

**TOBACCO PREVENTION AND
CONTROL REGIONAL PROFILE:
NORTHERN**

FY2015

Tobacco Prevention and Control Regional Profile:
Northern Region
Draft 1/22/16

Produced by the Section of Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Tobacco Prevention and Control Program through a contract with Program Design and Evaluation Services, Multnomah County Health Department and Oregon Public Health Division.

Major contributors include:

Chris Bushore, Program Design and Evaluation Services
Erik Everson, MPH, Program Design and Evaluation Services
Andrea Fenaughty, PhD, Deputy Section Chief
Jennie Hyde, PhD, Tobacco Prevention and Control Program
Kathy Pickle, MPH, Program Design and Evaluation Services

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the following for their contribution to this report –

Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health, Section of Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Charles Utermohle, PhD, Programmer/Analyst
Alison Kulas, MSPH, Program Manager

Suggested Citation: Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Division of Public Health, Section of Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. *Tobacco Prevention and Control Regional Profile: Northern Region*. Anchorage, AK: Alaska Department of Health and Social Services; 2016.

Copyright Information: All material in this document is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission; however, citation as to source is appreciated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE

Tobacco use remains Alaska's leading preventable cause of disease and death. More Alaskans die as a result of tobacco use than from infectious diseases, alcohol, car accidents, illegal drugs, murders and suicides combined. Tobacco use exacts an enormous burden on the State of Alaska and its residents, causing premature death and millions of dollars of avoidable medical care expenditures. The single best thing that Alaskans who use tobacco can do to improve their health and the health of those around them is to quit using all tobacco products.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has identified tobacco use as one of the most important "winnable battles" in public health – priorities with large-scale impact on health and known, effective strategies to address them. The CDC offers guidance to states about how to reduce the burden of tobacco use through comprehensive tobacco prevention and control programs described in Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs-2007. These evidence-based, comprehensive, sustained statewide tobacco control programs have

been shown to reduce smoking rates, tobacco-related deaths, and diseases caused by smoking.

Tobacco use remains a critical health issue in Alaska and disproportionately affects Alaska Native adults and youth, individuals of low socioeconomic status (SES), and young adults, leading to health inequities or disparities for these groups. However, Alaska has made considerable progress in reducing the burden of tobacco use by implementing a comprehensive tobacco prevention and control program consistent with CDC guidelines. Since the inception of the program in 1996, adult smoking rates have declined significantly to 22% in 2013, and youth smoking rates have been more than cut in half, to 11% in 2013.


The State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control (TPC) Program has achieved success by implementing an evidence-based comprehensive tobacco prevention and control program, including a tobacco quit line, counter-marketing media, and grants to promote tobacco-free policies in community programs, schools and health care organizations. These program elements combine to address the four goals of the TPC Program:

1. prevent the initiation of tobacco use among youth;
2. promote cessation for tobacco users;
3. eliminate exposure to secondhand smoke (SHS); and
4. identify and eliminate tobacco use disparities.

The TPC Program has previously supported grant programs to independently fund work in these four goal areas, including community organizations, health care systems, and schools across the state. In 2013, the TPC Program streamlined this approach by offering a comprehensive, regional funding scenario in which partners can approach tobacco prevention and control at the community level.

Regional profiles have been compiled to support this innovative streamlined approach to comprehensive tobacco prevention and control in Alaska. Tobacco use varies considerably by region, with twice the rates of smoking and four times the rates of smokeless tobacco use in some regions when compared with the statewide estimates. These regional profiles summarize key demographic data, tobacco indicators, tobacco-related policies in the region, and potential partner organizations and infrastructure that could support regional tobacco prevention and control efforts. While this report is specific to the Northern region, data for the other regions and statewide are included in Appendix B, and regional reports are available for each of the Public Health/Labor Market regions in Alaska (see map below).

The 6 Public Health/Labor Market regions in Alaska



OVERVIEW

The 2014 population estimatesⁱ lists 27,437 people living in the Northern region of Alaska, accounting for 4% of the total population in Alaska. Nearly half of the people in the region (n=13,517) live in 1 of the 4 cities, including Barrow, Kotzebue, Nome and Prudhoe Bay. See Table A-1 in Appendix A for a list of specific communities in the Northern region.

The Northern region is made up of the North Slope and Northwest Arctic Boroughs and the Nome Census Area. The annual average unemployment rate for the Northern region was 11.5% in 2013. The most common occupation in 2013 was Janitors and Cleaners (except maids and housekeeping cleaners), and local government employed the most people.ⁱⁱ

There are 13 Alaska Native Regional Corporations (or ANCSA Corporations) in the state of Alaska. ANCSA Corporations were established when the US Congress passed the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA), which settled land and financial claims made by the Alaska Natives and provided for the establishment of 13 regional corporations to administer those claims. The Northern region contains the following 3 ANCSA Corporations and their related Native associations.ⁱⁱⁱ

- Arctic Slope Regional Corporation (Arctic Slope Native Association)
- Bering Straits Native Corporation (Bering Straits Association)
- NANA Regional Corporation (Northwest Alaska Native Association)

The Northern region contains 4 school districts: the Bering Strait School District, Nome Public School District, the North Slope Borough School District and the Northwest Arctic Borough School District. Out of the 128,804 K-12th grade students in the state of Alaska, the Northern region accounts for approximately 5% of K-12th grade students in Alaska. Out of the 38,862 high school students in the state, the region also accounts for 4% of high school students.

Table 1. School District Enrollment in the Northern Region as of October 1, 2014

District Name	Total High School	Total K-12th
Bering Strait Schools	392	1,664
Nome Public Schools	178	697
North Slope Borough Schools	444	1,747
Northwest Arctic Borough Schools	514	1,947
TOTAL	1,528	6,055


Source: Alaska Department of Education and Early Development: Assessment and Accountability, District Enrollment as of October 1, 2014 FY15. <http://education.alaska.gov/stats/>

TOBACCO USE

Adult Smoking

Adult tobacco use data are gathered using the Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), combining 2011 – 2013 data to calculate regional estimates. Over 2 in 5 adults (42% ± 5%) are estimated to currently smoke cigarettes in the Northern region, nearly double the statewide estimate of 22% (± 1%) for 2011 – 2013 pooled data. (See Appendix B for all regional and statewide estimates.)

