA thru AD)
Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	1247	1.00			2.25	-	-	3.50	-
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	36	0.50			1.75	2.50	-	3.00	3.50
Tanana Cheifs Conference	12	0.50			1.75	4.00	-	3.50	-
Tok Area Mental Health Council	11	(0.75)			-	-	-	-	-
Volunteers of America Alaska	20	1.00			3.50	-	-	-	2.75
YKHC	65	0.00			1.25	1.25	1.00	3.00	-
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8	-			-	-	-	-	-
	Minimum	(0.75)			(0.25)	0.00	(2.00)	(2.00)	(2.00)
	Maximum	1.00			4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00
	Average	0.57			2.37	2.00	1.44	2.40	2.58

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7: FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr: PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Compliance	and Efficiency Measures			Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Staff Score (Compliance Score)	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	% of Clients Served within 30 Days of Enrollment	% of Clients Not Served for 135 Days	All SMI Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SMI Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SMI Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: MH Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	All SED Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	All SED Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	1247	1.00	1.00	0.75	(0.50)								
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	36	0.50	0.75	0.50	0.00	0.50	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Tanana Cheifs Conference	12	0.50	1.00	1.00	(0.75)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00				
Tok Area Mental Health Council	11	(0.75)											
Volunteers of America Alaska	20	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00								
YKHC	65	0.00	1.00	1.00	(0.75)	1.00	(0.25)	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8												
	Minimum	(0.75)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.75)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.25)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)
	Maximum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	Average	0.57	0.76	0.82	0.19	0.66	0.14	0.70	0.50	0.25	0.00	0.68	0.51

FY2014 CBHTR Funding Allocation Model

Attachment A.7: FY2013 Grantee Performance Through 3rd Qtr: PBF Points and Competitive Funding Groups

		Outcome Measures (Effectiveness) for Target Populations							
		W	X	Y	Z	AA	AB	AC	AD
Grantee	AKAIMS ID	Adult SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Adult SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: SA Domain -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Quality of Life Domains -- % of Clients with Improvement or Stayed Same	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Quality of Services -- % Satisfied	Youth SA Clients: Percep of Improved Quality of Life -- % Satisfied
Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	1247	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	36	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00
Tanana Cheifs Conference	12	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00				
Tok Area Mental Health Council	11								
Volunteers of America Alaska	20					1.00	(0.25)	1.00	1.00
YKHC	65	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00				
Youth Advocates of Sitka	8								
Minimum		(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)	(0.50)
Maximum		1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Average		0.87	0.13	0.68	0.72	0.85	0.23	0.75	0.75

Attachment A.8: FY2014 CBHTR PEC Summary Score and PEC Points

Threshold Descriptions	FY2014 Applications for SMI Services: PEC Score Thresholds and PEC Points			FY2014 Applications for SED Services: PEC Score Thresholds and PEC Points			FY2014 Applications for Adult SA Services: PEC Score Thresholds and PEC Points		
	Avg	56.19	PEC Points	Avg	57.18	PEC Points	Avg	55.09	PEC Points
	1/2 Std Dev	2.96		1/2 Std Dev	4.45		1/2 Std Dev	4.16	
	1 Std Dev	5.92		1 Std Dev	8.90		1 Std Dev	8.33	
Above the mean by more than 1 StdDev	>Avg + 1 StdDev	62.11	1.00	>Avg + 1 StdDev	66.08	1.00	>Avg + 1 StdDev	63.42	1.00
Above the mean by more than ½ StdDev, but within 1 StdDev	>Avg + 1/2 StdDev	59.15	0.50	>Avg + 1/2 StdDev	61.63	0.50	>Avg + 1/2 StdDev	59.26	0.50
Above the mean, but within 1/2 StdDev	>Avg	56.19	0.25	>Avg	57.18	0.25	>Avg	55.09	0.25
Below the mean, but within 1/2 StdDev	<Avg	56.19	(0.25)	<Avg	57.18	(0.25)	<Avg	55.09	(0.25)
Below the mean by more than ½ StdDev, but within 1 StdDev	<Avg - 1/2 StdDev	53.23	(0.50)	<Avg - 1/2 StdDev	52.73	(0.50)	<Avg - 1/2 StdDev	50.93	(0.50)
Below the mean by more than 1 StdDev	<Avg - 1 StdDev	50.27	(1.00)	<Avg - 1 StdDev	48.28	(1.00)	<Avg - 1 StdDev	46.76	(1.00)

FY13 Grant Number	AKAIMS ID	Agency Name	SMI Services			SED Services			Adult SA Services		
				PEC Summary Score	PEC Points		PEC Summary Score	PEC Points		PEC Summary Score	PEC Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)								56.00	0.25
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)								61.67	0.50
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services					69.00	1.00			
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc								60.00	0.50
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services		62.00	0.50		63.33	0.50			
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage		50.00	-1.00		61.00	0.25		60.67	0.50
602-13-205	21	Assets		59.00	0.25						
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse								57.33	0.25
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc								63.00	0.50
602-13-263	24	Daybreak		60.67	0.50						
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services					58.33	0.25			
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC					47.33	-1.00			
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association								36.33	-1.00
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services of Alaska					49.33	-0.50			
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services								60.00	0.50
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources					61.00	0.25			
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care					41.00	-1.00			
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe		51.33	-0.50		52.33	-0.50		45.67	-1.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.		61.67	0.50		69.00	1.00			
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center								57.67	0.25
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC		48.67	-1.00		57.33	0.25		47.67	-0.50

Attachment B

FY2014 CBHTR PEC Score - Summary Spreadsheet

Attachment B: FY2014 CBHTR PEC Score - Summary Spreadsheet

FY13 Grant Number that Aligns with Service	Agency Name	FY14 Average PEC Score (Total Possible Score = 130)	FY14 Program Service Type	FY14 Project Location	FY14 Category	PEC Recommendation to Fund	PEC Score Incorporated in Funding Model*	Funding Model: PBF Competitive Funding Group*	FY14 PEC Summary Score (Used in Funding Model)*
602-13-230	Akeela	56.00	5 - Adult Res - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	56.00
602-13-231	Akeela	61.67	7 - W & C Res - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	61.67
	Akeela	57.00	9 - SED - MH	Anchorage	C	No			
	Akeela	59.00	10 - Y & F OP - SA	Anchorage	C	No			
	Akeela	47.33	11 - SMI - MH	Anchorage	C	No			
	Akeela	61.00	12 - Adult OP - SA	Anchorage	C	No			
602-13-203	Alaska Children's Services	69.00	9 - SED - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SED	69.00
602-13-260	Alaska Family Services	60.00	12 - Adult OP - SA	Matsu	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	60.00
602-13-918	Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web	60.00	15 - Peer	Anchorage	C	Yes	No		
602-13-204	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	63.33	9 - SED - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SED	63.33
602-13-204	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	62.00	11 - SMI - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SMI	62.00
	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	63.67	12 - Adult OP - SA	Anchorage	C	No			
	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	65.67	15 - Peer	Anchorage	B	No			
602-13-205	Assets, Inc.	59.00	11 - SMI - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SMI	59.00
	Bartlett Regional Hospital Rainforest & Recovery	55.00	12 - Adult OP - SA	Juneau	C	No			
	Central Peninsula Hospital	56.67	12 - Adult OP - SA	Kenai Pensinsula	C	No			
602-13-923	Choices, Inc.	61.00	11 - SMI - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	No		
602-13-924	Choices, Inc.	61.00	15 - Peer	Anchorage	C	Yes	No		
602-13-232	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse	57.33	12 - Adult OP - SA	Kenai Peninsula	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	57.33
602-13-233	Cook Inlet Tribal Council	63.00	5 - Adult Res - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	63.00
602-13-263	Daybreak	60.67	11 - SMI - MH	Matsu	C	Yes	Yes	SMI	60.67

Attachment B: FY2014 CBHTR PEC Score - Summary Spreadsheet

FY13 Grant Number that Aligns with Service	Agency Name	FY14 Average PEC Score (Total Possible Score = 130)	FY14 Program Service Type	FY14 Project Location	FY14 Category	PEC Recommendation to Fund	PEC Score Incorporated in Funding Model*	Funding Model: PBF Competitive Funding Group*	FY14 PEC Summary Score (Used in Funding Model)*
602-13-201	Denali Family Services	58.33	9 - SED - MH	Anchorage/Matsu	C	Yes	Yes	SED	58.33
602-13-270	Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	47.33	9 - SED - MH	Fairbanks	C	Yes	Yes	SED	47.33
	Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center	40.33	12 - Adult OP - SA	Fairbanks	C	No			
602-13-273	Family Centered Services of Alaska	49.33	9 - SED - MH	Fairbanks	C	Yes	Yes	SED	49.33
602-13-271	Fairbanks Native Association	36.33	12 - Adult OP - SA	Fairbanks	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	36.33
602-13-241	Gastineau Human Services	60.00	12 - Adult OP - SA	Juneau	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	60.00
602-13-206	Hope Community Resources, Inc.	61.00	9 - SED - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SED	61.00
	Hope Community Resources, Inc.	42.67	11 - SMI - MH	Anchorage	C	No			
	Hope Community Resources, Inc.	40.33	11 - SMI - MH	Kenai	C	No			
	Hope Community Resources, Inc.	44.67	9 - SED - MH	Kenai	C	No			
602-13-251	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health	67.33	1 - PES	Juneau	C	Yes	No		
602-13-244	Juneau Youth Services	64.00	1 - PES	Juneau	C	Yes	No		
602-13-244	Juneau Youth Services	65.00	10 - Y & F OP - SA	Juneau	C	Yes	No		
	Kadijah Family Funds: Hearts & Hands of Care,	27.67	9 - SED - MH	Yasilla & Anchorag	C	No			
	Kadijah Family Funds: Hearts & Hands of Care,	20.67	11 - SMI - MH	Yasilla & Anchorag	C	No			
602-13-266	Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center	41.00	9 - SED - MH	Kenai	C	Yes	Yes	SED	41.00
602-13-267	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	52.33	9 - SED - MH	Kenai Peninsula	C	Yes	Yes	SED	52.33
602-13-267	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	51.33	11 - SMI - MH	Kenai Peninsula	C	Yes	Yes	SMI	51.33
602-13-267	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	45.67	12 - Adult OP - SA	Kenai Peninsula	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	45.67
602-13-261	Matsu Health Services, Inc.	69.00	9 - SED - MH	Matsu	C	Yes	Yes	SED	69.00

Attachment B: FY2014 CBHTR PEC Score - Summary Spreadsheet

FY13 Grant Number that Aligns with Service	Agency Name	FY14 Average PEC Score (Total Possible Score = 130)	FY14 Program Service Type	FY14 Project Location	FY14 Category	PEC Recommendation to Fund	PEC Score Incorporated in Funding Model*	Funding Model: PBF Competitive Funding Group*	FY14 PEC Summary Score (Used in Funding Model)*
602-13-261	Matsu Health Services, Inc.	61.67	11 - SMI - MH	Matsu	C	Yes	Yes	SMI	61.67
New	National Council on Alcoholism & Drug Dependence	37.67	10 - Y & F OP - SA	Juneau	C	Yes	No		
602-13-235	Salvation Army	55.67	5 - Adult Res - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	57.67
602-13-235	Salvation Army	59.67	12 - Adult OP - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	57.67
New	Set Free Alaska	35.00	12 - Adult OP - SA	Matsu	C	Yes	No		
602-13-208	Southcentral Foundation	47.67	7 - W & C Res - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	47.67
	Southcentral Foundation	55.33	12 - Adult OP - SA	Anchorage	C	No			
602-13-208	Southcentral Foundation	57.33	9 - SED - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SED	57.33
602-13-208	Southcentral Foundation	48.67	11 - SMI - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SMI	48.67
602-13-920	Southcentral Foundation	52.67	15 - Peer	Anchorage	B	Yes	No		
602-13-210	The Arc of Anchorage	61.00	9 - SED - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SED	61.00
602-13-210	The Arc of Anchorage	50.00	11 - SMI - MH	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	SMI	50.00
602-13-210	The Arc of Anchorage	60.67	12 - Adult OP - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	Yes	ADULT SA	60.67
602-13-236	Volunteers of America Alaska	68.67	10 - Y & F OP - SA	Anchorage	C	Yes	No		

* If the PEC recommended to fund services, then the PEC Score was aligned with a PBF Competitive Funding Group and incorporated into the funding model. If an agency had a FY13 grant with more than one Average PEC Score for the Competetive Funding Group, then an average of the scores was used for the PEC Summary Score. Within the funding model, agencies competed with one another based on their PEC Summary Score within the specific Competitive Funding Groups. In some cases, based on the program service type and project location, it was determined that applying this additional layer of competition (i.e., based on PEC Summary Score) was not warranted and the PEC Score was not incorporated into the funding model (see yellow highlighted rows). In addition, the PEC recommended to fund two new agencies (see green highlighted rows); funding for these agencies was determined outside of the funding model.

Attachment C

FY2013 CBHTR Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) - Summary by PBF Measure

Attachment C.1: Grant Review and Progress Report: DBH Staff Score

Measure Description: DBH staff scored grantees on the following activities to determine an overall Staff Score for each grantee:
a. Did grantee participate in community planning on a quarterly basis?
b. Did grantee spend funds as approved in the grant agreement?
c. Did grantee submit all required reports (including narrative, AKAIMS, and cumulative fiscal reports) in a timely manner?
d. Did grantee submit complete quarterly reports?
e. Did the grantee use the Logic Model to make program improvements?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	*>=*	*0%*	*<=*	*64%*	(0.75)
2	*>=*	*65%*	*<=*	*74%*	(0.50)
3	*>=*	*75%*	*<=*	*84%*	0.00
4	*>=*	*85%*	*<=*	*89%*	0.25
5	*>=*	*90%*	*<=*	*94%*	0.50
6	*>=*	*95%*	*<=*	*99%*	0.75
7	*>=*	*100%*			1.00

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 64%	2	3%
65% to 74%	1	2%
75% to 84%	11	18%
85% to 89%	5	8%
90% to 94%	12	20%
95% to 99%	3	5%
100% or more	27	44%
TOTALS	61	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
58%	100%	92%

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	*A* Total Available Points	*B* Staff Score Points	*C* Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)	72	57	79%	3	0.00
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-205	21	Assets	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.	72	72	100%	7	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	Total Available Points	Staff Score Points	Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-250	50	Community Connections	72	60	83%	3	0.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association	72	69	96%	6	0.75
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-263	24	Daybreak	72	63	88%	4	0.25
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services	72	69	96%	6	0.75
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes	72	60	83%	3	0.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC	72	60	83%	3	0.00
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association	72	60	83%	3	0.00
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services	72	60	83%	3	0.00
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community	72	48	67%	2	(0.50)
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling	72	72	100%	7	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center	72	65	90%	5	0.50
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough	72	60	83%	3	0.00
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.	72	63	88%	4	0.25

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	Total Available Points	Staff Score Points	Staff Score % (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-055	1081	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Adult	72	64	89%	4	0.25
602-14-056	1181	Providence Health System (Providence Crisis Respite Center) - Youth	72	64	89%	4	0.25
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center	72	62	86%	4	0.25
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center	72	70	97%	6	0.75
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions	72	58	81%	3	0.00
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)	72	56	78%	3	0.00
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center	72	60	83%	3	0.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG	72	45	63%	1	(0.75)
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)	72	72	100%	7	1.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference	72	66	92%	5	0.50
602-13-276	11	Tok Area Mental Health Council	72	42	58%	1	(0.75)
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska	72	72	100%	7	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC	72	57	79%	3	0.00

Attachment C.2: Average Number of Days from Screening (AST) to First Treatment Service

Measure Description: This measure reports the elapsed period of time from the completion of the Alaska Screening Tool (AST) to the date of the first treatment service. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	<=	*0*	<=	*7*	**1.00**
2	>=	*8*	<=	*14*	**0.75**
3	>=	*15*	<=	*21*	**0.50**
4	>=	*22*	<=	*28*	**0.25**
5	>=	*29*	<=	*35*	**0.00**
6	>=	*36*			(0.25)
7					

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 7	34	59%
8 to 14	12	21%
15 to 21	2	3%
22 to 28	4	7%
29 to 35	3	5%
36 or more	3	5%
TOTALS	**58**	**100%**
Minimum	**Maximum**	**Average**
(299)	**88**	**3**

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	*A* Count of Clients Interviewed	*B* Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)		3	1	1.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)		3	1	1.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway		8	2	0.75
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)		8	2	0.75
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch		1	1	1.00
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services		22	4	0.25
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc		34	5	0.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services		0	1	1.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.		4	1	1.00
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services		(54)	1	1.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage		10	2	0.75
602-13-205	21	Assets		88	6	(0.25)
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.		23	4	0.25
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital		8	2	0.75
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)		8	2	0.75