Figure 1. Adult Smoking Status, Northern Region, 2011-2013




Source: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011–2013

Certain priority populations, including Alaska Natives, people with low socio-economic status (SES), and young adults, may experience higher rates of tobacco use than others. The figure below summarizes data for specific population groups to monitor tobacco use in these populations and to help identify where to focus programmatic efforts for the Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program (TPCP) and its partners.

In the Northern region, adult smoking prevalence was 42% ($\pm 5\%$) overall. Among Alaska Natives, the smoking prevalence was significantly higher than the regional estimate as well as prevalence among non-Natives, 52% ($\pm 6\%$) compared to 19% ($\pm 7\%$). Likewise, the smoking prevalence was higher among people with low SES as compared to the regional estimate as well as those with higher SES, 42% ($\pm 20\%$) compared to 18% ($\pm 8\%$).

Figure 2. Percent of Alaska Adults Who Currently Smoke, Northern Region, 2011-2013




DSU: Data suppressed; unreliable estimate.

Source: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011-2013

Adult Smokeless Tobacco Use

In the Northern region, an estimated 10% ($\pm 4\%$) of adults use smokeless tobacco, significantly higher than the statewide estimate of 6% ($\pm 1\%$) for 2011 – 2013 data.

Figure 3. Percent of Alaska Adults Who Use Smokeless Tobacco, Northern Region, 2011-2013



**Interpret data with caution (For more information, see Table B-2 in Appendix B.)*

DSU: Data suppressed; unreliable estimate.

Source: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011-2013

Youth Smoking


Information about youth tobacco use and other related indicators comes from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS), conducted in a sample of high schools every other year. Although the official state estimates are based on a scientifically selected statewide sample of schools and students, the regional data include a combination of the scientific statewide sample and schools that volunteered or chose to participate as part of a local sample. (In Alaska, individual school districts can also conduct a local YRBS.) For this reason, regional estimates may not be generalizable to all students in the region (see Appendix F for additional detail). Because of the mixed sample, regional estimates are presented without confidence intervals (for example, “6%”, rather than “6% $\pm 2\%$ ” of students).

For district-specific information, contact your local school district for results. The data presented here only reflect statewide and regional YRBS data.

An estimated 29% of high school students surveyed in the Northern region smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days, compared with the statewide weighted estimate of 13% in 2011-2013. An estimated 14% of students surveyed smoked cigarettes on 20 or more of the past 30 days.

As seen in Figure 4, the estimates for cigarette use are higher for females than for males, 32% compared to 26%. Alaska Native youth in the Northern region report smoking within the past 30 days at over 3 times the rate of non-Native youth, 31% compared to 9%.

Figure 4. Youth Cigarette Use in Past 30 Days, Northern Region, 2011-2013




Source: Alaska Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2011-2013

Youth Smokeless Tobacco Use

In 2011-2013, an estimated 24% of high school students surveyed in the Northern region used smokeless tobacco in the past 30 days, compared with the statewide 2011-2013 weighted estimate of 9%. Males in the Northern region were about 50% more likely than females to have used smokeless tobacco in the past 30 days, 29% compared to 19%. Alaska Native youth were over 3 times more likely than non-Native youth to report smokeless tobacco use in the past 30 days, 26% compared to 8%.

Figure 5. Youth Smokeless Tobacco Use in Past 30 Days, Northern Region, 2011-2013



Source: Alaska Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2011-2013

ELIMINATING EXPOSURE TO SECONDHAND SMOKE

Secondhand Smoke (SHS) Indicators

There is no safe level of exposure to secondhand smoke. Creating completely smoke-free indoor areas is the only way to protect nonsmokers from secondhand smoke. Policies establishing smokefree environments are the most effective way to eliminate secondhand smoke.^{iv} In the Northern region, there is overwhelming support for both smokefree restaurants and workplaces.

Table 2. Adult Secondhand Smoke (SHS) Indicators, Northern Region, 2011-2013

	Prevalence (95% CI)*
Has home smoking ban	81.7% (±6.0%)
No home SHS exposure	86.9% (±5.1%)
Support for smokefree workplaces	79.8% (±6.1%)
Support for smokefree restaurants	80.4% (±5.9%)
Workplace has smokefree policy	77.9% (±8.2%)
No indoor workplace SHS exposure (all workers)	92.8% (±3.6%)
No indoor workplace SHS exposure (indoor workers)	94.0% (±4.3%)

*95% Confidence Interval

Source: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011 – 2013

Although the vast majority of adults did not report secondhand smoke exposure in homes and workplaces, an estimated 40% of high school students surveyed in the Northern region in 2011-2013 reported being in the same room with someone who was smoking in the past seven days.^v

Tribal Resolutions

Recently many tribes across Alaska have adopted 100% comprehensive model smoke-free or tobacco-free resolutions. In the Northern region, the TPC Program has 16 tobacco-free and 1 smokefree tribal resolutions on record as of September 1, 2015.^{vi} The Native Villages of Ambler, Buckland, Deering, Elim, Kiana, Kobuk, Kotzebue, Noatak, Point Hope, Savoonga, Selawik, Shaktoolik, Shishmaref, and Shungnak have all passed tobacco-free workplace resolutions as have the Native Communities of King Island and Noorvik. The Native Village of Brevig Mission has passed a smoke-free workplace policy.

Smokefree Workplace Laws

Six smokefree workplace laws have passed in the Northern region through September 1, 2015 and include the cities of Ambler, Barrow, Buckland, Koyuk, Nome, and Point Hope. Although none of these laws are 100% comprehensive, they all apply to workplaces, restaurants, and bars with no exemptions.

Table 3. Clean Indoor Air (CIA) Laws Passed through September 1, 2015 in the Northern Region

Jurisdiction	Date	Law covers the following:		
		Workplaces	Restaurants	Bars
Ambler	2002	Yes	Yes	Yes
Barrow	2001	Yes	Yes	Yes
Buckland	2001	Yes	Yes	Yes
Koyuk	2003	Yes	Yes	Yes
Nome	2011	Yes	Yes	Yes
Point Hope	1990	Yes	Yes	Yes

Source: State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program

Regional Housing Authorities

Public housing residents often have limited housing choices and without smokefree policies in place, their housing options may include secondhand smoke exposure. In July 2009, the US Department of Housing & Urban Development (USD-HUD) published a notice strongly encouraging implementation of smoke-free policies in public housing to advance tobacco prevention and control efforts among low income and vulnerable populations.^{vii} There are 13 regional housing authorities in the Northern region. According to TPC Program records, as of September 1, 2015, Bering Straits Regional Housing Authority and the Northwest Inupiat Housing Authority are the only regional housing authorities in the Northern region with a comprehensive smokefree policy that includes all residents, visitors, and staff within all housing authority property.

Table 4. Regional Housing Authorities in the Northern Region

Regional Housing Authority	Smokefree Policy?
Bering Straits Regional Housing Authority	Yes
Native Village of Barrow Inupiat Traditional Government	No
Native Village of Kivalina	No
Native Village of Noatak	No
Native Village of Point Hope	No
Native Village of Selawik	No
Native Village of Unalakleet	No
Nome Eskimo Community	No
Noorvik Native Community	No
Northwest Inupiat Housing Authority	Yes
Stebbins Community Association	No
Tagiugmiullu Nunamiullu Housing Authority	No
Tupiq Service, Incorporated	No

Source: Alaska Community Database (Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development) and State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program

PREVENT THE INITIATION OF TOBACCO USE

Youth Prevention Indicators

The YRBS data offer key indicators for tracking youth initiation of tobacco use and youth perceptions of the social norms around tobacco use. An estimated 20% of high school students surveyed in the Northern region used some type of tobacco (either cigarettes or smokeless tobacco) on school property within the past 30 days in 2011-2013. Although nearly all of the youth reported that their parents would consider it wrong for them to smoke cigarettes, 32% thought that smoking one or more packs per day posed no or slight risk to their health.

Table 5. Youth Tobacco Prevention Indicators, Northern Region, 2011-2013

	Percent (Total Respondents)
Used tobacco on school property*	20.3% (1,226)
Initiated smoking prior to age 13	25.2% (1,142)
Perceives no or only slight risk from smoking**	32.0% (1,233)
Thinks parents consider it wrong for child to smoke	87.1% (1,169)

*Smoking or using smokeless tobacco within the past 30 days.

**Students who think smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day is no or slight risk.

Source: Alaska Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2011-2013

Tobacco Taxes

Numerous economic studies have documented that tobacco tax or price increases reduce both adult and underage smoking. Alaska's statewide cigarette tax is \$2.00 for a pack of 20 cigarettes and 75% of wholesale price of other tobacco products, including cigars and chewing tobacco. Municipalities and boroughs are allowed to also levy a tax on other tobacco products, including cigars and chewing tobacco. As of September 1, 2015, two cigarette taxes have passed in the Northern region in the cities of Barrow and Kotzebue.

Table 6. Cigarette Tax Rates through September 1, 2015 in the Northern Region

Community	Local Tax	State Base Tax	Total Tax per Pack	Other Tobacco Products Tax
Barrow	\$1.00	\$2.00	\$3.00	12% of wholesale
Kotzebue	\$2.20	\$2.00	\$4.20	55% of wholesale

Source: Barrow Municipal Code, Chapter 4.22 and Kotzebue Municipal Code, Chapter 3.40.

School District Policy Reports

In an effort to promote tobacco-free schools, the TPC Program developed a gold standard tobacco-free schools policy and conducted an analysis of existing school district tobacco policies. In the Northern Region, three school districts have passed a Gold Standard tobacco-free campus policy, including the Bering Strait, the Nome, and the Northwest Arctic Borough

School Districts. (See Table D-2 in Appendix D for more information about the 8 required standard policy elements.)

School policies are subject to change. The policy summaries presented here are current as of August 25, 2015. The TPC Program reviews and updates school district tobacco policies quarterly. For the most current regional school district policy report visit <http://www.redegrouop.co/alaska-school-district-reports>.

Table 7. School Policy Report for the Northern Region: Minimum Standard Data Elements Met as of August 25, 2015

Number of School Districts	Percent of School Districts	Minimum Data Element
3	75%	Tobacco-free school district – Minimum standard elements (8 total)
1	25%	Incomplete – Policy lacks one or more key elements to meet the tobacco free school standard
0	0%	Missing – Policy has not been submitted

Source: State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program

Three districts in the Northern region have been identified as “Gold star” tobacco-free districts (see Table 8), meeting the minimum standard elements as well as ten additional elements above and beyond the minimum elements. See Table D-3 in Appendix D for more information about the additional policy elements.

Table 8. School Policy Report for the Northern Region: Gold, Silver, or Bronze as of August 25, 2015

Number of School Districts	Percent of School Districts	Minimum Data Element
3	75%	Gold star – Minimum standard plus at least ten additional elements
0	0%	Silver star – Minimum standard plus 5 - 9 additional elements
0	0%	Bronze star – Minimum standard plus 1 - 4 additional elements

Source: State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program

Table 9 displays the current status of each school district in the Northern region as of August 25, 2015.

Table 9. School Policy Report for the Northern Region: Current Status as of August 25, 2015

School District	Current Status
Bering Strait Schools	Gold Star
Nome Public Schools	Gold Star
North Slope Borough Schools	Incomplete
Northwest Arctic Borough Schools	Gold Star

Source: State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program

EVIDENCE-BASED TOBACCO CESSATION INTERVENTIONS

Cessation Indicators

Quitting tobacco provides health benefits at any age.^{viii-ix} Additionally, tobacco cessation programs are cost-effective and increase longevity while reducing health care costs.^x In the Northern region, almost 40% of adults aged 25 or more who have ever smoked regularly have quit (i.e., quit ratio, as shown in Table 10). Among adults who currently smoke, 58% have attempted to quit smoking in the past 12 months.