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	Count of Clients Interviewed	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-250	50	Community Connections		9	2	0.75
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse		10	2	0.75
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc		1	1	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association		(10)	1	1.00
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives		(1)	1	1.00
602-13-263	24	Daybreak		3	1	1.00
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services		(34)	1	1.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes		7	1	1.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC		32	5	0.00
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association		2	1	1.00
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services		17	3	0.50
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services		1	1	1.00
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources		6	1	1.00
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association		49	6	(0.25)
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.		1	1	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services		(2)	1	1.00
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care		4	1	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe		(1)	1	1.00
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community		1	1	1.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling		1	1	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.		23	4	0.25
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.		0	1	1.00
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center		34	5	0.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough		62	6	(0.25)
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation		10	2	0.75
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.		(299)	1	1.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services		3	1	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center		(1)	1	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	Count of Clients Interviewed	Avg Days from AST to 1st Tx	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center		12	2	0.75
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions		9	2	0.75
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center		(8)	1	1.00
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)		(8)	1	1.00
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center		14	2	0.75
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.		3	1	1.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services		22	4	0.25
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health		0	1	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC		7	1	1.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG		7	1	1.00
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)		7	1	1.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium		9	2	0.75
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference		0	1	1.00
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska		20	3	0.50
605-13-005	65	YKHC		(17)	1	1.00

Attachment C.3: Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment

Measure Description: This measure reports the percentage of an agency's clients who received a treatment service within 30 days of being enrolled into a program for treatment services. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to timely access and engagement into treatment services.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	*0%*	<=	*49%*	(0.25)
2	>=	*50%*	<=	*59%*	0.00
3	>=	*60%*	<=	*69%*	0.25
4	>=	*70%*	<=	*79%*	0.50
5	>=	*80%*	<=	*89%*	0.75
6	>=	*90%*			1.00
7					

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 49%	1	2%
50% to 59%	1	2%
60% to 69%	2	3%
70% to 79%	7	12%
80% to 89%	12	21%
90% or more	35	60%
TOTALS	58	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
20%	100%	88%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	*A* All Clients	*B* # Clients Served in 30 days	*C* % Served in 30 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)			98%	6	1.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)			98%	6	1.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			87%	5	0.75
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)			87%	5	0.75
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch			100%	6	1.00
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services			100%	6	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			99%	6	1.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			97%	6	1.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			84%	5	0.75
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			78%	4	0.50
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			100%	6	1.00
602-13-205	21	Assets			20%	1	(0.25)
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			90%	6	1.00
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital			97%	6	1.00
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)			97%	6	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All Clients	# Clients Served in 30 days	% Served in 30 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-250	50	Community Connections			86%	5	0.75
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			94%	6	1.00
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc			100%	6	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			82%	5	0.75
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			93%	6	1.00
602-13-263	24	Daybreak			96%	6	1.00
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services			98%	6	1.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			93%	6	1.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			73%	4	0.50
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association			98%	6	1.00
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services			88%	5	0.75
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services			94%	6	1.00
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources			82%	5	0.75
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association			93%	6	1.00
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.			91%	6	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			99%	6	1.00
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care			92%	6	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			68%	3	0.25
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community			64%	3	0.25
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			93%	6	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			77%	4	0.50
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			99%	6	1.00
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center			100%	6	1.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			78%	4	0.50
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			75%	4	0.50
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			77%	4	0.50
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			97%	6	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			93%	6	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			90%	6	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All Clients	# Clients Served in 30 days	% Served in 30 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions			53%	2	0.00
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center			98%	6	1.00
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)			98%	6	1.00
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center			98%	6	1.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			89%	5	0.75
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			85%	5	0.75
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			91%	6	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			87%	5	0.75
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			87%	5	0.75
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)			87%	5	0.75
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			77%	4	0.50
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			91%	6	1.00
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska			97%	6	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			92%	6	1.00

Attachment C.4: Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served Within 135 Days

Measure Description: This measure identifies those clients enrolled into treatment services who have not received any services within 135 days or greater. This measure is a proxy for the performance of the treatment system relative to engagement and retention of clients in services and "capacity management" of treatment services.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	5%	1.00
2	>=	6%	<=	10%	0.50
3	>=	11%	<=	20%	0.00
4	>=	21%	<=	30%	(0.25)
5	>=	31%	<=	50%	(0.50)
6	>=	51%			(0.75)
7					

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 5%	17	29%
6% to 10%	10	17%
11% to 20%	10	17%
21% to 30%	2	3%
31% to 50%	14	24%
51% or more	5	9%
TOTALS	58	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
0%	95%	21%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All Clients	B # Clients Not Served for 135 days	C % Not Served for 135 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)			15%	3	0.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)			15%	3	0.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			7%	2	0.50
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)			7%	2	0.50
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch			0%	1	1.00
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services			43%	5	(0.50)
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			1%	1	1.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			31%	5	(0.50)
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			53%	6	(0.75)
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			2%	1	1.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			39%	5	(0.50)
602-13-205	21	Assets			37%	5	(0.50)
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			10%	2	0.50
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital			2%	1	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All Clients	# Clients Not Served for 135 days	% Not Served for 135 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)			2%	1	1.00
602-13-250	50	Community Connections			8%	2	0.50
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			4%	1	1.00
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc			1%	1	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			31%	5	(0.50)
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			23%	4	(0.25)
602-13-263	24	Daybreak			3%	1	1.00
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services			9%	2	0.50
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			49%	5	(0.50)
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			9%	2	0.50
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association			10%	2	0.50
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services			34%	5	(0.50)
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services			6%	2	0.50
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources			1%	1	1.00
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association			2%	1	1.00
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.			6%	2	0.50
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			43%	5	(0.50)
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care			6%	2	0.50
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			44%	5	(0.50)
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community			60%	6	(0.75)
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			2%	1	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			11%	3	0.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			11%	3	0.00
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center			3%	1	1.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			32%	5	(0.50)
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			31%	5	(0.50)
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			95%	6	(0.75)
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			18%	3	0.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All Clients	# Clients Not Served for 135 days	% Not Served for 135 Days (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			15%	3	0.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			26%	4	(0.25)
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions			14%	3	0.00
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center			1%	1	1.00
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)			1%	1	1.00
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center			1%	1	1.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			18%	3	0.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			16%	3	0.00
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			3%	1	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			46%	5	(0.50)
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			46%	5	(0.50)
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)			46%	5	(0.50)
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			14%	3	0.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			81%	6	(0.75)
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska			1%	1	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			77%	6	(0.75)

Attachment C.5: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR mental health domain question. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SMI population, this measure is based on client response to CSR Question #2: How many days in the past 30 days was your mental health (including depression and/or problems with emotions, behavior, or thinking) not good?

	Range	Low		High		PBF Points
	1	>=	0%	<=	29.00%	(0.25)
	2	>=	30%	<=	49.00%	(0.25)
	3	>=	50%	<=	69.00%	0.00
	4	>=	70%	<=	79.00%	0.50
	5	>=	80%			1.00
	6					
	7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	1	3%
50% to 69%	6	19%
70% to 79%	7	22%
80% or more	18	56%
TOTALS	32	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
33%	100%	79%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SMI Clients	B # SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain	C % SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			85%	5	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			67%	3	0.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			75%	4	0.50
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			100%	5	1.00
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			80%	5	1.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			83%	5	1.00
602-13-205	21	Assets			33%	2	(0.25)

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SMI Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			85%	5	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			75%	4	0.50
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			89%	5	1.00
602-13-263	24	Daybreak			100%	5	1.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			100%	5	1.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			69%	3	0.00
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.			81%	5	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			67%	3	0.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			89%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			94%	5	1.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			82%	5	1.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			67%	3	0.00
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			55%	3	0.00
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			74%	4	0.50
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			93%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			84%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			86%	5	1.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			59%	3	0.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			80%	5	1.00
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			75%	4	0.50
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			75%	4	0.50
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			75%	4	0.50
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			74%	4	0.50
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			100%	5	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			83%	5	1.00

Attachment C.6: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Mental Health Domain - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR mental health domain question. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SED population, this measure is based on client response to CSR Question #2: How many days in the past 30 days was your mental health (including depression and/or problems with emotions, behavior, or thinking) not good?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	1	3%
30% to 49%	1	3%
50% to 69%	13	43%
70% to 79%	12	40%
80% or more	3	10%
TOTALS	30	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
0%	100%	66%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	*A* All SED Clients	*B* # SED Improved or Same - MH Domain	*C* % SED Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			74%	4	0.50
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services			70%	4	0.50
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			79%	4	0.50
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			0%	1	(0.25)
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			74%	4	0.50
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			50%	3	0.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			71%	4	0.50
602-13-250	50	Community Connections			64%	3	0.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - MH Domain	% SED Improved or Same - MH Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			75%	4	0.50
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			50%	3	0.00
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services			65%	3	0.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	3	0.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			70%	4	0.50
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services			76%	4	0.50
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources			73%	4	0.50
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			68%	3	0.00
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care			100%	5	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			54%	3	0.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			72%	4	0.50
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			88%	5	1.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			69%	3	0.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			-	1	(0.50)
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			38%	2	(0.25)
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			60%	3	0.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			100%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			73%	4	0.50
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			60%	3	0.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			71%	4	0.50
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			60%	3	0.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			65%	3	0.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			65%	3	0.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			56%	3	0.00

Attachment C.7: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR substance abuse health domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SA populations, this measure is based on client responses to:
CSR Question #5: How many days during the past 30 days have you used alcohol?
CSR Question #6: How many days during the past 30 days have you used illegal drugs (including medications not as prescribed/directed)?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	1	3%
70% to 79%	3	8%
80% or more	35	90%
TOTALS	39	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
68%	100%	91%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Adults	B # SA Adults Improved or Same - SA Domain	C % SA Adults Improved or Same - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)			97%	5	1.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)			97%	5	1.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			98%	5	1.00
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)			98%	5	1.00
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch			85%	5	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			92%	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Same - SA Domain	% SA Adults Improved or Same - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			91%	5	1.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			100%	5	1.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			-	5	1.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			100%	5	1.00
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital			92%	5	1.00
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)			92%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			90%	5	1.00
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc			100%	5	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			78%	4	0.50
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			78%	4	0.50
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association			92%	5	1.00
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services			94%	5	1.00
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association			100%	5	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community			75%	4	0.50
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			86%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			94%	5	1.00
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center			96%	5	1.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			81%	5	1.00
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			92%	5	1.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			83%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			93%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			83%	5	1.00
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions			83%	5	1.00
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center			93%	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Same - SA Domain	% SA Adults Improved or Same - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)			93%	5	1.00
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center			92%	5	1.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			68%	3	0.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			96%	5	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			93%	5	1.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			93%	5	1.00
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)			93%	5	1.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			89%	5	1.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			95%	5	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			100%	5	1.00

Attachment C.8: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Substance Abuse Health Domain - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR substance abuse health domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period.

For the SA populations, this measure is based on client responses to:
CSR Question #5: How many days during the past 30 days have you used alcohol?
CSR Question #6: How many days during the past 30 days have you used illegal drugs (including medications not as prescribed/directed)?

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0% to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	0	0%
70% to 79%	0	0%
80% or more	9	100%
TOTALS	9	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
86%	100%	97%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Youth	B # SA Youth Improved or Same - SA Domain	C % SA Youth Improved or Same - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			100%	5	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			100%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			100%	5	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			86%	5	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			100%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			100%	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Same - SA Domain	% SA Youth Improved or Same - SA Domain (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			100%	5	1.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			100%	5	1.00
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska			87%	5	1.00

Attachment C.9: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

• Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
• Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
• How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
• Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
• Your life in general?

	Range	Low		High		PBF Points
	1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
	2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
	3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
	4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
	5	>=	80%			1.00
	6					
	7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:
a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;
b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	4	13%
50% to 69%	21	66%
70% to 79%	3	9%
80% or more	4	13%
TOTALS	32	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
33%	100%	63%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SMI Clients	B # SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom	C % SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			63%	3	0.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			50%	3	0.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			50%	3	0.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			50%	3	0.00
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			54%	3	0.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			67%	3	0.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SMI Clients	# SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SMI Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-205	21	Assets			33%	2	(0.25)
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			60%	3	0.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			63%	3	0.00
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			78%	4	0.50
602-13-263	24	Daybreak			45%	2	(0.25)
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			100%	5	1.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			58%	3	0.00
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.			57%	3	0.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			100%	5	1.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			76%	4	0.50
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			69%	3	0.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			66%	3	0.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			60%	3	0.00
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			58%	3	0.00
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			53%	3	0.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			67%	3	0.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			68%	3	0.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			86%	5	1.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			51%	3	0.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			47%	2	(0.25)
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			72%	4	0.50
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			50%	3	0.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			50%	3	0.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			66%	3	0.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			100%	5	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			33%	2	(0.25)

Attachment C.10: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

- Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
- Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
- How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
- Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
- Your life in general?

	Range	Low		High		PBF Points
	1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
	2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
	3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
	4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
	5	>=	80%			1.00
	6					
	7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	3	10%
30% to 49%	7	23%
50% to 69%	15	50%
70% to 79%	4	13%
80% or more	1	3%
TOTALS	30	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
0%	100%	53%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SED Clients	B # SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom	C % SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			57%	3	0.00
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services			74%	4	0.50
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			75%	4	0.50
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			0%	1	(0.25)
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			55%	3	0.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			50%	3	0.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SED Clients	# SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SED Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			53%	3	0.00
602-13-250	50	Community Connections			66%	3	0.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			75%	4	0.50
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			50%	3	0.00
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services			68%	3	0.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	3	0.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			62%	3	0.00
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services			54%	3	0.00
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources			64%	3	0.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			49%	2	(0.25)
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care			0%	1	(0.25)
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			50%	3	0.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			78%	4	0.50
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			38%	2	(0.25)
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			57%	3	0.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			-	1	(0.50)
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			38%	2	(0.25)
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			58%	3	0.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			100%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			27%	1	(0.25)
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			40%	2	(0.25)
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			43%	2	(0.25)
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			58%	3	0.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			42%	2	(0.25)
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			42%	2	(0.25)
605-13-005	65	YKHC			69%	3	0.00

Attachment C.11: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

- Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
- Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
- How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
- Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
- Your life in general?

	Range	Low		High		PBF Points
	1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
	2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
	3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
	4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
	5	>=	80%			1.00
	6					
	7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	1	3%
30% to 49%	5	13%
50% to 69%	19	49%
70% to 79%	12	31%
80% or more	2	5%
TOTALS	39	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
27%	82%	61%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Adults	B # SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom	C % SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)			60%	3	0.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)			60%	3	0.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			58%	3	0.00
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)			58%	3	0.00
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch			77%	4	0.50

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			54%	3	0.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			27%	1	(0.25)
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			38%	2	(0.25)
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			-	3	0.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			61%	3	0.00
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital			82%	5	1.00
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)			82%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			59%	3	0.00
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc			75%	4	0.50
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			44%	2	(0.25)
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			56%	3	0.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association			58%	3	0.00
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services			58%	3	0.00
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association			75%	4	0.50
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community			56%	3	0.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			57%	3	0.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			65%	3	0.00
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center			67%	3	0.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			40%	2	(0.25)
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			53%	3	0.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			72%	4	0.50
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			36%	2	(0.25)
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			75%	4	0.50
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions			67%	3	0.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Adults Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center			70%	4	0.50
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)			70%	4	0.50
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center			74%	4	0.50
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			35%	2	(0.25)
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			55%	3	0.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			73%	4	0.50
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			73%	4	0.50
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)			73%	4	0.50
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			61%	3	0.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			75%	4	0.50
605-13-005	65	YKHC			60%	3	0.00

Attachment C.12: Percent of Clients with Improvement (or No Change) in Quality of Life Domains - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who self-reported improvement (or no change) over time in the CSR quality of life domain questions. This measure is based on a comparison of the first follow-up CSR to the initial CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the nine quality of life questions under CSR Question #16: "How do you feel about.. "

- Your housing? • Your ability to support your basic needs of food, housing, etc.?
- Your safety in your home or where you sleep? • Your safety outside your home?
- How much people in your life support you? • Your friendships? • Your family situation?
- Your sense of spirituality, relationship with a higher power, or meaningfulness of life?
- Your life in general?