Table 10. Adult Cessation Indicators, Northern Region, 2011-2013

	Prevalence (95% CI)*
Quit ratio (among ever smokers age 25 and older)	38.5% (±6.5%)
Attempted to Quit (among current smokers)	58.0% (±7.3%)
Quit for 3+ months (among past year smokers)	7.9%** (±7.5%)
Aware of quit line (among current smokers)	73.4% (±9.3%)
Advised to quit by health care provider (among smokers who had a health care visit in the past year)	76.6% (±10.9%)

*95% Confidence Interval (CI)

***Interpret data with caution. (For more information, see Table E-1 in Appendix E.)*

Source: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011 – 2013

Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line

Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line provides free, phone based counseling and nicotine replacement therapy to all Alaskans. In 2014, 2,549 Alaska residents called the quit line, with approximately 2% of the callers (58) from the Northern region. Nearly all calls (95%; 56 callers) from the Northern region were tobacco users who requested a cessation intervention.

Table 11. Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line: Summary of Services, Northern Region, 2012 and 2014

	Number of Callers 2012 (%)	Number of Callers 2014 (%)
All Transfer Types	‡	‡
General Questions	‡	‡
Intervention Requested	40 98%	56 97%
Materials Only	‡	‡
TOTAL	41 (100%)	58 (100%)

‡Data suppressed because there were fewer than 6 callers.

Source: Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line, 2012 & 2014

The majority of tobacco users in the Northern region who called the quit line to request an intervention in 2014 were male and non-Natives (see Table 12 and 13).

Table 12. Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line: Total Number of Tobacco Users Who Requested an Intervention by Sex, Northern Region, 2012 & 2014

	Number of Callers 2012 (%)	Number of Callers 2014 (%)
Female	23 (58%)	26 (45%)
Male	17 (43%)	31 (53%)
TOTAL	40 (100%)	58 (100%)

Source: Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line, 2012 & 2014

Table 13. Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line: Total Number of Tobacco Users Who Requested an Intervention by Alaska Native vs. non-Native, Northern Region, 2012 & 2014

	Number of Callers	Number of Callers
	2012 (%)	2014 (%)
Alaska Native	31 (78%)	11 (19%)
Non-Native	7 (18%)	43 (74%)
Unknown	2 (5%)	4 (7%)
TOTAL	40 (100%)	58 (100%)

Source: Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line, 2012 & 2014

Most 2014 Quit Line callers were aged 30 to 54, and over 90% of callers were calling for help with quitting only smoke tobacco (rather than smokeless; see Table 14 and 15).

Table 14. Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line: Total Number of Tobacco Users Who Requested an Intervention by Age, Northern Region, 2012 & 2014

	Number of Callers	Number of Callers
	2012 (%)	2014 (%)
18 to 29	15 (38%)	18 (31%)
30 to 54	17 (43%)	34 (59%)
55+	8 (20%)	6 10%
TOTAL	40 (100%)	58 (100%)

Source: Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line, 2012 & 2014

Table 15. Alaska’s Tobacco Quit Line: Total Number of Tobacco Users Who Requested an Intervention by Tobacco Type, Northern Region, 2012 & 2014

	Number of Callers 2012 (%)	Number of Callers 2014 (%)
Smoke Tobacco Only	36 (90%)	53 (91%)
SLT Only	‡	‡
Other/Unknown/Dual Users	‡	‡
TOTAL	40 (100%)	58 (100%)

Source: Alaska’s Tobacco Quit Line, 2012 & 2014

Resources and Systems for Tobacco Cessation Interventions

The TPC Program works to engage all health care systems to implement tobacco control policies consistent with the *U.S. Public Health Services Clinical Practice Guidelines Treating Tobacco Use and Dependence*, including working with all health systems to ask, advise, refer and document tobacco use and follow-up while minimizing barriers to treatment. There are 3 Regional Native Health Corporation in the Northern region: the Maniilaq Association, the North Slope Borough, and the Norton Sound Health Corporation. In addition, there are numerous hospitals, clinics, tribal health organizations, and community health centers in the Northern region. There are also a variety of mental health and substance abuse facilities in the region. Appendix E (Table E-2 and E-3) summarizes these health care facilities, offering partnership opportunities for tobacco cessation intervention services in the Northern region.

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Overview

Table A-1. Community Population in the Northern Region, 2010 Census and 2014 Population Estimates

Community	Borough/Census Area	2010 Census Population	2014 Population Estimates
Brevig Mission	Nome Census Area	388	411
Diomedea	Nome Census Area	115	111
Elim	Nome Census Area	330	350
Gambell	Nome Census Area	681	713
Golovin	Nome Census Area	156	171
Koyuk	Nome Census Area	332	321
Nome	Nome Census Area	3,598	3,721
Port Clarence	Nome Census Area	24	0
Saint Michael	Nome Census Area	401	418
Savoonga	Nome Census Area	671	718
Shaktoolik	Nome Census Area	251	282
Shishmaref	Nome Census Area	563	607
Stebbins	Nome Census Area	556	608
Teller	Nome Census Area	229	256
Unalakleet	Nome Census Area	688	744
Wales	Nome Census Area	145	146
White Mountain	Nome Census Area	190	203
Balance*	Nome Census Area	174	172
Census Area Subtotal		9,492	9,952
Anaktuvuk Pass	North Slope Borough	324	324
Atkasuk	North Slope Borough	233	229
Barrow	North Slope Borough	4,212	4,469
Kaktovik	North Slope Borough	239	251
Nuiqsut	North Slope Borough	402	444
Point Hope	North Slope Borough	674	651
Point Lay	North Slope Borough	189	189
Prudhoe Bay	North Slope Borough	2,174	2,174
Wainwright	North Slope Borough	556	553
Balance*	North Slope Borough	427	427
Borough Subtotal		9,430	9,711
Ambler	Northwest Arctic Borough	258	274
Buckland	Northwest Arctic Borough	416	482
Deering	Northwest Arctic Borough	122	152
Kiana	Northwest Arctic Borough	361	403
Kivalina	Northwest Arctic Borough	374	411
Kobuk	Northwest Arctic Borough	151	152
Kotzebue	Northwest Arctic Borough	3,201	3,153
Noatak	Northwest Arctic Borough	514	556
Noorvik	Northwest Arctic Borough	668	639
Red Dog Mine	Northwest Arctic Borough	309	309

Selawik	Northwest Arctic Borough	829	876
Shungnak	Northwest Arctic Borough	262	308
Balance*	Northwest Arctic Borough	58	59
Borough Subtotal		7,523	7,774
REGION TOTAL		26,445	27,437

*Balance refers to a population that lives in an unincorporated remote or rural area (sometimes referred to as "off the grid").

SOURCE: Alaska Community Database (Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development) and US Census

Appendix B: Tobacco Use

Table B-1. Percent of Alaska Adults Who Currently Smoke, Public Health Regions, 2011-2013

		Anchorage/Mat-Su	Gulf Coast	Interior	Northern	Southeast	Southwest	Statewide Total
All Adults		19.6%	21.5%	23.2%	42.1%	20.7%	32.0%	21.8%
	±	1.4%	2.1%	2.0%	4.8%	2.2%	3.6%	0.9%
Alaska Native		33.6%	37.0%	42.0%	52.2%	36.6%	37.4%	38.7%
	±	5.5%	7.5%	6.6%	6.0%	6.6%	4.5%	2.5%
Non-Native		18.5%	19.9%	21.1%	19.1%	17.4%	21.4%	19.1%
	±	1.6%	2.2%	2.1%	7.1%	2.3%	5.3%	1.0%
Low SES (non-Native)		38.3%	33.3%	37.0%	DSU	33.2%	39.8%	37.0%
	±	4.3%	5.4%	5.7%	DSU	6.9%	15.4%	2.8%
Higher SES (non-Native)		12.4%	16.8%	16.0%	17.7%	12.9%	19.6%	13.9%
	±	1.5%	3.0%	2.2%	8.4%	2.7%	6.9%	1.1%
Males		20.2%	23.1%	24.2%	45.8%	23.6%	37.2%	23.4%
	±	2.2%	3.1%	2.8%	6.6%	3.4%	5.0%	1.3%
Females		19.0%	19.8%	22.0%	36.7%	17.6%	24.8%	20.1%
	±	2.0%	2.8%	2.8%	6.5%	2.8%	4.9%	1.3%
Age 18-29		22.9%	25.0%	28.2%	43.3%	26.0%	43.1%	26.2%
	±	3.5%	5.7%	4.8%	10.5%	6.3%	9.1%	2.3%
30-54		22.2%	25.0%	24.7%	46.2%	23.6%	31.2%	24.2%
	±	2.2%	3.4%	2.9%	6.6%	3.5%	4.6%	1.4%
55 and older		12.9%	15.6%	16.3%	30.9%	13.7%	22.6%	14.7%
	±	1.8%	2.9%	2.6%	8.4%	2.8%	5.8%	1.2%

DSU: Data suppressed; denominator under 50.

SOURCE: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011 – 2013

Table B-2. Percent of Alaska Adults Who Currently Use Smokeless Tobacco, Public Health Regions, 2011-2013

	Anchorage/Mat-Su	Gulf Coast	Interior	Northern	Southeast	Southwest	Statewide Total
All Adults	3.9%	5.9%	6.1%	10.0%	3.4%	22.4%	5.5%
	± 0.7%	1.5%	1.2%	0.9%	0.9%	2.8%	0.5%
Alaska Native	9.1%	8.1%	7.9%	12.8%	3.0%	34.3%	13.9%
	± 3.7%	4.3%	3.2%	4.9%	1.7%	4.0%	1.8%
Non-Native	3.4%	5.8%	5.9%	4.4%*	3.5%	4.2%	4.2%
	± 0.6%	1.6%	1.3%	3.6%	1.0%	1.4%	0.5%
Low SES (non-Native)	2.5%	2.8%	3.4%	DSU	3.6%	7.9%*	2.9%
	± 1.0%	1.5%	1.9%	DSU	1.9%	6.1%	0.7%
Higher SES (non-Native)	4.1%	6.4%	6.9%	5.6%*	3.6%	3.3%	4.8%
	± 1.0%	2.3%	1.8%	5.1%	1.4%	1.5%	0.7%
Males	6.9%	10.3%	10.7%	15.2%	6.3%	23.3%	9.1%
	± 1.2%	2.6%	2.1%	5.6%	1.7%	3.8%	0.9%
Females	0.8%	1.0%*	0.7%	2.5%*	0.3%*	21.1%	1.7%
	± 0.5%	0.8%	0.5%	2.2%	0.3%	3.9%	0.4%
Age 18-29	4.6%	9.3%	8.3%	14.4%*	5.2%*	25.2%	7.2%
	± 1.5%	4.8%	3.2%	9.6%	2.8%	6.8%	1.3%
30-54	5.1%	7.2%	7.2%	10.3%	4.1%	22.2%	6.5%
	± 1.1%	2.4%	1.8%	3.7%	1.4%	3.5%	0.7%
55 and older	1.4%	2.8%	2.3%	3.5%	1.5%	19.2%	2.6%
	± 0.8%	1.3%	0.8%	2.6%	0.8%	4.8%	0.5%

DSU: Data suppressed; denominator under 50.

*Inadequate sample size for uncommon or very common events. For means and proportions <25% or >75%, an estimate is flagged if it is based on a denominator which is less than 8 times a broadly calculated design effect, over the prevalence estimate.

SOURCE: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011 – 2013

Appendix C: Eliminating Exposure to Secondhand Smoke

Table C-1. Adult Secondhand Smoke (SHS) Indicators, Public Health Regions, 2011-2013

	Anchorage/ Mat-Su	Gulf Coast	Interior	Northern	Southeast	Southwest	Total
Has home smoking ban	89.2%	86.2%	88.0%	81.7%	90.4%	92.9%	88.7%
±	1.7%	2.7%	2.0%	6.0%	1.9%	2.3%	1.1%
No home SHS exposure	90.4%	87.7%	89.5%	86.9%	90.6%	94.8%	90.0%
±	1.7%	2.7%	1.9%	5.1%	2.0%	1.9%	1.1%
Support for smokefree workplaces	84.1%	81.0%	80.0%	79.8%	81.9%	84.2%	82.7%
±	2.0%	2.9%	2.5%	6.1%	3.0%	3.4%	1.3%
Support for smokefree restaurants	82.3%	80.5%	73.2%	80.4%	79.0%	85.2%	80.3%
±	2.1%	2.9%	2.9%	5.9%	3.1%	3.5%	1.3%
Smoking not allowed in work areas (indoor workers)	88.5%	83.2%	85.2%	77.9%	85.6%	84.3%	86.7%
±	2.3%	3.9%	3.6%	8.2%	3.7%	5.3%	1.5%
No indoor workplace SHS exposure (all workers)†	92.9%	90.4%	90.5%	92.8%	92.6%	91.4%	92.2%
±	1.5%	2.4%	2.8%	3.6%	1.9%	3.0%	1.0%
No indoor workplace SHS exposure (indoor workers)	94.8%	90.3%	93.3%	94.0%	93.9%	94.1%	94.0%
±	1.4%	3.0%	2.1%	4.3%	2.0%	3.1%	1.0%