	Range	Low		High		PBF Points
	1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
	2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
	3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
	4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
	5	>=	80%			1.00
	6					
	7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	1	11%
30% to 49%	2	22%
50% to 69%	2	22%
70% to 79%	1	11%
80% or more	3	33%
TOTALS	9	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
0%	100%	63%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Youth	B # SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom	C % SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			100%	5	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			100%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			100%	5	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			57%	3	0.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom	% SA Youth Improved or Same - QoL Dom (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			0%	1	(0.25)
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			50%	3	0.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			48%	2	(0.25)
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			71%	4	0.50
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska			47%	2	(0.25)

Attachment C.13: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	1	3%
50% to 69%	4	13%
70% to 79%	9	28%
80% or more	18	56%
TOTALS	32	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
33%	100%	82%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SMI Clients	B # SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C % SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			75%	4	0.50
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			67%	3	0.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			73%	4	0.50
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			100%	5	1.00
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			65%	3	0.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			83%	5	1.00
602-13-205	21	Assets			100%	5	1.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			90%	5	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			63%	3	0.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SMI - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			78%	4	0.50
602-13-263	24	Daybreak			91%	5	1.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			100%	5	1.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			83%	5	1.00
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.			86%	5	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			33%	2	(0.25)
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			97%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			94%	5	1.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			89%	5	1.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			75%	4	0.50
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			65%	3	0.00
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			74%	4	0.50
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			93%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			95%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			93%	5	1.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			81%	5	1.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			73%	4	0.50
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			91%	5	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			71%	4	0.50
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			71%	4	0.50
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			91%	5	1.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			100%	5	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			72%	4	0.50

Attachment C.14: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	1	3%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	4	13%
70% to 79%	7	23%
80% or more	18	60%
TOTALS	30	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
0%	100%	80%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SED Clients	B # SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C % SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			87%	5	1.00
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services			81%	5	1.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			91%	5	1.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			0%	1	(0.25)
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			79%	4	0.50
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			100%	5	1.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			65%	3	0.00
602-13-250	50	Community Connections			91%	5	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			75%	4	0.50

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SED - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			100%	5	1.00
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services			84%	5	1.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	5	1.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			76%	4	0.50
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services			83%	5	1.00
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources			91%	5	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			62%	3	0.00
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care			100%	5	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			96%	5	1.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			100%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			88%	5	1.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			83%	5	1.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			-	1	(0.50)
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			63%	3	0.00
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			60%	3	0.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			100%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			73%	4	0.50
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			80%	5	1.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			71%	4	0.50
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			88%	5	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			71%	4	0.50
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			71%	4	0.50
605-13-005	65	YKHC			88%	5	1.00

Attachment C.15: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	1	3%
50% to 69%	6	15%
70% to 79%	6	15%
80% or more	26	67%
TOTALS	39	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
33%	100%	82%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Adults	B # SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C % SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)			87%	5	1.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)			87%	5	1.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			74%	4	0.50
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)			74%	4	0.50
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch			33%	2	(0.25)
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			99%	5	1.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			58%	3	0.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			100%	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			-	5	1.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			87%	5	1.00
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital			89%	5	1.00
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)			89%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			96%	5	1.00
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc			70%	4	0.50
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			100%	5	1.00
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			89%	5	1.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association			56%	3	0.00
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services			79%	4	0.50
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association			63%	3	0.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community			94%	5	1.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			100%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			88%	5	1.00
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center			54%	3	0.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			74%	4	0.50
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			87%	5	1.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			95%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			93%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			100%	5	1.00
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions			100%	5	1.00
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center			87%	5	1.00
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)			87%	5	1.00
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center			69%	3	0.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Adults - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			67%	3	0.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			78%	4	0.50
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			80%	5	1.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			80%	5	1.00
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)			80%	5	1.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			92%	5	1.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			89%	5	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			90%	5	1.00

Attachment C.16: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction with the quality of services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client responses to the following two questions under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- I was treated with respect
- I was able to get all the services I needed.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	0	0%
70% to 79%	2	22%
80% or more	7	78%
TOTALS	9	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
71%	100%	92%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Youth	B # SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services	C % SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			100%	5	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			100%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			100%	5	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			71%	4	0.50
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			100%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			100%	5	1.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			72%	4	0.50

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services	% SA Youth - Satisfied wQuality of Services (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			100%	5	1.00
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska			91%	5	1.00

Attachment C.17: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SMI Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	2	6%
50% to 69%	11	34%
70% to 79%	5	16%
80% or more	14	44%
TOTALS	32	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
33%	100%	76%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SMI Clients	B # SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C % SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			68%	3	0.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			50%	3	0.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			67%	3	0.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			100%	5	1.00
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			64%	3	0.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			33%	2	(0.25)
602-13-205	21	Assets			100%	5	1.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			80%	5	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			63%	3	0.00
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			89%	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SMI Clients	# SMI - Satisfied wImprove d Qual Life	% SMI - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-263	24	Daybreak			91%	5	1.00
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			100%	5	1.00
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			79%	4	0.50
602-13-251	32	Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.			77%	4	0.50
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			33%	2	(0.25)
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			88%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			88%	5	1.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			77%	4	0.50
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			68%	3	0.00
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			62%	3	0.00
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			65%	3	0.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			93%	5	1.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			92%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			93%	5	1.00
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			78%	4	0.50
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			73%	4	0.50
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			81%	5	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			65%	3	0.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			65%	3	0.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			89%	5	1.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			100%	5	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			61%	3	0.00

Attachment C.18: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SED Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	1	3%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	9	30%
70% to 79%	7	23%
80% or more	13	43%
TOTALS	30	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
0%	100%	75%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SED Clients	B # SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C % SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			83%	5	1.00
602-13-203	59	Alaska Children's Services			70%	4	0.50
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			92%	5	1.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			0%	1	(0.25)
602-13-204	77	Anchorage Community Mental Health Services			80%	5	1.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			100%	5	1.00
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			59%	3	0.00
602-13-250	50	Community Connections			89%	5	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			75%	4	0.50
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			100%	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SED Clients	# SED - Satisfied wImprove d Qual Life	% SED - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-201	75	Denali Family Services			78%	4	0.50
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	4	0.50
602-13-270	88	Fairbanks CBHC			70%	4	0.50
602-13-273	51	Family Centered Services			85%	5	1.00
602-13-206	52	Hope Community Resources			82%	5	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			55%	3	0.00
602-13-266	30	Kenai Penin. Comm. Care			100%	5	1.00
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			96%	5	1.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			94%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			63%	3	0.00
602-13-261	73	Mat-Su Health Svc.			79%	4	0.50
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			-	1	(0.50)
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			63%	3	0.00
602-13-262	80	Peninsula Comm. Health Sv.			62%	3	0.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			67%	3	0.00
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			73%	4	0.50
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			80%	5	1.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			71%	4	0.50
602-13-207	71	South Penin. Behav. Health			88%	5	1.00
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			67%	3	0.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			67%	3	0.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			69%	3	0.00

Attachment C.19: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SA Adult Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	1	3%
50% to 69%	3	8%
70% to 79%	9	23%
80% or more	26	67%
TOTALS	39	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
36%	100%	81%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Adults	B # SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C % SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-230	1045	AKEELA, Inc (Co-ed)			85%	5	1.00
602-13-231	1145	AKEELA, Inc (Women and Children)			85%	5	1.00
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			74%	4	0.50
602-13-552	1156	Akeela, Inc - Gateway (SA Tx Preg Women)			74%	4	0.50
602-13-280	16	Alaska Addiction Rehab Service - Nugen's Ranch			36%	2	(0.25)
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			93%	5	1.00
602-13-254	39	Alaska Island Counseling Services			58%	3	0.00
602-13-268	15	Aleutian Pribilof Isl. Assn.			100%	5	1.00
602-13-210	46	Arc of Anchorage			-	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wImprove d Qual Life	% SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-281	74	Bristol Bay Area Health Corp.			83%	5	1.00
602-13-282	1066	Central Peninsula General Hospital			88%	5	1.00
602-13-550	1166	Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Tx Preg Women)			88%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			92%	5	1.00
602-13-233	67	Cook Inlet Tribal Council, Inc			80%	5	1.00
602-13-283	48	Copper River Native Association			100%	5	1.00
602-13-288	38	Cordova Comm. Med. Clinic - Sound Alternatives			78%	4	0.50
602-13-264	69	Eastern Aleutian Tribes			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-271	14	Fairbanks Native Association			57%	3	0.00
602-13-241	7	Gastineau Human Services			82%	5	1.00
602-13-284	13	Interior Aids Association			75%	4	0.50
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-245	44	Ketchikan Indian Community			81%	5	1.00
602-13-252	35	Lynn Canal Counseling			86%	5	1.00
604-13-001	55	Maniilaq Asso.			88%	5	1.00
602-13-285	25	Narcotic Drug Treatment Center			54%	3	0.00
602-13-274	43	North Slope Borough			79%	4	0.50
605-13-002	53	Norton Sound Health Corporation			87%	5	1.00
602-13-253	40	Petersburg Mental Health Services			79%	4	0.50
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			89%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			92%	5	1.00
602-13-275	3	Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions			100%	5	1.00
602-13-243	1078	Rainforest Recovery Center			84%	5	1.00
602-13-551	1178	Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Tx Preg Women)			84%	5	1.00
602-13-235	1019	Salvation Army - Clitheroe Center			72%	4	0.50
602-13-287	2	Seaview Comm. Svc.			73%	4	0.50

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Adults	# SA Adults - Satisfied wImprove d Qual Life	% SA Adults - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			75%	4	0.50
602-13-208	1047	Southcentral Foundation - ANC			82%	5	1.00
602-13-200	1147	Southcentral Foundation - McG			82%	5	1.00
602-13-350	1247	Southcentral Foundation - ANC (IOP SA Tx)			82%	5	1.00
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			92%	5	1.00
602-13-211	12	Tanana Cheifs Conference			90%	5	1.00
605-13-005	65	YKHC			93%	5	1.00

Attachment C.20: Percent of Clients Satisfied with Services: Improved Quality of Life - SA Youth Population

Measure Description: This measure looks at the percentage of clients who reported satisfaction regarding an improved quality of life resulting from services received. This measure is based on the client's first follow-up CSR, where the first follow-up CSR occurred during the reporting period. This measure is based on client response to the following question under CSR Question #18: "How do you feel about the services you received?"

- The services improved the quality of my life.

Range	Low		High		PBF Points
1	>=	0%	<=	29%	(0.25)
2	>=	30%	<=	49%	(0.25)
3	>=	50%	<=	69%	0.00
4	>=	70%	<=	79%	0.50
5	>=	80%			1.00
6					
7					

For agencies with $ subject to PBF but no CSR outcome data:

a) if the agency did not have clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" that corresponds to the statewide average performance score;

b) if the agency had clients that were expected to have a follow-up CSR, but there was no CSR outcome data reported, then the agency was assigned a "PBF Point" of (-.50).

Attainment Range and Number / Percent of Grantees within each Range

Score Range	# of Grantees	% of Grantees
0 to 29%	0	0%
30% to 49%	0	0%
50% to 69%	0	0%
70% to 79%	2	22%
80% or more	7	78%
TOTALS	9	100%
Minimum	Maximum	Average
71%	100%	90%

Detail Data (grey columns) Not Displayed per HIPAA

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	A All SA Youth	B # SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life	C % SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-237	1056	Akeela, Inc - Gateway			100%	5	1.00
602-13-260	23	Alaska Family Services, Inc			100%	5	1.00
602-13-232	31	Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol & Drug Abuse			100%	5	1.00
602-13-244	72	Juneau Youth Services			71%	4	0.50
602-13-267	54	Kenaitze Indian Tribe			-	1	(0.50)
602-13-286	27	Providence Kodiak Island Counseling Center			100%	5	1.00
602-13-289	5	Providence Valdez Counseling Center			100%	5	1.00
602-13-247	9	Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services			72%	4	0.50
602-13-209	36	Southeast Alaska Regional Health Consortium			90%	5	1.00

FY13 Grant Number	Agency Grant Code	Agency Name (FY13 CBHTR Grants)	All SA Youth	# SA Youth - Satisfied wImprove d Qual Life	% SA Youth - Satisfied wImproved Qual Life (B/A)	Threshold Range	PBF Points
602-13-236	20	Volunteers of America Alaska			84%	5	1.00

Attachment D

FY2013 CBHTR Grantee Performance (through 3rd quarter) Report Card

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ACCESS ALASKA*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 100
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC (CO-ED)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$681,225
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$681,225
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$692,409
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$11,184	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	1.6%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$692,409

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			56.00
PEC Points Assigned			0.25

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	3	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	98%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	15%	0.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					97%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					60%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					87%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					85%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC (WOMEN AND CHILDREN)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$896,955
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$297,400
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$599,555
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$611,675
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$12,120	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	2.0%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$909,075

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			61.67
PEC Points Assigned			0.50

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	3	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	98%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	15%	0.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					97%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					60%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					87%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					85%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC - GATEWAY*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$981,098
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$981,098
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$980,855
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($243)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.0%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$980,855

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	8	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	87%	0.75
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	7%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	2.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	85%	1.00	74%	0.50	98%	1.00	100%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	63%	0.00	57%	0.00	58%	0.00	100%	1.00
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	75%	0.50	87%	1.00	74%	0.50	100%	1.00
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	68%	0.00	83%	1.00	74%	0.50	100%	1.00
Net PBF Points:		1.50		2.50		2.00		4.00

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *AKEELA, INC - GATEWAY (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$100,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$100,000
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$98,912
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($1,088)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(1.1%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$98,912

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	79%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	8	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	87%	0.75
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	7%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	2.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					98%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					58%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					74%	0.50		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					74%	0.50		
Net PBF Points:						2.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA ADDICTION REHAB SERVICE - NUGEN'S RANCH*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$878,829**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$878,829**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$879,549**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$720**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.1%**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$879,549**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**92%**	**0.50**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**1**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**100%**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**0%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					**85%**	**1.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					**77%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					**33%**	**(0.25)**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					**36%**	**(0.25)**		
Net PBF Points:						**1.00**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA CHILDREN'S SERVICES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$144,839
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$144,839
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$147,105
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$2,266	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	1.6%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$147,105

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score		69.00	
PEC Points Assigned		1.00	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	22	0.25
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	100%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	43%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	1.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)			70%	0.50				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)			74%	0.50				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)			81%	1.00				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)			70%	0.50				
Net PBF Points:				2.50				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA FAMILY SERVICES, INC*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$540,172
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$540,172
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$539,984
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($188)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.0%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$539,984

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			60.00
PEC Points Assigned			0.50

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	34	0.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	99%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	1%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	2.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	67%	0.00			92%	1.00	100%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	50%	0.00			54%	0.00	100%	1.00
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	67%	0.00			99%	1.00	100%	1.00
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	50%	0.00			93%	1.00	100%	1.00
Net PBF Points:		0.00				3.00		4.00

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA ISLAND COUNSELING SERVICES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$417,670
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$417,670
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$414,953
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($2,717)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.7%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$414,953

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	0	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	97%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	31%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	2.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	75%	0.50	79%	0.50	91%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	50%	0.00	75%	0.50	27%	(0.25)		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	73%	0.50	91%	1.00	58%	0.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	67%	0.00	92%	1.00	58%	0.00		
Net PBF Points:		1.00		3.00		0.75		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA MENTAL HEALTH CONSUMER WEB*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 149,094
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 149,094
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 149,094

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ALASKA YOUTH AND FAMILY NETWORK #987 & #988*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 765,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 765,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 765,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (APIA)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$302,647**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$302,647**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$302,411**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($236)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(0.1%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$302,411**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**4**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**84%**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**53%**	**(0.75)**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	**100%**	**1.00**	**0%**	**(0.25)**	**100%**	**1.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	**50%**	**0.00**	**0%**	**(0.25)**	**38%**	**(0.25)**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	**100%**	**1.00**	**0%**	**(0.25)**	**100%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	**100%**	**1.00**	**0%**	**(0.25)**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**(1.00)**		**2.75**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (#204)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$4,795,398
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$460,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$4,335,398
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$4,405,478
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$70,080	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	1.6%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$4,865,478

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score	62.00	63.33	
PEC Points Assigned	0.50	0.50	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	(54)	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	78%	0.50
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	2%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	80%	1.00	74%	0.50				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	54%	0.00	55%	0.00				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	65%	0.00	79%	0.50				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	64%	0.00	80%	1.00				
Net PBF Points:		1.00		2.00				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (#980, Bridge)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 750,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 750,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$750,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (#968, SOAR)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 18,850
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 18,850
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 18,850

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ANCHORAGE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES (#970)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 200,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 200,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 200,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ARC OF ANCHORAGE*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$342,630
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$342,630
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$335,994
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($6,636)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(1.9%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$335,994

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score	50.00	61.00	60.67
PEC Points Assigned	(1.00)	0.25	0.50

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	10	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	100%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	39%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	1.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	83%	1.00	50%	0.00	0%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	67%	0.00	50%	0.00	0%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	83%	1.00	100%	1.00	0%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	33%	(0.25)	100%	1.00	0%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		1.75		2.00		3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *ASSETS*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$787,707
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$787,707
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$757,321
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($30,386)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(3.9%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$757,321

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score	59.00		
PEC Points Assigned	0.25		

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	88	(0.25)
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	20%	(0.25)
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	37%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	0.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	33%	(0.25)						
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	33%	(0.25)						
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	100%	1.00						
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	100%	1.00						
Net PBF Points:		1.50						

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *BOYS AND GIRLS HOME OF ALASKA*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 100
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	79%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *BRISTOL BAY AREA HEALTH CORP.*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$937,662**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$937,662**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$946,811**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$9,149**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.0%**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$946,811**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**23**	**0.25**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**90%**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**10%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	**85%**	**1.00**	**71%**	**0.50**	**100%**	**1.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	**60%**	**0.00**	**53%**	**0.00**	**61%**	**0.00**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	**90%**	**1.00**	**65%**	**0.00**	**87%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	**80%**	**1.00**	**59%**	**0.00**	**83%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**0.50**		**3.00**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CATHOLIC COMMUNITY SERVICES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 125,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 125,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 125,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL (#282)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$87,807
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$87,807
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$90,812
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$3,005	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	3.4%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$90,812

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	8	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	97%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	2%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					92%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					82%	1.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					89%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					88%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						4.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL (#550, SA TX PREG WOMEN)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$249,998
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$249,998
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$258,553
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$8,555	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	3.4%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$258,553

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	8	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	97%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	2%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					92%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					82%	1.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					89%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					88%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						4.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CENTRAL PENINSULA GENERAL HOSPITAL #974*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 145,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 145,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 145,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CHOICES, INC. #923*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 100
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CHOICES, INC. #924*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 62,217
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 62,217
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 62,217

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	94%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CO-OCCURRING DISORDERS INSTITUTE, INC*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 300,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 300,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 300,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COMMUNITY CONNECTIONS*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$174,840**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$174,840**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$175,175**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$335**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**0.2%**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$175,175**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**83%**	**0.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**9**	**0.75**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**86%**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**8%**	**0.50**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)			**64%**	**0.00**				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)			**66%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)			**91%**	**1.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)			**89%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.00**				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COOK INLET COUNCIL ON ALCOHOL & DRUG ABUSE*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$486,477
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$486,477
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$499,714
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$13,237	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	2.7%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$499,714

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			57.33
PEC Points Assigned			0.25

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	10	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	94%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	4%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					90%	1.00	100%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					59%	0.00	100%	1.00
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					96%	1.00	100%	1.00
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					92%	1.00	100%	1.00
Net PBF Points:						3.00		4.00

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COOK INLET TRIBAL COUNCIL, INC*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$2,056,058
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$1,598,700
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ 457,358
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ 473,301
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$15,943	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	3.5%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$2,072,001

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			63.00
PEC Points Assigned			0.50

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	1	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	100%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	1%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	4.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					100%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					75%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					70%	0.50		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					80%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COPPER RIVER NATIVE ASSOCIATION*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$237,344**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$237,344**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$235,982**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($1,362)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(0.6%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$235,982**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**96%**	**0.75**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**(10)**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**82%**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**31%**	**(0.50)**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	**75%**	**0.50**	**75%**	**0.50**	**78%**	**0.50**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	**63%**	**0.00**	**75%**	**0.50**	**44%**	**(0.25)**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	**63%**	**0.00**	**75%**	**0.50**	**100%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	**63%**	**0.00**	**75%**	**0.50**	**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:		**0.50**		**2.00**		**2.25**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *CORDOVA COMMUNITY MEDICAL CLINIC, SOUND ALTERNATIVES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$357,605
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$357,605
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$358,568
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$963	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.3%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$358,568

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	(1)	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	93%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	23%	(0.25)
	Net PBF Points:	2.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	89%	1.00	50%	0.00	78%	0.50		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	78%	0.50	50%	0.00	56%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	78%	0.50	100%	1.00	89%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	89%	1.00	100%	1.00	78%	0.50		
Net PBF Points:		3.00		2.00		2.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *COUNCIL OF ATHABASCAN TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 100
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	67%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DAYBREAK*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$98,906
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$98,906
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$101,332
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$2,426	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	2.5%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$101,332

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score	60.67		
PEC Points Assigned	0.50		

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	88%	0.25
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	3	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	96%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	3%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	100%	1.00						
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	45%	(0.25)						
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	91%	1.00						
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	91%	1.00						
Net PBF Points:		2.75						

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DENALI FAMILY SERVICES (#201)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$106,101
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$106,101
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$107,840
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$1,739	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	1.6%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$107,840

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score		58.33	
PEC Points Assigned		0.25	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	96%	0.75
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	(34)	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	98%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	9%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	3.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)			65%	0.00				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)			68%	0.00				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)			84%	1.00				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)			78%	0.50				
Net PBF Points:				1.50				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DENALI FAMILY SERVICES #975*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 200,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 200,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 200,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	94%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *DENALI FAMILY SERVICES #978*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 200,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 200,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 200,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	94%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *EASTERN ALEUTIAN TRIBES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$291,551
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$291,551
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$281,927
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($9,624)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(3.3%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$281,927

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	83%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	7	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	93%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	49%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	1.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	100%	1.00	0%	0.00	0%	(0.50)		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	100%	1.00	0%	0.00	0%	(0.50)		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	100%	1.00	0%	1.00	0%	(0.50)		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	100%	1.00	0%	0.50	0%	(0.50)		
Net PBF Points:		4.00		1.50		(2.00)		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center (FCBHC)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$2,595,939
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$2,595,939
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$2,540,494
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($55,445)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(2.1%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$2,540,494

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score		47.33	
PEC Points Assigned		(1.00)	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	83%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	32	0.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	73%	0.50
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	9%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	1.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	69%	0.00	70%	0.50				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	58%	0.00	62%	0.00				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	83%	1.00	76%	0.50				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	79%	0.50	70%	0.50				
Net PBF Points:		1.50		1.50				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAIRBANKS NATIVE ASSOCIATION*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$3,552,576
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$2,131,600
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$1,420,976
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$1,379,830
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($41,146)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(2.9%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$3,511,430

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			36.33
PEC Points Assigned			(1.00)

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	83%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	2	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	98%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	10%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	2.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					92%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					58%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					56%	0.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					57%	0.00		
Net PBF Points:						1.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAMILY CENTERED SERVICES OF ALASKA (#273)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$562,672
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$562,672
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$550,638
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($12,034)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(2.1%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$550,638

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score		49.33	
PEC Points Assigned		(0.50)	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	83%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	17	0.50
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	88%	0.75
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	34%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	0.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)			76%	0.50				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)			54%	0.00				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)			83%	1.00				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)			85%	1.00				
Net PBF Points:				2.50				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *FAMILY CENTERED SERVICES OF ALASKA #965*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 200,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 200,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 200,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	83%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *GASTINEAU HUMAN SERVICES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$337,962
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$337,962
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$345,583
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$7,621	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	2.3%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$345,583

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			60.00
PEC Points Assigned			0.50

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	1	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	94%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	6%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	3.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					94%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					58%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					79%	0.50		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					82%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						2.50		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *HOPE COMMUNITY RESOURCES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$75,376**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$75,376**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$77,920**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$2,544**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**3.4%**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$77,920**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score		**61.00**	
PEC Points Assigned		**0.25**	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**6**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**82%**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**1%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)			**73%**	**0.50**				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)			**64%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)			**91%**	**1.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)			**82%**	**1.00**				
Net PBF Points:				**2.50**				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *INTERIOR AIDS ASSOCIATION*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$222,015
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$222,015
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$221,998
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($17)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.0%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$221,998

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	49	(0.25)
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	93%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	2%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	2.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					100%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					75%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					63%	0.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					75%	0.50		
Net PBF Points:						2.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU ALLIANCE FOR MENTAL HEALTH INC.*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$1,598,072
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$300,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$1,298,072
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$1,327,082
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$29,010	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	2.2%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$1,627,082

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	1	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	91%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	6%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	3.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	81%	1.00						
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	57%	0.00						
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	86%	1.00						
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	77%	0.50						
Net PBF Points:		2.50						

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU YOUTH SERVICES (#244)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$880,217**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$880,217**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$869,915**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($10,302)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(1.2%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$869,915**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**(2)**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**99%**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**43%**	**(0.50)**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)			**68%**	**0.00**			**86%**	**1.00**
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)			**49%**	**(0.25)**			**57%**	**0.00**
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)			**62%**	**0.00**			**71%**	**0.50**
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)			**55%**	**0.00**			**71%**	**0.50**
Net PBF Points:				**(0.25)**				**2.00**

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU YOUTH SERVICES #977*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 100,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *JUNEAU YOUTH SERVICES #952*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 80,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 80,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 80,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$14,593
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$14,593
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$14,682
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$89	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.6%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$14,682

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score		41.00	
PEC Points Assigned		(1.00)	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	4	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	92%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	6%	0.50
	Net PBF Points:	3.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)			100%	1.00				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)			0%	(0.25)				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)			100%	1.00				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)			100%	1.00				
Net PBF Points:				2.75				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *KENAITZE INDIAN TRIBE*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$80,909
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$80,909
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$77,438
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($3,471)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(4.3%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$77,438

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score	51.33	52.33	45.67
PEC Points Assigned	(0.50)	(0.50)	(1.00)

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	(1)	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	68%	0.25
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	44%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	1.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	67%	0.00	54%	0.00	0%	(0.50)	0%	(0.50)
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	100%	1.00	50%	0.00	0%	(0.50)	0%	(0.50)
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	33%	(0.25)	96%	1.00	0%	(0.50)	0%	(0.50)
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	33%	(0.25)	96%	1.00	0%	(0.50)	0%	(0.50)
Net PBF Points:		0.50		2.00		(2.00)		(2.00)

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *KETCHIKAN INDIAN COMMUNITY*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$14,734
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$14,734
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$14,219
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($515)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(3.5%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$14,219

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	67%	(0.50)
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	1	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	64%	0.25
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	60%	(0.75)
	Net PBF Points:	0.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					75%	0.50		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					56%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					94%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					81%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						2.50		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *LYNN CANAL COUNSELING*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$262,709
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$262,709
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$271,391
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$8,682	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	3.3%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$271,391

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	1	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	93%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	2%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	4.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	89%	1.00	72%	0.50	86%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	76%	0.50	78%	0.50	57%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	97%	1.00	100%	1.00	100%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	88%	1.00	94%	1.00	86%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		3.50		3.00		3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *Maniilaq Association*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$1,363,670
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$1,363,670
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$1,362,024
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($1,646)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.1%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$1,362,024

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	23	0.25
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	77%	0.50
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	11%	0.00
	Net PBF Points:	1.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	94%	1.00	88%	1.00	94%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	69%	0.00	38%	(0.25)	65%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	94%	1.00	88%	1.00	88%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	88%	1.00	63%	0.00	88%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		3.00		1.75		3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *MAT-SU HEALTH SERVICES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$1,702,095
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$1,702,095
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$1,721,903
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$19,808	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	1.2%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$1,721,903

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score	61.67	69.00	
PEC Points Assigned	0.50	1.00	

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	0	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	99%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	11%	0.00
	Net PBF Points:	2.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	82%	1.00	69%	0.00				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	66%	0.00	57%	0.00				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	89%	1.00	83%	1.00				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	77%	0.50	79%	0.50				
Net PBF Points:		2.50		1.50				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *METLAKATLA INDIAN COMMUNITY*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 100
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NARCOTIC DRUG TREATMENT CENTER*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$950,301
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$950,301
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$937,525
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($12,776)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(1.3%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$937,525

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	90%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	34	0.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	100%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	3%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	2.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					96%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					67%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					54%	0.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					54%	0.00		
Net PBF Points:						1.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NORTH SLOPE BOROUGH*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$777,286**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$777,286**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$764,354**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($12,932)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(1.7%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$764,354**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**83%**	**0.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**62**	**(0.25)**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**78%**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**32%**	**(0.50)**
	Net PBF Points:	**(0.25)**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	**67%**	**0.00**	**0%**	**(0.50)**	**81%**	**1.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	**60%**	**0.00**	**0%**	**(0.50)**	**40%**	**(0.25)**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	**75%**	**0.50**	**0%**	**(0.50)**	**74%**	**0.50**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	**68%**	**0.00**	**0%**	**(0.50)**	**79%**	**0.50**		
Net PBF Points:		**0.50**		**(2.00)**		**1.75**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *NORTON SOUND HEALTH CORPORATION*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$1,287,492
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$100,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$1,187,492
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$1,182,546
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($4,946)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.4%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$1,282,546

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	10	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	75%	0.50
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	31%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	1.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	55%	0.00	38%	(0.25)	92%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	58%	0.00	38%	(0.25)	53%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	65%	0.00	63%	0.00	87%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	62%	0.00	63%	0.00	87%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		0.00		(0.50)		3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *Peninsula Community Health Services*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$528,656**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$528,656**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$518,708**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($9,948)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(1.9%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$518,708**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**88%**	**0.25**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**(299)**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**77%**	**0.50**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**95%**	**(0.75)**
	Net PBF Points:	**1.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	**74%**	**0.50**	**60%**	**0.00**				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	**53%**	**0.00**	**58%**	**0.00**				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	**74%**	**0.50**	**60%**	**0.00**				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	**65%**	**0.00**	**62%**	**0.00**				
Net PBF Points:		**1.00**		**0.00**				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PETERSBURG MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$353,498**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$353,498**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$358,024**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$4,526**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**1.3%**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$358,024**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**3**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**97%**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**18%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.00**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	**93%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**83%**	**1.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	**67%**	**0.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**72%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	**93%**	**1.00**	**100%**	**1.00**	**95%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	**93%**	**1.00**	**67%**	**0.00**	**79%**	**0.50**		
Net PBF Points:		**3.00**		**3.00**		**3.00**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PRESBYTERIAN HOSPITALITY HOUSE #956*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 100,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE HEALTH SYSTEM (PROVIDENCE CRISIS RESPITE CENTER) - ADULT*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$812,700**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$812,700**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$811,611**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($1,089)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(0.1%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$811,611**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**89%**	**0.25**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE HEALTH SYSTEM (PROVIDENCE CRISIS RESPITE CENTER) - YOUTH*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$371,600**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$87,600**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$284,000**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$283,619**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($381)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(0.1%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$371,219**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**89%**	**0.25**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	**0.25**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE KODIAK ISLAND COUNSELING CENTER*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$805,208
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$805,208
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$808,152
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$2,944	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.4%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$808,152

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	86%	0.25
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	(1)	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	93%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	15%	0.00
	Net PBF Points:	2.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	84%	1.00	73%	0.50	93%	1.00	100%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	68%	0.00	27%	(0.25)	36%	(0.25)	0%	(0.25)
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	95%	1.00	73%	0.50	93%	1.00	100%	1.00
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	92%	1.00	73%	0.50	89%	1.00	100%	1.00
Net PBF Points:		3.00		1.25		2.75		2.75

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *PROVIDENCE VALDEZ COUNSELING CENTER*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$329,232
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$329,232
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$331,562
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$2,330	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.7%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$331,562

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	97%	0.75
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	12	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	90%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	26%	(0.25)
	Net PBF Points:	2.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	86%	1.00	60%	0.00	83%	1.00	100%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	86%	1.00	40%	(0.25)	75%	0.50	50%	0.00
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	93%	1.00	80%	1.00	100%	1.00	100%	1.00
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	93%	1.00	80%	1.00	92%	1.00	100%	1.00
Net PBF Points:		4.00		1.75		3.50		3.00

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RAILBELT MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDICTIONS*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$295,831**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$295,831**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$292,982**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($2,849)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(1.0%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$292,982**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**81%**	**0.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**9**	**0.75**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**53%**	**0.00**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**14%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**0.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					**83%**	**1.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					**67%**	**0.00**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					**100%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					**100%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.00**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RAINFOREST RECOVERY CENTER*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$650,414**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$ 72,679**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$577,735**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$592,521**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**$14,786**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**2.6%**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$665,200**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**92%**	**0.50**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**(8)**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**98%**	**1.00**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**1%**	**1.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**3.50**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					**93%**	**1.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					**70%**	**0.50**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					**87%**	**1.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					**84%**	**1.00**		
Net PBF Points:						**3.50**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RAINFOREST RECOVERY CENTER (SA TREATMENT, PREGNANT WOMEN)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$159,530
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$159,530
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$162,447
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$2,917	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	1.8%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$162,447

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	78%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	(8)	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	98%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	1%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					93%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					70%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					87%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					84%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						3.50		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *RESIDENTIAL YOUTH CARE*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 45,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 45,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 45,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	65%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SALVATION ARMY - CLITHEROE CENTER*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$3,305,214
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$3,305,214
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$3,314,922
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$9,708	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.3%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$3,314,922

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			57.67
PEC Points Assigned			0.25

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	83%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	14	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	98%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	1%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	2.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					92%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					74%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					69%	0.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					72%	0.50		
Net PBF Points:						2.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SEAVIEW COMMUNITY SERVICES*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$365,193**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$365,193**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$363,369**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($1,824)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(0.5%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$363,369**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**100%**	**1.00**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	**3**	**1.00**
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	**89%**	**0.75**
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	**18%**	**0.00**
	Net PBF Points:	**2.75**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	**59%**	**0.00**			**68%**	**0.00**		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	**51%**	**0.00**			**35%**	**(0.25)**		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	**81%**	**1.00**			**67%**	**0.00**		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	**78%**	**0.50**			**73%**	**0.50**		
Net PBF Points:		**1.50**				**0.25**		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SITKA COUNSELING AND PREVENTION SERVICES (#247)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$896,707
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$896,707
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$889,765
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($6,942)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.8%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$889,765