SOURCE: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011 – 2013

Appendix D: Prevent the Initiation of Tobacco Use

Table D-1. Youth Tobacco Prevention Indicators, Public Health Regions, 2011-2013

		Anchorage/Mat-Su	Gulf Coast	Interior	Northern	Southeast	Southwest
Used tobacco on school property*	Percent	6.5%	6.3%	7.9%	20.3%	7.1%	26.0%
	Total Respondents	4,412	3,470	1,011	1,226	2,693	781
Initiated of smoking prior to age 13	Percent	9.3%	10.4%	14.1%	25.2%	11.5%	25.0%
	Total Respondents	4,194	3,301	964	1,142	2,536	736
Perceives no or only slight risk from smoking**	Percent	14.1%	10.6%	15.7%	32.0%	14.4%	30.3%
	Total Respondents	4,426	3,474	1,019	1,233	2,703	780
Thinks parents consider it wrong for child to smoke	Percent	91.4%	90.9%	88.6%	87.1%	90.2%	85.2%
	Total Respondents	4,322	3,425	998	1,169	2,667	739

*Smoking or using smokeless tobacco within the past 30 days.

**Students who think smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day is no or slight risk.

Source: Alaska Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2011-2013

Table D-2. School Policy Report for the Northern Region: Minimum Standard Elements of a Tobacco-Free Policy as of August 25, 2015

Number of School Districts	Percent of School Districts	Policy Elements
3	75%	Prohibits use of tobacco products on all school property (including grounds, buildings, parking areas, and residencies where applicable)
4	100%	Prohibits use of tobacco products in school vehicles
3	75%	Prohibits use of tobacco products at any school-sponsored event (on- or off-campus)
3	75%	Defines tobacco to mean all forms of tobacco and tobacco use (all smoking products, smokeless tobacco products, and non treatment related nicotine delivery devices)
3	75%	Applies to all students, staff, and visitors
4	100%	Requires the posting of signs informing students, staff and visitors that school grounds are tobacco-free
3	75%	Identifies specific enforcement procedures and consequences for violating school policies
3	75%	Remains in force 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, 365 days a year

Source: State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program

Table D-3. School Policy Report for the Northern Region: Additional Policy Elements of a Tobacco-Free Policy as of August 25, 2015

Number of School Districts	Percent of School Districts	Policy Element
4	100%	Includes a rationale for being tobacco-free
4	100%	States that tobacco possession by a person under age 19 is against the law
4	100%	Includes language restricting items from school property that might contribute to tobacco use and acceptability (such as lighters, clothing with logos)
2	50%	Includes a requirement for evidence-based tobacco prevention education for all students
2	50%	Includes provisions against accepting tobacco industry funds or free giveaways
4	100%	Includes language prohibiting tobacco advertising in school buildings and school functions
3	75%	Includes progressive consequences for violating school tobacco policy (such as an education program or in school suspension versus suspension for student's first offense)
3	75%	Includes provisions to refer students and staff to local or statewide programs to help them quit using tobacco
3	75%	Includes language prohibiting distribution of tobacco products
3	75%	Includes language specifically prohibiting tobacco use by contractors
3	75%	Includes procedures for communicating the policy to students
3	75%	Includes procedures for communicating the policy to staff
3	75%	Includes procedures for communicating the policy to visitors

Source: State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program

Appendix E: Evidence-Based Tobacco Cessation Interventions

Table E-1. Adult Cessation Indicators, Public Health Regions, 2011-2013

		Anchorage/Mat-Su	Gulf Coast	Interior	Northern	Southeast	Southwest	Total
Quit ratio (among ever smokers age 25 and older)		60.5%	59.4%	57.6%	38.5%	60.8%	46.5%	58.3%
	±	2.6%	3.5%	3.3%	6.5%	3.8%	4.9%	1.6%
Attempted to Quit (among current smokers)		58.5%	55.3%	51.5%	58.0%	55.0%	44.5%	55.5%
	±	4.0%	5.6%	5.0%	7.3%	6.2%	7.1%	2.4%
Quit for 3+ months (among past year smokers)		8.0%*	11.0%	7.6%	7.9%*	6.1%	6.1%	7.9%
	±	3.7%	5.7%	3.4%	7.5%	3.5%	4.2%	2.2%
Aware of quit line (among current smokers)		75.1%	85.8%	71.5%	73.4%	82.9%	78.9%	76.4%
	±	5.8%	5.3%	5.8%	9.3%	5.4%	7.1%	3.3%
Advised to quit by health care provider (among smokers who had a health care visit in the past year)		68.9%	63.4%	63.0%	76.6%	66.6%	62.6%	67.2%
	±	7.7%	9.2%	8.2%	10.9%	9.1%	11.5%	4.5%

*Inadequate sample size for uncommon or very common events. For means and proportions <25% or >75%, an estimate is flagged if it is based on a denominator which is less than 8 times a broadly calculated design effect, over the prevalence estimate.