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	22	0.25
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	85%	0.75
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	16%	0.00
	Net PBF Points:	2.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	80%	1.00	71%	0.50	96%	1.00	100%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	47%	(0.25)	43%	(0.25)	55%	0.00	48%	(0.25)
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	73%	0.50	71%	0.50	78%	0.50	72%	0.50
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	73%	0.50	71%	0.50	75%	0.50	72%	0.50
Net PBF Points:		1.75		1.25		2.00		1.75

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SITKA COUNSELING AND PREVENTION SERVICES #982*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 156,797
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 156,797
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 156,797

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *South Peninsula Behavioral Health Services*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$358,359
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$358,359
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$366,408
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$8,049	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	2.2%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$366,408

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	0	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	91%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	3%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	4.00

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	75%	0.50	60%	0.00				
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	72%	0.50	58%	0.00				
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	91%	1.00	88%	1.00				
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	81%	1.00	88%	1.00				
Net PBF Points:		3.00		2.00				

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - Anchorage (#208)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$393,746
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$393,746
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$392,291
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($1,455)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.4%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$392,291

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score	48.67	57.33	47.67
PEC Points Assigned	(1.00)	0.25	(0.50)

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	7	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	87%	0.75
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	46%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	2.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	75%	0.50	65%	0.00	93%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	50%	0.00	42%	(0.25)	73%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	71%	0.50	71%	0.50	80%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	65%	0.00	67%	0.00	82%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		1.00		0.25		3.50		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - McGrath (#200)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$309,371
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$309,371
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$300,598
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($8,773)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(2.8%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$300,598

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	63%	(0.75)
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	7	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	87%	0.75
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	46%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	0.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	75%	0.50	65%	0.00	93%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	50%	0.00	42%	(0.25)	73%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	71%	0.50	71%	0.50	80%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	65%	0.00	67%	0.00	82%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		1.00		0.25		3.50		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - Anchorage (#350, IOP SA Tx)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$335,493
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$335,493
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$337,949
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$2,456	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.7%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$337,949

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	7	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	87%	0.75
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	46%	(0.50)
	Net PBF Points:	2.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)					93%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)					73%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)					80%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)					82%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:						3.50		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHCENTRAL FOUNDATION - Anchorage (#920)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 123,689
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 123,689
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 123,689

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHEAST ALASKA REGIONAL HEALTH CONSORTIUM (#209)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$906,802
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$906,802
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$902,721
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($4,081)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(0.5%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$902,721

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	9	0.75
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	77%	0.50
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	14%	0.00
	Net PBF Points:	1.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	74%	0.50			89%	1.00	100%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	66%	0.00			61%	0.00	71%	0.50
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	91%	1.00			92%	1.00	100%	1.00
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	89%	1.00			92%	1.00	90%	1.00
Net PBF Points:		2.50				3.00		3.50

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOUTHEAST ALASKA REGIONAL HEALTH CONSORTIUM - JYS (#057)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 100
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$100
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 100

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *SOTERIA-ALASKA, INC.*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 375,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 375,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 375,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *TANANA CHEIFS CONFERENCE*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$1,208,195
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$1,208,195
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$1,211,414
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$3,219	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.3%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$1,211,414

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	92%	0.50
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	0	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	91%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	81%	(0.75)
	Net PBF Points:	1.75

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	100%	1.00			95%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	100%	1.00			75%	0.50		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	100%	1.00			89%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	100%	1.00			90%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		4.00				3.50		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *TOK AREA MENTAL HEALTH COUNCIL*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		**$224,823**
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		**$-**
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		**$224,823**
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		**$221,166**
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	**($3,657)**	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	**(1.6%)**	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		**$221,166**

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	**58%**	**(0.75)**
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	**(0.75)**

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:								

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA ALASKA (#236)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$983,352
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$-
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$983,352
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$990,584
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$7,232	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	0.7%	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$990,584

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	1.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	20	0.50
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	97%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	1%	1.00
	Net PBF Points:	3.50

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)							87%	1.00
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)							47%	(0.25)
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)							91%	1.00
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)							84%	1.00
Net PBF Points:								2.75

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *VOLUNTEERS OF AMERICA OF ALASKA (#983)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 381,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 381,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 381,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *YUKON KUSKOKWIM HEALTH CORPORATION (YKHC)*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary (Attachment A.1)

a)	FY13 Award :		$2,648,838
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$272,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$2,376,838
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$2,347,908
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	($28,930)	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	(1.2%)	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$2,619,908

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned			

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	79%	0.00
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)	(17)	1.00
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)	92%	1.00
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)	77%	(0.75)
	Net PBF Points:	1.25

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)	83%	1.00	56%	0.00	100%	1.00		
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)	33%	(0.25)	69%	0.00	60%	0.00		
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)	72%	0.50	88%	1.00	90%	1.00		
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)	61%	0.00	69%	0.00	93%	1.00		
Net PBF Points:		1.25		1.00		3.00		

Attachment D. FY2014 CBHTR PBF Grantee Performance Report Card

GRANTEE: *YOUTH ADVOCATES OF SITKA #981*

FY2014 Funding Allocation Summary

a)	FY13 Award :		$ 200,000
b)	Set Asides (Fixed $ to Add Back):		$ 200,000
c)	FY13 $ Subject to Performance Based Funding **(a - b)**:		$ -
d)	FY14 $ Reflecting Adjustments Due to Performance:		$ -
e)	Change ($) Reflecting performance **(d - c)**:	$ -	
f)	Change (%) Reflecting performance **(e / c)**:	-	
g)	FY14 Total Award with Set Aside $ Added Back **(d + b)**:		$ 200,000

Performance: FY2014 PEC Summary Score and PEC Points (Attachment A.8)

Target Population	SMI	SED	SA Adult
PEC Summary Score			
PEC Points Assigned	N/A	N/A	N/A

Performance: FY2013 PBF Measures (Performance Through 3rd Quarter)

PBF Compliance & Efficiency Measures:	Performance	PBF Points
1. Grant Review and Progress Report - DBH Staff Score (Attachment C.1)	100%	N/A
2. Average # of Days from AST to First Treatment Service (Attachment C.2)		
3. Percent of Clients Served within 30 Days of Program Enrollment (Attachment C.3)		
4. Percent of Enrolled Clients Not Served within 135 Days (Attachment C.4)		
	Net PBF Points:	N/A

PBF Outcomes (Effectiveness) Measures:

Target Population	SMI		SED		SA Adult		SA Youth	
Performance / PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points	%	PBF Points
5. CSR – Health Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.5-C.8)								
6. CSR – Quality of Life Domains: % of Clients Who Improved or Stayed the Same (Attachments C.9-C.12)								
7. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Quality of Services (Attachments C.13-C.16)								
8. CSR – Client Satisfaction: % of Clients Satisfied with Improved Quality of Life (Attachments C.17-C.20)								
Net PBF Points:	N/A		N/A		N/A		N/A	

Attachment E

FY2013 CBHTR Grant Review and Progress Report (through 3rd quarter)

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Access Alaska**

Grant No: **602-13-202** **FY13 Funding:** **$1,005**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/8/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**1,005**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		1,005	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Access Alaska was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Access Alaska consistently attended and participated in the community planning group, which accomplished projects that improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Access Alaska spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Access Alaska reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: Access Alaska

Grant No: 602-13-202 **FY12 funding:** $1,005

Reviewer: Lisa Rosay **Date:** 5/8/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Akeela, Inc. (Co-Ed)**

Grant No: **602-13-230** **FY13 Funding:** **$681,255**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
681,255	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		681,255	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Akeela is a very active participant in the Anchorage Providers Meetings, and consistently volunteers for subcommittees. The planning group has accomplished projects during this fiscal year that have improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly AKAIMS Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model Quarterly Reports reported progress on the outcome indicators.

Grantee: Akeela, Inc. (Co-ed)

Grant No: 602-13-230 **FY12 funding:** $681,255

Reviewer: Joan Houlihan **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Akeela, Inc. (Women and Children)**

Grant No: **602-13-231** **FY13 Funding:** **$896,955**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**188,035**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
708,920	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		896,955	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Akeela is a very active participant in the Anchorage Providers Group and other community planning groups, and reports improvements in services through interagency coordination in their Quarterly Narrative Reports.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All 3 quarters' reports were submitted accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly AKAIMS Reports were timely, accurate, and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The logic model quarterly reports noted progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: Akeela, Inc. (Women and Children)

Grant No: 602-13-231 **FY12 funding:** $896,955

Reviewer: Joan Houlihan **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Akeela Gateway (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women)**

Grant No: **602-13-552** **FY13 Funding:** **$100,000**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**100,000**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		100,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Pregnant Women Intensive Outpatient Treatment Services

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:
AKAIMS Quarterly Summary indicates zero (0) pregnant women admitted to treatment during the 1st three quarters of FY13.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialist reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

In the 1st quarter Gateway submitted reports for the wrong grant, when this was pointed out to them they submitted the correct reports on 12/7/12.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: **Akeela Gateway (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women)**

Grant No: **602-13-552** **FY12 funding:** **$100,000**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**1**	**3**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**79.17%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Gateway Center for Human Services an AKEELA Program**

Grant No: **602-13-237** **FY13 Funding:** **$981,098**

Reviewer: **Richard L. Nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

36,397	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**14,986**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**513,914**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**117,215**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
219,713	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
78,873	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		981,098	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Ketchikan CAP has made great progress in that the DBH grantees meet on a regular basis. The goal for next year would be to better coordinate with agencies that are not DBH grantees.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Grant funds were expended in a manner that is consistent with the Notice of Grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Reports were thorough.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic Model was used to improve adult and children's services.

Grantee: Gateway Center for Human Services an AKEELA Program

Grant No: 602-13-237 **FY12 funding:** $981,098

Reviewer: Richard L. Nault **Date:** 5/20/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Alaska Addiction Recovery Services/Nugen's Ranch**

Grant No: **602-13-280** **FY13 Funding:** **$924,679**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
924,679	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		924,679	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Alaska Addiction Recovery Services/Nugen's Ranch

Grant No: 602-13-280 **FY12 funding:** $924,679

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Alaska Children's Services (ACS)**

Grant No: **602-13-203** **FY13 Funding:** **$144,839**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
144,839	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		144,839	**TOTAL**

Comments:

ACS was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

ACS consistently attended and participated in the community planning group, which accomplished projects that improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

ACS spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

ACS reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: Alaska Children's Services (ACS)

Grant No: 602-13-203 **FY12 funding:** $144,839

Reviewer: Lisa Rosay **Date:** 5/9/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Alaska Family Services**

Grant No: **602-13-260** **FY13 Funding:** **$540,172**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**135,043**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**216,069**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**189,060**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		540,172	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Although the CEO is an active participant in the community planning group, there are no documented updates to the FY13 Community Action Plan since it was originally produced.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports have been submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quarterly reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

All Quarterly AKAIMS Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

AFS reported progress quarterly on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: **Alaska Family Services**

Grant No: **602-13-260** **FY12 funding:** **$540,172**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Alaska Island Community Services**

Grant No: **602-13-254** **FY13 Funding:** **$417,670**

Reviewer: **Richard L. Nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

200,634	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**46,466**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**132,724**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
37,846	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		417,670	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Improved in the area of tele-behavioral health.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Grant funds were appropriately expended.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted by deadline

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports were thorough.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS information was complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model outputs accomplished. Overall progress difficult to measure given information provided.

Grantee: **Alaska Island Community Services**

Grant No: **602-13-254** **FY12 funding:** **$417,670**

Reviewer: **Richard L. Nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web**

Grant No: **602-12-918** **FY13 Funding:** **$149,094**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/13/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**149,094**	Other
		149,094	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Evidence-Based Practices Consumer Operated Services & Illness Self-Management Grant Program.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

n/a - not required as this is not a CBHTR grant

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports submitted in a timely manner. 1st Q: CFR (10/29/12) Narrative (10/30/12); 2nd Q: CFR (01/29/13) Narrative (1/29/13); 3rd Q: CFR (04/30/13) Narrative (04/30/13)

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

N/A - AKAIMS report not required. (Specialty report showing Activity Log was submitted).

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model shows progress on indicators.

Grantee: Alaska Mental Health Consumer Web

Grant No: 602-12-918 **FY12 funding:** $149,094

Reviewer: Sherrie Hinshaw **Date:** 5/13/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Alaska Youth & Family Network**

Grant No: **602-13-987** **FY13 Funding:** **$475,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA	
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH	
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA	
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA	
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services	
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA	
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH	
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA	
	Recovery Camps – SA	**475,000**	Other	
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		**475,000**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Alaska Youth & Family Network (AYFN) is funded to provide is state-wide peer support networking services to children & and their families to assist them in navigating the various systems of Behavioral Health including, residential placement, OCS/DJJ involvement, outpatient services, Court ORdered Case Plans, etc. AYFN is recognized by SAMSHA as the state family networking organization. This grant is specifically for the Anchorage and SouthCentral Regions.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Agency is spending their funds to provdie the services described in their RFP; however, they are on pace to expend less tha 80% of their FY13 Funds.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Agency continues to show improvement in timeliness; however, two of the 3 quarters of reports have been submitted after set due date.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee continues to improve their service delivery, and they continue to take steps necessary increae Medicaid Billing for Case Management Services via MOA with Alaska Family Services as the billing agent.

Grantee: Alaska Youth & Family Network

Grant No: 602-13-987 **FY12 funding:** $475,000

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Alaska Youth & Family Network**

Grant No: **602-13-988** **FY13 Funding:** **$300,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA	
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH	
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA	
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA	
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services	
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA	
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH	
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA	
	Recovery Camps – SA	**300,000**	Other	
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		**300,000**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Alaska Youth & Family Network (AYFN) is funded to provide is state-wide peer support networking services to children & and their families to assist them in navigating the various systems of Behavioral Health including, residential placement, OCS/DJJ involvement, outpatient services, Court Ordered Case Plans, etc. AYFN is recognized by SAMSHA as the state family networking organization. This grant is specifically for the Northern and Southeast Regions.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Agency is spending their funds to provdie the services described in their RFP; however, they are on pace to expend less tha 80% of their FY13 Funds.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Agency continues to show improvement in timeliness; however, two of the 3 quarters of reports have been submitted after set due date.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee continues to improve their service delivery, and they continue to take steps necessary increae Medicaid Billing for Case Management Services via MOA with Alaska Family Services as the billing agent.

Grantee: **Alaska Youth & Family Network**

Grant No: **602-13-988** **FY12 funding:** **$300,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (APIA)**

Grant No: **602-13-268** **FY13 Funding:** **$302,647**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

68,780	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**88,178**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**104,105**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
41,584	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		302,647	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comment.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

Grantee: **Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association (APIA)**

Grant No: **602-13-268** **FY12 funding:** **$302,647**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (ACMHS)**

Grant No: **602-13-204** **FY13 Funding:** **$4,804,398**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**3,497,525**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
1,306,873	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		4,804,398	**TOTAL**

Comments:

ACMHS was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:
ACMHS consistently attended and participated in the community planning group, which accomplished projects that improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:
ACMHS spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

ACMHS reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (ACMHS)**

Grant No: **602-13-204** **FY12 funding:** **$4,804,398**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (ACMHS); Bridge Home**

Grant No: **602-13-980** **FY13 Funding:** **$750,000**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/7/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**750,000**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		750,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Consensus score with other Anchorage providers (Lisa, Joan)

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

A focus on more intensive needs clients should be maintained to stay on mission with the purposes of this grant.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports submitted in a timely manner. 1st Q: CFR (10/30/12) Narrative (10/29/12); 2nd Q: CFR (01/16/13) Narrative (1/24/13); 3rd Q: CFR (04/25/13) Narrative (04/30/13)

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Numbers enrolled continue to decrease over time.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model shows progress on one indicator. Other indicators could be established and tracked in the future, i.e. time in housing, employment, etc.

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (ACMHS); Bridge Home**

Grant No: **602-13-980** **FY12 funding:** **$750,000**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/7/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services - SOAR**

Grant No: **602-13-968** **FY13 Funding:** **$18,834**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/8/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**18,834**	Other
		18,834	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

N/A: No CAP required for this grant.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Logic Model, CFR, and T&R Quarterly Reports submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

N/A - not required to submit AKAIMS quarterly reports for this grant.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee's logic model showed progress on indicators.

Grantee: Anchorage Community Mental Health Services - SOAR

Grant No: 602-13-968 **FY12 funding:** $18,834

Reviewer: Sherrie Hinshaw **Date:** 5/8/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)**

Grant No: **602-13-970** **FY13 Funding:** **$200,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
200,000	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**200,000**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Anchorage Community Mental Health Services provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing theTIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Anchroage and surrounding area. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within ACMHS continuum of care. ACMHS began the process of identifying an in house training coordinator for TIP to further strenghten their sustainability plan wit this project.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: **Anchorage Community Mental Health Services (SED Youth & Family Transitional)**

Grant No: **602-13-970** **FY12 funding:** **$200,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **The Arc of Anchorage (ARC)**

Grant No: **602-13-210** **FY13 Funding:** **$342,630**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**227,229**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**41,253**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
74,148	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		342,630	**TOTAL**

Comments:

ARC was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report, with the exception of submitting parts of their quarterly report late.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

ARC consistently attended and participated in the community planning group, which accomplished projects that improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

ARC spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

ARC did not submit their financial reports to Grants and Contracts by the deadline in both the 2nd and 3rd quarter.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

ARC reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: The Arc of Anchorage (ARC)

Grant No: 602-13-210 **FY12 funding:** $342,630

Reviewer: Lisa Rosay **Date:** 5/9/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Assets, Inc.**

Grant No: **602-13-205** **FY13 Funding:** **$787,707**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/13/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**787,707**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		787,707	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Great that they have included in their plan how they in particular have made progress on the CAP.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports submitted in a timely manner. 1st Q: CFR (10/29/12) Narrative (10/30/12); 2nd Q: CFR (01/28/13) Narrative (1/29/13); 3rd Q: CFR (04/29/13) Narrative (not marked in e-grants)

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarter 3 numbers served dropped to about 50% of average (31). Grantee confirmed these numbers are accurate due to high number of discharges lately.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model shows progress on several indicators.

Grantee: Assets, Inc.

Grant No: 602-13-205 **FY12 funding:** $787,707

Reviewer: Sherrie Hinshaw **Date:** 5/13/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Boys & Girls Home of Alaska**

Grant No: **602-13-953** **FY13 Funding:** **$100**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
100	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**100**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Boys & Girls Home of Alaska provides fee for service residential services (equivalent to BRS Level III) to SED Youth ages 10-18 in Fairbanks. This grant provides the avenue for tehm to Medicaid for services delivered.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 0 Weighted Score: 0

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Agency experienced significant turnover in key leadership positions this fiscal year. No Quarterly Reports, CFR's, AKAIMS quarterly reports or Logic Model Reports were submitted on time for any quarter.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Boys & Girls Home of Alaska

Grant No: 602-13-953 **FY12 funding:** $100

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**0**	**0**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**79.17%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation (BBAHC)**

Grant No: **602-13-281** **FY13 Funding:** **$937,662**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

61,784	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**288,196**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**96,152**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
293,348	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
198,182	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		937,662	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee reports increased collaboration with Adult Probation, with resulting increase in clients.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

Grantee: Bristol Bay Area Health Corporation (BBAHC)

Grant No: 602-13-281 **FY12 funding:** $937,662

Reviewer: Holly Byrnes **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Catholic Community Services**

Grant No: **602-13-131** **FY13 Funding:** **$150,000**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**150,000**	Other
		150,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Name of Grant: Senior Outreach, Assessment and Referral Project (SOAR)

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

CCS consistently and actively collaborated with multiple community resources in order to effectively deliveer the services described in this project and, as a result, the communities in which these services were delivered were improved as a whole.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

The required reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

This project does not require AKAIMS reports.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

This project does not require a Logic Model.

Grantee: Catholic Community Services

Grant No: 602-13-131 **FY12 funding:** $150,000

Reviewer: Joan Houlihan **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Central Peninsula General Hospital**

Grant No: **602-13-282** **FY13 Funding:** **$87,807**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
87,807	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		87,807	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Central Peninsula General Hospital

Grant No: 602-13-282 **FY12 funding:** $87,807

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women)**

Grant No: **602-13-550** **FY13 Funding:** **$249,998**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**249,998**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		249,998	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Pregnant Women IOP

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Central Peninsula General Hospital (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women)

Grant No: 602-13-550 **FY12 funding:** $249,998

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Central Peninsula General Hospital**

Grant No: **602-13-974** **FY13 Funding:** **$145,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**100,000**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
45,000	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**145,000**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Central Peninsula General Hospital (CPGH) is funded to provide Outpatient Substance Abuse Services to SED and SUD Youth on the Kenai Peninsula. In Q3 FY13 the ywere also funded to provide outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing theTIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Sitka. This grant serves the target population placed in Serenity House in addition to youth and families served in their outpatient programs. Their plan is to move toward full implementation and implement the model to fidelity in FY14.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Central Peninsula General Hospital

Grant No: 602-13-974 **FY12 funding:** $145,000

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **CHOICES - Independent Case Management**

Grant No: **607-13-923** **FY13 Funding:** **$100**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/8/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**100**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		100	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Classify as SMI Outpatient?

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Reports were submitted on time. 1st Q: CFR (10/9/12) Narrative (10/30/12); 2nd Q: CFR (1/18/13) Narrative (1/29/13), 3rd Q: CFR (4/1/13) Narrative (04/30/13)

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee reported progress on indicator.

Grantee: CHOICES - Independent Case Management

Grant No: 607-13-923 **FY12 funding:** $100

Reviewer: Sherrie Hinshaw **Date:** 5/8/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **CHOICES - Evidence Based Practices**

Grant No: **602-13-924** **FY13 Funding:** **$62,217**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/8/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**62,217**	Other
		62,217	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Evidence Based Practices Consumer Operated Services

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports submitted by deadline. 1st Q: CFR (10/9/2012) Narrative (10/30/2012); 2nd Q: CFR (1/18/13) Narrative (1/30/13); 3rd Q: CFR (04/22/2013) Narrative (04/30/13).

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly Report Form: Missing data on Q2 # of participants; missing data on evaluation tool used for Q1, Q2, Q3.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

N/A

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee reported progress on indicator.

Grantee: CHOICES - Evidenc Based Practices

Grant No: 602-13-924 **FY12 funding:** $62,217

Reviewer: Sherrie Hinshaw **Date:** 5/8/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**2**	**8**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**68**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**94.44%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee:	**Co-Occurring Disorders Institute**		
Grant No:	**602-13-999**	**FY13 Funding:**	**$789,023**
Reviewer:	**Bradley Grigg**	**Date:**	**5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA	**789,023**	Other
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**789,023**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

The Co-Occurring Disorders Institute (CoDI) is funded to provide is as a neutral party coordinating BH services case management support services in MatSu and Kenai Peninsula Boroughs. CoDI engages youth (ages 5-21) and their family immediately upon referral to residential placement. This grant also has an associated Provider Agreement that allows for flexible funds for non-Medicaid eligible services necessary for family success in treating co-occurring disorders.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Agency is spending their funds to provdie the services described in their RFP; however, they are on pace to expend less tha 80% of their FY13 Funds.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Co-Occurring Disorders Institute

Grant No: 602-13-999 **FY12 funding:** $789,023

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Community Connections**

Grant No: **602-13-250** **FY13 Funding:** **$174,840**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
174,840	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		174,840	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Ketchikan CAP team has made huge gains this year in that the DBH grantees are meeting on a regular basis. Goal for this upcoming year will be better coordination with other community agencies.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Grant funds were appropriately expended.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Report suggest progress and indicates goal was accomplished.

Grantee: Community Connections

Grant No: 602-13-250 **FY12 funding:** $174,840

Reviewer: Richard Nault **Date:** 5/20/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse**

Grant No: **602-13-232** **FY13 Funding:** **$486,477**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**17,766**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**336,050**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**132,661**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		486,477	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Offices in Kenai and Homer

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Cook Inlet Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse

Grant No: 602-13-232 **FY12 funding:** $486,477

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Cook Inlet Tribal Council**

Grant No: **602-13-233** **FY13 Funding:** **$1,688,813**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
1,231,455	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
457,358	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		1,688,813	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comment

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No Comment

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No Comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic models well written and show outcomes and progress

Grantee: Cook Inlet Tribal Council

Grant No: 602-13-233 **FY12 funding:** $1,688,813

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Copper River Native Assoc (CRNA)**

Grant No: **602-13-283** **FY13 Funding:** **$237,344**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/13/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

47,469	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**59,336**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**83,069**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
47,470	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		237,344	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comments.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Grants & Contracts reports Grantee provided 3Q CFR on 5/4/13; 5/10/2013 was returned for corrections to Grantee; 5/24/2013 corrections were provided by Grantee.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Though 3Q CFR was submitted late, 3Q Summaries were timely.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

Grantee: Copper River Native Assoc (CRNA)

Grant No: 602-13-283 **FY12 funding:** $237,344

Reviewer: Holly Byrnes **Date:** 5/13/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**69**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**95.83%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Sound Alternatives - Cordova**

Grant No: **602-13-288** **FY13 Funding:** **$357,605**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

63,559	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**89,573**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**99,070**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
105,403	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		357,605	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No movement on number of SED Youth receiving services.

Grantee: Sound Alternatives - Cordova

Grant No: 602-13-288 **FY12 funding:** $357,605

Reviewer: Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments**

Grant No: **602-13-007** **FY13 Funding:** **$100**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/31/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

25	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**25**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**25**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
25	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		100	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Community Action Plan needed several revisions and the final revision has not yet been submitted. However, CATG did and does participate in many community events.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

This is a one quarter grant.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Just beginning AKAIMS input. This is a one quarter grant.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model needs revision and the revision hasn't been submitted.

Grantee: Council of Athabascan Tribal Governments

Grant No: 602-13-007 **FY12 funding:** $100

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/31/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**1**	**6**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**48**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**66.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Daybreak, Inc.**

Grant No: **602-13-263** **FY13 Funding:** **$98,906**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**98,906**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		98,906	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Daybreak, Inc.

Grant No: 602-13-263 **FY12 funding:** $98,906

Reviewer: Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**63**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.50%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Denali Family Services**

Grant No: **602-13-201** **FY13 Funding:** **$106,101**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/8/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
106,101	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		106,101	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Denail Family Services was in compliance with the requirements noted in this report with the exception of failure to submit their second quarter CFR to Grants and Contracts by deadline. In the third quarter of this fiscal year, DBH learned that DFS was out of compliance with their grant agreement by not carrying the necessary amount of insurance per their signed grant agreement, which put their clients and the organization at significant risk. DFS was given a corrective action plan by the Department, filed bankruptcy and began working with the Foraker group to reorganize. As of this date, DFS has complied with Division requests and appears committed to remaining in business to continue serving clients.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Denali Family Services consistently attended and participated in the community planning group, which accomplished projects that improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Denali Family Services spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline, with the exception of the 2nd quarter CFR which was filed late.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports were submitted accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Denali Family Services reported progress on their Logic Model outcome indicators.

Grantee: Denali Family Services

Grant No: 602-13-201 **FY12 funding:** $106,101

Reviewer: Lisa Rosay **Date:** 5/8/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**69**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**95.83%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Denali Family Services**

Grant No: **602-13-975** **FY13 Funding:** **$200,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA	
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH	
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA	
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA	
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services	
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA	
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH	
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA	
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other	
200,000	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**200,000**	**TOTAL**	

Comments:

Denali Family Services provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing theTIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Anchroage. This project is collaborating with several DBH Grantees to ensure a larger scale approach than simply Denali. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within the DFS continuum of care. DFS is the only site to be implementign TIP to fidelity. In addition, Denali is one of two TIP sites who have established two site based trainers to assist with further movemetn toward sustainability. Denail Family Services was in compliance with the requirements noted in this report with the exception of failure to submit their second quarter CFR to Grants and Contracts by deadline. In the third quarter of this fiscal year, DBH learned that DFS was out of compliance with their grant agreement by not carrying the necessary amount of insurance per their signed grant agreement, which put their clients and the organization at significant risk. DFS was given a corrective action plan by the Department, filed bankruptcy and began working with the Foraker group to reorganize. As of this date, DFS has complied with Division requests and appears committed to remaining in business to continue serving clients

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Q2 Reports were submitted late.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Denali Family Services

Grant No: 602-13-975 **FY12 funding:** $200,000

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**2**	**8**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**68**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**94.44%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Denali Family Services**

Grant No: **602-13-978** **FY13 Funding:** **$200,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
200,000	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**200,000**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Denali Family Services provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing theTIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in MatSu. This project is collaborating with several DBH Grantees to ensure a larger scale approach than simply Denali. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within the DFS continuum of care. DFS is the only site to be implementign TIP to fidelity. In addition, Denali is one of two agencies in state who have developed their own in house TIP Trainer (2) to assist with moving their own program closer to sustainability in expanding TIP services to all youth enrolled ages 14-24. Denail Family Services was in compliance with the requirements noted in this report with the exception of failure to submit their second quarter CFR to Grants and Contracts by deadline. In the third quarter of this fiscal year, DBH learned that DFS was out of compliance with their grant agreement by not carrying the necessary amount of insurance per their signed grant agreement, which put their clients and the organization at significant risk. DFS was given a corrective action plan by the Department, filed bankruptcy and began working with the Foraker group to reorganize. As of this date, DFS has complied with Division requests and appears committed to remaining in business to continue serving clients

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Q2 Reports were submitted late.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Denali Family Services

Grant No: 602-13-978 **FY12 funding:** $200,000

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**2**	**8**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**68**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**94.44%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Eastern Aleutian Tribes**

Grant No: **602-13-264** **FY13 Funding:** **$291,551**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

43,733	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**29,155**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**160,353**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
58,310	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		291,551	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee has reported consistent participation with coordinating agencies (e.g. APIA, DVR); 1Q CAP indicated progress on identified tasks/activities.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comment.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comment.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comment.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Q1 Logic Model indicated effort via outcomes accomplished or progress made.

Grantee: Eastern Aleutian Tribes

Grant No: 602-13-264 **FY12 funding:** $291,551

Reviewer: Holly Byrnes **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center (FCBHC)**

Grant No: **602-13-270** **FY13 Funding:** **$2,595,939**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

542,848	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**1,486,956**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
566,135	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		2,595,939	**TOTAL**

Comments:

FCBHC was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report, with the exception of active participation in the CAP. See notes in that section.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

During this fiscal year the Division received several complaints from community providers regarding FCBHC's lack of active involvement and communication around key issues that impact the CAP. In addition, two DBH program managers that actively participate in the Fairbanks CAP, recommended a score of 1 for this organization due to lack of active engagement.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

FCBHC spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FCBHC reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: **Fairbanks Community Behavioral Health Center (FCBHC)**

Grant No: **602-13-270** **FY12 funding:** **$2,595,939**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Fairbanks Native Assoc (FNA)**

Grant No: **602-13-271** **FY13 Funding:** **$3,336,640**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
1,915,664	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**128,326**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
769,037	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
523,613	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		3,336,640	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comments.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee consistently attended CAP meetings.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

Grantee: Fairbanks Native Assoc (FNA)

Grant No: 602-13-271 **FY12 funding:** $3,336,640

Reviewer: Holly Byrnes **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Family Centered Services of Alaska (FCSA)**

Grant No: **602-13-273** **FY13 Funding:** **$562,672**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
562,672	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		562,672	**TOTAL**

Comments:

FCSA was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report, with the exception of active involvement in the CAP.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

According to DBH program managers in Fairbanks that actively participate in CAP, FCSA attended meetings but did not actively participate in the planning group for the purpose of accomplishing projects and improving services. They did submit a CAP document each quarter, but did not actively contribute to its creation.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

FCSA spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FCSA reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: **Family Centered Services of Alaska (FCSA)**

Grant No: **602-13-273** **FY12 funding:** **$562,672**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Family Centered Services of Alaska (FCSA)**

Grant No: **602-13-965** **FY13 Funding:** **$200,000**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**200,000**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		200,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

FCSA was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report, with the exception of active involvement in the CAP.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

According to DBH program managers in Fairbanks that actively participate in CAP, FCSA attended meetings but did not actively participate in the planning group for the purpose of accomplishing projects and improving services. They did submit a CAP document each quarter, but did not actively contribute to its creation.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

FCSA spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

FCSA reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: **Family Centered Services of Alaska (FCSA)**

Grant No: **602-13-965** **FY12 funding:** **$200,000**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Gastineau Human Services**

Grant No: **602-13-241** **FY13 Funding:** **$337,962**

Reviewer: **Marilee Fletcher** **Date:** **5/30/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**337,962**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		337,962	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports are submitted on a timely basis.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Gastineau Human Services

Grant No: 602-13-241 **FY12 funding:** $337,962

Reviewer: Marilee Fletcher **Date:** 5/30/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Hope Community Resources (HOPE)**

Grant No: **602-13-206** **FY13 Funding:** **$75,376**

Reviewer: **Lisa Rosay** **Date:** **5/9/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
75,376	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		75,376	**TOTAL**

Comments:

HOPE was in full compliance with the grant requirements noted in this report.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

HOPE consistently attended and participated in the community planning group, which accomplished projects that improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

HOPE spent their grant funds to provide the services described in their RFP.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Quarterly reports submitted were accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

HOPE reported progress on proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: Hope Community Resources (HOPE)

Grant No: 602-13-206 **FY12 funding:** $75,376

Reviewer: Lisa Rosay **Date:** 5/9/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Interior AIDS Association/Project Special Delivery**

Grant No: **602-13-284** **FY13 Funding:** **$222,015**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
222,015	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		222,015	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Interior AIDS Association/Project Special Delivery

Grant No: 602-13-284 **FY12 funding:** $222,015

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.**

Grant No: **602-13-251** **FY13 Funding:** **$1,598,072**

Reviewer: **Richard L. Nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

142,179	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**1,455,893**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		1,598,072	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Juneau CAP team improved services in several areas. JAMHI was an active participant on the team.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Grant funds were expended in a manner consistent with the grant.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

JAMHI has consistently been very thorough in reporting, going above and beyond the minimum required.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS reports are timely.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

JAMHI has developed several agency wide performance indicators that are linked to their logic model.