SOURCE: Alaska Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2011 – 2013

Table E-2. State of Alaska Mental Health and Substance Abuse Facilities* in the Northern Region, FY2015

Agency	Service Area	Provider Type		
		Community Behavioral Health Center	Mental Health	Substance Abuse
North Slope Borough	Barrow	x	x	x
Manililaq Association	Kotzebue	x	x	x
Nome Community Center, Inc.	Nome			
Norton Sound Health Corporation	Nome	x	x	x
Alaska Youth and Family Network	Statewide		x	

SOURCE: State of Alaska Department of Behavioral Health

Table E-3. Health Care Systems in the Northern Region*

Health Care Organization	Borough/Census Area
Ambler Health Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Anaktuvuk Pass Clinic	North Slope Borough
Anikkan Inuit Iluaqutaat Sub-Regional Clinic	Nome Census Area
Atgasuk Clinic	North Slope Borough
Bessie Kaningok Health Clinic	Nome Census Area
Brevig Mission Clinic	Nome Census Area
Esther Barger Memorial Health Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Irene L. Aukongak (Dagumaaq) Health Clinic	Nome Census Area
Kaktovik Clinic	North Slope Borough
Katherine Kobuk Memorial Clinic	Nome Census Area
Katherine Miksrueq Olanna Memorial Clinic	Nome Census Area
Kiana Health Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Kivalina Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Kobuk Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Little Diomedede Clinic	Nome Census Area
Maniilaq Health Center	Northwest Arctic Borough
Nome Public Health Center	Nome Census Area
Noorvik Health Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Norton Sound Regional Hospital	Nome Census Area
Nuiqsut Clinic	North Slope Borough
Nutchirviq Health Clinic	Nome Census Area
Pauline Aliitchaq Barr Health Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Point Hope Clinic	North Slope Borough
Point Lay Clinic	North Slope Borough
Ruth Qumiiggan Henry Memorial Clinic	Nome Census Area
Saint Lawrence Subregional Clinic - Norton Sound Health Corporation	Nome Census Area
Samuel Simmonds Memorial Hospital	North Slope Borough
Savoonga Clinic	Nome Census Area
Selawik Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough

Shaktoolik Clinic	Nome Census Area
Shungnak Clinic	Northwest Arctic Borough
Tapraqmuit Yungcarviat Clinic	Nome Census Area
Teller Clinic	Nome Census Area
Tigauchiaq Amainiq Health Clinic - Maniilaq	Northwest Arctic Borough
Toby Anungazuk, Sr. Memorial Health Clinic	Nome Census Area
Wainwright Health Clinic	North Slope Borough
Yukuniaraq Yungcarvik Clinic	Nome Census Area

Source: Alaska Community Database (Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development)

**NOTE: The Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development collected the healthcare system data presented in Table E-3. Additional healthcare clinics and hospitals may exist in the region beyond those included in this table.*

Appendix F: Data Sources

Alaska's Tobacco Quit Line

The State of Alaska contracts with Alere Wellbeing to provide quit line services to the state. Each month, Alere Wellbeing provides monthly, quarterly, and annual reports on general utilization. The state also receives monthly utilization datasets. Quit line utilization data is then analyzed to produce detailed unique reports quarterly and annually.

Youth Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (YRBS)

The YRBS is a systematic survey of high school students that assesses prevalence of behaviors related to the leading causes of mortality, morbidity and social problems among youth. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention sponsor national and state surveys every two years, most recently in 2013.

The statewide Alaska YRBS is conducted using a two-stage sampling design. The sampling frame is regular public schools containing grades 9, 10, 11, or 12. Schools are selected first with a probability of inclusion proportional to the size of their enrollment. Once a school is chosen, classes are selected, with each student having an equal opportunity for inclusion. From 2003 through 2013, active parental consent was required for each student participating in the YRBS. On the appointed survey day students completed written questionnaires and returned them in class in unmarked, sealed envelopes.

In a typical YRBS administration, about 1,250 to 1,350 students are surveyed from about 40 to 45 high schools that are scientifically selected to represent all public high schools (excluding boarding schools, alternative schools, correspondence and home study schools, and correctional schools) in Alaska. These results are considered to be representative of Alaska's more than 33,000 high school students in grades 9-12 in traditional public high schools. Data are weighted to reflect the true distribution of Alaska high school students by gender and grade level, but not by region of the state.

The traditional school-based YRBS does not estimate risk behaviors associated with youth who drop out of school or do not attend school. However, for the first time in 2009, about 1,000 students from 15 alternative high schools in Alaska were surveyed to evaluate and address the health risks of this unique population. This process was repeated in 2011 and in 2013. Further information about the YRBS, including survey results for the statewide traditional sample, the alternative schools sample and the correctional schools sample is available at <http://www.hss.state.ak.us/dph/chronic/school/YRBSresults.htm>.

Reporting by Region

For regional reporting, the sampling frame is considered to be 'ad hoc', because it includes all regular public schools containing grades 9, 10, 11, or 12 who participated in the survey, whether they were part of the statewide official sample, or chose to participate in the local school district sample. Schools were not systematically and randomly selected and the regional group of participants may not be very representative of schools within their region. However, in each region, students from two or more of school districts participated in the survey.

Data were weighted by school enrollment by gender and grade only if the participation rate for the school achieved the 60% response rate established by the CDC for samples or the 50% response rate when all eligible students are surveyed. Not all of the participating schools met these requirements. Therefore, the regional estimates are based on a combination of weighted and unweighted responses. Due to the sampling limitations, confidence intervals are not assessed for these regional data.

The regional YRBS data are based upon aggregated school districts and do not reveal information on a single school district. Prevalence rates are based upon a minimum of 100 responses or the results are suppressed

as Data Statistically Unreliable (DSU). Based upon these measures to protect the anonymity of school districts and students, the data may be publically distributed.

Reporting by Race Group within Region

In this Profile, we report race/ethnicity by whether the survey participant reported being Alaska Native or not. All YRBS survey participants who report being Alaska Native, either alone or in combination with other race groups or Hispanic ethnicity, are categorized in this report as being Alaska Native. We combine all other race groups to report a category “Non-Native”. This category includes students who report being White, Hispanic, African American, Asian, Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, or who report multiple race groups (except for Alaska Native). Those who did not report a race group are not included in the race group reporting.

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS)

The BRFSS is an anonymous telephone survey conducted by the Alaska Division of Public Health in cooperation with the Centers for Disease Control (CDC). It aims to estimate the prevalence of behavioral risk factors in the general population that are known to be associated with the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in adults. The BRFSS has operated continuously in Alaska since it began in 1991.

The BRFSS uses a probability (or random) sample in which all Alaska households with landline telephones have a known, nonzero chance of selection. The sample is stratified into regions, with roughly equal numbers of interviews conducted in each region. This method deliberately over-samples rural areas of the state. Respondents are randomly selected from among the adult members of each household reached through a series of random telephone calls. Historically, those living in institutions (i.e., nursing homes, dormitories) are not surveyed. In 2011, the sample was stratified into six geographic regions. In addition, the sampling frame was expanded to include cell phones as well as landline or household phones. This step was important because the proportion of households served only by cellular telephones has increased rapidly. By June 2010, about 20% of Alaska households were cell-only.^{xi} Since 2011, Alaska’s cell phone sample has been large enough to include it in weighting and reporting of data.