Grantee: Juneau Alliance for Mental Health Inc.

Grant No: 602-13-251 **FY12 funding:** $1,598,072

Reviewer: Richard L. Nault **Date:** 5/20/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Juneau Youth Services**

Grant No: **602-13-244** **FY13 Funding:** **$880,217**

Reviewer: **Richard L. Nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

57,700	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**114,430**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
53,790	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
654,297	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		880,217	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Juneau CAP team accomplished several projects that inproved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Funds were expended in a manner consistent with the grant award.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All grant reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were thorough.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model indicated progress on proposed indicators.

Grantee: Juneau Youth Services

Grant No: 602-13-244 **FY12 funding:** $880,217

Reviewer: Richard L. Nault **Date:** 5/20/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Juneau Youth Services**

Grant No: **602-13-977** **FY13 Funding:** **$100,000**

Reviewer: **Richard L. Nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	**100,000**	Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		100,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Bring the Kids Home Transitional Aged Youth

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Juneau CAP team has accomplished several goals and JYS has been an active participant.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Grant funds were expended in an appropriate manner.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports submitted by the deadline.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports are accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Data reported but no indication if this was above baseline.

Grantee: Juneau Youth Services

Grant No: 602-13-977 **FY12 funding:** $100,000

Reviewer: Richard L. Nault **Date:** 5/20/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Juneau Youth Service**

Grant No: **602-13-952** **FY13 Funding:** **$80,000**

Reviewer: **Richard L. nault** **Date:** **5/20/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**80,000**	Other
		80,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Bring the Kids Home Early Childhood

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Juneau CAP team accomplshed several projects. JYS was an active participant.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Funds were expended in a manner consistent with the grant.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accrate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee reported progress on proposed indicators.

Grantee: Juneau Youth Service

Grant No: 602-13-952 **FY12 funding:** $80,000

Reviewer: Richard L. nault **Date:** 5/20/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center**

Grant No: **602-13-266** **FY13 Funding:** **$14,593**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**14,593**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		14,593	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Revised plan mid-year and progress on outcomes not yet clear.

Grantee: Kenai Peninsula Community Care Center

Grant No: 602-13-266 **FY12 funding:** $14,593

Reviewer: Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Kenaitze Indian Tribe**

Grant No: **602-13-267** **FY13 Funding:** **$80,909**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**18,619**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA	
	Detoxification – SA	**15,699**	SMI – Outpatient – MH	
	Youth Residential – SA	**21,581**	Adult – Outpatient – SA	
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA	
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services	
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA	
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH	
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA	
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other	
25,010	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**80,909**	**TOTAL**	

Comments:

No comments.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

Marked improvement in this area this FY, particularly in the area of suicide post-vention.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

Grantee: Kenaitze Indian Tribe

Grant No: 602-13-267 **FY12 funding:** $80,909

Reviewer: Holly Byrnes **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Ketchikan Indian Community**

Grant No: **602-13-245** **FY13 Funding:** **$14,734**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** **Date:** **5/19/2012**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**14,734**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		14,734	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Ketchikan CAP has made huge progress in that it is meeting on a regular basis. The goal for FY'14 will be to better coordiante with agencies that are not DBH grantees.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

KIC leveraged funding to accomplish the goals of the grant and to provide additional services to the community.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

An issue regarding financial reporting was preventing timely reporting. However this issue has been resolved. Future reports are expected to be on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

The reports were complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS reporting was complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model reports did not reflect measurable accomplishments.

Grantee: Ketchikan Indian Community

Grant No: 602-13-245 **FY12 funding:** $14,734

Reviewer: Richard Nault **Date:** 5/19/2012

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**1**	**6**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**48**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**66.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Lynn Canal Human Resources**

Grant No: **602-13-252** **FY13 Funding:** **$262,709**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** **Date:** **5/19/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

32,979	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**91,702**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**83,687**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
54,341	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		262,709	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The CAP has developed a brochure for families and friends post suicide. The agency has develped a crisis / respite response that has been instrimental in preventing psychiatric hopsitalizations. Intake Screenings are occuring within 24 hours of a client contacting the agency.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Funds were expended to provide services per the grant.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All Reports were received on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All reports were accurate and very through.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIms reports were complete and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

LCCC has effectively used their logic model to increase referral from primary care providers, developed a manged care model for emotionally distrubed clients and developed a peer review process as part of their quailit assurance program. In terms of better tacking client outcomes, the agency agreed to participate in DBH's CSR client follow up nad re-engagement pilot project.

Grantee: Lynn Canal Human Resources

Grant No: 602-13-252 **FY12 funding:** $262,709

Reviewer: Richard Nault **Date:** 5/19/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Maniilaq Association (MA)**

Grant No: **602-13-001** **FY13 Funding:** **$1,363,670**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

294,186	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**70,000**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**929,098**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
70,386	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		1,363,670	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comment.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Ongoing collaboration continues; and grantee reports pursuing DOJ grants with support/MOUs from NWABSD and DJJ, among other activities with community partners.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

Grantee: Maniilaq Association (MA)

Grant No: 602-13-001 **FY12 funding:** $1,363,670

Reviewer: Holly Byrnes **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Mat-Su Health Services**

Grant No: **602-13-261** **FY13 Funding:** **$1,702,095**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

255,360	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**887,323**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
559,412	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		1,702,095	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Mat-Su Health Services

Grant No: 602-13-261 **FY12 funding:** $1,702,095

Reviewer: Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Metlakatla Indian Community**

Grant No: **602-13-979** **FY13 Funding:** **$100**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA	
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH	
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA	
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA	
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services	
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA	
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH	
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA	
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other	
100	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**100**	**TOTAL**	

Comments:

Metlakatla Indian Community provides fee for services in an outpatient setting to SED Youth up to age 21 in Metlakatla. Services include outpatient mental health & substance abuse services in addition to therapeutic foster care services. This grant provides the avenue for them to Medicaid for services delivered.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

Compared to the last 2 years Metlakatla has evidenced significant improvement in timliness and accuracy of submitted reports. Stability is evidenced in their staff which has contributed greatly to this improvement.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee continues to improve their service delivery, and they continue to take steps necessary obtain national accredidation with CARF.

Grantee: Metlakatla Indian Community

Grant No: 602-13-979 **FY12 funding:** $100

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Narcotic Drug Treatment Center/Center for Drug Problems**

Grant No: **602-13-285** **FY13 Funding:** **$950,301**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
950,301	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		950,301	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

NDTC submitted the 1st quarter FY13 Opioid Treatment Provider Quarterly Report late (11/05/12).

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

NDTC submitted the 3rd quarter FY13 Logic Model Quarterly Report incomplete. A complete report was requested by DBH and submitted by NDTC on 5/09/13.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Narcotic Drug Treatment Center/Center for Drug Problems

Grant No: 602-13-285 **FY12 funding:** $950,301

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**2**	**8**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**65**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**90.28%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **North Slope Borough**

Grant No: **602-13-274** **FY13 Funding:** **$777,286**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

566,942	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**47,817**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**151,289**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
11,238	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		777,286	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comment

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Community Action Plan focuses on NSB staff and their activities to the community, rather than bringing the community together and focusing activities with all members of the community team, all taking an equal part in solving community issues.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No Comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comment

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic models well written and show outcomes and progress.

Grantee: North Slope Borough

Grant No: 602-13-274 **FY12 funding:** $777,286

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Norton Sound Health Corporation (NSHC)**

Grant No: **602-13-002** **FY13 Funding:** **$1,287,492**

Reviewer: **Holly Byrnes** **Date:** **5/13/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

151,079	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**33,882**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**968,650**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
33,881	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**100,000**	Other
		1,287,492	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Other - Sobering Center (aka Wellness Center) Planning Project funds of $100,000 (in prior years, this amount was folded into Adult - Outpatient - SA).

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Grantee has reported consistent participation with coordinating agencies (e.g. Kawerak, NAWI,); CAP indicated progress on identified tasks/activities.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comments.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

No comments.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments.

Grantee: Norton Sound Health Corporation (NSHC)

Grant No: 602-13-002 **FY12 funding:** $1,287,492

Reviewer: Holly Byrnes **Date:** 5/13/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Peninsula Community Health Services**

Grant No: **602-13-262** **FY13 Funding:** **$528,656**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

195,087	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**200,109**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
133,460	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		528,656	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

PCHS had less participation than other members this year.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: **Peninsula Community Health Services**

Grant No: **602-13-262** **FY12 funding:** **$528,656**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**63**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.50%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Petersburg Mental health**

Grant No: **602-13-253** **FY13 Funding:** **$353,498**

Reviewer: **Marilee Fletcher** **Date:** **5/31/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

183,731	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**31,088**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**123,983**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
14,696	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		353,498	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Petersburg Mental Health actively participates with a large group of agenices dedicated to ensuring better services and quality of life to the residnets of Petersburg.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Petersburg Mental health

Grant No: 602-13-253 **FY12 funding:** $353,498

Reviewer: Marilee Fletcher **Date:** 5/31/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Presbyterian Hospitality House**

Grant No: **602-13-956** **FY13 Funding:** **$100,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
100,000	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**100,000**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Presbyterian Hospitality House provides outpatient abnd residential services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing theTIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Fairbanks and surrounding area. This grant serves youth in all applicable programs within PHH' continuum of care. PHH expended in FY13 form providing TIP to over 40 youth, compard to 15 in FY12.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Presbyterian Hospitality House

Grant No: 602-13-956 **FY12 funding:** $100,000

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Providence Health & Services - Washington**

Grant No: **602-13-055** **FY13 Funding:** **$812,700**

Reviewer: **Randall Burns** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**812,700**	Other
		812,700	**TOTAL**

Comments:

The Providence Crisis Recovery Center (PCRC) provides crisis respite and intervention beds for 8 adults and 8 adolescents. This grant (602-13-055) pays for the 8 adult beds and is known as the "Compass" Program. This program accepts referrals primarily from the Anchorage Region, but they have expanded their admission base this year to include referrals from most Southcentral Alaska communities. This grant is listed in the "Other" Program Type category because DBH has not presently developed a "program type" description for the provision of 24/7 crisis respite services. The Division expects to have a published a description of these services prior to the outset of FY2014.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The PCRC staff are active participants in the Anchorage Region's community planning process. This year the PCRC has worked closely with Southcentral BH providers to assist in dealing with a serious problem for the region: adolescent access to acute care beds. To counter the very limited number of inpatient treatment beds for adolescents, providers, including the PCRC, have worked successfully together to find appropriate treatment for adolescents when it appears most adolescent inpatient services are tapped out and alternative placements are necessary. The PCRC has clearly responded to this need this year, providing both step down beds and by broadening its usual adolescent admission criteria. In addition, given the capacity concerns at API for adult acute beds, the PCRC has also worked with API social workers to take step downs from API's two adult acute units so that inpatient beds are freed up at API.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

The PCRC expends its grant funds to provide crisis treatment beds for adult services, as contracted. As mentioned above, we have asked the PCRC to work to take step downs from the adult acute units at API in order to keep their adult CRC numbers up. The 8 adolescent beds are almost always full, but the 8 adult bed usage could be increased.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All four of the required reports were filed by the deadline in each of the first three quarters of FY13.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

The required T&R report, the Logic Model, and the CFRs were accurate and complete for each of the first three quarters of FY13.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

The PCRC's quarterly AKAIMS summaries were timely and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The PCRC uses their Logic Model to make program improvements, and they have reported accomplishing some of their outputs and have suggested revisions to their plan, as necessary. The progress on their outcomes requires revision and additional data.

Grantee: Providence Health & Services - Washington

Grant No: 602-13-055 **FY12 funding:** $812,700

Reviewer: Randall Burns **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**2**	**4**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**64**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**88.89%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Providence Health & Services - Washington**

Grant No: **602-13-056** **FY13 Funding:** **$371,600**

Reviewer: **Randall Burns** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**371,600**	Other
		371,600	**TOTAL**

Comments:

The Providence Crisis Recovery Center (PCRC) provides crisis respite and intervention beds for 8 adults and 8 adolescents. This grant (602-13-056) pays for the 8 adolescent beds and is known as the "Directions" Program. This program accepts referrals primarily from the Anchorage Region, but they have this year expanded their admission base to include referrals from most Southcentral Alaska communities. This grant is listed in the "Other" Program Type category because DBH has not presently developed a "program type" description for the provision of 24/7 crisis respite services. The Division expects to have a published a description of these services prior to the outset of FY2014.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The PCRC staff are active participants in the Anchorage Region's community planning process. This year the Directions Program has worked closely with Southcentral BH providers to assist in dealing with a serious problem for the region: adolescent access to acute care beds. To counter the very limited number of inpatient treatment beds for adolescents, providers, including the PCRC, have worked successfully together to find appropriate treatment for adolescents when it appears most adolescent inpatient services are tapped out and alternative placements are necessary. The PCRC has clearly responded to this need this year, providing both step down beds and by broadening its usual adolescent admission criteria.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

The PCRC expends its grant funds to provide crisis treatment beds for adolescents, as contracted. We have discussed with the PCRC our concern for the lengths of stay of their adolescent clients, which we think are somewhat longer than necessary, and they have worked to shorten those stays, especially in light of their work to assist the region with access to treatment beds for adolescents in crisis (see comments above).

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All four of the required reports were filed by the deadline in each of the first three quarters of FY13.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

The required T&R report, the Logic Model, and the CFRs were accurate and complete for each of the first three quarters of FY13.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

The PCRC's quarterly AKAIMS summaries were timely and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The PCRC uses their Logic Model to make program improvements, and they have reported accomplishing some of their outputs and have suggested revisions to their plan, as necessary. The progress on their outcomes requires revision and additional data.

Grantee: Providence Health & Services - Washington

Grant No: 602-13-056 **FY12 funding:** $371,600

Reviewer: Randall Burns **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**2**	**4**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**64**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**88.89%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Providence Kodiak Island Community Counseling Center**

Grant No: **602-13-286** **FY13 Funding:** **$805,208**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

97,835	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**101,405**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**413,730**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**73,092**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
119,146	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		805,208	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

The Logic model was not consistently submitted in sufficient detail to judge progress necessitating clarification and resubmission.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: **Providence Kodiak Island Community Counseling Center**

Grant No: **602-13-286** **FY12 funding:** **$805,208**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**2**	**8**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**62**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**86.11%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Providence Valdez Counseling Center**

Grant No: **602-13-289** **FY13 Funding:** **$329,232**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

93,184	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**44,572**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**67,954**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**84,658**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
38,864	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		329,232	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

PVCC's New Behavioral Health Director made significant progress in FY13 in correcting previous year's data entry errors and closing out patients no longer receiving services.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Providence Valdez Counseling Center

Grant No: 602-13-289 **FY12 funding:** $329,232

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**2**	**4**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**70**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**97.22%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions**

Grant No: **602-13-275** **FY13 Funding:** **$295,831**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

201,446	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**94,385**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		295,831	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

RMHA's T&R Quarterly Report and Logic Model reports were generally of poor quality making it difficult to judge activities and determine progress, necessitating clarification and/or additional information. The RMHA 3rd Quarter reports (revised) demonstrated significant improvement.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: **Railbelt Mental Health and Addictions**

Grant No: **602-13-275** **FY12 funding:** **$295,831**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**1**	**4**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**58**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**80.56%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Rainforest Recovery Center @ Bartlett Hospital**

Grant No: **602-13-243** **FY13 Funding:** **$650,414**

Reviewer: **Marilee Fletcher** **Date:** **5/31/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
72,679	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
577,735	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		650,414	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Juneau CAP continues to operate in an efficient and effective manner.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were submitted in a timely manner.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Rainforest Recovery Center @ Bartlett Hospital

Grant No: 602-13-243 **FY12 funding:** $650,414

Reviewer: Marilee Fletcher **Date:** 5/31/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Rainforest Recovery Center (SA Treatment, Pregnant Women)**

Grant No: **602-13-551** **FY13 Funding:** **$159,530**

Reviewer: **Viki Wells** **Date:** **5/10/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**159,530**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		159,530	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Pregnant Women Intensive Outpatient Treatment Services

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Only three (3) pregnant women were admitted to the Pregnant Women's IOP during the 1st three quarters (7/1/12-3/31/13). During the 2nd quarter RRC began admitting patients who were not the grant's intended target population; these patients were later transferred into the appropriate component of service.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

RRC submitted their Logic Model Reports quarterly, however they were not of good quality making it difficult to judge activities and determine progress. DBH provided technical assistance each quarter, however RRC staff did not fully utilize the TA to make the required improvements and Logic Model reports continued to be of poor quality. The 3rd Quarter (revised) reports demonstrated improvement.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 4

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Excerpts from RRC's 1st quarter FY2013 Treatment and Recovery Quarterly Report: "AKAIMS does not accurately reflect the services provided to clients during 1st quarter" and "This issue will be resolved for 2nd Quarter".

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Rainforest Recovery Center

Grant No: 602-13-551 **FY12 funding:** $159,530

Reviewer: Viki Wells **Date:** 5/10/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**1**	**3**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**1**	**4**	12
	3	2	**2**	**4**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**56**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**77.78%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Residential Youth care**

Grant No: **602-13-964** **FY13 Funding:** **$45,100**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** **Date:** **5/29/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA	**45,100**	Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		45,100	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Parenting with Love and Limits BTKH grant. This agency appears to deliver good services, is well respected in the Ketchikan Community and has been highly responsive to community needs. Unfortunately, across years, they have been challenged in timely and complete submission of reports. Multiple efforts have been made to assist them and impress upon them the importance of timely and accurate reporting.

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Ketchikan CAP team has come in long way in terms of meeting on a regular basis.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Services were provided in accordance with the RFP

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

late reports continues to be a problem

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 8

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Final report contained aggregated and interpreted data.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 0 Weighted Score: 0

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

RYC has not been submitting the AKAIMS minimal data set s required by this grant.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Data was not aggregated.

Grantee: Residential Youth care

Grant No: 602-13-964 **FY12 funding:** $45,100

Reviewer: Richard Nault **Date:** 5/29/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**2**	**8**	12
	3	2	**0**	**0**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**47**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**65.28%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Salvation Army Clitheroe Center**

Grant No: **602-13-235** **FY13 Funding:** **$3,589,490**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
284,276	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**640,967**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**351,263**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
731,211	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
1,581,773	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		3,589,490	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Clitheroe consistently participated in the Anchorage Providers Group and in accomplishing projects which improved services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Although utilization of residential beds was only slightly below what is expected, (except for the Women's Residential Program, where it was quite low), utilization of Outpatient services was much less than in previous years. As well, the Women & Childrens Outpatient Program did not meet Program Type requirements.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quartly reports were submitted accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

All Quarterly AKAIMS Summaries were timely, complete and accurate.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model was well developed and progress was reported during the first two quarters. The 3rd quarter Logic Model report does not accurately report progress on proposed outcomes indicators, due to changes in staff, resulting in a staff member completing the report prior to having received Logic Model Training. (This person will be attending Logic Model Training next month).

Grantee: Salvation Army Clitheroe Center

Grant No: 602-13-235 **FY12 funding:** $3,589,490

Reviewer: Joan Houlihan **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**1**	**3**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**60**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**83.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Seaview**

Grant No: **602-13-287** **FY13 Funding:** **$365,193**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

55,279	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**146,437**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**163,477**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		365,193	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Seaview

Grant No: 602-13-287 **FY12 funding:** $365,193

Reviewer: Jim McLaughlin **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services**

Grant No: **602-13-247** **FY13 Funding:** **$896,707**

Reviewer: **Marilee Fletcher** **Date:** **5/31/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

123,038	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**88,967**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**105,894**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**75,623**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**51,825**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
300,000	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
151,360	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		896,707	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

SCAPS staff actively participated in local planning efforts which improved coordinaion and collaboration.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Agency staff used the Logic Model to facilitate program improvement.

Grantee: Sitka Counseling and Prevention Services

Grant No: 602-13-247 **FY12 funding:** $896,707

Reviewer: Marilee Fletcher **Date:** 5/31/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Sitka Counseling and Prevention - Bring the Kids Home**

Grant No: **602-13-982** **FY13 Funding:** **$156,797**

Reviewer: **Marilee Fletcher** **Date:** **5/31/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
156,797	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		156,797	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

3

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Sitka Counseling and Prevention - Bring the Kids Home

Grant No: 602-13-982 **FY12 funding:** $156,797

Reviewer: Marilee Fletcher **Date:** 5/31/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **South Peninsula Behavioral Health**

Grant No: **602-13-207** **FY13 Funding:** **$358,358**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

185,000	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**163,358**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
10,000	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		358,358	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: **South Peninsula Behavioral Health**

Grant No: **602-13-207** **FY12 funding:** **$358,358**

Reviewer: **Jim McLaughlin** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **SouthCentral Foundation**

Grant No: **602-13-208** **FY13 Funding:** **$393,746**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

38,627	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**38,629**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**41,135**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
234,386	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
40,969	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		393,746	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comments

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comment

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

SCF completes their quarterly reports very thoroughly. PM is always informed of their activities.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comments

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

No comments

Grantee: SouthCentral Foundation

Grant No: 602-13-208 **FY12 funding:** $393,746

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **SouthCentral Foundation-McGrath**

Grant No: **602-13-200** **FY13 Funding:** **$309,371**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

75,753	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**169,713**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH	**33,430**	Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
30,475	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		309,371	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No Comment

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Community Action Plan needs to demonstrate more community agencies and members involved in achieving and participating in the development of the CAP.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comment

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model did not have measureable, nor were measured, outcome indicators over all three quarters. Difficult to determine what the effort or the output accomplishments were.

Grantee: SouthCentral Foundation-McGrath

Grant No: 602-13-200 **FY12 funding:** $309,371

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**1**	**6**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**45**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**62.50%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **SouthCentral Foundation**

Grant No: **602-13-350** **FY13 Funding:** **$335,493**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**335,493**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		335,493	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comment

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No Comment

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No Comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score [ ] Weighted Score: 0

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score [3] Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

SCF does an exceptional job in giving detailed reports on the projects they are involved and the progress.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic models are excellent

Grantee: SouthCentral Foundation

Grant No: 602-13-350 **FY12 funding:** $335,493

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**0**	**0**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**63**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.50%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **SouthCentral Foundation-Evidence Based Practice**

Grant No: **602-13-920** **FY13 Funding:** **$123,689**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**123,689**	Other
		123,689	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comment

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No Comment

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No Comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score [ ] Weighted Score: 0

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score [3] Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

SCF does an exceptional job in giving detailed reports on the projects they are involved and the progress.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

This grant not required to report AKAIMS.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic models are excellent

Grantee: SouthCentral Foundation-Evidence Based Practice

Grant No: 602-13-920 **FY12 funding:** $123,689

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**0**	**0**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**63**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**87.50%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **SE Alaska Regional Health Consortium**

Grant No: **602-13-209** **FY13 Funding:** **$906,802**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** **Date:** **5/19/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

36,400	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**360,500**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
193,050	Youth Residential – SA	**316,852**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		906,802	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Some, but not all areas were addressed. No indication that services were improved.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Funds were appropriately expended to improve services.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports were on time

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Reports were thorough.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

AKAIMS data was accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Progress was made in expanding communities using the CSR, clients receiving assessments and clients receiving services within 7 days of screening.

Grantee: SE Alaska Regional Health Consortium

Grant No: 602-13-209 **FY12 funding:** $906,802

Reviewer: Richard Nault **Date:** 5/19/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **SEARHC & Juneau Youth Services - Montana Creek Residential**

Grant No: **603-13-057** **FY13 Funding:** **$102**

Reviewer: **Richard Nault** **Date:** **5/19/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
102	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		102	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

The Juneau CAP has made great progress in the areas of suicide prevention and response and Psychiatric Emergency Services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Funds were expended for services. Per grant.

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required specialty reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

Reports were thorough and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

JYS is and EDI agency. Reports were complete and agency worked well to explore data related issues.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Data was reported, but it was difficult to ascertain whether progress was made. The agency did seem to improve in the area of family engagement and treatment of youth who have an FASD. Lack or pre / post data makes ascertaining progress difficult. Some measures did not clearly relate to the proposed outcome.

Grantee: SEARHC & Juneau Youth Services - Montana Creek Residential

Grant No: 603-13-057 **FY12 funding:** $102

Reviewer: Richard Nault **Date:** 5/19/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Soteria - Alaska, Inc.**

Grant No: **602-13-021** **FY13 Funding:** **$375,000**

Reviewer: **Sherrie Hinshaw** **Date:** **5/8/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
375,000	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		375,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All reports submitted timely. 1st Q: CFR (10/17/12) Narrative (10/30/12); 2nd Q: CFR (1/11/13) Narrative (1/1/13); 3rd Q: CFR (04/26/13) Narrative (04/30/13)

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee reported progress on indicators.

Grantee: Soteria - Alaska, Inc.

Grant No: 602-13-021 **FY12 funding:** $375,000

Reviewer: Sherrie Hinshaw **Date:** 5/8/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Tanana Chiefs Conference**

Grant No: **602-13-211** **FY13 Funding:** **$1,208,195**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/16/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

418,864	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**170,458**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**250,742**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**368,131**	Other
		1,208,195	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

No comment

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comment

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model well done with measureable indicators.

Grantee: Tanana Chiefs Conference

Grant No: 602-13-211 **FY12 funding:** $1,208,195

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/16/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**66**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**91.67%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Tok Area Counseling Center**

Grant No: **602-13-276** **FY13 Funding:** **$224,823**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

224,823	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		224,823	**TOTAL**

Comments:

No comments

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Community Action showed no efforts and no improvements. Each submitted CAP was the same from quarter to quarter

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 3

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comment

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 1 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Unable to determine if there was any progress, and there were no revised outcome indicators through out all 3 quarters.

Grantee: Tok Area Counseling Center

Grant No: 602-13-276 **FY12 funding:** $224,823

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**1**	**6**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**1**	**3**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**1**	**6**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**42**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**58.33%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Volunteer of America Alaska, Inc.**

Grant No: **602-13-236** **FY13 Funding:** **$983,352**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/14/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH	**292,105**	Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
691,247	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		983,352	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

VOA consistently participates actively in the Anchorage Providers Group as well as realted subcommittees, and has helped the group accomplish projects to improve services.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All quartely reports were accurage and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

All AKAIMS Summaries were timely, acurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

VOA reported progress quarterly on their proposed outcome indicators.

Grantee: Volunteer of America Alaska, Inc.

Grant No: 602-13-236 **FY12 funding:** $983,352

Reviewer: Joan Houlihan **Date:** 5/14/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Volunteers of America Alaska, Inc.**

Grant No: **602-13-983** **FY13 Funding:** **$381,000**

Reviewer: **Joan Houlihan** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
381,000	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		381,000	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

VOA is a very active participant in the Anchorage Providers Group Meetings, and consistently volunteers for subcommittees. The planning group has accomplished projects during this fiscal year that have improved services in the Anchorage area.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

All required reports were submitted on time.

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

All 3 quarters' reports were submitted accurate and complete.

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

Quarterly AKAIMS Summaries were timely, accurate and complete.

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

The Logic Model Quarterly Reports reported progress on the oucome indicators.

Grantee: Volunteers of America Alaska, Inc.

Grant No: 602-13-983 **FY12 funding:** $381,000

Reviewer: Joan Houlihan **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Youth Advocates of Sitka**

Grant No: **602-13-981** **FY13 Funding:** **$200,000**

Reviewer: **Bradley Grigg** **Date:** **5/6/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA		SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA		Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA		Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
	Recovery Camps – SA		Other
200,000	SED Youth Outpatient – MH	**200,000**	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Youth Advocates of Sitka provides outpatient services to SED Transitional Aged Youth, aged 14-24, by implementing theTIP (Transitional to Independence Process) Model in successfully transitioning co-occurring youth into adulthood in Sitka. This grant serves youth in Hanson House in addition to youth and families served in their outpatient programs. YAS is one of two agenceis in state who have developed their own in house TIP Trainer to assist with moving their own program closer to sustainability in expanding TIP services to all youth enrolled ages 14-24.

Section I: Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services.

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in one quarter.
2 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in two quarters.
3 = Reported participation and changes that improved services in three quarters.

Comments:

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the CBHTR FY10 - FY12 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0=NO 3=YES

Comments:

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, AKAIMS Quarterly Summary, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 18

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Grantee: Youth Advocates of Sitka

Grant No: 602-13-981 **FY12 funding:** $200,000

Reviewer: Bradley Grigg **Date:** 5/6/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**3**	**18**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**3**	**9**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**3**	**18**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**72**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**100.00%**

FY13 DBH Treatment & Recovery Services Grant Review & Progress Report

Grantee: **Yukon Kuskokwin Health Corporation**

Grant No: **602-13-005** **FY13 Funding:** **$2,648,838**

Reviewer: **Lynn M. Eldridge** **Date:** **5/16/2013**

Program Types (please provide component funding amount for each program type):

650,114	Psychiatric Emergency Services – MH		Youth and Family Outpatient – SA
	Detoxification – SA	**271,502**	SMI – Outpatient – MH
	Youth Residential – SA	**199,636**	Adult – Outpatient – SA
	Youth Residential – MH		Women and Children – Outpatient – SA
855,375	Adult Residential – SA		Employment Services
	Women and Children's Residential – SA	**277,137**	Disability Justice – MH and SA
	Adult Residential – MH		Consumer/Family Directed Program – MH
	Outpatient Opioid Treatment Program – SA		Pilots – MH and SA
395,074	SED Youth Outpatient – MH		Other
		2,648,838	**TOTAL**

Comments:

Please complete and submit to Laura Sanbei and Marilee Fletcher by close of business on May 10th.
When saving this document please erase the ~ Blank and replace with grantee name followed by the grant number and remove the date at the end. Document name example: Agency - 601-13-000 - FY13 Treatment and Recovery Grant Review and Progress Report

Section I:
Fidelity

Please score each of the categories in this section. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agency's overall performance outcomes.

1. Grantee participated in community planning for the purpose of improving services through interagency coordination? Grantee described the changes implemented that improved services. "Consistently participated" wording would allow for missing a

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee consistently participated in community planning.
2 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group documented specific efforts to accomplish proposed projects.
3 = Grantee consistently participated and planning group accomplished projects which improved services.

Comments:

Community Action Plan often focused on programs coordinating within YKHC (which is good) but should also include (heavily) community members and agencies. This was not the primary focus.

2. Did grantee spend their grant funds to provide the services described in the FY10 - FY13 RFP that they committed to provide when they accepted their Notice of Grant Award and subsequent amendments (if any)?

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 9

0 = No 1 = Partial (comment required) 3 = Yes

Comments:

No comment

Section II: Agency Performance

Please score each of the questions in this section on a scale of 1 to 3. Anchor points for each score are listed below the question. Each question is weighted according to level of importance and impact on the agencies overall performance outcomes.

1. Have all required reports been submitted timely? Required items are: T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report. ***Timely is defined in the DHSS Grant Agreement on page 4 under section 10 General Administration (b) and (c), "the grantee will submit a report to reach the grantor within 30 days after each quarter ending…" Timeliness is measured by the date stamp from G&C.***

Factor Weight: 3 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = All 4 required reports of 1 quarter has been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
2 = All 4 required reports of 2 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.
3 = All 4 required reports of 3 quarters reports have been submitted to Grants and Contracts by deadline.

Comments:

2. Were the T & R Quarterly Report, any required speciality reports, Logic Model and Cumulative Fiscal Report accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all questions are answered in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out***

Factor Weight: 4 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = 1 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
2 = 2 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.
3 = 3 quarter's reports were submitted accurate and complete.

Comments:

No comment

3. Are the AKAIMS Quarterly Summaries timely, accurate and complete? ***("Complete" means that all required data elements have been reported in sufficient detail to judge activity / progress and filled out correctly.)***

Factor Weight: 2 x Score 3 Weighted Score: 6

Anchor Points:
1 = Quarterly Summaries were timely
2 = Quarterly Summaries were timely and complete.
3 = Quarterly Summaries were timely, complete, and accurate.

Comments:

No comment

4. Grantee used Logic Model to make program improvements? (An output is the work being done and the indicator is the evaluation of those outputs)

Factor Weight: 6 x Score 2 Weighted Score: 12

Anchor Points:
1 = Grantee reported quarterly on their logic model.
2 = Grantee reported effort on logic model process (output accomplished or revision of the plan).
3 = Grantee reported progress on proposed or revised outcome indicators.

Comments:

Logic model started out in the first quarter having very measurable outcomes, outputs and indicators but then in quarter two and three turned into a narrative, making it difficult to determine what progress what made on what indicators.

Grantee: Yukon Kuskokwin Health Corporation

Grant No: 602-13-005 **FY12 funding:** $2,648,838

Reviewer: Lynn M. Eldridge **Date:** 5/16/2013

Final Scoring Table					
Scores will automatically transfer to this sheet					
Section	*Item #*	**Factor Weight**	**Score**	**Weighted Score**	Total Possible Score
I	*1*	6	**2**	**12**	18
	2	3	**3**	**9**	9
II	*1*	3	**2**	**6**	9
	2	4	**3**	**12**	12
	3	2	**3**	**6**	6
	4	6	**2**	**12**	18
Total Possible Score					72
Weighted Score Total					**57**
Weighted Score divided by Total Possible					
FINAL SCORE					**79.17%**