Interviews are conducted by trained interviewers during weekdays, evenings, and weekends throughout the year. In addition to tobacco use, the BRFSS questionnaire covers such topics as general health status, health care access, nutrition, physical activity, diabetes, alcohol use, women’s health, injury prevention, and HIV/AIDS awareness. There are also questions on the demographic characteristics of respondents.

Alaska presently conducts two BRFSS surveys: the standard BRFSS and a supplemental BRFSS. The supplemental survey contains most of the additional tobacco-related questions, some of which have been adapted from the CDC’s Adult Tobacco Survey. Both surveys are conducted throughout the year, using separate samples drawn using the same methodology. In 2013, approximately 375 Alaska adults were interviewed each month for the standard BRFSS, to reach an annual sample size of 4,500 (750 per region); the same number were interviewed for the supplemental BRFSS. Because sample size is lower per region and some subpopulation reporting groups, data from 2011 to 2013 have been combined to report some key indicators.

BRFSS data are weighted to adjust the distribution of the sample data so that it reflects the total population of the sampled area, and to compensate for the over-representation or under-representation of persons in various subgroups. Beginning with the 2011 BRFSS, the CDC is using a new weighting method known as iterative proportional fitting, or raking. Raking allows for the inclusion of several key demographic factors in adjusting survey data to the adult population totals. The changes that have been made will help ensure that the BRFSS can continue to be a valuable source of information for health planning and improvement.

Although point estimates produced when cell phones are included in the sample and weighting is done by raking differ somewhat from those previously reported using old methods, the differences are often minimal. To provide additional context for interpretation about changes in prevalence estimates over time, raking was

applied to data from 2007 and 2010, and data including both landline and cell phone respondents will be available from 2011 on.

Both the standard and supplemental BRFSS are weighted (separately) for analysis of items that occur only in one version. In addition, a combined dataset (standard plus supplemental) is created and weighted for analysis of questions that occur in both versions, so that some data can be reported for a total of 5,000 or more survey respondents each year since 2004. The larger sample sizes allow for more precision in the estimates. For tobacco-related items, this includes smoking and SLT use prevalence.

Regional Reporting

Alaska Public Health Regions were defined using borough designation. Although the BRFSS survey data do not provide enough representation for reporting by most of the individual boroughs, combining boroughs provides a useful geographic factor for analyses.

Regional groups for this report are as follows:

- 1) Anchorage/Mat-Su – Municipality of Anchorage and Matanuska-Susitna Borough
- 2) Gulf Coast – Kenai Peninsula Borough, Kodiak Island Borough, and Valdez-Cordova Census Area
- 3) Interior – Denali Borough, Fairbanks North Star Borough, Southeast Fairbanks Census Area, and Yukon-Koyukuk Census Area
- 4) Northern – Nome Census Area, North Slope Borough, and Northwest Arctic Borough
- 5) Southeast – Haines Borough, Hoonah-Angoon Census Area, Juneau City and Borough, Ketchikan Gateway Borough, Petersburg Census Area, Prince of Wales-Hyder Census Area, Sitka City and Borough, Skagway Municipality, Wrangell City and Borough, and Yakutat City and Borough
- 6) Southwest – Aleutians East Borough, Aleutians West Census Area, Bethel Census Area, Bristol Bay Borough, Dillingham Census Area, Lake and Peninsula Borough, and Wade Hampton Census Area

Reporting by Race Group

Information by race group is reported by Alaska Native and non-Native status. For this report, Alaska Native includes all survey respondents who report “Alaska Native/American Indian” as their primary or only race group. Those who report being Hispanic or reported their race as something other than Alaska Native or American Indian are included in the “Non-Native” group.

Data Suppression Guidelines

In this report BRFSS information is suppressed or flagged based on statistical guidelines developed by Alaska’s Division of Public Health in the Department of Health and Human Services, which are based upon the national Joint Policy of Variance Estimation and Statistical Reporting Standards for the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES-III) and the Continuing Survey of Food Intake by Individuals (CSFII) Reports. An asterisk is used to indicate that the estimate may lack statistical precision. Estimates are flagged if the coefficient of variation (ratio of the standard error to the mean expressed as a percent) is greater than 30. In some cases, the flag also denotes that estimates are based on an inadequate sample size, as determined by whether the event, or risk factor, is very common, common, or very uncommon. Finally, information is suppressed if the unweighted sample size for the denominator (N) is less than 50, or if the numerator (n) is less than 5.

References

- ⁱ Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section. (2015). Retrieved from <http://laborstats.alaska.gov/pop/popest.htm>
- ⁱⁱ Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section. (2011). Alaska Local and Regional Information. Retrieved from <http://live.laborstats.alaska.gov/alari/>
- ⁱⁱⁱ Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of Community and Regional Affairs. (n.d.) Community Information. Retrieved from <http://commerce.alaska.gov/dca/apps/DCRAExternal/community>
- ^{iv} U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2006). *The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health.
- ^v Alaska Youth Risk Behavior Survey, 2011.
- ^{vi} State of Alaska Tobacco Prevention and Control Program.
- ^{vii} U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development (USD-HUD). (2009). Notice PIH-2009-21 (HA). Retrieved from <http://www.hud.gov/offices/pih/publications/notices/09/pih2009-21.pdf>
- ^{viii} U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (1990). *The Health Benefits of Smoking Cessation*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health.
- ^{ix} Doll R, Peto R, Boreham J, Sutherland I. (2004). Mortality in relation to smoking: 50 years' observations on male British doctors. *British Medical Journal*; 328(7455):1519–1527.
- ^x Leif Associates. (2012). The Business Case for Coverage of Tobacco Cessation, 2012 Update. Retrieved from <http://www.ctri.wisc.edu/Employers/Actuarial.Analysis.pdf>
- ^{xi} Blumberg SJ, Luke JV, Ganesh N, et al. (2011). Wireless substitution: State-level estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, January 2007–June 2010. *National Health Statistics Reports*; no 39. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.